



Purchasing Division

ADDENDUM NO. 2

DATE: March 11, 2025
FROM: City of Grand Junction Purchasing Division
TO: All Offerors
RE: Design Build Material Recovery Facility RFP-5601-25-DD

Offerors responding to the above referenced solicitation are hereby instructed that the requirements have been clarified, modified, superseded, and supplemented as to this date as hereinafter described.

Please make note of the following questions/answers/clarifications:

1. **Question:** Proposal Validity:
- a. Section 1.17 (page 5/49) mentions that the proposal must be valid for 90 days, while section 2.15 (page 10/49) mentions that the proposal must be valid for 60 days.
 - i. Can the city clarify how many calendar days the proposal must be valid for?

Answer: 90 Days

2. **Question:** Page 8/49: Permits, Fees, & Notices
- a. We understand that any building modifications will be the responsibility of the owner and it's engineer/general contractor. From a purely equipment installation point of view, can the City provide any guidance as to what sort of permitting is typically required in Grand Junction strictly for the equipment installation? Equipment permitting varies significantly by jurisdiction.

Answer: A permit should not be required for this piece of equipment since it does not modify the building. As for the electrical work, a permit does not appear to be necessary as long as the breaker size remains unchanged and the disconnect is not relocated.

3. **Question:** Page 12/49, Section 2.18: "Liquidated Damages for Failure to Enter Into Contract" We request that clarifying language be added, similar to the language included with regard to the project schedule: "As provided elsewhere, this provision does not apply for delays caused by the City."

Answer: This can be accommodated.

4. **Question:** Page 20/49: Pricing/Fee
- a. Scope of work: Can the city confirm that they are looking for a complete equipment turnkey project from the proposers, inclusive of:
 - i. Baler(s)
 - ii. Compressor(s) and piping
 - iii. Freight to site
 - iv. Mechanical installation
 - v. Controls & field wiring
 - vi. Startup, training and commissioning

Answer: Confirmed – the city is looking for all of the items listed below included in the bid. Please ensure that pricing is broken out for each service as during final contract negotiations there may be

elements such as compressor and piping and field wiring that may make sense for the City to source to local electrical contractors.

5. Question: Page 26/49: There seems to be missing information following the section “Discuss experience of the key personnel Working together on past similar projects. List previous projects and roles of the key personnel. Provide client references and resumes of key personnel including:”. Could you please send the missing information?

Answer: Please provide an overview of Contractors’ experience building similar projects. If there will be key personnel assigned to this project that have worked on those similar projects, identify that personnel and explain how they may be involved in this project if at all. Provide references for projects that are similar in nature that would be valuable references for the City to call and provide a reference to your firm’s capability.

6. Question: Page 33/49, in the Cost table, there is a line item for the cost of rolling stock. For clarity purposes, MRF equipment vendors do not typically provide rolling stock, so this is a line item for which we can’t furnish a cost.

Answer: You may ignore this item. You are correct that you will not need to provide the rolling stock.

7. Question: In reviewing the specific insurance requirements under the RFP, will the City be willing to work with a vendor to use existing coverages to meet the stated insurance goals outlined in the RFP? We believe we meet the City’s requirements, but the specifics of our standard coverages vary slightly from those stated in the document. In addition, we think that some of the coverage asks are redundant. We will be happy to go into detail with you upon selection, or by way of a follow up question if that is more appropriate.

Answer: The City can arrange discussions with its Risk Management to provide input on insurance requirements at the time of selection.

The original solicitation for the project noted above is amended as noted. All other conditions of the subject remain the same.

Respectfully,



Dolly Daniels, Senior Buyer
City of Grand Junction, Colorado