Grand Junction, Colo.

September 22, 1948

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado met in regular adjourned session at 7:30 o'clock P. M. Councilmen present and answering at roll call were: Carson, Hoisington, Colescott, Martin and President Harper. Councilmen Bagby and Harris were absent. Also present were City Manager Moore, City Attorney Banks and City Clerk Tomlinson.

The Proof of Publication to the proposed ordinance entitled, "An Ordinance Amending Section 5 of Ordinance No. 486, as Amended, Concerning Water" was introduced and read. It was moved by Councilman Carson and seconded by Councilman Colescott that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. Motion carried.

Councilman Hoisington then moved and Councilman Carson seconded the motion that the ordinance be called up for final passage. Motion carried.

Representatives from Mesa View Water Co. Mr. Jaros and Messrs. Bush and Hoech were present in the interest of outside water users. Mr. Jaros protested the raise, stating that his contract was with him alone, and not the users on his line. Mr. Jaros was informed that he should get in touch with City Attorney Banks.

After hearing the ordinance read, it was moved by Councilman Carson and seconded by Councilman Hoisington that the ordinance be passed and adopted, numbered 775 and published. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council present voting AYE. The President declared the motion carried.

The Proof of Publication to the proposed ordinance entitled "An Ordinance Amending the Zoning Map Accompanying and Included in Ordinance No. 755 and Changing the Zoning of Certain Territory", was introduced and read. It was moved by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Martin that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. Motion carried.

It was then moved by Councilman Carson and seconded by Councilman Martin that the ordinance be called up for final passage. Motion carried.

The ordinance was then read and upon motion of Councilman Hoisington and seconded by Councilman Martin was passed, adopted, numbered 775 and ordered published. Roll was called on the motion with all members present voting AYE. The President declared the motion carried.

This was the date set for the hearing on the change of the Quincy Restaurant liquor license. Attorneys Coit and Haynie appeared before the Council and filed petitions. Mr. Coit's

petition was signed by several tenants in the immediate vicinity of 612 Main Street stating they had no objections to changing the location of this license. Mr. Haynie represented those who were opposed to the change. The Attorneys requested that the Council postpone action on this license until the next meeting of the Council so that an understanding could be arranged between all interested parties.

A letter was read from Wesley Hayden, General Manager of the Uintah Stage Line stating that they were being forced to discontinue their Grand Junction transit line buses as of September 27, 1948. He reported that they were operating at a loss and it was with regret that they had decided to discontinue this service. It was moved by Councilman Carson and seconded by Councilman Martin that the City Manager contact other Bus Companies and see if they are interested in operating in Grand Junction. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilman Martin that the City Clerk be instructed to transfer \$1,022.32 from the Intersection Fund to the Highway Funds, for money expended for placing Curb and Gutter on the intersections on North 12th Street between North Avenue and Elm Avenue. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council present voting AYE. The President declared the motion carried.

A resolution concerning the temporary housing unit at Mesa College was presented as follows:

RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, which has jurisdiction over the area in which Project Colo-v-5147 of Mesa County Junior College is located that the waiver of the removal requirements of Section 313 of Lanham Act (Public Law 849, 76th Congress, as amended) with respect to said project is hereby specifically approved in accordance with Public Law 796, 80th Congress.

It was moved by Councilman Hoisington and seconded by Councilman Colescott that the resolution be passed and adopted, however it being specifically understood that the City does not extend the original five-year term for which these buildings were permitted to be erected. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council present voting AYE. The President declared the motion carried.

City Manager Moore reported that it had been determined by investigation and study that grease traps are of no further value in Grand Junction, either to the home owner or to the operation of the sewer system. They are still necessary in commercial establishments using large quantities of grease in food preparation. This conclusion was reached on the following points:

- a. Increased use of detergent type soap powders prevent coagulation of grease.
- b. Survey of sewer system indicates adequate capacity to carry off and treat all grease produced in homes.
- c. Increased use of garbage grinders makes grease traps impractical.

