

Published by Municipal Code Corporation

Grand Junction, Colorado

August 16, 1972

ROLL CALL

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, met in regular session at 7:30 P.M. August 16, 1972, in the Civic Auditorium at City Hall. Present for roll call were President Pro Tempore Harry Colescott, Councilmen Silas Grantham, Lawrence Kozisek, Raymond Paruch, and Richard Youngerman. President Stanley Anderson and Councilman Theodore Naff were absent. Also present were City Attorney Gerald Ashby, Acting City Manager Harvey Rose and City Clerk Neva Lockhart. City Manager Gray was absent.

MINUTES

It was moved by Councilman Kozisek and seconded by Councilman Grantham that the minutes of the regular Council meeting held on August 2, 1972, be approved as written. Motion carried.

LIQUOR LICENSE

Hearing-change of ownership North Ave. Liq. Store to Jack M. Mackenzie approved

This was the date advertised for hearing upon the application of Jack M. Mackenzie for a retail store liquor license for North Avenue Liquor Store, 801 North Avenue, Mr. Mackenzie was present for the hearing with his attorney, Mr. Frank Hockensmith. A letter from Chief of Police Karl Johnson advised that Mr. Mackenzie has been a resident of Denver and has worked in Englewood. A check with the police department of those cities revealed no information which would disqualify Mr. Mackenzie for this type of license. Chief Johnson said he knew of no reason at this time why the application should not be granted.

It was moved by Councilman Kozisek and seconded by Councilman Youngerman that the application be approved and the license issued when the state license has been received. Motion carried with Councilman Paruch voting NO.

REZONING BLK 5 E. MAIN ADDN

Hearing continued to 9-6-72

This was the date advertised for hearing on the rezoning of Block 5, East Main Addition from C-2 (Heavy Commerce) and P (Parking) to R-2. Block 5, East Main Addition is located at 17th to 19th Streets between Main and Rood.

City Attorney Ashby explained that this came about through an

application to the City Planning Commission from a Russell Anderson of Denver who apparently has an FHA participation in what he has denominated and what apparently was designated by FHA as moderate-income housing. This particular block is presently zoned P (Parking) for the North half, and C-2 (Heavy Commerce) for the South half. It is surrounded presently by R-2 zoning on the North and West. Mr. Anderson has asked for R-2 zoning because R-2 permits this forerunner to be put into the zoning ordinance of our planned development--it is that bulk development which permits him not to increase the number of units that he would be permitted in that area, but to space them differently than would have been required formerly under R-2. So what he can get there is a density of approximately 75 units on this block. Mr. Ashby noted that it is true that units are not that densely occupied in this area. They could be, but they are not.

Mr. Ashby said there was opposition to this rezoning apparently at the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission, nevertheless, proposed the rezoning to the Council unanimously on the basis suggested.

A petition against the rezoning was turned in during the afternoon of August 16. The petition contained the signatures of 58 people living in the general area, and again whose properties are zoned R-2. Mr. Ashby read the six objections contained in the petition. Mr. Ashby said most of these objections, as Council could see, would be directed against what is indicated as the proposed project which may or may not develop. He noted that heavy commerce allows garages and body shops. The R-2 zoning is an upgrading, and normally Council is not faced with objections to an upgrading as it tends to enhance surrounding property.

Mr. W. B. McBride, 1435 Main Street, appeared before the Council. He said their objection to this rezoning is not the objection to building the housing. It is the idea of taking the whole thing for housing and not giving the kids a place to play. It continued that if 75 units are place don three blocks, there would not be any place for children to play as a large group. He feels that what will happen is that the kids will spread all over the neighborhood. He noted there are businesses on two sides, cornering a freeway, and there is Main Street busy traffic with through truck traffic on Main Street for the past year. He said he did not feel it would make these people who would live in these apartments happy when low-rent housing is placed there and they move in and have no place for the kids to play. He said that the people now in the area feel that the children will end up in their yards and they will more or less do the babysitting. He suggested that if the developer wanted to build 50 units there and use one block for a playground and park, it would be a nice thing.

