Grand Junction, Colorado

July 15, 1979

ROLL CALL

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, met in regular session at 7:30 o'clock P. M., July 15, 1959. Councilmen present and answering roll call were Meacham, Hadden, Dean, Colescott, Strnad, Wright and President Orr. Also present were City Manager Cheever, City Attorney Ashby and City Clerk Tomlinson.

MINUTES

It was moved by Councilman Meacham and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the minutes of the regular meeting held July 1st and the special meeting held July 8th be approved as written. Motion carried.

<u>APPLICATION FOR CATV</u> Denied-Community TV-Albert M. Carollo, I. E. Shanan, Gene W. Schneider & Richard A. Schneider

The matter of a revocable permit for cable TV as applied for by Albert M. Carollo, I. E. Shanan, Gene W. Schneider and Richard A. Schneider dba Community TV of Grand Junction had been tabled until this meeting for action by the Council.

Councilman Dean stated that he had made investigation concerning cable TV, and it was his opinion that the pending legislation now under consideration in committee stage, might not be passed for one or two years. There have been numerous attempts to sway opinion on this matter. He felt that to refuse to grant the revocable permits would be to deprive the people of Grand Junction of the right to choose whether or not they wished to have cable TV. He stated that he felt the Council would be condoning monopoly and defeating free enterprise, and it was not the job of the Council to protect individuals but to protect the people. He suggested that the people have a voice and choice in this matter, when the cable TV agents came to their houses soliciting subscriptions. If they did want cable TV, they would not have to take it. He moved that the applications of Community TV of Grand Junction and KREX TV be granted for revocable permits for the use of the alleys and streets in Grand Junction for the purpose of supplying cable TV to the residents of Grand Junction.

A second to the motion was not immediately made, and Councilman Meacham stated that the Council finds itself in a difficult situation in this respect; in being called upon to decide as to

whether the City should have several TV mediums or just one. He was aware of the number of letters and telephone calls made by the people interested on both sides of this question. He stated that he did not believe that it was a Council matter. There had been a number of telephone calls threatening to take business from this area, which he disapproved, and that 56% of the business transacted in Grand Junction starts outside of the City, and it is the duty of the Council to consider the people of the outlying districts. It is too great a decision to jeopardize the economy of Grand Junction. If KREX could stay in business, the new industry would be welcomed. He stated that he was not quite clear on the legislation, and suggested that the matter be put to the people for a referendum vote, so that they might have all the information which would be brought out, and the people of Grand Junction would have the opportunity to make up their minds.

Mr. Nelson, Attorney for Community TV of Grand Junction, outlined the plan of operation of his clients. He stated that there was no question that profit was the incentive of both the parties and that both parties are in business to make a profit.

Mr. Rex Howell of KREX TV spoke at length on his position in the matter of cable TV as it would affect his free TV operation.

There were approximately 300 people in the audience, a large majority being opposed to the granting of the cable TV permits. Many spoke to the Council giving their views. At least fifty of the delegation were from outlying districts and definitely were opposed to any change in TV service which might jeopardize the activities of KREX TV. About 2,200 individual letters and petitions were presented asking that the Council not grant the application for cable TV.

There were a few in the audience who supported the application of the CATV applicants and spoke in behalf of same.

The motion to grant revocable permits to both Community TV of Grand Junction and KREX TV was seconded by Councilman Colescott.

Councilman Hadden stated that it was his opinion that the people of Grand Junction should make the decision as to whether CATV uses the alleys to bring pay TV to Grand Junction. The Council is only the representative of the people, and they should go ahead and let the people decide. TV has become a part of the American way of life but is only in the entertainment world. There is a great deal of business directly and indirectly tied up in TV in Grand Junction. The Council is faced with making a budget for the operation of the City, and while the \$2,000 to \$4,000 which would be derived as a 2% fee for the use of the City facilities would

help, it should be taken into consideration that from \$100,000 to \$300,000 would be leaving the City.

Mr. Nelson pointed out that a new payroll of 12 to 15 people would bring in new revenue and an investment of \$500,000 would be made.

Mr. Howell stated that he thought a bond should be required so that the people who invested in hooking up to pay TV would get their money back should the pay TV not prove successful.

