Grand Junction, Colorado.

June 2 1926.

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction met in regular session at 7:30 o'clock P.M. with President Bell presiding. Those present and answering at roll call were Councilmen: Bear, Ellison, Fairley, Logsden, Meders, Moslander & Bell. City Manager Thompson, City Attorney Hinman & City Clerk Peck were present.

The minutes of the last regular session and of the special session of May 20th were read and approved.

The D.W. Piggly-Wiggly Co. made application for a permit to erect a store building and filling station on Lot 15 Block 44. Upon motion of Councilman Fairley, seconded by Councilman Meders the application was ordered filed and the City Clerk instructed to give the customary notice.

S.L. Zemmer made application for a permit to erect a store building on Lot 18 and 1/2 of 19, Block 1. Upon motion of Councilman Meders, seconded by Councilman Bear the application was ordered filed and the City Clerk instructed to give the customary notice.

Bruce Brownson, Chief of Dept. of Health & Parks appeared before the Council asking that the milk license of Geo. Burkhart be revoked on account of the milk sold being under the requirements of the city ordinances.

Councilman Bear moved, seconded by Councilman Meders that the milk license of Geo Burkhart be revoked. Motion carried.

Councilman Moslander moved seconded by Councilman Meders that the City Manager and City Attorney investigate the matter of the closed alley on the east of South Fifth Street. Motion carried.

The following estimate was presented and read:

CITY OF GRAND JCT., COLO.

Office of City Engineer

June 2, 1926

ESTIMATE NO. 3

ESTIMATE OF CONTRACTOR, ORMAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, OF PUEBLO COLORADO, ON COMBINED SEWER DISTRICT NO. 4

1429 ft.	15" Sewer	0 - 7	Q	\$1.30	per	ft.	\$1 , 857.70
1347 ft.	15" Sewer	7-9	g	\$1.30	per	ft.	1,751.10

5859 ft. 12" Sewer 0-7	g	\$1.00 per ft.	5,859.00
2621 ft. 12" Sewer 7-9	g	\$1.00 per ft.	2,752.05
2275 ft. 10" Sewer 0-7	g	\$0.81 per ft.	1,842.75
996 ft. 10" Sewer 7-9	g	\$0.86 per ft.	856.56
3485 ft. 8" Sewer 0-7	g	\$0.80 per ft.	2,788.00
651 ft. 8" Sewer 7-9	g	\$0.71 per ft.	462.21
2106 ft. 8" Catch Basin Pipe in place	g	\$0.71 per ft.	1,495.26
42 Manholes complete	g	\$5.00 each	2,310.00
6 Catch Basins complete	g	\$40.00 each	240.00
			\$22,214.63
Less 10% reta	air	ned	2,221.46
			\$19 , 993.17
Less Estimates No. 1 & 2			16,828.87
Amount due on Estimate N	NO.	. 3	\$3,164.30

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct estimate of the work done to date, June 1, 1926, on Combined Sewer Dist. No. 4

/s/

City Engineer

ATTEST: /s/ Fred A. Peck City Auditor

Councilman Meders moved, seconded by Councilman Bear that the estimate as read be allowed, upon which motion all the Councilmen voted "YEA" and the President declared the motion carried.

The following estimate was presented and read:

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLO.

Office of City Engineer

June 2, 1926

ESTIMATE NO. 3

ESTIMATE OF CONTRACTOR, STRANGE MAGUIRE PAVING COMPANY OF SALT LAKE CITY UTAH, OF WORK ON PAVING DISTRICT NO. 16

Quantities to be paid out of District Bonds:

4659 Cu. yds. Excavation @ \$0.90	\$4 , 193.10
7564 Lin ft. Curb & gutter @ \$0.97	7,337.08
9170 Sq. yds. Pavement-Conc Base @ \$1.00	9,170.00
5336 Sq. yds. Pavement- Crushed Rock Base @ 0.25	1,334.00
49 Sq. yds. Pavement- St. Ry Co. @ \$4.00	196.00