Mr. Moore recommended that the ordinance be amended to eliminate the requirement of grease traps in homes and make each home owner responsible for future cleaning or elimination of their existing traps. In most cases existing traps will not require cleaning for from three to five years, at which time they can be by-passed at perhaps less than the previous annual cost of cleaning. In case garbage grinder units are installed, they should be by-passed upon installation of the unit.

It was moved by Councilman Carson and seconded by Councilman Martin that the grease trap ordinance be amended to eliminate the cleaning of grease traps. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilman Carson and seconded by Councilman Martin that the City Manager be authorized to enter into an agreement with Mr. Moore of Smith, Hegner, and Moore to draw plans for converting the old Lowell School building into a City Administration building. Architect fees would be the usual 6 per cent if funds for the re-building were authorized and bare costs if the project is unfavorable. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council voting AYE. The President declared the motion carried.

A request from Rettig's Market to construct a lay-back curb on 12th Street between Hill and Gunnison in front of their new grocery store was made. The curbs to the south are all lay-back, so would be in conformity. It was moved by Councilman Hoisington and seconded by Councilman Carson that the request be granted and the curb laid back. Motion carried.

Rev. Day of the First Baptist Church requested that a proclamation be issued by the President of the Council endorsing the week of September 26-October 3rd and Religious Education Week. It was moved by Councilman Carson and seconded by Councilman Martin that President Harper be authorized to issue such proclamation. Motion carried.

Councilman Carson moved and Councilman Martin seconded the motion that the City Council ask for the co-operation of business and industrial firms and individuals in the elimination of smoke. Motion carried.

Councilman Martin moved that the City Manager be requested to see that some gravel is placed in the holes along Tope School. Motion carried.

It was requested by Councilman Colescott that the possibility of installing a light at Spruce and Colorado be investigated. The City Manager was instructed to look into this.

Councilman Martin inquired about the lavatories at Lincoln Park, and asked that those out there be cleaned up and new lights put in.

It was reported that Sidewalk District No. 12 is now completed and the following proceedings were filed.

The Statement of Cost for Sidewalk District No. 12 was presented by Carl Bennett, City Engineer and Director of Public Works and Planning, as follows:

STATEMENT showing the whole cost of the improvements of Grand Junction Sidewalk District No. 12, including the two per centum additional for cost of collection and apportioning the same on each lot or tract of land to be assessed for the same, that sum of \$19,703.07 is to be apportioned against the real estate in the said district and against the owners thereof respectively as by law in the following proportions and amounts as follows, to-wit:

Cost of Construction (Less Intersections) \$18,516.7	4
Interest on Bonds at four per cent 800.0	0
Cost of Collection two per cent	3
Total cost to be assessed \$19,703.0	7
The following Resolution was then presented and read:	
RESOLUTION	

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, has reported the completion of Sidewalk District No. 12, and

WHEREAS, the City Council has caused to be prepared a statement showing the whole cost of the improvements of Sidewalk District No. 12, including therein two per cent additional for cost of collection and other incidentals and including interest to and including the 28th day of February, 1949, and apportioning the same upon each lot or tract of land or other real estate, to be assessed for the same.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the improvements connected therewith in said district be and the same are hereby accepted; that said statement be and the same is hereby approved and accepted as the statement of the whole cost of the entire improvements of said Sidewalk District No. 12, including two per cent additional for cost of collection and other incidentals and

including interest to and including the 28th day of February, 1949; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the same be apportioned on each lot or tract of land or other real estate, to be assessed for the same, and that the same be certified by the President of the Council and filed in the office of the City Clerk; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Clerk shall immediately advertise for three days in The Daily Sentinel, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City, notice to the owners of the real estate to be assessed, and to all persons interested generally without naming such owner or owners; that said improvements have been completed and accepted, specifying the whole cost of the improvements and the share so apportioned to each lot or tract of land; that any complaints or objections that may be made in writing by such owners or persons shall be made to the Council and filed with the Clerk within thirty days from the first publication of said notice; that the same may be heard and determined by the Council at their first regular meeting after said thirty days and before the passage of the ordinance assessing the cost of the improvements, all being in pursuance of the terms and provisions of Ordinance No. 178 of said City, as amended.