Roll was called on the motion with the following results:

Councilman Meacham voting "NAY"
Councilman Hadden voting "NAY"
Councilman Dean voting "AYE"
Councilman Colescott voting "AYE"
Councilman Strnad voting "NAY"

Councilman Wright stated that he thought it was unfortunate that there were insinuations and remarks made that the application was not a legitimate business application, until such time as it had been proved. He stated that he was changing his vote because of the number of people who seemed to feel that this is going to affect their present TV service. He said he would like to make the remark that this is not the proper way of treating an applicant, condemning it before it is even tried. He did not feel that the inferences made were appropriate in this case, but felt that the Council has an obligation to the people in the outlying communities. It was apparent they felt they don't want CATV; that it will be harmful to them. He would have like to see this matter deferred, but since it had come to a vote would vote "AYE."

President Orr stated that he had been associated with radio and TV for a good many years, and he felt that he had a basic knowledge of the broadcasting business, and he felt there would be no danger of losing the very excellent TV service which we now have, but in all honestly, and in keeping with his own feeling about our free American enterprise system, he didn't see how the Council could deny this application, so would cast his vote "AYE."

The President reported that the vote result was four "AYES" and three "NAYS." Therefore the motion carried.

At this point, Councilman Wright stated he was obviously disappointed in the tactics involved, and in view of his previous remarks, had intended his vote to be to deny the application. President Orr called the meeting to order and asked if any of the Council members objected to Councilman Wright's change of vote.

Councilman Dean objected. It was then moved by Councilman Hadden and seconded by Councilman Strnad that permission be granted to Councilman Wright to change his vote. Motion was carried with Councilman Dean voting "NAY." The motion was declared carried. Councilman Wright then voted "NAY," on the motion to grant permission for revocable permits, which made the vote three "AYES" and four "NAYS," and the President declared the motion lost.

Mr. Howell stated that he wished to apologize if he had said anything to indicate that the application was not for a legitimate business and that the applicants were not responsible business men.

HEARING ON CHANGE OF ZONING JAROS TRACT (Overhill Corp.) 12th & Orchard Denied

The hearing on the application of Overhill Corporation for the rezoning of Lot 16 Grandview Subdivision, except the North 274 feet of the West 150 feet thereof, and except the south 100 feet of the West 130 feet thereof in Mesa County, Colorado from Residence "A" and Residence "B" and Business "A" Use District to all Business "A" use district was tabled until this meeting.

A letter from Mr. James K. Groves, attorney, who represents the neighborhood residents opposing the rezoning of the Jaros tract, was read by City Attorney Ashby. This letter gave consideration to the former communication from Mr. Geo. Creamer, Attorney for the Overhill Corporation. Mr. Groves answered the points brought out in Mr. Creamer's letter and stated the reasons why he felt that the City had the right to zone and as to the legality of the zoning ordinances under which the City operates.

City Attorney Ashby stated that only the Court could rule on whether or not there could be any illegality in the city zoning regulations, and that the only point which the Council had to determine at the present time was whether or not they wished to change the zoning of the Jaros tract to a Business A district. It would be up to the Overhill Corporation or Mr. Creamer to determine whether they wished to bring suit.

Mr. Jaros was present and stated that he was not represented by any attorney at this meeting and that he would like to have his application changed so that the entire Lot 16 of Grandview Subdivision be changed to Business "A" district. City Attorney Ashby informed Mr. Jaros that no change in the petition could be made unless it went back and was considered by the Planning Commission and a new hearing set. Mr. Jaros then stated that he would proceed with the application for rezoning as applied for.

Mr. Groves, Attorney, stated that the Council knew that it had a group known as the Planning Commission, which first passes on these matters, and it has passed on this one. He said he assumed that the Council was interested in hearing of information of that group. There was a lot of expression by the two sides at the Planning Commission meeting. At that time, Mr. Allen, Planning Director, made a statement of his views of this matter which, he thought must be assumed, were supported by the Planning Commission in recommending or rejecting the proposed change of zoning.