5 Manholes @ \$65.00 each 16 Catch Basins @ \$50.00 each 750.4 ft. 8" sewer pipe @ \$0.75 per ft. 431.3 ft. 8" catch basin pipe in place @ \$0.75 90 ft. 12" sewer pipe in place @ \$1.25 Total	325.00 800.00 562.80 323.48 112.50 \$24,353.96		
Material on hand, but not in place:			
202 tons of asphalt @ \$23.00	4,646.00 \$28,999.96		
Less 10% retained	\$28,999.98 2,899.99 \$26,099.97		
Less Estimates No. 1 & 2 Total to be paid out of District Bonds	\$20,099.97 11,603.19 \$14,496.78		
Quantities to be paid out of Intersection Fund:			
1325 Cu. yds. Excavation @ \$0.90 1100 Lin ft. Curb & gutter @ \$0.97 3141 Sq. yds. Pavement Conc. Base @ \$1.00 298.5 sq. yds. Pavement Alley returns @ \$2.20	\$1,192.50 1,067.00 3,141.00 656.70 \$6,057.20		
Material on hand but not in place:			
40 tons of asphalt @ \$23	920.00 \$6,977.20		
Less 10% retained Total to be paid out of Intersection Fd	\$6,977.20 697.72 \$6,279.48		
I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct estimate of the work done to date June 1, 1926 on Paving District No. 16.			

/s/

City Engineer

ATTEST: /s/ Fred A. Peck

City Auditor

Councilman Ellison moved, seconded by Councilman Meders that the estimate as read be allowed, upon which motion all the Councilmen voted "YEA" and the President declared the motion carried.

The following estimate was presented and read:

City of Grand Junction Office of City Engineer

June 2, 1926

ESTIMATE OF CONTRACTOR, STRANGE MAGUIRE PAVING COMPANY OF SALT LAKE, CITY, UTAH ON GRAND JUNCTION PAVING DISTRICT NO. 15

ESTIMATE NO. 1

The total quantities to be paid out of Paving District Bonds for work done and materials on hand:

2800.0 Cu. yds. Excavation @ \$0.90	\$2,520.00
700.0 Lin ft. Curb & Gutter @ \$1.02	714.00
2 Catch Basins @ \$50.00 each	100.00
	\$3,334.00
Material on hand but not in place	1 4 4 9 9 9
370 barrels cement @ \$3.90	1,443.00
	\$4,777.00
Less 10% retained	477.70
Total to be paid out of District Bonds \setminus	\$4,299.30

Total quantities to be paid out of intersection Fund:

726 Cu. yds. Excavation @ \$0.90	\$653.40
230 Lin. ft. Curb & Gutter @ \$1.02	234.60
66.7 Sq. yds. Alley return pavement @ \$2.20	146.74
	\$1,034.74

Material on hand, but not in place:

370 barrels of cement @ \$3.90	1,443.00
	\$2 , 477.74
Less 10% retained	247.77
Total to be paid out of Intersection Fund\	\$2,229.97

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct estimate of work done and material on hand on Paving District No. 15, to be paid upon approval of bonds.

/s/

City Engineer

ATTEST: /s/ Fred A. Peck

City Auditor

Councilman Moslander moved, seconded by Councilman Meders that the estimate as read be allowed, upon which motion all the Councilmen voted "YEA" and the President declared the motion carried.

The following resolution was introduced and read:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS it has been called to the attention of the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, since a resolution was passed opposing the granting of permits by the Public Utilities Commission for Motor Buses to render service between Grand Junction and points now served by railroad passenger and freight service, that the Auto Transportation and Toll Road Company have applied to the Public Utilities Commission for a permit to render auto bus and truck service between McCoy on the Moffat Railroad, and points in the Grand Valley;

WHEREAS it appears that a part of the territory which will be covered by such service is not served by any railroad and such auto bus and truck service would make all intermediate territory between McCoy on the Moffat Railroad and west, tributary to the Grand Valley for business purposes and at the same time give a passenger and freight service from Grand Valley points to all intermediate territory between such point and the Moffat Railroad Territory, parts of which are now without either passenger or freight service of any kind, and

WHEREAS The Auto Transportation and Toll Road Company is constructing at its own expense a part of the road necessary to render such service between points which now have no adequate and satisfactory highway;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Grand Junction reconsider, to the extent above indicated, its former action and recommend to the Public Utilities Commission of Colorado favorable consideration of the application of the Auto Transportation and Toll Road Company.

