Grand Junction, Colorado

July 1, 1959

ROLL CALL

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, met in regular session at 7:30 o'clock P. M., July 1, 1959. Councilmen present and answering roll call were Hadden, Dean, Colescott, Strnad, Wright and President Orr. Councilman Meacham was absent. Also present were City Manager Cheever, City Attorney Ashby and City Clerk Tomlinson.

MINUTES

It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the minutes of the regular meeting held June 17th be approved as written. Motion carried.

ZONING HEARING Lot 1/17 Blk 1 (11th to 12th on So. side of North) petition withdrawn

A letter was presented from Mr. Adolph Fisher asking that the petition to change the zoning of Lots 1 through 17 Block 1 from Residence "C" district to Business "A" district be withdrawn.

ZONING HEARING POSTPONED Jaros tract 12th & Orchard

This was the date set for hearing on the rezoning of Lot 16 Grandview Subdivision, except the North 274 feet of the West 150 feet thereof, and except the south 100 feet of the West 130 feet thereof in Mesa County, Colorado, from Residence "A" and Residence "B" and Business "A" Use District to all Business "A" use district.

City Attorney Ashby recommended that the hearing on this rezoning matter be postponed until July 15th in view of the fact that Councilman Meacham was absent and it was necessary that a three-fourths vote of the Council would be necessary to allow the change of zoning. He felt that this would be a disadvantage to the petitioner; also a letter was read addressed to the Overhill Corporation by Mr. George Creamer, Attorney for the Overhill Corporation, setting forth reasons why he believed Ordinance No. 755 passed by the City Council on October 1, 1947 was not legally passed and that the City had no authority to pass zoning regulations. City Attorney Ashby stated that he would like to study this letter before making a report to the Council.

It was moved by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the matter be tabled until the next meeting of the Council on July 15th. Motion carried.

PROP. ORD. SAN. SEWER #18

The following proposed ordinance was presented and read: AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE WHOLE COST OF THE IMPROVEMENTS MADE IN AND FOR SANITARY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 18, IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, PURSUANT TO ORDINANCE NO. 178, ADOPTED AND APPROVED THE 11TH DAY OF JUNE, 1910, AS AMENDED; APPROVING THE APPORTIONMENT OF SAID COST TO EACH LOT OR TRACT OF LAND OR OTHER REAL ESTATE IN SAID DISTRICT; ASSESSING THE SHARE OF SAID COST AGAINST EACH LOT OR TRACT OF LAND OR OTHER REAL ESTATE IN SAID DISTRICT; AND APPROVING THE APPORTIONMENT OF SAID COST; AND PRESCRIBING THE MANNER FOR THE COLLECTION AND PAYMENT OF SAID ASSESSMENTS. It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

PROP. ORD. SHOPPING CENTERS not passed

It was moved by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the proposed ordinance entitled AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 83 OF THE 1953 COMPILED ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION BY ADDING TO SAID CHAPTER 83 A SUBSECTION 14 PROVIDING FOR A SHOPPING CENTER DISTRICT UNDER THE REGULATIONS OF CHAPTER 83, ESTABLISHING USES IN SUCH DISTRICT AND REGULATIONS THEREUNDER be tabled until the next meeting of the Council. He stated that he thought there were other items in zoning that needed to be taken care of before that part pertaining to shopping centers. Councilman Hadden stated that he did not believe that all of the Planning Commission were in favor of the shopping center portion of the zoning ordinance as it had been presented to them. He had attended their meeting and had talked to several members of the Commission. Upon question on the motion, three members of the Council voted "NAY" and three members voted "AYE" so the motion was declared lost.

The Proof of Publication to the proposed ordinance entitled AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 83 OF THE 1953 COMPILED ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION BY ADDING TO SAID CHAPTER 83 A SUBSECTION 14 PROVIDING FOR A SHOPPING CENTER DISTRICT UNDER THE REGULATIONS OF CHAPTER 83, ESTABLISHING USES IN SUCH DISTRICT AND REGULATIONS THEREUNDER was presented and read. It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Colescott that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. With Councilman Strnad voting "NAY," the President declared the motion carried.

It was then moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Colescott that the ordinance be called up for final passage. The question on the motion was called with Councilman Strnad and Hadden voting "Nay," and all other members of the Council present voting "AYE." The President declared the motion carried.

The proposed ordinance was then read and it was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Dean that it be passed and adopted, numbered 1083 and ordered published.

Attorney James K. Groves stated that the ordinance as read was not the one he had seen and studied as given out by the City Manager and he thought this one was poorly drawn and that he would like to look it over before it was passed; that he was speaking as a citizen of Grand Junction, and he could see no hurry for the passage of this particular part of the ordinance until it was carefully studied. He felt the whole zoning ordinance should be revised in the immediate future.

Mr. Dale Luke was present and stated that he did not intend to reapply for zoning under the shopping center regulations. Roll was called on the motion with the following result: Councilmen voting "AYE," Dean, Councilmen voting "NAY," Hadden, Colescott, Strnad, Wright and Pres. Orr. The President declared the motion lost.

VETERANS CEMETERY Resol- granting room for ingress and egress

In May of 1958, a committee was appointed to work out a solution to the ingress and egress to the Veterans Cemetery. The engineers have recently completed a survey which would allow the City to change the boundary lines of the Veterans Cemetery so that they would have space enough to get in and get out without any difficulty. The following resolution was presented and read:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, has heretofore deeded land for the Veterans' Cemetery; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Grand Junction has previously committed itself to provide additional land for such cemetery; and,

WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the citizens of the City of Grand Junction and the community to deed this additional land at this time for the purpose mentioned;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

That the City Manager of the City of Grand Junction be and he hereby is, authorized to execute a deed to the following-described land, situate in Mesa County, Colorado, to the Mesa County Veterans' Cemetery Association, a Colorado corporation, as the act of the City.