He asked that Mr. Allen outline to the Council what he had outlined to the Planning Commission. Mr. Allen stated that this matter has been before at least part of the Council members, several times in the past five years. The Planning Commission minutes are forwarded to the Council members as they are written by the Secretary. He stated the important point to emphasize was the character of the neighborhood and the existing land use map which he presented, showed that three sides of this tract were devoted to one-family dwellings. The fourth side is owned by Mesa College. There is one business property across the street and one corner of the College property is zoned for business. Also the northwest corner of the Jaros tract is zoned for business. College and school property are usually considered as residential use property.

He presented the plat as proposed by Overhill Corporation showing 13th Street as a park of buffer zone; this area to be covered by deed restrictions. In their letter they stated that this area would be restricted by deed to provide for the planting and landscaping of this buffer zone. However, it was the consensus of opinion that if this area was restricted by the present owners, as long as this property has not been sold to someone else, they would be able to rescind those deed restrictions at will.

Mr. Allen showed the traffic count map. One of the reasons for asking for the change of zoning was the high traffic count at the intersection, but his survey showed that numerous other points would better justify business zoning than this particular corner. He also stated that his office intended to make another traffic count soon.

Mr. Allen, at the request of Mr. Groves, outlined what was considered good planning practice in establishing shopping centers, and stated that he thought the action and minutes of the Planning Commission speak for itself.

Councilman Colescott asked if this land would ever be used for anything. He did not feel that it was feasible to have good homes

on 12th Street. Mr. Allen stated that homes would not necessarily have to face on 12th Street if Hall Avenue were opened.

Mr. Jaros stated that he did not wish to put any pressure on anyone; he merely wanted to get the same kind of treatment that other people have been getting; how the Council voted was up to them.

Mr. Groves then asked the Council if they had seen the colored map showing the objectors. He stated that there was a large delegation of those objecting to the zoning in the audience, but that he had asked his clients to not make any demonstration as he felt the Council should decide on the merits of the case and not be influenced by a lot of demonstration. He did ask permission to have two of his clients speak to the Council.

Mrs. Merrill who lives at 1332 Hall Ave. stated that she personally resented having a big City lawyer brought in to try to pick loop holes in our laws. She felt that what is best for Grand Junction should be considered; that we have a good Planning Consultant and the Planning Commission has spent a lot of time on studying this matter and their recommendations should be followed.

Mr. Jas. Ruggieri, 1353 Hall Ave., stated that he had moved here from Pueblo and had purchased a home in this area thinking it was Residence "A", which is one of the finest zonings of the City, and took that into consideration when purchasing his home. He stated that he would hate to see his property devaluated, so was opposed to the change of zoning from Residence "A" to Business "A"."

Mr. Groves stated that these people have already stated their opposition in their formal petition. He also stated that this piece of property had been up for consideration for a change of zoning more than any other piece of ground in the City. The Jaros tract was annexed into the City about 1946 and was taken to court and the annexation sustained. It was the understanding of most parties that the property was considered as Residence property. The engineering department showed the property on their maps as such, and people in the area were told that the property was considered as Residence "A" property until 1954. The homes surrounding the Jaros acreage were built from 1950 to 1953 on the strength that the Jaros tract was zoned as Residence "A". Mr. Groves stated that while he was City Attorney, the question of whether or not property is automatically residence "A" when annexed was brought up, so all the tracts of annexed land were picked up, hearings held, and if not already zoned, were zoned Residence "A".

Since that time the matter of changing the zoning on this tract has been brought up before the Council in 1955, 1956, 1957 and applications denied. On May 8, 1958, the property was conveyed to the present owner, Overhill Corporation, and application was again made for change of zoning. The Planning Commission refused to recommend it and the Council turned it down by a 5 to 2 vote.