Mr. Ashby said there is the matter of this coming back to the Planning Commission at such time as the bulk unit is proposed. At that time anybody wanting to be heard, as Mr. McBride indicated regarding the density, can certainly come in and do so should the

Council determine to rezone.

Mr. Ashby noted there is a proviso in the Zoning Ordinance that in the event of a protest by 20% or more of the area immediately adjacent to the front, side, or rear thereof extending 100 feet, which may be possible with this petition, the matter has to be voted for by six of the seven Councilmen. Since there were not six Councilmen present, Mr. Ashby suggested that at some point in time, the hearing be continued until the next meeting and then take action at that time.

Councilman Paruch noted that there have been two separate hearings on the applicant with regard to the area. It was felt that differences of opinion were expressed very clearly by both sides. First, it was felt that it would be to the advantage of the neighborhood to have what was emphasized as moderate-income units and perhaps higher. This is at the option of the manager.

Regarding the play area for children, Councilman Paruch said it is a philosophical debate as to what children are going to do. He, personally, did not see where this objection was valid. He said the great concern expressed at the Planning Commission was that the entire project meet the planned unit or bulk development criteria. Based on the type of project this is going to be, he questions whether there will be any vandalism as suggested in the petition. Councilman Paruch favors the project over the heavy commercial area that it now is. He feels residential expansion would benefit present owners in the area.

It was moved by Councilman Youngerman and seconded by Councilman Grantham that this hearing be continued to the September 6th City Council meeting. Motion carried unanimously.

WHITMAN PARK

Permission grtd Latin-Anglo group to use Sept. 16

Harvey Rose, Acting City Manager, said that a request was made by a Latin-Anglo group who wishes to use Whitman park for a Mexican Independence Day Festival on September 16. Normally requests to use a Park would go to the Parks and Recreation Director who would issue a permit. In this case, the group intends to sell food at a stand, and conducting such a business in a public park requires permission of the City Council. Mr. Rose noted that the group was considering the setting up of carnival rides to raise additional money. Parks and Recreation Director Jim Wysocki recommended against allowing the setting up of carnival rides in Whitman Park because of possible damage to the grass.

It was moved by Councilman Kozisek and seconded by Councilman Youngerman that the Latin-Anglo group be permitted to use Whitman Park for their festival on September 16 and permission to include only the ability for the group to sell their own food and soft drinks for this event. Motion carried.

ACE BUILDING

1 yr lease renewal with 4 C Committee

Mrs. Billie Abel, representing the Coordinated Committee on Child Care of Mesa County, appeared before the Council to request renewal of the lease on the ACE Building at 134 West Avenue. Mrs. Abel noted that the Committee receives assistance in money and materials from churches and women's organizations. The amount that is charged for day care does not cover the expense of running the center. They do not have a government grant of any kind to help.

It was moved by Councilman Youngerman and seconded by Councilman Kozisek that the lease on the ACE Building be renewed for one more year. Motion carried.

SEWER PLANT

Petition against obnoxious odors

A letter from Mr. Robert Ausmus, 723 Main Street, was read regarding the odors from the sewer plant. Mr. Ausmus was present and presented a petition which he said contained 400 to 500 signers requesting that something be done about the odors. He also presented a second letter.

Utility Plant Supervisor Jim Patterson said that the City started taking action on this odor problem earlier in the year. During the past two or three months some odors coming from the Plant would not be indicative of normal operation. Because of a break down the first of the year, sludge had to be stored in a tank. Hot weather came along and started decaying it causing an odor. About 10 days ago, these tanks were completely emptied and treated. Mr. Patterson said he feels this will eliminate the great amount of odor that has originated these complaints. Mr. Patterson noted other steps the City is taking to polish up its treatment. There has been discussion with our Engineers about designing a seal to go over these tanks in the event we have to use them again. We are looking at a gas scrubber which will oxidize or do away with objectionable odors. Mr. Patterson noted that the City is concerned about these odors and is trying to do something about them.

Mr. Ausmus asked if these changes would add to the tax burden. Mr. Patterson replied that these are relatively minor things. Mr. Patterson recommended taking a step at a time to overcome the problems.

