

**GRAND JUNCTION CITY COUNCIL
ADDITIONAL WORKSHOP
MONDAY, JUNE 18, 2007 11:30 A.M.
TWO RIVERS CONVENTION CENTER
159 MAIN STREET**

11:30 **AUDIO-VISUAL AND BROADCAST EQUIPMENT AT CITY HALL:** Discussion on addressing current issues and plan development for upgrades in 2008.

[*Attach 1*](#)

12:00 **BUDGET SCHEDULE AND OVERVIEW:** Members of the Finance Committee will update the City Council on their activities and present a proposed schedule for the budget process.

[*Attach 2*](#)

12:45 **5-2-1 DRAINAGE AUTHORITY UPDATE:** A discussion on the level of service and funding options.

[*Attach 3*](#)

ADJOURN

City Hall Auditorium Technology Upgrades

Council Workshop
June 18, 2007

Equipment Upgrade Proposal

- Work on improving the audio quality / as a separate activity
 - Replace the podium microphone ASAP
 - Purchase a new audio console that allows individual microphone volume to be separately controlled
- Do a combined Broadcast/Audio Visual Equipment Upgrade Study (4th Quarter)
 - \$10,000 was budgeted to bring in a consultant to look at AV equipment for City Hall
 - Expand the study to incorporate and integrate the broadcast equipment
 - Expand the study to include and integrate the council computer equipment into the AV system
- Act on the consultants recommendation in 2008 (1st Quarter)
 - Approximately \$200,000 has been accrued in the IS Fund to replace AV equipment throughout City Hall.
 - Additional money will need to be budgeted for the broadcast equipment. Current proposal is \$160,000

Other Improvement Options

- Provide Streaming Video (Internet) broadcast and access for council meetings
 - Prices range from \$9,000 + \$800/mo. to \$30,000 + \$1,700/mo. hosting fee
- Add close caption to Channel 12 broadcasts
- Add wireless hotspots to the Auditorium and other City Hall conference rooms
- Add public wireless hotspot in the Auditorium

Council Computer Options

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| ■ Current Computers | ■ New Standard |
| ■ Due to be replaced in July 2008 | ■ Computers purchased today |
| ■ Gateway Pentium 4 3.2 GHz processor | ■ Gateway 2.4 GHz Core 2 Dual processors |
| ■ 1 MB RAM | ■ 1 MB RAM |
| ■ 80 GB Hard Drive | ■ 80 GB Hard Drive |
| ■ 17 inch LCD Monitor | ■ 19 inch LCD Monitor |
| ■ Windows XP | ■ Windows XP |
| | ■ Optional equipment available |

Attach 2
Budget Schedule and Overview

Finance Sub-Committees

Budget Committee/Logistics

Leads: Laurie Kadrach, Linda Longnecker, Tim Seeberg

Harry Long, Scott Hockins, Lisa Cox, Jim Bright, Dan Tonello, Darren Starr, Sonya Stockert

Personnel (Service Delivery/ Pay and Benefits)

Leads: Claudia Hazelhurst, Tim Seeberg

John Williams, Bob Russell, Kim Swindle, Laura Conant, Traci Altergott, Jim Finlayson, Lisa Cox