Here, we have a paradox, he stated, having an application seeking change of zoning, then saying we do not have legal zoning. Mr. Groves stated that he didn't wish to be flippant, but it was a little confusing to follow this argument. This was the sixth lawyer they have had in different hearings. The surrounding property owners are in the majority opposed to the change of zoning. There is a Planning Commission to go into these matters; they render advice, and should not be overridden. We have a City where the people built their homes and have their families on the strength of Residence "A" zoning. They had had to come in every year to protect those homes. It is unfortunate that there is not a limit to the number of applications that can be filed in a limited time. This is not a proposal to put in a shopping center, but rather a proposal to change the zoning from Residence "A" to Business "A". Thirty six and one-half per cent of land in Grand Junction is zoned as business and industrial use; fifteen per cent is zoned as Business "A" and only five per cent is used for those purposes. Two-thirds of property is zoned for business purposes but is not being used; there is no need for more business property. This is another matter of spot zoning - a shopping center in the middle of a residential area. The owner is entitled to get some use of the land. Overhill Corporation bought this property after the request for the zoning change had been turned down three times from anything but Residence "A", and it knew what it was getting. The owner could subdivide and cooperate with the City in the matter of streets, which it has never done, and use the property for residential use.

Mr. Groves explained that under the State statute whenever either the Planning Commission refuse or twenty per cent of the adjoining property owners object to a change in zoning, it takes a three-fourths vote of the Council to override the Planning Commission recommendation. He stated that he considered this ordinance valid.

Mr. Jaros stated that the Overhill Corporation consisted of himself, his wife and family. Councilman Meacham asked about the Articles of Incorporation for the Overhill Corporation which were on file in the Secretary of State's office which showed other persons in the Corporation. Mr. Jaros stated that at the last meeting of the Board of the Overhill Corporation, the Jaros family became the sole owners of the property.

Motion to grant change - denied

It was moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilman Meacham that the request of the Overhill Corporation for a change of zoning of Lot 16 Grandview Subdivision, except the North 274 feet of the West 150 feet thereof, and except the south 100 feet of the West 130 feet thereof in Mesa County, Colorado, from Residence "A" and Residence "B" and Business "A" Use District is to all Business "A" use district be granted. Roll was called on the motion with the following result:

Councilman voting "AYE:" Colescott

Councilmen voting "NAY:" Meacham, Hadden, Dean, Strnad, Wright and President Orr

Six members of the Council having voted "NAY," the President declared the motion lost. Members of the Council expressed the thought that this property should be classified so that it might be utilized, and also that the zoning ordinance should be modernized as soon as possible.

SEWAGE TREATMENT FOR FRUITVALE - CA to work on contract \$1.50 per mo per residence

City Manager Cheever reported on the meeting held on Monday morning, July 13th, with members of the Fruitvale Sanitation Board and several members of the Council. This group came to an understanding that the City might treat the Fruitvale Sanitation District sewage for \$1.50 per month for each residence; the commercial rate to be worked out later. The City Attorney would work with the Attorney for the Sanitation Board to work out the for commercial details of the contract and the cost establishments and return the report to the Council.

All members of the Council who attended the meeting felt that it was important to create good will among the people of Fruitvale and that was one reason for basing the charge for treating the sewage at \$1.50, the fee which the Sanitation Board felt was one that they could take back to their people for consideration. An election would have to be held to sell the bonds for installing the sewer lines in the district.

It was moved by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the City offer to treat the sewage from the Fruitvale Sanitation District for \$1.50 per residence tap and the commercial rate to be arrived at after the City Attorney and the Attorney for the Fruitvale District confer on contract terms, etc.; contract to be returned to the Council for consideration; also the commercial rate. Motion carried.

KANNAH CREEK WATERSHED - Dir. Pub Works authorized to secure options on Kannah Creek property for water rights

Mr. Burton, Director of Public Works, addressed a memorandum to the City Manager concerning the acquisition of property on the Kannah Creek Watershed. It was moved by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Wright that Mr. Burton be authorized to proceed to get options for land on Kannah Creek for the water rights. Motion carried.

RESOL - AETNA CASUALTY - To require work to be done on bond in Mesa Gardens - Paving

The following Resolution was presented and read:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Grand Junction has heretofore declared the Western States Construction Corporation in default under its contract with the City dated July 25, 1955, concerning certain improvements in Mesa Gardens Subdivision and elsewhere and has made formal demand upon the Aetna Casualty and Surety Company of Hartford, Connecticut, as the bonding company furnishing the bond for assurance of the performance of said contract, for completion of certain of the improvements called for under said contract, which demanded improvements have been completed; and,