Beginning at a point 870.22 feet West and 273 feet South of the center of the Northwest quarter of Section 26, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, Ute Meridian, Mesa County, Colorado, thence North 273 feet, thence West 46.80 feet to a point on a circular curve which left curve, radius 228.55 feet, starts from a point 857.22 feet West and 8 feet North of the center of the Northwest quarter of said Section 26 and tangent of which bears West, thence Southwesterly along said curve 472.82 feet to a point tangent to a left curve of a 65 foot radius, thence along said curve 133.90 feet, thence Northeasterly 84.52 feet to a point 20 feet West of the point of beginning, thence East 20 feet to the point of beginning: except North 30 feet for road right of way.

PASSED AND ADOPTED This 1st day of July, 1959.

President of the City Council

ATTEST:

City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the Resolution be passed and adopted as read. Roll was called on the motion with all Councilmen present voting "AYE." The President declared the motion carried.

IMPR. DIST. #56 Petitions

The following Resolution was presented and read:

RESOLUTION

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

That sidewalk repairs that were requested by owners of property to be included within the next improvement district set up by the City, sidewalk, curb and gutter and paving affecting lands owned by the City of Grand Junction and the County of Mesa, Colorado, be, and the same are to be, included in Improvement District No. 56, presently being established. Such property is as follows:

Lots 23 and 24, Block 6

Lots 29, 30 and 31, Block 1

Lots 6 and 7, Block 17

Lots 8 and 9, Block 22

East half of Lot 9 and Lot 10, Block 17

Lots 9 and 10, Block 24

Lot 7 and west half of Lot 8, Block 29

Lots 31 and 32, Block 14

Lot 12 and north 70 feet of Lot 13, Block 38

East two-thirds of Lot 4 and Lot 5, Block 36

West half of Lot 27 and Lot 28, Block 30 Lots 22 and 23, Block 39

Lots 31 and 32, Block 36

Lots 21 and 22, Block 38

Lots 1 and 2, Block 50

Lots 1 and 2, Block 61

Lots 21 and 22, Block 51

Lots 27 and 28, Block 61

Lots 15 and 16, Block 68

Lots 31 and 32, Block 66

Lots 30, 31 and 32, Block 70

Lot 21, Block 98

Lots 9 and 10, Block I, Keith's Addition

Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 133

North 50 feet of Lot 14, Lots 15 and 16, Block 54

Lots 15 and 16, Block 101 North half of Lot 13, Lots 14, 15 and 16, Block 31 South half of Lot 14, Lots 15 and 16, Block 15 Lots 6, 7 and the south half of Lot 8, Block 18 Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block 17 Lots 19 and 20, Block 49 Lots 1 and 2, Block 131 Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza Lots 7 and 8 and west half of Lot 9, Block 15 Hawthorne Park Whitman Park Riverside Park Emerson Park Lots 5 through 12, Block P, Keith's Addition Lots 1 through 10 and Lots 21 through 28, Block 138 Lots 25 through 27, Block 127 Lots 1 through 4, Block 127 Lots 15 and 16, Block 43 Block 95 (All blocks not otherwise designated are blocks within the City of Grand Junction, Colorado) PASSED AND ADOPTED this 1st day of July, 1959. President of the City Council ATTEST:

City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Strnad that the Resolution be passed and adopted as read. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council present voting "AYE." The President declared the motion carried.

The following petitions for improvements were presented, having been signed in favor of the improvements:

The following petitions for improvements were presented, having been signed in favor of the improvements:

Orchard Ave. Fr Alley E to Alley W Linda)	% signed	82.3
23rd Street - Hall to Orchard)		
Alley E of 7th - Glenwood to Bunting	"	78.7
Alleys in Blk 137 E-W & N-S	11	52
Hollywood Curb- Gutter SW on Gunnison-22nd E to Alley	ш	100
17th St - Orchard to Walnut	"	72.9
Del Mar Park - Blk 2 Sidewalk only	**	67.6
Alleys in Blk 4 - Park Place & Blk 7 Elmwood	11	49.
3' Sidewalk N 7th St. & Walnut, abutting Lots 13 thru 20, Blk 8 Bookcliff Park	**	65.2
Orchard Ave fr Cannell to 12th (south side) Curb, Gutter & SW and widening (north side)	11	81.

IMPR. DIST. #56 Resol-Declaring Intention to Create District

The following resolution was presented and read:

RESOLUTION

DECLARING THE INTENTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, TO CREATE WITHIN SAID CITY A LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT TO BE KNOWN AS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 56 AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ENGINEER TO PREPARE DETAILS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, there have been presented to the City Council of the City of Grand Junction petitions for, or the Council has by proper resolution authorized, the construction of sidewalks, curbs and gutters and paving on streets, alleys and avenues to serve the following property in said City, to-wit:

Paving thirty-three (33) feet in width with a six-(6) inch gravel base --Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination, to be constructed in the following locations:

Orchard Avenue from alley east to alley west of Linda Lane Twenty-Third Street from Hall to Orchard Avenue

Paving sixteen (16) feet in width with a six-(6) inch gravel base, to be constructed in the following locations:

Alley east of Seventh Street, Glenwood to Bunting North-south and east-west alleys, Block 137

Alley from Sixteenth to Eighteenth between North Avenue and Glenwood

Alley between Lot 13 and Lots 9 through 12, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

Paving twenty (20) feet in width with a six (6) inch gravel base, to be constructed in the following location:

Alley between Lot 15, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza, and Lot 6, Block 4, Parkplace Heights

Paving thirty (30) feet in width with a six-(6) inch gravel base--Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination, to be constructed in the following location:

Seventeenth Street from Orchard Avenue to Walnut Avenue

Paving fifteen (15) feet in width with a six-(6) inch gravel base--Upright type curb and gutter, to be constructed in the following location:

South side of Orchard Avenue from Cannell Avenue to Twelfth Street

Paving five (5) feet in width with a six-(6) inch gravel base--Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination, to be constructed in the following location:

North side Orchard Avenue from Cannell Avenue to Tenth Street and from College Place to Twelfth Street

Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination, to be constructed in the following location:

North and south sides of Gunnison from Twenty-Second Street to alley east of Twenty-Second Street

Concrete sidewalk three (3) feet in width, four (4) inches in depth, and with a two (2) inch sand cushion, to be constructed in the following location:

North Seventh Street and Walnut Avenue abutting Lots 13 through 20, Block 8, Bookcliff Park Subdivision

Concrete sidewalk three (3) feet and four (4) feet in width, four (4) inches in depth with a two (2) inch sand cushion, to be constructed in the following location:
Abutting Lots 1 through 14, Block 2, Del Mar Park Subdivision

Concrete sidewalk six (6) feet, and seven and one-half $(7\ 1/2)$ and eight (8) feet in width, four (4) inches in depth, with a two (2) inch sand cushion, to be constructed in the following locations:

Block 95--Rood Avenue, Fifth Street to Sixth Street

White Avenue, Fifth Street to Sixth Street

Sixth Street, Rood Avenue to White Avenue

Concrete sidewalk six and one-half $(6\ 1/2)$ feet in width, four (4) inches in depth and with a two (2) inch sand cushion, Upright type curb and gutter and seven (7) feet of paving with a twelve-(12) inch gravel base, to be constructed in the following location:

Block 95, Fifth Street from Rood Avenue to White Avenue

Concrete sidewalk five (5) feet in width, four (4) inches in depth and with a two (2) inch sand cushion, to be constructed in the following locations:

Hawthorne Park

Emerson Park

Whitman Park

Riverside Park

Lots 5 through 12, Block P, Keith's Addition

Lots 1 through 10, Block 138, and Lots 21 through 28, Block 138

Lots 25 through 27, Block 127

Lots 1 and 4 Block 127

Lots 15 and 16 Block 43

Concrete sidewalk three (3) feet and five (5) feet in width, four (4) inches in depth and with a two (2) inch sand cushion, to be constructed in the following locations:

Lots 23 and 24, Block 6

Lots 29, 30 and 31, Block 1

Lots 6 and 7, Block 17

Lots 8 and 9, Block 22

East half of Lot 9 and Lot 10, Block 17 Lots 9 and 10, Block 24

Lot 7 and west half of Lot 8, Block 29

Lots 31 and 32, Block 14

Lot 12 and north 70 feet of Lot 13, Block 38

East two-thirds of Lot 4 and Lot 5, Block 36

West half of Lot 27 and Lot 28, Block 30

Lots 22 and 23, Block 39

Lots 31 and 32, Block 36

Lots 21 and 22, Block 38

Lots 1 and 2, Block 50

Lots 1 and 2, Block 61

Lots 21 and 22, Block 51

Lots 27 and 28, Block 61

Lots 15 and 16, Block 68

Lots 31 and 32, Block 66

Lots 30, 31 and 32, Block 70

Lot 21, Block 98

Lots 9 and 10, Block I, Keith's Addition

Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 133

North 50 feet of Lot 14, Lots 15 and 16, Block 54

Lots 15 and 16, Block 101

North half of Lots 13, 14, 15 and 16, Block 31

South half of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Block 15

Lots 6, 7 and south half of Lot 8, Block 18

Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block 17

Lots 19 and 20, Block 49

Lots 1 and 2, Block 131

Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

Lots 7 and 8 and west half of Lot 9, Block 15

AND WHEREAS, the Council has found and determined and hereby finds and determines that said petitions were signed and acknowledged by the owners of more than one-third of the property abutting on said streets, avenues and alleys to be assessed with the cost of the proposed construction of sidewalks, curbs and gutters and paving of streets, alleys and avenues, and the Council has determined that certain sidewalk repairs have been properly included within the district by resolution;

AND, WHEREAS, the City Council deems it advisable to take the necessary preliminary proceedings for the creation of a special improvement district;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

1. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed thirty-three (33) feet of paving with a six-(6) inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination is described as follows:

Lots 1 through 5, inclusive, Block 4, Regents Subdivision

Lots 1 through 4, inclusive, Block 5, Regents Subdivision

Lots 1, 2, 17 and 18, Block 1, Linda Lane Subdivision

Lot 4, Block 5, Del Rey Subdivision

2. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 16 feet of alley paving with a six- (6) inch gravel base is described as follows:

Lots 8 through 26, inclusive, Block 1, Craig's Subdivision

Lots 1 through 28, inclusive, Block 137

Lots 1 through 10, inclusive, Block 4, Park Place Heights

Lots 1 through 15, inclusive, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

3. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed twenty (20) feet of alley paving with a six- (6) inch gravel base is described as follows:

Lots 6 and 7, Block 4, Park Place Heights

Lots 14 and 15, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

4. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed thirty (30) feet of paving with a six- (6) inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination is described as follows:

Lots 7 through 10, Block 2, Weaver's Subdivision

Lots 7 and 9 through 13, inclusive, Block 1, Weaver's Subdivision

The East 110 feet of the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 12, T1S, R1W, U.M. except the North 180 feet thereof and except the South 30 feet thereof