ASSESSMENT ROLL FOR SIDEWALK DISTRICT NO. 12

Lot	Block	Subdivision	<u>Principal</u>
1		Elm Avenue	\$233.53
13		Elm Avenue	153.23
12		Elm Avenue	34.55
3	1	South Mesa	262.74
2	1	South Mesa	182.16
1	1	South Mesa	202.77
4	1	South Mesa	233.03
5	1	South Mesa	156.36
6	1	South Mesa	173.14

1	2	South Mesa	82.01
2	2	South Mesa	168.57
3	2	South Mesa	248.28
1	4	Mesa	304.19
8	4	Mesa	267.25
9	1	Mesa	45.22
10	1	Mesa	195.33
11	1	Mesa	182.73
12	1	Mesa	42.30
21	3	Mesa	59.42
22	3	Mesa	186.31
1		Nelms	72.85
2		Nelms	72.85
3		Nelms	72.85
4		Nelms	72.85
5		Nelms	72.85
6		Nelms	72.85
7		Nelms	72.85
8		Nelms	95.61

9	Nelms	152.51
10	Nelms	198.03
11	Nelms	204.24
12	Nelms	153.26
13	Nelms	95.68
14	Nelms	75.20
15	Nelms	75.20
16	Nelms	75.20
17	Nelms	75.20
18	Nelms	75.20
19	Nelms	75.20
20	Nelms	75.20
21	Nelms	77.02
22	Nelms	77.02
23	Nelms	77.02
24	Nelms	77.02
25	Nelms	77.02
26	Nelms	77.02
27	Nelms	69.84

28		Nelms	69.84
29		Nelms	560.71
1	6	Garfield Park	224.50
20		Garfield Park	224.50
2	6	Garfield Park	152.97
3	6	Garfield Park	\$96.22
18		Garfield Park	96.22
4	6	Garfield Park	93.12
5		Garfield Park	93.12
6		Garfield Park	93.12
7		Garfield Park	93.12
8		Garfield Park	93.12
9		Garfield Park	93.12
12		Garfield Park	93.12
13		Garfield Park	93.12
14		Garfield Park	93.12
15		Garfield Park	93.12
16		Garfield Park	93.12
17		Garfield Park	93.12

10	6	Garfield Park	90.31
11	6	Garfield Park	89.37
14	1	Prospect Park	96.37
1	4	Prospect Park	96.37
15	1	Prospect Park	103.98
2	4	Prospect Park	103.98
16	1	Prospect Park	176.43
3	4	Prospect Park	176.43
1	3	Prospect Park	176.43
17	1	Prospect Park	152.13
18	1	Prospect Park	96.83
19	1	Prospect Park	85.36
20	1	Prospect Park	88.43
4	4	Prospect Park	206.96
2	3	Prospect Park	206.96
5	4	Prospect Park	80.80
3	3	Prospect Park	80.80
6	4	Prospect Park	77.60
4	3	Prospect Park	77.60

7	4	Prospect Park	120.26
8	4	Prospect Park	19.41
11	2	Prospect Park	77.60
12	2	Prospect Park	77.60
13	2	Prospect Park	89.22
14	2	Prospect Park	137.10
15	2	Prospect Park	217.27
16	2	Prospect Park	108.62
17	2	Prospect Park	66.44
18	2	Prospect Park	17.52
5	3	Prospect Park	71.93
6	3	Prospect Park	64.67
7	3	Prospect Park	3.07
15	1	Slocombs Addition	21.18
14	1	Slocombs Addition	83.07
13	1	Slocombs Addition	85.94
12	1	Slocombs Addition	72.58
11	1	Slocombs Addition	60.56
10	1	Slocombs Addition	48.72

