

Grand Junction, Colorado

March 19, 1930

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, met in regular session at 7:30 o'clock P. M. Those present and answering at roll call were Councilmen Penberthy, Bear, Glassford, Hall, Hirons, Sievert and President Rogers. City Manager Thompson, City Attorney Adams, and City Clerk Tomlinson were present.

The minutes of the regular meeting held March 5th were read and approved.

Public Service Co. - light rates

The Public Service Company of Colorado had filed with the City Clerk, a schedule of rates for electricity furnished for Residence and Business Service. These rate schedules were in conformity with the schedules referred to in the resolution passed by the Council at their meeting on March 5th.

Mr. Rump, Dist. Mgr. of the Public Service Company also had suggested a schedule of rates as follows:

COMMERCIAL LIGHTING RESIDENCE SERVICE

First 5 Kwh or less	\$1.00
Next 50 Kwh per month per Kwh05
All additional Kwh above 5503

Minimum General Lighting per meter	1.00
Minimum (Combination) per meter	3.00

COMMERCIAL LIGHTING BUSINESS SERVICE

First 30 Kwh used of the demand but not in excess of 150 Kwh per month per Kwh09
Next 50 Kwh. used, per kwh07
Next 50 Kwh. used, per kwh04
Next 100 Kwh. used, per kwh03
Additional above 250 Kwh02

Minimum per 100 watt connected but not less than \$1.25 per month10
--	-----

These schedules of rates had both been considered by the electric light rate committee and Mr. Penberthy, member of that committee reported as follows:

The committee had investigated both rates and found that in the neighborhood of 1360 customers would benefit by the proposed City rate, rather than the Company rate, out of a total number of users of 2,113. Among the 1360 users there would be included people of more moderate circumstances, who are the ones in need of

reduction. The committee felt when they started that they wanted to assist the poorer class of people, burdened with the high minimum of \$1.25, to get a better rate. The proposed City rate helps more of the middle class of users and those using the small amount of electricity, than the proposed Company rate. The overall reduction is about the same on application of either rate, but the commercial customers get a reduction of about \$500.00 more under the Company rate than under the City rate and the Domestic users \$500.00 less reduction under the Company rate than under the City rate. The rate committee recommended that the rate proposed by the City Council be accepted.

Councilman Bear moved and Councilman Sievert seconded the motion that the following resolution be adopted as read:

BE IT RESOLVED, that the report of the electric rate committee be accepted and that the rate schedule referred to in the resolution of March 5th, 1930, be adopted.

The question being upon the adoption of the resolution, the roll was called with the following result:

Councilmen voting YEA - Bear, Glassford, Hall, Hirons, Penberthy, Rogers, Sievert.

Councilmen voting NO - None.

All the Councilmen voting YEA, the President declared the motion carried.

Prop. Ord.

A proposed ordinance entitled "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2 OF ORDINANCE NO. 459, was introduced and read:

It was moved by Councilman Penberthy and seconded by Councilman Sievert that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

A proposed ordinance entitled "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2 OF ORDINANCE NO. 460, was introduced and read:

It was moved by Councilman Hall and seconded by Councilman Glassford that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

A proposed ordinance entitled "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2 OF ORDINANCE NO. 461, was introduced and read:

It was moved by Councilman Sievert and seconded by Councilman Penberthy that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

A proposed ordinance entitled "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2 OF ORDINANCE NO. 462, was introduced and read:

It was moved by Councilman Hirons and seconded by Councilman Bear that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

A proposed ordinance entitled "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 2 OF ORDINANCE NO. 463, was introduced and read:

It was moved by Councilman Bear and seconded by Councilman Hall that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

Letter from Public Utilities Com. Re. Fulton Pet. Co.

A communication from the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Colorado stating that the Fulton Petroleum Company had filed an application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to produce, transport by pipe line or otherwise, deliver and distribute natural gas to the City of Grand Junction, the towns of Fruita, Clifton, and Palisade and communities and users adjacent thereto, and requesting that the City Council advise them their attitude concerning the same, was presented and read.

Councilman Hirons moved and Councilman Sievert seconded the motion that the City Attorney collaborate with the City Manager and answer the communication from the Public Utilities Commission. Motion carried.

The Proof of Publication of the ordinance entitled, "AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE COSTS AND EXPENSES (EXCEPT ATTORNEYS' FEES) IN PROSECUTING A WRIT OF ERROR FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF MESA COUNTY, COLORADO, TO THE SUPREME COURT OF COLORADO, IN THE CASE OF T. E. THOMPSON, ET AL VS. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, ET AL., SAID CAUSE BEING NUMBERED 4909", was presented. It was moved by Councilman Penberthy and seconded by Councilman Bear that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. Motion carried.

The Proposed ordinance was then read and upon motion of Councilman Sievert, seconded by Councilman Hirons, the ordinance was called up for final passage. Motion carried.

Ord. #490

Councilman Hirons thereupon moved, and Councilman Glassford seconded the motion that the ordinance entitled, "AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE COSTS AND EXPENSES (EXCEPT ATTORNEYS' FEES) IN PROSECUTING A WRIT OF ERROR FROM THE DISTRICT COURT OF MESA COUNTY, COLORADO, TO THE SUPREME COURT OF COLORADO, IN THE CASE OF T. E. THOMPSON, ET A. VS. BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, ETAL, SAID CAUSE BEING NUMBERED 4909", be passed

and adopted, numbered 464, and published. Upon which motion the following vote was cast: Councilmen voting YEA, Bear, Glassford, Hall, Hirons, Penberthy, Sievert, Rogers. All the Councilmen voting YEA, the President declared the motion carried.

The Proof of Publication of the ordinance entitled "AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE SEIZURE AND DESTRUCTION OF GAMBLING DEVICES AND APPARATUS", was presented by the Clerk. It was moved by Councilman Hall and seconded by Councilman Sievert that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. Motion carried.

The Proposed ordinance was then read, and upon motion of Councilman Sievert, seconded by Councilman Glassford, the ordinance was called up for final passage. Motion carried.

Ord. #465

Councilman Hirons thereupon moved, seconded by Councilman Hall that the ordinance entitled, "AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE SEIZURE AND DESTRUCTION OF GAMBLING DEVICES AND APPARATUS", be passed and adopted, numbered 465 and published. Upon which motion the following vote was cast: Councilmen voting YEA, Bear, Glassford, Hall, Hirons, Penberthy, Sievert, Rogers. Councilmen voting NO - None. All the Councilmen voting YEA, the President declared the motion carried.

Klein Land Co. airport land

Mr. Thompson, City Manager, explained some matters pertaining to the land which the City owns and uses as an Airport. The Klein land Company holds a Treasurer's deed on and undivided half interest in eighty acres of this land and have made application for a Treasurer's deed to the other undivided half interest.

It was moved by Councilman Hirons and seconded by Councilman Bear that the City Attorney and City Manager be empowered to take the necessary steps to clear title to the East one-half (E.1/2) of the South-west Quarter (SW1/4) Of Section Thirty-one (31), Township One (1), North Range 1, East, Ute Meridian. Upon which motion the following vote was cast:

Councilmen voting YEA - Bear, Glassford, Hall, Hirons, Penberthy, Sievert, Rogers.

Councilmen voting NO - None.

All of the Councilmen voting YEA, the President declared the motion carried.

It was moved by Councilman Hirons and seconded by Councilman Glassford that the meeting adjourn to meet at the call of the President. Motion carried.

/s/ Helen C. Tomlinson
City Clerk