Lots 1 through 5, inclusive, Le Mar Heights Subdivision

Lot 10, Block 4, Fairmont Subdivision

5. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed fifteen (15) feet of paving with a six- (6) inch gravel base and Upright type curb and gutter is described as follows:

Lots 1 through 10, inclusive, Block 2, Garfield Park Subdivision

Lots 1 through 9, Block 1, Garfield Park Subdivision

6. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed five (5) feet of paving with a six-(6) inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination is described as follows:

The South 120 feet of the South half of Lot 5, Capitol Hill Subdivision

The South 120 feet of Kister Addition

The South 120 feet of the Southeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 11, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, Ute Meridian

7. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed Holly wood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination is described as follows:

Lots 1 and 2, Block 11, Mesa Gardens Subdivision

Lot 4, Block 2, Mesa Gardens Subdivision

8. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed three (3) foot and four (4) foot concrete sidewalk four (4) inches in depth with a two- (2) inch sand cushion is described as follows:

Lots 1 through 14, inclusive, Block 2, Del Mar Park Subdivision

Lots 13 through 20, inclusive, Block 8, Bookcliff Park Subdivision

9. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed six (6) foot, seven and one-half $(7\ 1/2)$ foot and eight

(8) foot concrete sidewalks, four (4) inches in depth with a two-

(2) inch sand cushion is described as follows:

Block 95, City of Grand Junction

10. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed six and one-half (6 1/2) foot concrete sidewalk, four (4) inches in depth and with a four- (4) inch sand cushion and an Upright curb and gutter and seven (7) feet of paving with a twelve- (12) inch gravel base is described as follows:

West half of Block 95, City of Grand Junction

11. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed three (3) foot and five (5) foot concrete sidewalk, four (4) inches in depth, with a two- (2) inch sand cushion is described as follows:

Hawthorne Park

Whitman Park

Riverside Park

Emerson Park

Lots 5 through 12, inclusive, Block P, Keith's Addition

Lots 1 through 10, inclusive, and Lots 21 through 28, inclusive Block 138

Lots 25 through 27, inclusive, Block 127

Lots 1 through 4, inclusive, Block 127

Lots 15 and 16, Block 43

Lots 23 and 24, Block 6

Lots 29, 30 and 31, Block 1

Lots 6 and 7, Block 17

Lots 8 and 9, Block 22

East half of Lot 9 and Lot 10, Block 17

Lots 9 and 10, Block 24

Lots 7 and west half of Lot 8, Block 29

Lots 31 and 32, Block 14 Lot 12 and north 70 feet of Lot 13, Block 38

East two-thirds of Lot 4 and Lot 5, Block 36

West half of Lot 27 and Lot 28, Block 30

Lots 22 and 23, Block 39

Lots 31 and 32, Block 36

Lots 21 and 22, Block 38

Lots 1 and 2, Block 50

Lots 1 and 2, Block 61

Lots 21 and 22, Block 51

Lots 27 and 28, Block 61

Lots 15 and 16, Block 68

Lots 31 and 32, Block 66

Lots 30, 31 and 32, Block 70

Lot 21, Block 98

Lots 9 and 10, Block I, Keith's Addition

Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 133

North 50 feet of Lot 14, Lots 15 and 16, Block 54

Lots 15 and 16, Block 101

North half of Lots 13, 14, 15 and 16, Block 31

South half of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Block 15

Lots 6, 7 and the south half of Lot 8, Block 18

Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block 17

Lots 19 and 20, Block 49

Lots 1 and 2, Block 131

Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

Lots 7 and 8 and west half of Lot 9, Block 15

12. That the City Engineer be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to have prepared and filed full details, plans and specifications for such sidewalk, curb and gutter construction and streets, alleys and avenues paving; an estimate of the total costs thereof, exclusive of the percentum for cost of collection and other incidentals, and of interest to the time the first installment becomes due; and a map of the district to be assessed, from which the approximate share of said total cost that will be assessed upon each piece of real estate in the district may be readily ascertained, all as required by Ordinance No. 178, as amended, of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado.

Adopted and approved this 1st day of July, A. D. 1959.

President of the City Council

ATTEST:

City Clark

City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilman Strnad that the Resolution be passed and adopted as read. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council present voting "AYE." The President declared the motion carried.

Plans, estimates and specifications for Improvement District No. 56 were presented by the City Engineer. The following Resolution was presented and read:

IMPR. DIST. #56 Adopting details, plans and specifications etc.

RESOLUTION

ADOPTING DETAILS, PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTING SIDEWALKS, CURBS AND GUTTERS AND PAVING STREETS, ALLEYS AND AVENUES IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, IN IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 56, DETERMINING THE NUMBER OF INSTALLMENTS AND THE TIME IN WHICH THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE PAYABLE, THE RATE OF INTEREST ON UNPAID INSTALLMENTS AND THE DISTRICT OF LANDS TO BE ASSESSED WITH THE COST OF THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS, AND AUTHORIZING NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CREATE SAID DISTRICT AND OF A HEARING THEREON.