WHEREAS, there exists some doubt as to the status of the balance of the improvements called for under the terms of the said contract, although counsel for the Aetna Casualty and Surety Company of Hartford, Connecticut, had repeatedly been advised by the City Council of the City of Grand Junction that full performance was being demanded; and the Aetna Casualty and Surety Company of Hartford, Connecticut, in Civil Action No. 6091, filed in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, has apparently recognized that full performance is being required by its pleading therein;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

1. That it is the demand of the City Council of the City of Grand Junction that the Aetna Casualty and Surety Company of Hartford Connecticut, complete all of the improvements called for under the contract of July 25, 1955, concerning certain improvements in Mesa Gardens Subdivision, and elsewhere the Western States Construction Corporation having defaulted under said contract and having been declared to be in default.

2. That the City Manager be authorized, as the act of the City Council, to advise by letter the Aetna Casualty and Surety Company of Hartford, Connecticut, that the City insists upon full performance under its surety bond covering such contract and that such performance be undertaken immediately.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 15th day of July, 1959.

President of the City Council

ATTEST:

City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Strnad that the resolution be passed and adopted as read. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council voting "AYE." The President declared the motion carried.

ARCIERI SEWER TAP Granted

Sometime ago, when West Lake Park was being considered for annexation to the City, Mrs. Arcieri, who lives on the west side of North First Street, expressed a desire to have her property connected to the City sewer as quickly as possible as they wished to make some improvements. She again has requested permission for a sewer tap on the City sewer. They hope to build a new home in the immediate future. Petitions are again being circulated in the area for the annexation of West Lake Park which would include Mrs. Arcieri's property.

It was moved by Councilman Colescott that the request be denied. Councilman Colescott withdrew his motion for lack of a second. City Attorney Ashby gave the history of Mrs. Arcieri's assistance in trying to get West Lake Park annexed and stated if the West Lake Park area did not petition for annexation as an entire area that she would petition to annex her own property. It was moved by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Meacham that the City Attorney be instructed to draw up a contract with Mrs. Arcieri granting her permission to hook on to the City sewer providing that she will agree to annex her property to the City at the earliest possible time, and also that any new buildings which she may build on her property be built in accordance with the City building code. Motion carried.

ORD. 1083 - APPROP TO TREASURER'S BUDGET - passed

The Proof of Publication to the proposed ordinance entitled AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING MONIES FROM THE GENERAL GOVERNMENT

CONTINGENCY FUND TO THE TREASURER'S BUDGET WITHIN THE GENERAL FUND was introduced and read. It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Hadden that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. Motion carried.

It was then moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilman Strnad that the ordinance be called up for final passage. Motion carried. The ordinance was then read and upon motion of Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilman Meacham was passed, adopted, numbered 1083 and ordered published. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council voting "AYE." The President declared the motion carried.

ORD. 1084 - SAN. SR. #18 passed

The Proof of Publication to the proposed ordinance entitled AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE WHOLE COST OF THE IMPROVEMENTS MADE IN AND FOR SANITARY SEWER DISTRICT NO. 18, IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, PURSUANT TO ORDINANCE NO. 178, ADOPTED AND APPROVED THE 11TH DAY OF JUNE, 1910, AS AMENDED; APPROVING THE APPORTIONMENT OF SAID COST TO EACH LOT OR TRACT OF LAND OR OTHER REAL ESTATE IN SAID DISTRICT; ASSESSING THE SHARE OF SAID COST AGAINST EACH LOT OR TRACT OF LAND OR OTHER REAL ESTATE IN SAID DISTRICT; AND APPROVING THE APPORTIONMENT OF SAID COST; AND PRESCRIBING THE MANNER FOR THE COLLECTION AND PAYMENT OF SAID ASSESSMENTS. It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Strnad that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. Motion carried.

It was then moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilman Strnad that the ordinance be called up for final passage. Motion carried. The ordinance was then read and upon motion of Councilman Meacham and seconded by Councilman Wright was passed, adopted, numbered 1084 and ordered published. Roll was called upon the motion with all members of the Council voting "AYE." The President declared the motion carried.

3.2 BEER APPL. H.T. SOMMERS, Lincoln Park Golf Club

Mr. Harold T. Sommers presented an application for a 3.2 beer license for the Lincoln Park Golf Club. It was moved by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Meacham that a hearing on the application for this license be set for August 5th. Motion carried.