MULTI-PURPOSE EXHIBITION BLDG.

Mr. Ray Meacham, Chairman of the Multi-Purpose Exhibition Building Committee, appearing before the Council requested that Council:

(1) Come up with some dollar amount that they are going to spend;

(2) The Committee should have a few dollars so that a member of the Community may take a trip in the next six months to view some buildings; and

(3) Mr. Meacham does not feel at this time that it is necessary to hire a firm of architects to work closely with the Council and the Committee simply for the purpose of research and design. He feels technical advice of this kind must be made available at some future date; but until the type of structure can be agreed upon and what kind of funds will be available, it would seem that this would only result in unnecessary expenditure of those hard-to-get funds.

Mr. Meacham said he still believes, however, that it is quite necessary to have someone who can supply technical information, on a time and fee basis, when and if it is needed prior to the time that the plans and the complete design are needed.

He asked Council to give this consideration and then to indicate to the Committee if they should be meeting, and if so, what they should be doing.

ORD. NO. 1434

Amend dance hall ord.

The Proof of Publication to the following entitled proposed ordinance was presented and read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE DANCE HALL ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO. It was moved by Councilman Paruch and seconded by Councilman Grantham that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilman Youngerman and seconded by Councilman Paruch that the proposed ordinance be called up for final passage and read. Motion carried.

The Ordinance was read and there being no comments, it was moved by Councilman Youngerman and seconded by Councilman Kozisek that the Ordinance be passed, adopted numbered 1434, and ordered published. Roll was called upon the motion with all Councilmen present voting AYE. The President Pro Tempore declared the motion carried.

NORTH 8th St.

Little Bookcliff R/W renamed

Recommended from the City Planning Commission was the naming of the Little Bookcliff Railroad right of way located north of Bookcliff and south of Patterson Road. North 8th Street was proposed by the Planning Commission.

It was moved by Councilman Youngerman and seconded by Councilman Grantham that the Little Bookcliff Railroad right of way be named North 8th Street. Motion carried.

WALKER FIELD

Resolution acceptance of Amendment No. 2 to Grant Agrmt

Instr Airport FAA

The following Resolution was presented and read:

RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO THE GRANT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, THE COUNTY OF MESA, COLORADO, AND THE WALKER FIELD, COLORADO, PUBLIC AIRPORT AUTHORITY, AS CO-SPONSORS, AND THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION RELATING TO THE FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF WALKER FIELD UNDER AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT AID PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 8-08-0027-01 AND AIRPORT CONTRACT NO. DOT FA 71 WE-1301.

WHEREAS, the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration has issued an Amendment to the above-numbered project to add the Walker Field, Colorado, Public Airport Authority as Co-Sponsor of the Walker Field, Airport in conjunction with the City of Grand Junction and the County of Mesa, Colorado.

WHEREAS, the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration has agreed to the amendment to the Grant Agreement, now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED by the President and Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado:

Section 1. That the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, shall as Co-Sponsor with the County of Mesa, Colorado, and the Walker Field, Colorado, Public Airport Authority, accepted Amendment No. 2 to the Grant Agreement, Contract No. DOT FA 71 WE-1301 between the City of Grand Junction and the County of Mesa, Colorado, and the Federal Aviation Administration, executed on 16 June 1971.

Section 2. That the President of the City Council is hereby authorized and directed to execute said Amendment No. 2 to the Grant Agreement on behalf of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, and the City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to impress thereon the Official Seal of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, and to attest to said execution.