WHEREAS, on the 1st day of July, 1959, the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, by a Resolution authorized the City Engineer to prepare and file full details, plans and specifications for constructing sidewalks, curbs, and gutters and paving streets, alleys and avenues in said City within proposed Improvement District No. 56, together with an estimate of the total cost of such improvements and a map of the District to be assessed; and,

WHEREAS, said City Engineer has fully and strictly complied with the directions so given, and has filed such details, plans and specifications, estimate and map, all in accordance with said Resolution, and the requirements of Ordinance No. 178, as amended, of said City;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

- 1. That said details, plans, specifications, estimates and map be, and the same are hereby, approved and adopted.
- 2. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 33 feet of paving with a 6-inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination is described as follows:
- Lots 1 through 5, inclusive, Block 4, Regents Subdivision
- Lots 1 through 4, inclusive, Block 5, Regents Subdivision
- Lots 1, 2, 17 and 18, Block 1, Linda Lane Subdivision
- Lot 4, Block 5, Del Rey Subdivision
- 3. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 16 feet of alley paving with a 6-inch gravel base is described as follows:
- Lots 8 through 26, inclusive, Block 1, Craig's Subdivision
- Lots 1 through 28, inclusive, Block 137
- Lots 1 through 10, inclusive, Block 4, Park Place Heights
- Lots 1 through 15, inclusive, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza
- 4. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 20 feet of alley paving with a 6-inch gravel base is described as follows:

Lots 6 and 7, Block 4, Park Place Heights

Lots 14 and 15, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

5. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 30 feet of paving with a 6-inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination is described as follows:

Lots 7 through 10, Block 2, Weaver's Subdivision

Lots 7 and 9 through 13, inclusive, Block 1, Weaver's Subdivision

The East 110 feet of the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 12, T1S, R1W, U.M. except the North 180 feet thereof and except the South 30 feet thereof

Lots 1 through 5, inclusive, Le Mar Heights Subdivision

Lot 10, Block 4, Fairmont Subdivision

6. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 15 feet of paving with a 6-inch gravel base and Upright type curb and gutter is described as follows:

Lots 1 through 10, inclusive, Block 2, Garfield Park Subdivision

Lots 1 through 9 inclusive Block 1, Garfield Park Subdivision

7. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 5 feet of paving with a 6-inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination is described as follows:

The South 120 feet of the South half of Lot 5, Capitol Hill Subdivision

The South 120 feet of Kister Addition

The South 120 feet of the Southeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 11, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, Ute Meridian

8. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination is described as follows:

Lots 1 and 2, Block 11, Mesa Gardens Subdivision

Lot 4, Block 2, Mesa Gardens Subdivision

9. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 3 foot and 4 foot concrete sidewalk 4 inches in depth with a 2-inch sand cushion is described as follows:

Lots 1 through 14, inclusive, Block 2, Del Mar Park Subdivision

Lots 13 through 20, inclusive, Block 8, Bookcliff Park Subdivision

10. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 6 foot, $7\ 1/2$ foot and 8 foot concrete sidewalks, 4 inches in depth with a 2-inch sand cushion is described as follows:

Block 95, City of Grand Junction

11. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 6 1/2 foot concrete sidewalk, 4 inches in depth and with a 2 inch sand cushion and an Upright curb and gutter and 7 feet of paving with a 12-inch gravel base is described as follows:

West half of Block 95, City of Grand Junction

12. That the district of lands to be assessed with the cost of the proposed 3 foot and 5 foot concrete sidewalk, 4 inches in depth, with a 2-inch sand cushion is described as follows:

Hawthorne Park

Whitman Park

Riverside Park

Emerson Park

Lots 5 through 12, inclusive, Block P, Keith's Addition

Lots 1 through 10, inclusive, and Lots 21 through 28, inclusive Block 138

Lots 25 through 27, inclusive, Block 127

Lots 1 through 4, inclusive, Block 127

Lots 15 and 16, Block 43

Lots 23 and 24, Block 6

Lots 29, 30 and 31, Block 1

Lots 6 and 7, Block 17

Lots 8 and 9, Block 22

East half of Lot 9 and Lot 10, Block 17

Lots 9 and 10, Block 24

Lots 7 and west half of Lot 8, Block 29

Lots 31 and 32, Block 14

Lot 12 and north 70 feet of Lot 13, Block 38

East two-thirds of Lot 4 and Lot 5, Block 36

West half of Lot 27 and Lot 28, Block 30

Lots 22 and 23, Block 39

Lots 31 and 32, Block 36

Lots 21 and 22, Block 38

Lots 1 and 2, Block 50

Lots 1 and 2, Block 61

Lots 21 and 22, Block 51

Lots 27 and 28, Block 61

Lots 15 and 16, Block 68

Lots 31 and 32, Block 66

Lots 30, 31 and 32, Block 70

Lot 21, Block 98

Lots 9 and 10, Block I, Keith's Addition

Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 133

North 50 feet of Lot 14, Lots 15 and 16, Block 54

Lots 15 and 16, Block 101

North half of Lots 13, 14, 15 and 16, Block 31

South half of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Block 15

Lots 6, 7 and the south half of Lot 8, Block 18

Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block 17

Lots 19 and 20, Block 49

Lots 1 and 2, Block 131

Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

Lots 7 and 8 and west half of Lot 9, Block 15

- 13. That the cost of the said sidewalks shall be assessed upon all the lots or lands in front of which the said sidewalks are constructed upon a pro rata basis per front foot.
- 14. The assessments to be levied against the property in said District to pay the cost of such improvement shall be due and payable, without demand, within thirty days after the ordinance assessing such cost becomes final, and if paid during such period, the amount added for collection and incidentals shall be deducted; provided, that all such assessments may, at the election of the owners of property in said District, be paid in ten equal installments, the first of which shall be payable at the time the next installment of general taxes are, by the laws of the State of Colorado, made payable, after the expiration of said thirty-day period; and the following annual installments shall be paid on or before the same date each year thereafter, with interest, in all cases, on unpaid principal, payable annually at the rate of six per centum per annum.
- 15. Notice of intention to create said Improvement District No. 56, and of a hearing thereon, shall be given by advertisement in one issue of the Morning Sun, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City, which notice shall be in substantially the following form, to-wit:

${\tt N}$ O T I C E

OF INTENTION TO CREATE IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 56 IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, AND OF A HEARING THEREON.