Councilman Fairley moved, seconded by Councilman Logsden that the resolution as read be passed and adopted, upon which motion all the Councilmen voted "YEA" and the President declared the motion carried and the resolution duly passed and adopted.

A letter from Geo. W. Vallery & Company was read in regard to bonds issued by Paving Dist. # 15. The letter being as follows:

Geo. W. Vallery & Co.

MUNICIPAL BONDS

DENVER, COLO.

May 29, 1926

Colorado - Grand Junction, Pav. Dist. #15

Mr. Fred A. Peck,

City Clerk,

Grand Junction, Colorado.

Dear Mr. Peck:

This will acknowledge your letter of the 27th and Mr. T. E. Thompson, City Manager's, letter of the same date.

After a rather lengthy conference with Pershing, Nye, Tallmadge and Bosworth's office in regard to the points previously mentioned, we are pleased to advise that the additional data you have furnished and your explanations clear up all matters with the exception of the amount of bonds to be issued.

As previously stated, in your resolution adopted May 5th under section 7, you specifically set out and limit the amount of bonds you are entitled to issue on this district, to-wit: \$188,710.54. This is the maximum amount of bonds Pershing, Nye, Tallmadge and Bosworth will approve as the proceedings now stand and as of this date. The addition \$5000 of bonds to bring the total amount of bonds to \$193,500 as set out in other parts of your proceedings and the amount of bonds we would like to see you issue and the amount of bonds you desire to issue can be issued in either one of the following methods:

1. You can amend the resolution passed and approved May 5th setting out the amount of bonds to be issued, to-wit: not to exceed \$193,500. We think in this case that you should itemize or rather show how you arrive at those figures.

2. You may legally issue at this time \$188,500 and issue the additional \$5000 without any further corrections or additional proceedings, provided that at the time the assessment roll is finally passed and approved it stands as now prepared.

Please do not feel that we have in any manner been a party to holding up the proceedings in regard to the issuance of these bonds, in fact we have done everything and have attempted to bring about delivery of these bonds some thirty days prior to this date. Mr. Tallmadge of Pershing's office advises that he has a number of times brought to the attention of your city the questions brought out herein, that is the amount of 10% for engineering, etc. While he admits that it is not a legal point for his office to decide he still feels that the records should show a more detailed statement of these items. As previously stated, however, this point has been passed. We should like to see you execute the delivery certificates and ship the bonds as previously advised at once. In the event you decide to correct the resolution passed May 5th setting out the exact amount of bonds, we see no reason why this should hold up delivery as it is merely a matter of time until that resolution becomes perfected.

On the other hand if you prefer to handle the matter as suggested in No. 2, delivering the last \$5000 after the assessment roll has been finally approved without corrections, you may send the entire amount through and we will withhold taking up the final \$5000 until such time as that assessment roll has been approved as originally prepared.

We are particularly anxious to receive these bonds at the earliest possible moment as we have a good portion of them already sold and assure you that we are interested in carrying out our contract to the letter. We must adhere to the decision of the above attorneys, however, on our Colorado bonds and feel sure that they will be as liberal as the law will allow them with your good city, the integrity and credit of which we have never questioned.

Very truly yours,

GEO. W. VALLERY & COMPANY
By/s/
;comment;
Text illegible
;\com;

JHG'J

Councilman Meders moved, seconded by Councilman Logsden that proposition #2 in the above communication be accepted and that Geo. W. Vallery & Co. be notified of the same. Motion carried.

Lee Allison made application for a soft drink license at the Majestic Confectionery. Upon motion of Councilman Moslander, seconded by Councilman Meders the license was ordered granted.

The meeting adjourned upon motion of Councilman Ellison, seconded by Councilman Bear.

/s/ Fred A. Peck City Clerk