CIP

Leads: Trent Prall, Troy Smith

Scott Hockins, Don Hobbs

TABOR

Leads: Tim Moore, Traci Altergott

Don Hobbs, Brett Guillory, Irene Carlow

Internal Services

Leads: Darren Starr, Jim Finlayson

Irene Carlow, Terry Franklin, Jay Valentine

Department Head/City Council Communication and Education

Leads: Combo of all the sub-committee leaders, Jodi Romero

Sam Rainguet, Deletha Lampshire

BUDGET TIME-LINE as of 6/14/2007

| MONTH | STAFF | CITY COUNCIL |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MAY | Finance Committee Meets to Discuss Financial State of Affairs Opportunities, Risks, Challenges & Budget Process and Development | |
| | Personnel Forms* <u>Sent Out</u>-Time Allocation, New Positions Requests, Reclassifications, and Retirements | |
| JUNE | Sub-Committees Meet-Establish Priorities | TABOR Discussion |
| | Equipment Replacement Review w/Depts | |
| | Personnel Forms* Need to be Returned 6/22/07 | Financial State of Affairs Opportunities, Risks, Challenges |
| JULY | Sub-Committees Continue | Service Delivery Discussion |
| | SYSTEM ENTRY-Revenue Projections Complete by 7/13/2007 | |
| | Preliminary Pay and Benefit Recommendations by mid July | |
| | SYSTEM ENTRY –Non-personnel Operating by 7/27/2007 | |
| | SYSTEM ENTRY (CIP) Major Capital Requests by 7/20/2007 | Identify Other CC Discretionary Spending |
| | SYSTEM ENTRY-Internal Service Funds Budgets Complete by 7/27/2007 | Major Budget Issue Discussions |
| | Finalize Pay and Benefit Recommendations by end of July | |
| AUGUST | REVIEW AND PRELIMINARY BALANCING of ENTIRE BUDGET Revenue, Operating, New Positions, Capital Requests, Payroll Projections | CIP Discussion |
| | Internal Service Fund Presentations | Preliminary Budget Presentation (?) |
| SEPTEMBER | Department/Division/Program/Fund Type Budget Review | Individual Budget Presentations-If Desired |
| | Final Decisions on Personnel, General Fund Balancing, CIP | |
| OCTOBER | Mid October-Final Balancing & Prep for Formal CC Presentation | |
| NOVEMBER | Early November-Final Presentation to CC | Final Presentation-Special Workshop (?) |
| | November 21st-First Reading @ CC Meeting | |
| DECEMBER | December 5th-Second Reading @ CC Meeting | |

Attach 3
5-2-1 Drainage Authority Update
CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

| CITY COUNCIL AGENDA | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---------|--------------------------|
| Subject | | 5-2-1 Drainage Authority Update | | | | | |
| Meeting Date | | June 18, 2007 | | | | | |
| Date Prepared | | June 14, 2007 | | | File # | | |
| Author | | Trent Prall | | Engineering Manager | | | |
| Presenter Name | | Trent Prall | | Engineering Manager | | | |
| Report results back to Council | | X | No | | Yes | When | |
| Citizen Presentation | | | Yes | X | No | Name | |
| X | Workshop | | Formal Agenda | | | Consent | Individual Consideration |

Summary: This is a follow-up to the April 16, 2007 City Council update on the 5-2-1 Drainage Authority. Since April 16th, some members of the Authority board began exploring funding the Authority from general fund transfers rather than with a utility fee. The proposed general fund transfer would fund only the NPDES Phase II compliance and possibly the master planning of basins to ensure new development does not encroach into areas at risk of flooding.

Action Requested/Recommendation: City Council is requested to weigh in on level of service as well as funding source(s).

Attachments: None

Background Information:

The 5-2-1 Drainage Authority was formed in 2003 to address a number of stormwater management issues impacting Town of Palisade, Mesa County, Grand Junction Drainage District, City of Fruita and City of Grand Junction. The overall theme of the Valley-wide approach to stormwater management is to minimize risk of flooding on major basins and to protect water quality. At the request of the Authority board, Drainage Authority Technical Staff (DATS) has modified the presentation to the City Council on April 16 to further analyze general fund transfers as a source of revenue for the 5-2-1 Authority.

Due to wide variety of needs in the Valley as well as the political climate, the Authority board is concerned with suggesting a new stormwater fee to fund any portion of the 5-2-1 Authority activities. Therefore a "Motorized Huffy Bike" Alternative has been created that would complete the basin planning and provide for water quality compliance components. This alternative is proposed to be funded by a general fund diversions. A brief recap of the alternatives presented on April 16 is provided below:

As presented on April 16, the Valley faces a number of stormwater needs as they pertain to both stormwater **quality** as well as stormwater **quantity**.