Public notice is hereby given to the owners of real estate in the District hereinafter described, and to all persons generally interested, that the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, intends to create Improvement District No. 56 in said City for the purpose of constructing sidewalks, curbs and

gutters, and paving on streets, alleys and avenues to serve the property hereinafter described.

That paving 33 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination is to be constructed in the following locations:

Orchard Avenue from alley east to alley west of Linda Lane

Twenty-Third Street from Hall to Orchard Avenue

That paving 16 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base is to be constructed in the following locations:

Alley east of Seventh Street, Glenwood to Bunting

North-south and east-west alleys, Block 137

Alley from Sixteenth to Eighteenth between North Avenue and Glenwood

Alley between Lot 13 and Lots 9 through 12, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

That paving 20 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base is to be constructed in the following location:

Alley between Lot 15, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza, and Lot 6, Block 4, Parkplace Heights

That paving 30 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base--Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination, is to be constructed in the following location:

Seventeenth Street from Orchard Avenue to Walnut Avenue

That paving 15 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base--Upright type curb and gutter, is to be constructed in the following location:

South side of Orchard Avenue from Cannell Avenue to Twelfth Street

That paving 5 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base--Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination, is to be constructed in the following location:

North side Orchard Avenue from Cannell Avenue to Tenth Street and from College Place to Twelfth Street

That Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination is to be constructed in the following location:

North and south sides of Gunnison from Twenty-Second Street to alley east of Twenty-Second Street

That a concrete sidewalk 3 feet in width, 4 inches in depth, with a 2-inch sand cushion is to be constructed in the following location:

North Seventh Street and Walnut Avenue abutting Lots 13 through 20, Block 8, Bookcliff Park Subdivision

That a concrete sidewalk 3 feet and 4 feet in width, 4 inches in depth with a 2-inch sand cushion is to be constructed in the following location:

Abutting Lots 1 through 14, Block 2, Del Mar Park Subdivision

That a concrete sidewalk 6 feet, $7 ext{ } 1/2$ feet and 8 feet in width, 4 inches in depth, with a 2-inch sand cushion, is to be constructed in the following locations:

Block 95--Rood Avenue, Fifth Street to Sixth Street

White Avenue, Fifth Street to Sixth Street

Sixth Street, Rood Avenue to White Avenue

That a concrete sidewalk 6 1/2 feet in width, 4 inches in depth and with a 2-inch sand cushion, Upright type curb and gutter and 7 feet of paving with a 12-inch gravel base is to be constructed in the following location:

Block 95, Fifth Street from Rood Avenue to White Avenue

That a concrete sidewalk 5 feet in width, 4 inches in depth with a 2-inch sand cushion is to be constructed in the following locations:

Hawthorne Park

Emerson Park

Whitman Park Riverside Park

Lots 5 through 12, Block P, Keith's Addition

Lots 1 through 10, Block 138, and Lots 21 through 28, Block 138

Lots 25 through 27, Block 127

Lots 1 through 4, Block 127

Lots 15 and 16, Block 43

That concrete sidewalks 3 and 5 feet in width, 4 inches in depth and with a 2-inch sand cushion are to be constructed in the following locations:

Lots 23 and 24, Block 6

Lots 29, 30 and 31, Block 1

Lots 6 and 7, Block 17

Lots 8 and 9, Block 22

East half of Lot 9 and Lot 10, Block 17

Lots 9 and 10, Block 24

Lot 7 and west half of Lot 8, Block 29

Lots 31 and 32, Block 14

Lot 12 and north 70 feet of Lot 13, Block 38

East two-thirds of Lot 4 and Lot 5, Block 36

West half of Lot 27 and Lot 28, Block 30

Lots 22 and 23, Block 39

Lots 31 and 32, Block 36

Lots 21 and 22, Block 38

Lots 1 and 2, Block 50

Lots 1 and 2, Block 61

Lots 21 and 22, Block 51

Lots 27 and 28, Block 61

Lots 15 and 16, Block 68

Lots 31 and 32, Block 66

Lots 30, 31 and 32, Block 70

Lot 21, Block 98

Lots 9 and 10, Block I, Keith's Addition

Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 133

North 50 feet of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Block 54

Lots 15 and 16, Block 101

North half of Lots 13, 14, 15 and 16, Block 31

South half of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Block 15

Lots 6, 7 and the south half of Lot 8, Block 18

Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block 17

Lots 19 and 20, Block 49

Lots 1 and 2, Block 131

Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

Lots 7 and 8 and west half of Lot 9, Block 15

That the said Improvement District shall include all of the following described real estate:

Portion to be assessed for 33 feet of paving with a 6-inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination:

Lots 1 through 5, inclusive, Block 4, Regents Subdivision

Lots 1 through 4, inclusive, Block 5, Regents Subdivision

Lots 1, 2, 17 and 18, Block 1, Linda Lane Subdivision

Lot 4, Block 5, Del Rey Subdivision

Portion to be assessed for 16 feet of paving with a 6-inch gravel base:

Lots 8 through 26, inclusive, Block 1, Craig's Subdivision

Lots 1 through 28, inclusive, Block 137

Lots 1 through 10, inclusive, Block 4, Park Plaza Heights

Lots 1 through 15, inclusive, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza Portion to be assessed for 20 feet of alley paving with a 6-inch gravel base:

Lots 6 and 7, Block 4, Park Place Heights

Lots 14 and 15, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

Portion to be assessed for 30 feet of paving with a 6-inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination:

