



## ADDENDUM NO. 6

**Date:** November 12, 2025

**From:** City of Grand Junction Purchasing Division

**To:** All Proposers

**RE:** *Furniture for the New City of Grand Junction Community Recreation Center, RFP-5748-25-KF*

Proposers responding to the above-referenced solicitation are hereby advised that certain requirements have been **clarified, modified, or supplemented** as of the date of this Addendum, as outlined below.

Please take note of the following clarifications:

1. **Question:** We typically specify our Height Adjustable Desks with Horizontal Wire Management. Would you like this to be the case here?

**Response:** The City does not have a specific requirement regarding horizontal wire management for height-adjustable desks. Proposers are encouraged to apply its professional judgment and include any features—such as horizontal wire management—that they believe will enhance the functionality, safety, and long-term performance of the proposed product. Please ensure that you include the specifications and rationale for your recommendations within your proposal.

2. **Question:** Would you like the adjustability range to meet the ANSI/BIFMA standards on the Height Adjustable desks = 22.6" – 48.7"?

**Response:** Yes, the City expects that all height-adjustable desks proposed meet or exceed applicable ANSI/BIFMA standards. Proposers should ensure that all products conform to recognized commercial quality, safety, and performance standards as outlined in the solicitation documents.

3. **Question:** Do we know what cleaning products will be used on the majority of surfaces, especially the upholstery?

**Response:** Refer to Section 4.5.6 – Furniture Maintenance, the City is asking proposers to recommend appropriate cleaning and maintenance instructions for all proposed products, including upholstery.

As such, proposers should provide manufacturer-recommended cleaning guidance and specify compatible cleaning agents or products that align with warranty requirements and long-term maintenance needs.

Please include this information in your proposal documentation.

4. **Question:** SF-1: Is there a strong preference towards upholstered or not? Both options are shown.

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 5, Clarification 2. The City does not have a strong preference between upholstered and non-upholstered options for SF-1. Proposers are encouraged to apply their professional judgment and recommend the option they believe best supports the intended use of the space—enhancing functionality, safety, durability, and long-term performance. Supporting rationale is welcomed in your response.

5. **Question:** For the Child Watch 1002, is there a necessity for the young child heights, which are below the typical 21-30" heights, for the tables and chairs? What age range is expected in this area?

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 5, Clarification 2. Child Watch Room 1002 is designed to care for children aged 3 months to 9 years. The City prefers child-appropriate furniture in this space, with table heights between 14"–24" and chair seat heights between 8"–14", to best accommodate the needs and safety of younger users

6. **Question:** Are we specifying the billiards tables? They are included in the plan, but are listed as a quantity of 0 on the pricing spreadsheet.

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 5, Clarification 1. The revised Attachment A – Furniture RFP Response Spreadsheet has been updated to remove all zero-quantity items, including billiards tables. These items are not part of the current scope and do not require pricing. Proposers must ensure that they use the updated pricing sheet provided in Addendum 5 when preparing their proposals.

7. **Question:** C-10: How high does C-10 need to stack?

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 5, Clarification 2. The City has not specified a particular chair model or required stack height for item C-10. Proposers should recommend a stackable chair solution that is appropriate for the intended function and space. Stack height should align with the manufacturer's recommended limits, and proposers are expected to include this information in their response. Please clearly indicate the maximum stack height and identify any associated storage or accessory requirements.

8. **Question:** C-10: Can you confirm the quantity? Response Spreadsheet shows quantity of 200 vs Specification Sheets 254.

**Response:** Proposers should rely on the quantities listed in the Addendum 5 Revised Attachment A – Furniture RFP Response Spreadsheet when preparing their proposals. The spreadsheet reflects the most current and accurate quantity for C-10.

9. **Question:** C-10: This is specified in the Spec Sheets as needing pricing with and without arms. Is this applicable to all C-10s or just the chairs in Rooms 1303, 1304, Community Rooms, and Room 1305 Classroom?