Business Plan. The business plan contemplated four different levels of service to meet some of all of those stormwater needs:

- **Huffy Bicycle** – NPDES only. This alternative only addresses water quality permitting issues. Eliminates the overlap of each jurisdiction meeting the regulations individually.
- **Geo Metro** – NPDES, maintenance, studies. This alternative builds on the Huffy Bicycle models by adding contracted maintenance of named washes (Lewis Wash, Leach Creek, Big Salt Wash, etc) as well as studying the rest of the basins to ensure that we are planning our infrastructure appropriately as well as ensuring development does not occur within areas prone to flooding.
- **Impala** – All of the above, \$80 million capital completed in 40 years. Building again on the Geo Metro, this alternative adds capital improvements. \$40 million worth of needs have already been identified, the balance of the \$80 million is reserved for needs that would be identified in the basin studies.
- **Cadillac** – All of above, \$80 million capital completed in 20 years

Staffing for the authority is proposed at 10 people. Seven of those staff are associated with NPDES (water quality) compliance and the balance would be a contracts manager for maintenance and capital design and construction contracts as well as some administrative staff to help with drafting, mapping, and clerical.

Since April 16, the board has requested staff look at another alternative that is a blend of the Huffy Bicycle and Geo Metro which is described as follows:

- **Motorized Huffy Bicycle (New)** – NPDES plus basin studies. This alternative addresses water quality permitting issues as well as master planning of basins to ensure new development does not encroach into areas at risk of flooding. The basin studies would also ensure that as various crossings of washes are upgraded, there is would be a comprehensive plan to identify sizes of pipes and inlets, etc. The unification of stormwater permitting activities under the 5-2-1 Authority would eliminate the overlap of each jurisdiction meeting the regulations individually.

Staffing for this alternative would include five employees initially and eventually six.

Funding. Drainage authority funding could come from the following sources:

Utility Fee Structure. Similar to a water and sewer bill, the business plan contemplates a new stormwater utility fee as the funding source. This fee would be collected based on the amount of runoff that would be generated by each parcel. Therefore a 1 acre parcel that is entirely paved (i.e. impervious) would generate more runoff than a 1 acre parcel that has only a small amount of paved area and therefore would pay more for stormwater service.

This fee would be dedicated for use only on regional stormwater management issues.

Existing general fund diversions (Revised since 4/16). Other potential funding sources include allocating a portion of existing revenues from each of the participating entities towards the 5-2-1 Authority. Such diversions would take away resources from other competing community needs such as transportation, local stormwater, parks, law enforcement and emergency services.

This alternative assumes that Grand Junction Drainage District only pays \$55,000. GJDD is on a fixed funding stream and are unable to increase revenues without a vote. They have been unsuccessful on recent votes to increase funding.

The City share would be approximately \$540,000 as shown below:

| 5-2-1 Authority participant | Share |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Mesa County (48.19%) | \$648,193 |
| City of GJ (40.16%) | \$540,161 |
| GJDD locked at \$55k in 2008 | \$55,000 |
| Fruita (8.84%) | \$118,835 |
| Palisade (2.81%) | \$37,811 |
| Total Funding | \$1,400,000 |

Potential Schedule.

June 27, 2007 – 5-2-1 Drainage Authority Board Meeting
Fall 2007 – Respective participating agencies budget their share of transfer
Winter 2008 – Staff 5-2-1 Stormwater Authority and begin operations

Summary

In summary, the 5-2-1 Drainage Authority Board is looking for input on a proposed business plan that will address Grand Valley stormwater management issues:

1. Fill in the gaps – Flood Protection / Stormwater quantity
2. Eliminate the overlaps – NPDES Phase II Stormwater Quality
3. Sustained revenue source