Lots 7 through 10, Block 2, Weaver's Subdivision

Lots 7 and 9 through 13, inclusive, Block 1, Weaver's Subdivision

The East 110 feet of the Southwest quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 12, T1S, R1W, U.M. except the North 180 feet thereof and except the South 30 feet thereof

Lots 1 through 5, inclusive, Le Mar Heights Subdivision

Lot 10, Block 4, Fairmont Subdivision

Portion to be assessed for 15 feet of paving with a 6-inch gravel base Upright type curb and gutter:

Lots 1 through 10, inclusive, Block 2, Garfield Park Subdivision

Lots 1 through 9, Block 1, Garfield Park Subdivision

Portion to be assessed for 5 feet of paving with a 6-inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination:

The South 120 feet of the South half of Lot 5, Capitol Hill Subdivision

The South 120 feet of Kister Addition

The South 120 feet of the Southeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Southeast quarter of the Northeast quarter of Section 11, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, Ute Meridian

Portion to be assessed for Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination:

Lots 1 and 2, Block 11, Mesa Gardens Subdivision

Lot 4, Block 2, Mesa Gardens Subdivision

Portion to be assessed for 3 foot and 4 foot concrete sidewalk 4 inches in depth with a 2-inch sand cushion:

Lots 1 through 14, inclusive, Block 2, Del Mar Park Subdivision

Lots 13 through 20, inclusive, Block 8, Bookcliff Park Subdivision

Portion to be assessed for 6 foot, 7 1/2 foot and 8 foot concrete sidewalks, 4 inches in depth with a 2-inch sand cushion:

Block 95, City of Grand Junction

Portion to be assessed for 6 1/2 foot concrete sidewalk, 4 inches in depth and with a 2-inch sand cushion and an Upright curb and gutter and 7 feet of paving with a 12-inch gravel base:

West half of Block 95, City of Grand Junction

Portion to be assessed for 3 foot and 5 foot concrete sidewalk, 4 inches in depth, with a 2-inch sand cushion:

Hawthorne Park

Whitman Park

Riverside Park

Emerson Park

Lots 5 through 12, inclusive, Block P, Keith's Addition

Lots 1 through 10, inclusive, and Lots 21 through 28, inclusive Block 138

Lots 25 through 27, inclusive, Block 127

Lots 1 through 4, inclusive, Block 127

Lots 15 and 16, Block 43

Lots 23 and 24, Block 6

Lots 29, 30 and 31, Block 1

Lots 6 and 7, Block 17

Lots 8 and 9, Block 22

East half of Lot 9 and Lot 10, Block 17

Lots 9 and 10, Block 24

Lot 7 and west half of Lot 8, Block 29

Lots 31 and 32, Block 14

Lot 12 and north 70 feet of Lot 13, Block 38

East two-thirds of Lot 4 and Lot 5, Block 36

West half of Lot 27 and Lot 28, Block 30 Lots 22 and 23, Block 39

Lots 31 and 32, Block 36

Lots 21 and 22, Block 38

Lots 1 and 2, Block 50

Lots 1 and 2, Block 61

Lots 21 and 22, Block 51

Lots 27 and 28, Block 61

Lots 15 and 16, Block 68

Lots 31 and 32, Block 66

Lots 30, 31 and 32, Block 70

Lot 21, Block 98

Lots 9 and 10, Block I, Keith's Addition

Lots 11, 12 and 13, Block 133

North 50 feet of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Block 54

Lots 15 and 16, Block 101

North half of Lots 13, 14, 15 and 16, Block 31

South half of Lots 14, 15 and 16, Block 15

Lots 6, 7 and the south half of Lot 8, Block 18

Lots 16, 17 and 18, Block 17

Lots 19 and 20, Block 49

Lots 1 and 2, Block 131

Lots 1 and 2, Block 7, Elmwood Plaza

Lots 7 and 8 and west half of Lot 9, Block 15

The probable total cost of said improvements as shown by the estimate of the City Engineer is \$69,508.03, exclusive of costs of collection, interest and incidentals. The estimated total cost does not include the cost of copper water service lines.

The maximum share of such total cost shall be as follows:

For paving 33 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base--Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination, \$8.25 per front foot.

For paving 16 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base (alley paving), \$2.50 per front foot.

For Hollywood type-curb-gutter-sidewalk combination only, \$4.00 per front foot

For paving 30 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base--Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination, \$8.75 per front foot

For concrete sidewalk 4 inches in depth with 2 inch sand cushion, \$.60 per front foot per foot of width

For paving 15 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base and Upright type curb and gutter, \$8.00 per front foot

For paving 5 feet in width with a 6-inch gravel base and Hollywood type curb-gutter-sidewalk combination, \$6.50 per front foot

For 6 1/2 foot sidewalk with 2-inch sand cushion, 7 feet paving with 12-inch gravel base and Upright type curb and gutter, \$10.00 per front foot

For concrete sidewalk 6 feet and 7 1/2 feet in width with a 2-inch sand cushion, \$4.00 per front foot

For concrete sidewalk 8 feet in width with a 2-inch sand cushion, \$5.75 per front foot

In case of the construction, repair or extension of copper water service pipe connections, the whole cost thereof shall be

assessed to the lots to which connections are made, in addition to the maximum share listed above.

To all of said estimated cost there shall be added 6 per cent for cost of collection and incidentals, and also interest at the rate borne by the special assessment bonds of said district to the next succeeding date upon which general taxes, or the first installment thereof, are by the laws of the State of Colorado made payable. The said assessment shall be due and payable, without demand, within 30 days after the ordinance assessing such cost shall have become final, and if paid during such period, the amount added for collection and incidentals shall be deducted; provided that all such assessments may, at the election of the owners of the property in said district, be paid in ten equal annual installments which become due upon the same date upon which general taxes, or the first installment thereof, are by the laws of the State of Colorado made payable. Interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum shall be charged on unpaid installments.