**Response:** Please provide pricing for all C-10 products, including those with and without arms, across all rooms. This will enable the City to evaluate both options

and determine the most suitable configuration for each space, taking into account functionality, comfort, and budget.

10. **Question:** T-9: Are the (7) T-9 tables adjacent to Rooms 1303 & 1304 outside?

**Response:** Yes, the T-9 tables are intended for use on a covered outdoor patio adjacent to Rooms 1303 and 1304. These tables may be set up outside for events or gatherings that extend into the patio area. When not in use for such events, the T-9 tables will be stored indoors. Proposers should consider this intended flexibility when recommending furniture solutions for these spaces.

11. **Question:** C-1: Can you give more information on how to provide 3 options for these task chairs?

**Response:** The City prefers to offer multiple task chair options—ideally three—that fall within a similar price range and quality tier. This approach allows individual employees to select the chair that best suits their comfort and ergonomic preferences. Proposers should ensure that all proposed options meet commercial-grade standards, are appropriate for task seating, and align with the aesthetic and functional needs of the space.

12. **Question:** T-10 & T-11: Would you like us to quote (4) seats each? Based on the table style shown, there would not be enough legroom for a person to sit comfortably at the end of the table.

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 5, Clarification 2. Proposers should recommend table and chair configurations that appropriately accommodate the quantities listed in Attachment A – Furniture RFP Response Spreadsheet, while ensuring comfort, functionality, and usability.

If the style shown does not support comfortable seating for the specified number of users, the City welcomes professional recommendations. Proposers are encouraged to suggest alternative tables and seating arrangements that align with the intended function and use of the space. Please include any proposed adjustments and rationale in the comments section of the spreadsheet.

13. **Question:** T-5: In the Senior Lounge, 1300, did you intend T-5 to be lower occasional tables or somewhere that someone can pull up to in their chair (30" Dining Height)? The spec sheets show a lower occasional table vs. the spreadsheet, which shows a 30" H table.

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 5, Clarification 2. The City does not have a strong preference regarding the height of the T-5 table in Room 1300 (Senior Lounge). Proposers are encouraged to use their professional judgment to recommend a table height that best supports the space's intended use, functionality, and long-term performance—regardless of what may appear in the spec sheets.

Please include the proposed table height in the appropriate "Size" column of the response spreadsheet and provide a rationale in the "Comments" column.

14. **Question:** Are we permitted to edit/ add a column to the spreadsheet?

**Response:** Editable cells are highlighted in green within the provided Furniture RFP Response Spreadsheet. All green columns are formatted to wrap text, and a dedicated comments column is included for additional notes. If further information is necessary, proposers may use the supplemental tab titled "Sheet1."

15. **Question:** Warranty- In the Solicitation document, section 4.4, states that the product must have a 12-year warranty minimum or lifetime; however, some products the City selected and shown in Attachment D – Furniture Specifications Document have only a 3-, 5-, or 10-year warranty. How would the City like us to proceed at large with product suggestions/recommendations when they are not a 12-year minimum?

**Response:** If a selected product category does not offer a 12-year or lifetime warranty in the market, proposers should clearly identify this in its submission and explain why the proposed product is the most appropriate choice for the intended use. Proposed items that do not meet the 12-year minimum must include a clear rationale for selection, including product performance, industry standards, and warranty coverage. Final selections may be subject to review and negotiation with the awarded contractor prior to contract execution.

16. **Question:** For the kids' table, is a 48" width acceptable? Or will something larger be needed?

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 2, Clarification 2. The City has not specified an exact size for the kids' tables. Proposers are encouraged to apply their professional judgment to recommend tables that are appropriately sized, aligning with the functional needs of the age group, space constraints, and the comfort of young users.

17. **Question:** Can we get specific sizing requirements for the ottomans, SF 4 - SF 7?

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 2, Clarification 2. Specific sizing for the ottomans has not been prescribed. The City welcomes proposer recommendations based on intended room use, flexibility, and comfort. Sizes should support versatility and placemaking goals for community-centered spaces.