9	1	Slocombs Addition	39.18
16	1	Slocombs Addition	105.28
17	1	Slocombs Addition	\$86.84
18	1	Slocombs Addition	75.48
19	1	Slocombs Addition	64.31
20	1	Slocombs Addition	52.76
21	1	Slocombs Addition	38.99
22	1	Slocombs Addition	13.96
	Undesignated Tract in Block 1 of Slocombs Addition	24.83	
6	1	Slocombs Addition	38.80
7	1	Slocombs Addition	38.80
8	1	Slocombs Addition	38.80
6	8	Slocombs Addition	38.80
7	8	Slocombs Addition	38.80
6	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
7	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
8	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
23	1	Slocombs Addition	38.80
24	1	Slocombs	38.80

		Addition	
25	1	Slocombs Addition	38.80
6	2	Slocombs Addition	38.80
7	2	Slocombs Addition	38.80
8	2	Slocombs Addition	38.80
9	2	Slocombs Addition	38.80
10	2	Slocombs Addition	38.80
6	7	Slocombs Addition	38.80
7	7	Slocombs Addition	38.80
18	8	Slocombs Addition	38.80
19	8	Slocombs Addition	38.80
19	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
20	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
21	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
6	10	Slocombs Addition	38.80
7	10	Slocombs Addition	38.80
8	10	Slocombs Addition	38.80
1	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
26	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
2	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80

	I	T	1
25	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
3	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
24	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
4	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
23	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
5	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
22	9	Slocombs Addition	38.80
1	1	Slocombs Addition	98.39
30	1	Slocombs Addition	98.39
1	2	Slocombs Addition	98.39
12	7	Slocombs Addition	98.39
12	8	Slocombs Addition	98.39
13	8	Slocombs Addition	98.39
1	8	Slocombs Addition	98.39
24	8	Slocombs Addition	98.39
13	9	Slocombs Addition	98.39
14	9	Slocombs Addition	98.39
13	10	Slocombs Addition	\$98.39
1	10	Slocombs Addition	98.39

1	7	Slocombs Addition	98.39
2	1	Slocombs Addition	87.22
29	1	Slocombs Addition	87.22
2	2	Slocombs Addition	87.22
2	7	Slocombs Addition	87.22
11	7	Slocombs Addition	87.22
2	8	Slocombs Addition	87.22
11	8	Slocombs Addition	87.22
14	8	Slocombs Addition	87.22
23	8	Slocombs Addition	87.22
12	9	Slocombs Addition	87.22
15	9	Slocombs Addition	87.22
2	10	Slocombs Addition	87.22
12	10	Slocombs Addition	87.22
3	1	Slocombs Addition	76.04
28	1	Slocombs Addition	76.04
3	2	Slocombs Addition	76.04
3	7	Slocombs Addition	76.04
10	7	Slocombs Addition	76.04

3	8	Slocombs Addition	76.04
10	8	Slocombs Addition	76.04
15	8	Slocombs Addition	76.04
22	8	Slocombs Addition	76.04
11	9	Slocombs Addition	76.04
16	9	Slocombs Addition	76.04
3	10	Slocombs Addition	76.04
11	10	Slocombs Addition	76.04
4	1	Slocombs Addition	64.87
27	1	Slocombs Addition	64.87
4	2	Slocombs Addition	64.87
4	7	Slocombs Addition	64.87
9	7	Slocombs Addition	64.87
4	8	Slocombs Addition	64.87
9	8	Slocombs Addition	64.87
16	8	Slocombs Addition	64.87
21	8	Slocombs Addition	64.87
10	9	Slocombs Addition	64.87
17	9	Slocombs Addition	64.87