On the 5th day of August, A. D. 1959, at the hour of 7:30 o'clock P. M. in the Council Chambers in the City Hall of the said City, the Council will consider the ordering of the proposed improvements and will hear all complaints and objections that may be made in writing concerning the proposed improvements, by the owners of any real estate to be assessed, or by any person interested.

A map of the district, from which the approximate share of the total estimated cost to be assessed upon each piece of real estate in the district may be readily ascertained, and all proceedings of the Council in the premises are on file and can be seen and examined by any person interested therein, in the office of the City Clerk during business hours, at any time prior to said hearing.

Dated at Grand Junction, Colorado, this 2nd day of July, A.D. 1959.

President of the Council

ATTEST:

City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the Resolution be passed and adopted as read. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council present voting "AYE." The President declared the motion carried.

AIRPORT CONTROL TOWER Authorize CM to apply for federal funds-to include up to \$45,000 in 1960 budget

City Manager Cheever reported that the Airport Board approved the idea of going ahead and making application for federal aid for a control tower at Walker Field. This is subject to the approval of the County Commissioners and the City Council making appropriations in their 1960 budgets to take care of their share of the cost. City Manager Cheever estimated the cost might be as high as \$180,000.00.

Councilman Hadden stated that he was opposed to the taxpayers having to pay all of the bill for the control tower; that he was not opposed to the control tower itself but felt the airlines should put up some of the money for the cost of same. Councilman Wright stated that this matter had been discussed several times, and it was agreed that it was needed.

It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Dean that the Council indicate to Mr. Cheever that they would include in the 1960 budget up to \$45,000 for the construction of a control tower including engineering. City Manager Cheever is to go over the minimum requirements and get all of the information necessary for the plans before the 1960 budget is presented. The question on the motion showed Councilmen Colescott and Hadden voting "NAY," and the other members of the Council present voting "AYE." A majority of the Councilmen present voting "AYE," the President declared the motion carried.

SENIOR CITIZENS REQUEST TO SELL ON STREETS Permission granted

The Foundation for Senior Citizens, Inc. have asked the Council to set aside July 23rd as Senior Citizens Day in Mesa County. The County Commissioners have acted favorably upon this request. The group would like for the Council to also set aside this day and grant permission to them to set up a booth at 5th and Main for the purpose of selling motion picture tickets to the Starlight Drive-In for a benefit for senior citizens on July 23rd and 24th. They also request permission to sell tickets on the streets with all money to be turned over to the group. The booth will be set up and sales started on July 13 and they wish to sell the tickets on the streets on the weekend of July 17th and 18th.

It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the request be granted providing the Foundation for Senior Citizens, Inc. secure permission from the business houses and that they have no objection to the sale of tickets in front of their places of business. Motion carried.

TEAMSTERS UNION bargaining agent for city employees

Mr. A. J. Stucker of the Teamsters, Chauffeurs, & Warehousemens Local No. 16 had written a letter to City Manager Cheever asking that they be the bargaining agent for City employees in connection with the consideration of wages. Mr. Cheever stated that John Burton had been elected by the employees to represent them on the committee which is making a survey of wages and salaries and, so far as he knew, he was to continue to serve on that committee. He stated that data had been collected and the committee was studying it and expected to make a report within the next thirty days.

It was moved by Councilman Hadden and seconded by Councilman Dean that the City Attorney and City Manager meet with Mr. Stucker and explain the purpose of the committee and tell him there was no negotiation being made for wages at the present time. Motion carried.

Councilman Dean explained that a recent Supreme Court decision gives city, county and municipal employees the right to organize if they hold an election and a large enough percentage vote in favor of the organization and the charter contains a provision allowing the governing body to comply with the request to organize.

PROP. ORD. Approp for Clerk in Treas Office

The following proposed ordinance was presented and read: AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING MONIES FROM THE GENERAL GOVERNMENT CONTINGENCY FUND TO THE TREASURER'S BUDGET WITHIN THE GENERAL FUND. It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

FOX ALLEY- 15th to 17th Elm & Texas

City Attorney Ashby stated that he would recommend to the Council that they go to court to determine whether or not there is an alley back of the Dr. Fox property between 15th and 16th and Elm and Texas. Attorney Groves, who was in the audience, stated that it would be a breach of faith if they contended that there was anything but a utility easement given as he was City Attorney when the Fox property was platted and Dr. Fox was assured at that time that a utility easement was all that was required through his property. He stated that there would be no reason why the City could not sue to condemn land for an alley if they so desired. The matter was referred back to City Attorney Ashby for further checking and report back.

LICENSING ORDINANCE

City Attorney Ashby reported that he would try to have an ordinance amending the licensing ordinance ready for the next meeting of the Council.

AIRPORT BOARD All members of the Council to be members

President Orr suggested that the four members of the Council who are not members of the Airport Board be named to serve as alternates to serve in the absence of regular members of the Board and stated that no doubt they would be called upon to attend airport board meetings.

<u>APPOINTMENTS REAFFIRMED</u> City Mgr., City Atty, City Clerk, City Treas, Mun. Judge

It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Strnad that the City Council reaffirm the appointments of R. E. Cheever, City Manager, Helen C. Tomlinson, City Auditor & Ex Officio City Clerk, Fred E. Hagie, City Treasurer, H. Kent Webster, Mun. Judge and Gerald J. Ashby, City Attorney. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the meeting adjourn. Motion carried.

/s/Helen C. Tomlinson City Auditor & Ex-Officio City Clerk