Section 3. That the Said Amendment No. 2 referred to hereinabove shall be as follows:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Page 1 of 4 pages

Contract No. DOT FA 71WE-1301

Walker Field Airport

Grand Junction, Colorado

AMENDMENT NUMBER 2 TO GRANT AGREEMENT FOR AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT AID
PROGRAM PROJECT NO. 8-08-0027-01

WHEREAS, the Federal Aviation Administration (hereinafter referred to as "FAA"), has determined it to be in the interest of the United States that the Grant Agreement relating to the above-numbered project between the Federal Aviation Administration, acting for and on behalf of the United States, the City of Grand Junction and Mesa County, Colorado (hereinafter referred to as the "Co-Sponsors") should be amended as hereinafter provided,

NOW, THEREFORE, WITNESSETH:

That in consideration of the benefits to occur to the parties hereto, the FAA on behalf of the United States, on the one part, the City of Grand Junction and Mesa County, Colorado, and Walker Field, Colorado, Public Airport Authority on the other part, do hereby mutually agree that the said Grant Agreement, as amended, between the United States and the Co-Sponsors, be and the same is amended as follows:

By deleting from line one on page one of the Grant Agreement the words "City of Grand Junction and Mesa County, Colorado," and substituting therefor the words, City of Grand Junction and Mesa County, Colorado, and Walker Field, Colorado, Public Airport Authority.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Second Amendment to said Grant Agreement to be duly executed as of 14th day of August, 1972.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Federal Aviation Administration

By (Signed) Enoch W. Anderson\Chief, Denver Airport District Office

The City of Grand Junction, Colorado does hereby ratify and adopt all statements, warranties, covenants and agreements contained in Amendment No. 2 to the said Grant Agreement and does hereby accept

said Amendment and by such acceptance agree to all of the terms and conditions thereof.

Executed this 16th day of August, 1972.

THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

BY /s/ Harry O. Colescott\President, City Council\Pro Tem,

SEAL

ATTEST:

By /s/ Neva B. Lockhart\City Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF CO-SPONSOR'S ATTORNEY

I, Gerald J. Ashby, acting as Attorney for the City of Grand Junction, Colorado (hereinafter referred to as "Co-Sponsor") do hereby certify:

That I have examined the foregoing Amendment No. 2 to said Grant Agreement and the proceedings taken by said Co-Sponsor relating thereto, and find that the execution thereof by said Co-Sponsor has been duly authorized and is in all respects due and proper and in accordance with the laws of the State of Colorado, and further that, in my opinion, said Amendment to the Grant Agreement constitutes a legal and binding obligation of the Co-Sponsor in accordance with the terms thereof.

Dated this 21st day of August, 1972 at Grand Junction, Colorado.

By /s/ Gerald J. Ashby\City Attorney

The County of Mesa, Colorado does hereby ratify and adopt all statements, warranties, covenants and agreements contained in Amendment No. 2 to the said Grant Agreement and does hereby accept said Amendment and by such acceptance agree to all of the terms and conditions thereof.

Executed this 21st day of August, 1972.

MESA COUNTY, COLORADO

BY /s/ Edwin S. Lamm\Chairman Board of Commissioners

SEAL

ATTEST:

By Annie M. Dunston\County Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF CO-SPONSOR'S ATTORNEY

I, Gerald J. Ashby, acting as Attorney for Mesa County (hereinafter referred to as "Co-Sponsor") do hereby certify:

That I have examined the foregoing Amendment No. 2 to said Grant Agreement and the proceedings taken by said Co-Sponsor relating thereto, and find that the execution thereby said Co-Sponsor has been duly authorized and is in all respects due and proper and in accordance with the laws of the State of Colorado, and further that, in my opinion, said Amendment to the Grant Agreement constitutes a legal and binding obligation of the Co-Sponsor in accordance with the terms thereof.

Dated at Grand Junction, Colo., this 21st day of August, 1972.

By /s/ Gerald J. Ashby\County Attorney

The Walker Field, Colorado Public Airport Authority does hereby ratify and adopt all statements, warranties, covenants and agreements contained in Amendment No. 2 to the said Grant Agreement and does hereby accept said Amendment and by such acceptance agree to all of the terms and conditions thereof.

Executed this 14th day of August, 1972.

WALKER FIELD, COLORADO

PUBLIC AIRPORT AUTHORITY

By /s/ Jack Wadlow\Chairman

SEAL

ATTEST:

By T. M. Ford\

CERTIFICATE OF CO-SPONSOR'S ATTORNEY

Resolution duly passed and adopted.