18. **Question:** For the paneling - would you like a single wall-mounted panel for privacy? And is there a preferred material (e.g., fabric, metal)?

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 2, Clarification 2. The City has not specified the required configuration or material for paneling. Proposers are encouraged to use their professional judgment to recommend a privacy solution—such as a single wall-mounted panel or an alternative—that best supports the functional needs of the space. Material selection should consider durability, maintenance, safety, and aesthetic alignment with the surrounding environment.

19. **Question:** Regarding the Addendum 5 pricing spreadsheet.

PT-2 should be 2 tables with 4 attached chairs and 1 table with 3 attached chairs (ADA), based on this image; however, the response spreadsheet lists "qty. 3 patio tables w/attached chairs.

ROOM #	ROOM NAME (CATEGORY)	FURN ID	FURNITURE DESCRIPTION	SIZE	MANUFACTURER	QTY
	Circulation					3
	1500G Entry Plaza					
		PC-2	Patio Lounge, Adirondak			9
		PT-2	Patio Table, Café Table w/ Attached Chairs			3

It might make more sense to list these as

PT-2 Quantity 2

PT-2 ADA Quantity 1

For pricing



**Response:** The City appreciates the clarification and your attention to accessibility requirements. At this time, the format and structure of the Addendum 5 Revised Attachment A – Furniture RFP Response Spreadsheet will remain unchanged. All proposers must use the provided spreadsheet as issued.

To account for the pricing of the ADA-compliant table, please use the “**Size**” or “**Notes**” column to clearly identify and distinguish the ADA unit and its associated pricing. This will ensure consistency across submissions while allowing for accessible options to be appropriately considered.

20. **Question:** C-11 Chair Kid's size (what is the seat height desired for these chairs? 8", 10", 12", 14", 16", or 18". Or do you want several in two or three different heights?

**Response:** Please refer to the response provided in Question 5 above for guidance.

Proposers may include a mix of chair seat heights if they believe it will best serve the diverse age range of users (3 months to 9 years). Recommendations should be based on functionality, safety, and comfort that are appropriate for this age group.

When completing the response spreadsheet, proposers should use the “Size” and/or “Notes” column to clearly identify the proposed seat heights, provide a rationale for each, and include corresponding pricing. If multiple sizes are proposed, each variation must be clearly distinguished.

21. **Question:** T-10 Table Child height. Again, what height is desired for these tables? 20", 22", 24", 26", 28", or 30". Or do you want several in two or three different heights?

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 2, Clarification 2. Proposers may include a mix of tables in child heights if they believe it will best serve the diverse age range of users (3 months to 9 years). Recommendations should be based on functionality, safety, and comfort that are appropriate for this age group.

When completing the response spreadsheet, proposers should use the “Size” and/or “Notes” column to clearly identify the proposed seat heights, provide a rationale for each, and include corresponding pricing. If multiple sizes are proposed, each variation must be clearly distinguished.

22. **Question:** T9 & W8: Folding tables - is wood tone laminate required?

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 2, Clarification 2. The City does not require a specific laminate finish for folding tables. Proposers are encouraged to recommend surface finishes—such as wood tone laminate or alternatives—that balance durability, aesthetic compatibility with surrounding furnishings, and ease of maintenance. Recommendations should align with the intended use and flexibility needed for multi-purpose spaces.

23. **Question:** SF2, SF3, SF4, SF5, SF6, SF7: Ottomans - would you prefer vinyl for extra durability in the same requested color tones?

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 2, Clarification 2. The City does not have a specific material preference for ottomans. Proposers are encouraged to apply their professional judgment in recommending materials—such as vinyl or other durable options—that align with the intended use, durability needs, and design intent of each space.

24. **Question:** B1, B2, B3: Benches - Do you prefer an upholstered seat or a non-upholstered one?

