



Purchasing Division

## **ADDENDUM NO. 1**

**DATE:** September 28, 2022  
**FROM:** City of Grand Junction Purchasing Division  
**TO:** All Offerors  
**RE:** IFB-5114-22-DD 2022 Waterline Replacement Project – Phase 1

Offerors responding to the above referenced solicitation are hereby instructed that the requirements have been clarified, modified, superseded and supplemented as to this date as hereinafter described.

Please make note of the following clarifications:

1. **To Be Issued in a Forthcoming Addendum:** The City will be adding scope to include waterline replacement for 21<sup>st</sup> Street. New plans and bid schedule will be included.
2. **Question:** Why was this pre-bid meeting non-mandatory when they are made mandatory for other projects of this magnitude:  
**Answer:** The Project Engineer elected to make it non-mandatory.
3. **Question:** Since this Project is taking place during the winter months when the asphalt plant is not operational, will there be a line item for temporary patching?  
**Answer:** A temporary patching line item will be included in a revised Bid Schedule to be published in a subsequent Addendum.
4. **Question:** Are the asphalt patching quantities correct for both top and bottom mat?  
**Answer:** The design team will evaluate the quantities of asphalt patching and, if necessary, provide updates in a revised Bid Schedule to be published in a subsequent Addendum.
5. **Question:** Was there a geotechnical study performed as part of the design of this Project?  
**Answer:** No
6. **Question:** The solicitation states utility potholing is the responsibility of the Contractor. Is the City willing to include a line item for this work?  
**Answer:** The City will include a force account line item for utility potholing. A revised Bid Schedule will be published in a subsequent Addendum.
7. **Question:** Will the City allow for full road closures?  
**Answer:** The City is willing to consider road closure as part of the Contractor's proposed and approved Traffic Control Plan to be submitted. However, it is the responsibility of the Contractor

to maintain access to all properties in accordance with Section 3.3.19 of the solicitation and the City of Grand Junction General Contract Conditions.

8. **Question:** Line Item 27, Traffic Control, is listed as a lump sum. Is flagging included in this lump sum? If so, would the City consider creating a separate line item for flagging?  
**Answer:** The City is willing to add a fluffing line item in a revised Bid Schedule to be published in a subsequent Addendum.
9. **Question:** Concrete removal and replacement quantities in the Bid Schedule do not match.  
**Answer:** These will be addressed in a revised Bid Schedule to be published in a subsequent Addendum.
10. **Question:** Is aggregate base course required under both asphalt and concrete?  
**Answer:** Aggregate base course is required per City Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction and the Project Manual.
11. **Question:** Is there likely to be groundwater encountered on this Project? If so, will dewatering be incidental to the project cost?  
**Answer:** It is possible groundwater will be encountered on this project. If encountered, dewatering will be paid for using funds from Minor Contract Revisions.
12. **Question:** In the event of encountering soft/unstable soils, how will stabilization be paid?  
**Answer:** Stabilization will be paid using funds from the Minor Contract Revisions.
13. **Question:** Was the budget for this project estimated using current data?  
**Answer:** Effort was made by the design team to estimate project budget using the latest available costs data.
14. **Question:** Will the City consider including a line item for import material to offset quantities removed under Force Account Item for Disposal of Radioactive Material?  
**Answer:** JVA understands there is no radioactive material present, and this should just be handled as a change order if it is found during the project.
15. **Question:** Is Section 3.3.29 of this solicitation applicable to this contract?  
**Answer:** No. The Contractor is responsible for construction staking and as-built documentation.
16. **Question:** Are the items listed in Section 3.3.30 of this Solicitation applicable to this contract?  
**Answer:** No work will be performed by the City as part of this project/contract.
17. **Question:** Is you group using Appia for the pay application in your city?  
**Answer:** The City does not use Appia.
18. **Question:** #7 serv tap- bid qty 62. Plans show 160 total services, with 114 noted as install only, meaning total new would be 46??  
Also please clarify the install only, is the city suppling the tap saddle and corp? But still need to include 160 connections to existing pipe in bid item #8 correct?  
**Answer:** The total number of services shown on the plans is 155, broken down into 90 short side-services and 65 long-side services. The Contractor will need to provide the materials needed to complete the work for all connections. On the short side services, the Contractor will need to tap the new line and tie in the existing service line. If the existing line is poly or lead, then a

completely new service will have to be installed. For the long side services, the Contractor will need to tap the new line and install enough new copper line to connect into the existing line with a union.

19. **Question:** #8 Water service line – Bid Quantity 460 ft. How likely is the “encountering poly or lead service line” to be since it notes full replacement if so? The bid quantity seems very low if this is actually a good possibility seeing how a new run would be 20+ ft each. Also is Pure-Core acceptable for new service line if needed full runs?

**Answer:** It is estimated that 10\*15% pf the services lines encountered could be lead or poly lines requiring new services to be installed. As for the use of Pure-Core, JVA does not recommend it as an option as the City standards specs are for copper service lines.

20. **Question:** Can you please explain why prequal code 2E. The concrete work for this project is a very minuscule amount compared to the overall project scope. I understand the 3C since it is the majority of the project. If anything, the asphalt work is considerably more in terms of dollar value and should be listed.

**Answer:** The prequal codes listed are what all offerors need to be prequalified to. If you are not currently prequalified to these codes, please submit a prequal request for consideration.

The original solicitation for the project noted above is amended as noted.

All other conditions of subject remain the same.

Respectfully,



Dolly Daniels, Senior Buyer  
City of Grand Junction, Colorado