4	10	Slocombs Addition	64.87
10	10	Slocombs Addition	64.87
5	1	Slocombs Addition	53.69
26	1	Slocombs Addition	53.69
5	2	Slocombs Addition	53.69
5	7	Slocombs Addition	53.69
8	7	Slocombs Addition	53.69
5	8	Slocombs Addition	53.69
8	8	Slocombs Addition	53.69
17	8	Slocombs Addition	53.69
20	8	Slocombs Addition	53.69
9	9	Slocombs Addition	53.69
18	9	Slocombs Addition	53.69
5	10	Slocombs Addition	53.69
9	10	Slocombs Addition	53.69
15	2	Slocombs Addition	\$125.80
14	2	Slocombs Addition	83.49
13	2	Slocombs Addition	71.95
12	2	Slocombs Addition	60.59

11	2	Slocombs Addition	49.60
13	3	Prospect Park	144.06
11	3	Prospect Park	45.45
10	3	Prospect Park	3.08

NOTICE

OF THE COMPLETION OF A LOCAL IMPROVEMENT IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, AND APPORTIONMENT OF THE COST THEREOF.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN To the owners of the real estate hereinafter described, said real estate comprising the district of lands known as Sidewalk District No. 12, and to all persons interested therein as follows:

That the improvements in and for said district, which are authorized by and are in accordance with the terms and provisions of a resolution passed and adopted on the 6th day of August, 1947, declaring the intention of the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, to create a local improvement district to be known as Sidewalk District No. 12; with the terms and provisions of a Resolution passed and adopted on the 20th day of August, 1947, adopting details and specifications for said District; and with the terms and provisions of a Resolution passed and adopted on the 1st day of October, 1947, as amended by resolutions passed and adopted on January 28, 1948, and February 25, 1948, creating and establishing said district, all being in accordance with the terms and provisions of Ordinance No. 178 of said City, as amended, have been completed and have been accepted by the City Council of the City of Grand Junction.

That the whole cost of the improvements has been definitely ascertained and is in the sum of \$19,703.07, said amount including two per centum additional for cost of collection and also including interest to and including February 28, 1949, at the rate of four per centum per annum on the bonds issued from time to time in payment of the cost of said improvements; that the part apportioned to and upon each lot and tract of land within said District and assessable for said improvements is hereinafter set forth; that payment may be made to the Treasurer of the City of Grand Junction at any time within thirty days after the final publication of the assessing ordinance, assessing the real estate in said District for the cost of said improvements, and that the owner so paying would be entitled to an allowance of two per centum for all payments made during said period, and of interest from date of payment to the date the first installment becomes

due.

That any complaints or objections that may be made in writing by the said owner or owners of land within said District and assessable for said improvements, or any person interested, made to the City Council and filed in the Office of the City Clerk of said City within thirty days from the first publication of this Notice, to-wit: On or before and up to 5:00 o'clock P.M. on the day of October, 1948, will be heard and determined by the said City Council at its first regular meeting after said last mentioned date and before the passage of any ordinance assessing the cost of said improvements against the real estate in said District, and against said owners respectively as by law provided.

That the said sum of \$19,703.07 for improvements is to be apportioned against real estate in said District and against the owners respectively as by law provided in the following proportions and amounts severally as follows, to-wit:

STATE OF COLORADO)
COUNTY OF MESA)
CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION)

I, John C. Harper, President of the Council and Ex-Officio Mayor of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is the statement showing the whole cost of the improvements in Grand Junction Sidewalk District No. 12, and includes interest to and including the 28th day of February, 1949, and apportioning the same upon each lot or tract of land or other real estate to be assessed for the same, all in accordance with the terms and provisions of Ordinance No. 178, as amended.

/s/John C. Harper President of the Council

ATTEST:

/s/Helen C. Tomlinson City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Martin and seconded by Councilman Hoisington that the resolution be passed and adopted as read. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council present voting AYE. The President declared the motion carried.

It was moved by Councilman Martin and duly seconded and carried that the meeting adjourn.

/s/Helen C. Tomlinson City Clerk