



Purchasing Division

ADDENDUM NO. 2

DATE: March 10, 2025
FROM: City of Grand Junction Purchasing Division
TO: All Offerors
RE: Final Design for Whitman Park RFP-5625-25-DD

Offerors responding to the above referenced solicitation are hereby instructed that the requirements have been clarified, modified, superseded, and supplemented as to this date as hereinafter described.

Please make note of the following questions/answers/clarifications:

- 1. Question:** During the Pre-Bid, it was noted that CDOT coordination is required. What level of coordination effort is needed? Will the design team need to go through CDOT approval? Alternatively, will the City coordinate with CDOT instead of the design team?
Answer: The City will coordinate directly with CDOT, and the design team will assist with answering questions and adjusting the design to work with the CDOT design/construction documents
- 2. Question:** Does the design team need an architect for the shade structures or is prefab acceptable due to cost?
Answer: An architect is not necessary and prefabricated structures are acceptable. In the course of design, if the decision is made to pursue a customized structure, this can be treated as an additional services proposal from the design team.
- 3. Question:** Can the City clarify the correct overall budget amount and funding sources for the project? The SD Council presentation lists \$3.9 million for Phases 1 and 2, while the Grand Junction Website's budget report indicates \$525,000 with grant funding.
Answer: Full design including construction documents and Geotech is funded in the 2025 budget. This budget may also include funding for some minimal improvements depending on the cost to complete the design. As stated in the RFP, a biddable set of documents must be produced at the end of the design process. The funding for construction is pending 2026 budget consideration, partner funding (some of which has been secured) and grant funding. The schematic design estimates included in Attachment C should be referenced as the current expected cost to fund the full renovation of Whitman.
- 4. Question:** Do the cover page, back cover, divider pages, and table of contents count towards the page count? Does the Solicitation Response Form count towards the page count?
Answer: No
- 5. Question:** Can an 11x17 page be used for the time schedule? Can an 11x17 page be used for the fee/pricing proposal?
Answer: Yes
- 6. Question:** If the firm makes its best effort to ensure the proposal is compliant with HB21-1110 will they have the opportunity to correct any issues, or would it result in disqualification?
Answer: It will not result in disqualification.

7. Question: Page 17 lists incorporating public engagement opportunities. It appears multiple public engagement opportunities occurred during the SD phase. Are public engagement meetings anticipated for this phase of the project? If so, will these be conducted virtually or in-person?

Answer: We expect two public engagement sessions, one at design development and one at final design. Given the political sensitivity of this park renovation, it is expected these be conducted in person. additional sessions may be needed but these may be done virtually.

8. Question: The RFP asks for client references for each key personnel (Page 24), references for projects in the Capability and Performance section (page 25), and references in the reference section (page 25). Can the Offeror provide references in only the "reference" section, or does the City want references in all three places?

Answer: If references are only provided in the "Reference" section, please add project names that correspond to each reference.

The original solicitation for the project noted above is amended as noted. All other conditions of the subject remain the same.

Respectfully,



Dolly Daniels, Senior Buyer
City of Grand Junction, Colorado