Itand Junction Colo Morry 1903 Adjumed regular, meeting of the Oity Council Mayor Sampliner in the Chair, The following aldermen responded to roll Cale: Buckins, Haras, Merrill, Rader, Ramey, Smith & Stockton, alderman Waldroup was a few minutes a petition from 25 business men asking for the McKinney depointment of MR McKeinney as special Merchants police and asking council to bear a part of the expense Special Police Was referred to the fire & Police Committee— a prelition from If Theeken asking the privilege of repairing his barn in the rear of his new paintshop Ion Colo are between 5th Streets, with corrogated Iron roof, was deferred to the Fire Police Committee On motion Af Scoville & B Flag were allowed & address the Council, Mr Acoville asked Permission & build the remaineder House of his two story house on South Side of Colo avenue between 5th + 6th Streets, and also to be allowed to raise the Petition rooff of the Same to conform to it! Motion made Deconded and put to grant Mr Scovile's request, Vato was as follows: Buckins Voted year Hards yea, Merrillyea, Rader yea, Ramey yea, Smith year Stockton year Waldroup year, Carried: Didewalk Mr 13 I Jay Stated that the Sidewalks along the South Side of Blocks for and 83 were in bad repair, there being a great many boards missing and suggested that the Street Supervisor be instructed to see that they were repaired; and on motion the Street Supervisor was instructed to notify the property owners on the South diele of Blocks fr and f3 and also Lot 13 Block 122 Ill in the city of Frankfunction, & Repair Said Sidevelke within 10 days or a new Six foot didewall would be

Didewalk

ordered land -It was reported by alderman Rader that a new Sidewalk was needed from Main Street to White on the West side of dreamd Streets Whereupon the following resolution was presented by Mr Radir who moved its adoption -Whereas, in the opinion of a two thirds majority of the City Council it is necessary that a new sidewark se constructed along the west side of 2d street from Main to White Streets, the Same being along the east side of lots 12 + 13 Block gg and lots 12+13 Block 100 in the City of Grand Junction, Therefore be it resolved that a New Sidewark be Constructed along and Said lots and Blocks and that the Same be Dix feet with instead of 12 feet, Such as provided by ordinance, in Such case made and Provided, being second a vote was taken as follows Buckins Noted year, Hards year, Merrill year, Rader year Rame, Smith year Stockton year, Waldroup year Carried Just of Construction BUV redders report as the expense of work done at the reservoirs was read in detail accepted and ordered Placed on file. Clerks report as to Warrants drawn against the Several appropriations was read and ordered placed on fele Mayor Dampliner as chairman of the Water Committee reported that the Water Duperintendents books had been audited up to Nor 1 1 1903 and found Correct The report of this Committee was acceptedhelity attorney reported to dis-allow Franklin Butler to have his tent erected on the rear of his Place of business on Colo aver- Report accepted-The City attorney advised to raise the rates of water after fan 1st 1904, taken under advisement -The Water Committee recommended to Send Dupt Vidder to investigate the pump proposition at Leadvell and report at next regular meeting and on motion their yea, Hards yea, Merrill yea, Rader yea, Ramey Bya, Smith yea Stockton year Waldroup year The fire + Police Committee reported in favor of Affeorelles putition to dreet a barn covered with corrogated Iron in the rear of his residence on Colo are Between 5th 16th Strats On motion their report was accepted by the following

Officers Reports

Note: Buckins voted year Harde year, Merrill year, Rader year, Ramey yea Smith year, Stockton year, Waldroup year, The Matter of purchasing hose was on motion referred to Voto: Buckins voted year Hards year, Merrill year, Rader year Kamey yea, Amith yea, Stockton year, Waldroup year, Carried. Ordinaire No 122 entitled an Ordinaire to provide for Licensing and Regulating Certain occupations, was presented by Alderman Rader and read at length and on motion was ordered Rublished in the Daily Sentines one issue by The Gollowing Note! Buckins Noted year, Hards year, Merrill year Rader year, Ramey year Amith year, Slockton year, Maldroup year The following bills were reported of by the Finance Committee and payment recommended: Love Water \$10 2400 & O. Stacey 4 811 2300 D Statey 4 812 1100 MV Foster 4 813 3600 W. A Bookwalter At Deffere 1 815 1200 J. Baker Telix Toupain 4 816 2300 4 /17 3600 Care Dittman 4 818 2000 4 819 100 Leave DARYRR Old Vedder , 820 317 Freight 4 821 920 Labor 4 822 860. JAIM Mullin If H Cosgrova , 823 350 Burrello Harrison & Fletcher 4 824 48 79 Plumbing le 7225 4 4 825 Lee & Benting Den 826 6154 Frinting 1 827 Jeff Watson 250 Killing dogs Tordon Drug Co 1 828 100 Carb acid 4 829 a miller 1035 Casting for ston Af Farmer Volice 830 250 Speofficer 1 831 250 4 4 JOKing Motion made seconded and put & allow the foregoing Buckins voted year, Hards year, Merrill year, Ramer year

Ord 1/1.

Rader yea, Smith yea, Stockton yea, Waldroup yea Carried The Diel of all Wharton for \$200 Sward Amall pox quentum, was referred to the County Commissioners—
The Bill of the Valley Feel Company was referred book to the finance Committee

In motion council adjourned to meet Twesday
Dep Th at J. P. M.

Stank of Lesere Colerk Frank Lesere Coty Clerk

ORDINANCE NO. 123.

An Ordinance in Relation to Spitting on Sidewalks, Stairs and Other Public Walks.

A proposal Ordinance introduced by Alderman Hards.

Be It ordained by the city council of the city of Grand Junction.

Section I: That spitting upon side walks, stairs and other public walks within the city of Grand Junction is hereby declared to be a nuisance and from and after the passage of this Ordinance, no pesson shall spit upon any of the public sidewalks within this city nor upon any or in any stair way contained in any office building or public building within this city.

Section II: Any verson violating the provisions of Section One of this Ordinance shall be deemed guilty of an offense and upon conviction shall be fined not less than \$5 nor more than \$100.