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 2, Clarification 2. The City does not have a strong preference regarding upholstery for benches B1, B2, and B3. Proposers are encouraged to apply their professional judgment to recommend a solution that best supports functionality, safety, and long-term durability.

These benches are designed to serve a wide range of users and age groups, including individuals in wet clothing or those in environments with high moisture



levels (e.g., near pools). Therefore, materials should be suitable for damp conditions, easy to clean, and resistant to wear. Proposers may submit either upholstered or non-upholstered options and should include a rationale for their selection in the proposal.

25. **Question:** C10: kids chairs – is there a specific size you need? Or should we provide a variety of sizes?

**Response:** Please refer to the response provided in Question 20 above for guidance.

26. **Question:** T8: Folding tables - Do you have a preference between folding and nesting tables?

**Response:** Refer to Addendum 2, Clarification 2. The City does not have a preference.

27. **Question:** PT2: outdoor furniture – does it need to be bolted to the floor? Do you prefer wood or plywood?

**Response:** All furniture located in Entry Plaza (Room 1500G) must be bolted to the ground and anchored into concrete, in accordance with the manufacturer's installation requirements.

Regarding material preference, please refer to Addendum 2, Clarification 2 for guidance. The City welcomes professional recommendations that best support long-term durability, aesthetic goals, and functional use of space.

28. **Question:** C.11/T.10 What is the preferred Child Size (age group)?

**Response:** Please refer to the responses provided in Questions 5 and 20 above for guidance.

29. **Question:** Please confirm that furniture installation is estimated for August 3-14, 2026? The installation will probably take longer than this.

**Response:** The City currently estimates the furniture installation period to be August 3–14, 2026. This timeline serves as a planning reference, and the City will coordinate with the awarded contractor to finalize an installation schedule based on project scope, site readiness, and logistical needs.

Proposers are expected to submit an installation plan that demonstrates how completion will be achieved by August 14, 2026. This should include a detailed timeline, resource allocation, and strategies to ensure timely completion, minimize disruption, and coordinate effectively with other project stakeholders and trades.

30. **Question:** Do you want installation at Normal Business Hours or Nights/Weekends?

**Response:** Proposers should recommend the installation strategy that they believe best supports successful implementation and timely completion. As outlined in Section 4.5.8 – *Site Conditions, Access, Facility Requirements*, note that deliveries may be restricted to specific hours and designated entrances.

The City acknowledges that installation timing may need to be flexible, especially as construction activities are near completion. The awarded contractor must coordinate with the City Project Manager and FCI to finalize access windows.

31. **Question:** Is there a certain fabric grade you'd like to set limits on? Many of the fabrics shown in the design direction are graded fairly high, which can drastically affect the price. There are quality, commercial-grade fabrics and vinyls starting at Grade 1, while the fabrics in the design direction are averaging Grade 10.

**Response:** Please refer to Addendum 2, Clarification 2 for guidance. The City has included a "Fabric Class" column in Addendum 5, Revised Attachment A – Furniture RFP Response Spreadsheet, to support planning, cost analysis, and specification decisions. The indicators in the design direction are intended to reflect the general design intent and may be adjusted based on the proposer's recommendations.

The City welcomes alternatives and relies on the Proposers to use their professional expertise in recommending commercial-grade fabrics or vinyls that balance durability, aesthetics, and cost-effectiveness.

Proposers may suggest substitutions, including downgrading from higher-grade selections, as long as the proposed materials meet performance and use requirements. Rationale for any recommendations should be clearly noted in the spreadsheet (e.g., in the "Comments" column). The City's priority is to achieve a high-performing and welcoming facility, and encourages thoughtful solutions that support community use and placemaking.

**No further questions will be accepted at this time.**

This addendum amends the original solicitation for the referenced project as outlined above. All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain unchanged.

Proposers must acknowledge receipt of this addendum by completing the acknowledgment section on the **Solicitation Response Form** (Section 7.0).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,



Kathleen Franklin  
Senior Buyer/Purchasing Agent  
City of Grand Junction