WALKER FIELD

Resolution authorizing Acceptance of a Co-Sponsor Agreement

Instr Airport FAA

The following Resolution was presented and read:

RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ACCEPTANCE OF A CO-SPONSOR AGREEMENT RELATING TO WALKER FIELD AIRPORT.

BE IT RESOLVED by the President and Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado:

Section 1. That the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, shall as Co-Sponsor with the County of Mesa, Colorado, and the Walker Field, Colorado, Public Airport Authority, accept the Co-Sponsor Agreement as set forth herein below.

Section 2. That the City of Grand Junction, does hereby ratify and adopt all statements, representations, warranties, covenants and agreements contained in the said Co-Sponsor Agreement.

Section 3. That the President of the City Council is hereby authorized and directed to execute said Co-Sponsor Agreement on behalf of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, and the City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to impress thereon, the Official Seal of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, and to attest said execution.

Section 4. That the said Co-Sponsor Agreement referred to hereinabove shall be as follows:

CO-SPONSOR AGREEMENT

This Agreement is made and entered into this 14th day of August, 1972, between the City of Grand Junction and Mesa County, Colorado and Walker Field, Colorado Public Airport Authority, hereinafter referred to as the Co-Sponsors of the Walker Field Airport, Grand Junction, Colorado.

For and in consideration of the benefits to the Co-Sponsors and the FAA, the parties hereto covenant and agree that:

(a) Will assume jointly and severally, the responsibilities for the development, operating and maintaining of the Walker Field Airport, Grand Junction, Colorado.

(b) Do mutually agree to assume all the obligations to the United States as contained in any prior or future agreements pertaining

to Walker Field Airport.

(c) Will accept, receipt for, and disburse grant payments.

Executed this 14th day of August, 1972.

WALKER FIELD, COLORADO PUBLIC AIRPORT AUTHORITY

By Jack Wadlow\Chairman

SEAL

ATTEST:

T/M. Ford\Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF SPONSOR'S ATTORNEY

I, Gerald J. Ashby, acting as Attorney for Walker Field, Colorado, public Airport Authority (herein referred to as the "Sponsor") do hereby certify:

That I have examined the foregoing Co-Sponsor Agreement and the proceedings taken by said Sponsor relating thereto and find that the Acceptance thereof by said Sponsor has been duly authorized and the execution thereof is in all respects due and proper and in accordance with the laws of the State of Colorado, and further that, in my opinion, said Co-Sponsor Agreement constitutes a legal and binding obligation of the Sponsor in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

Dated at Grand Jct., Colo. this 21st day of August, 1972.

By /s/ Gerald J. Ashby\Authority Attorney

Executed this 16th day of August, 1972.

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

By /s/ Harry O. Colescott\President Pro Tem of Council

SEAL

ATTEST:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart\City Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF SPONSOR'S ATTORNEY

I, Gerald J. Ashby, acting as Attorney for City of Grand Junction, Colorado (herein referred to as the "Sponsor") do hereby certify:

That I have examined the foregoing Co-Sponsor Agreement and the proceedings taken by said Sponsor relating thereto and find that the Acceptance thereof by said Sponsor has been duly authorized and the execution thereof is in all respects due and proper and in accordance with the laws of the State of Colorado, and further that, in my opinion, said Co-Sponsor Agreement constitutes a legal and binding obligation of the Sponsor in accordance with the terms of the agreement.

DATED this 21st day of August, 1972.

By /s/ Gerald J. Ashby\City Attorney

Executed this 21st day of August, 1972.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MESA COUNTY, COLORADO

By /s/ Edwin S. Lamm\Chairman

SEAL

ATTEST:

/s/ Annie M. Dunston\County Clerk & Recorder

CERTIFICATE OF SPONSOR'S ATTORNEY

I, Gerald J Ashby, acting as Attorney for the Board of County Commissioners of Mesa County, Colorado, (herein referred to as the "Sponsor") do hereby certify:

That I have examined the foregoing Co-Sponsor Agreement and the proceedings taken by said Sponsor relating thereto and find that the Acceptance thereof by said Sponsor has been duly authorized and the execution thereof is in all respects due and proper and in accordance with the laws of the State of Colorado, and further that, in my opinion, said Co-Sponsor Agreement constitutes a legal and binding obligation of the Sponsor in accordance with the terms of the Agreement.