WATER TAP H. C. Jenkins, O.M. 2708 B 1/4 Rd, 1 1/2" for 20 houses

Mr. H. C. Jenkins, 2708 B 1/4 Road, requested a one and one-half inch water tap on the City flowline to serve approximately twenty dwellings. This would be untreated flowline water. It was moved

by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Colescott that the request be granted, and that Mr. Jenkins be asked to sign a contract, the form of which is now being drawn up by the City Attorney. Motion carried.

COUNCILMAN COLESCOTT asked to be excused from the meeting and left.

<u>AIRPORT</u> \$10,000 budgeted for bldg. for fire engine - to be used for paving

The Airport Board recommended using \$10,000 of this 1959 airport budget which was provided for a building for the fire engine to pave an area west of the present aircraft parking ramp and a large section of the auto parking lot in front of the airport terminal and to construct a patio just east of the Log Book Restaurant. It was moved by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Meacham that authorization be granted to transfer the \$10,000 from the building fund of the airport for the use of paving the ramp and patio. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council present and voting "AYE." The President declared the motion carried.

RESOL- ON SURPLUS PROPERTY AGENCY

The following resolution was presented and read:

RESOLUTION

RESOLVED that Form No. F-14 SPA, Issue Sheet, of the Colorado Surplus Property Agency shall be spread upon the minutes of this meeting and that R. E. Cheever, City Manager, shall be and he is hereby authorized as the representative of the City of Grand Junction, to obligate its fund and obtain the transfer to it from said Surplus Property Agency of surplus property upon and subject to the terms and conditions set forth in said Form and in its name and on its behalf agree thereto.

RESOLVED FURTHER that a certified copy of this resolution be given to the Colorado Surplus Property Agency and that the same shall remain in full force and effect until written notice to the contrary is given said Surplus Property Agency.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 15th day of July, 1959.

President of the City Council

ATTEST:

City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Wright and seconded by Councilman Strnad that the resolution be passed and adopted as read. Roll was called on the motion with all members of the Council present voting "AYE." The President declared the motion carried.

<u>DAILY SENTINEL OFFER ON ADVERTISING</u> - Request Sentinel & Sun to submit bids on adv at next meeting of Co.

A letter was read from the Daily Sentinel offering, on the part of the Sentinel Publishing Company, to the City Council of the City of Grand Junction to publish all city legals at a price of 7¢ per agate line for the first insertion and 5¢ for each subsequent insertion of the same legal. This would be a firm price from August 1st, 1959 to December 31, 1960.

It was moved by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Meacham that the Council ask the Sentinel Publishing Company and the Morning Sun to submit sealed bids for publishing City legal advertising at the next meeting of the Council. Motion carried.

SPOOMER SUIT RE CEMETERY LOT

A letter from Lafferty & Reams, Attorney for Ralph H. and Mary J. Spoomer, was read in which they stated that their clients would sue the City in the amount of \$15,000 unless the matter could be amicably resolved within a reasonable time for the disinterment of their son from the cemetery lot in which he was buried to another lot in the cemetery.

LINCOLN PARK SPEED LIMITS - to investigate

Councilman Hadden suggested that the circle drive just south of Moyer Pool be given a more restrictive speed limit, and the City Manager and Mr. Stocker were requested to check this matter.

PUBLIC RELATIONS PROGRAM

Councilman Meacham brought up the matter of the public relations program to be on "In Town Today" on KREX once each month beginning the first of August. It was moved by Councilman Strnad and seconded by Councilman Hadden that Councilman Meacham act as Chairman for this program. Motion carried.

JOB EVALUATION PROGRAM

Councilman Meacham also requested that a quorum of the Council meet with him and Mr. Herb Snyder on a program of job evaluation for City employees. The committee on Personnel Study (Councilmen

Meacham, Wright, Strnad and Orr) were asked to meet with Mr. Snyder.

It was moved by Councilman Hadden and seconded by Councilman Meacham that the meeting adjourn. Motion carried.

/s/Helen C. Tomlinson City Auditor & Ex-Officio City Clerk