254

9×.

Grand Junchon Colo March 28 1905 adjourned meeting of the City Council Mayor Sampliner in the Chair, The following aldermen responded to Roll Call. Buckins, Hards, Merrill, Rader, Ramey, Amith, Stockton, alderman Waldroup absent. Order of business and reading of minutes duspended -On motion My EM docomb was allowed to address the Council and asked the privilege of repairing and creating a corrogated from building in the near of his store building on main Street = Motion made seconded and put & grant the request-Buckins Noted yea, Hardo yea, Merriel yea, Rader yea, Vanney yea Amith yer, Stockton yes, Carried= The Mayor reported that the council had visited the vicinity of the ditch and reported in favor of allowing the ditch company to enlarge the detch and the city to furnish 7500 feet of the to place in drops and flumes - and recommended that the next council Settle for Dame. Moto Motion made seconded and put to accept the report and give authority & said detch company to enlarge the detch. Buckins voted year, Hards year, Merrill yes, Rader year, Ramey yes Amith yes Atockton geg, - carried -The following Communication was received from City Attorney Carnahan-Grand function, Colo, March 28 1905. To The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of Grand Junction: Gentlement. Gentlemen:-I find it impossible to be at the Council compelled to be at Montrose to da Meeting this creating, as I am compelled & be at Montrove to day. I have examined the bond of George Smith, prepared in Compliance with Ordenance Mo. 125, and I find the Same Sufficient as to form. I have asked Mr Van Hoorebeke to attend the Corneil for me this evening, and while I regret very much that I cannot be with you, he will see to any matters which you may Digned yours Very Truly Je Carnahan The above referred to Bound was presented signed by Ges Smith and Chas a Steyn in the Sum of 15000 in

Accordance with the provisions of the Ordinance granting the Franchise to the said George Smith his, Successors administrators or arigna also a bond signed by I Van Hoorekelle as president and Des. J.D. Williame as secretary of a construction company in The Same form and some amount, was presented but afterward placed withdrawn on account of the incorporation papers not having been on file with the Accretary of State -Bond Motion made Seconded and put that the band of George Smith approved and thes a. Styne, be accepted and proved and placed on file Buckins voted yea, Hards nay, Merrill yea, Rader yea, Staney yea Amith yea, Stockton gea, Carried: Of Prodity presented a petition asking to be allowed & erect a corrogated from building 12 × 20 × 10 ft high in the rear of 529 main Atreet - also the Mayor requested the permission & moor a building from to 6 Ber 125 & hor Beer 12 Motion made deconded and pet that the request be granted Buckins voted yes, Hards yes, Merrill yes, Rader yes, Ramey yes, Amith yea, Stockton yea, - Carried -Motion made Seconded and peit to have anothere light installed at 1st and Colored commin - Bucklins voted yes, Hards yes, Merrill yes Rader yes, Ramey yes, Smith yes, Stockton yes, - carried -The following communication was received from & M. Wheeler Grandfunction Colo March 28 1903 Wheeler The Mayor and City Council of the City of Grandfunction. Billo Bentlemen :-The following bills which have heretofore been filed Police before you for allowance and which were desallowed by your Court henorable body have been sold and assigned to me. " 2 Jury fees advanced in Police Caust by JH + OH ackerman \$22.80 To 2 May allen + Mellie Davis 22.80 Jor - Peare Miller 22.80 Joi " " " " " " " Mand arnold 11 40 To witness fees DB Heney and Mrs DB Hury paid by Mand arnold in Police Court 4.30 To wirtness fees for two days attendance to WC Bayer in care of City against Peare Miller 4.15 Do & ca Smith 4.15 Do to a M anderson 4.15 The above jury fees were advanced by these parties under order of the Police Magistrale and in each of which cases the defendants were acquitted. The City is liable for these feer,

as well as for witness fees incurred. The Caurt of appeals in this State in the case of the people VS. Branted, 13th Colorado appeals 532; also 58 Pacific 796 latter up from the town of Delle Sustains my contention in this case and holds that the City is liable for costs the same as an individual, Where the decision or Verdict is adversed to the City. I do not wish to be compelled to institute suit to recover these Costs, and will therefor ask your Honorable Body to lake the Matter up and allow the bills. I cannot understand under what theory these bills were disallowed by your Body before Kerry respectfully submitted A.M. Mheeler The matter was referred to the City attornay -The matter of replacing and painting Street Signe was on motion duly Deconded referred to the Atrect Supervisor With power to act, - Brekins voted yes, Hards yes Merrill yes, Rader yes, Ramey yes, Amilkyes, Stockton yes Carried = The following Judges of Election were appointed & On motion Council adjourned to met apr 11 * 1905 at J. P.M. Tranky, Leslie City Clerk