DATED AT Grand Jct., Colo. this 21st day of August, 1972.

By /s/ Gerald J. Ashby\County Attorney

ADOPTED this 16th day of August, 1972 for the City of Grand Junction, Colorado,

By /s/ Harry O. Colescott\President Pro Tem.

ATTEST:

By /s/ Neva B. Lockhart\City Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF CITY CLERK

I, Neva B. Lockhart, the duly qualified and acting City Clerk of Grand Junction, Colorado, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, held on the 16th day of August, 1972, that said Resolution has been compared by me with the original thereof on file in my office and is a true copy of the whole of said original.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and the Seal of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, this 17th day of August, 1972.

By /s/ Neva B. Lockhart\City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Paruch and seconded by Councilman Kozisek that the Resolution be passed and adopted as read. Roll was called upon the motion with all Councilmen present voting AYE. The President Pro Tempore declared the motion carried and the Resolution duly passed and adopted.

PROPERTY

Accept State Hwy offer for 3 parcels for widening & improving Hwy 340

Instr. No. 4310(a)r(b)

The State Highway Department proposal for three parcels of property which they wish to acquire for the widening and improvement of Highway 340 was presented. The three parcels total .85 acres, or 37,026 square feet. The State Highway Department

land appraiser put a value of .29 per square foot on all the property in this project which works out for the City's taking at \$10,754. In addition they will be taking certain trees, gravel, and the concrete cradles under the asphalt tanks for which they will pay the City an additional \$2,846 for a grand total of \$13,600.

In addition the State Highway Department has received a quote from South HOuse Movers to move the asphalt tanks, quonset hut, and other pipe materials in the acquired area to the north on City property for a total of \$1,860. This figure includes the replacing of the cinder block south wall of the present quonset hut which cannot be moved. The existing chain link fence will be replaced on the City's new property line by the State Highway contractor at the time of the road construction. City Engineer Hickman recommended that the City accept the offer made by the State Highway Department.

It was moved by Councilman Youngerman and seconded by Councilman Kozisek that the recommendation be accepted; that the State Highway Department be awarded the parcels; and that the money received from these parcels go into the Land Purchase Fund. Motion carried.

WATER

Discuss raw water supply

Acting City Manager Harvey Rose reviewed the 1972 raw water supply. He noted that Water Supply Superintendent Al Wing and Utility Plants Superintendent Jim Patterson concur that based on 1972 water consumption estimates of 10 MGD through September 30, Grand Junction will consume 450 MG by the end of September. This would leave a margin of 183 MG as we enter the winter months. If the warm weather continues into October, however, this margin would be less. Mr. Rose outlined certain steps the City is taking to assure availability of usable water through the fall months.

(1) Getting permission from the Water Commission to change the point of diversion closer to the source with regard to the Johnson water now being purchased by the City. The City is now capturing the full 300,000 GD.

(2) The Parks and Recreation Department has further cut back on its watering.

Looking forward to 1973, Mr. Rose said the Administration is moving ahead with plans for the Gunnison River pumping station. Negotiations between Mr. Clifford Jex and the Redlands Power Company for the land is in progress. The pumping station is being designed presently, and it is being designed for two pumps. It was recommended the second pump be purchased and installed to not only increase the capacity of the pumping station, but also to assure that one pump would be operational at all times. The consensus of

Council was to approve the recommendations.

COMMUNITY ACTION COUNCIL

Authorize letter to OEO in support of

Councilman Kozisek apprised the Council of recent activities with regard to the Community Action Council. Councilman Kozisek said that the remarks by Acting City Manager Harvey Rose at a recent Community Action Council meeting was beneficial.

Councilman Kozisek moved that a letter from the Grand Junction City Council be directed to the office of Economic Opportunity in Denver supporting the continuation of the Community Action Council. Councilman Grantham seconded the motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

Councilman Youngerman moved and Councilman Grantham seconded that the meeting be adjourned.

Neva Lockhart
City Clerk