

Grand Junction, Colorado

May 21, 1975

ROLL CALL

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, met in regular session at 7:30 P.M., May 21, 1975, in the Civic Auditorium at City Hall. Members present for roll call: Larry Brown, Harry Colescott, Karl Johnson, Jane Quimby, Elvin Tufly, Robert Van Houten and President of the Council Lawrence Kozisek. Also present: City Manager Harvey Rose, City Attorney Gerald Ashby and Deputy City Clerk Marion Walthall, acting in the absence of City Clerk Neva B. Lockhart.

MINUTES

It was moved by Councilman Brown and seconded by Councilman Van Houten that the Minutes of the May 7, 1975, meeting be corrected with regard to the item, COUNCIL AUTHORIZES EXPENDITURE FROM COUNCIL CONTINGENCY FUND OF \$589.80 TO COLORADO MUNICIPAL LEAGUE FOR PUC HEARING REGARDING MOUNTAIN BELL RATE INCREASE, and the Motion "that a Resolution be directed to the PUC when the Public Service hearings on rate increases are held over here" read as follows: "that a Resolution be directed to the PUC opposing Public Service rate increases." It was moved by Councilman Johnson and seconded by Councilman Tufly that the Minutes of the Regular Meeting May 21, be approved subject to the foregoing correction. Motion carried.

DECISION OF COUNCIL

Hotel-Restaurant Liquor License Freeway Bowl 1900 Main

The following Decision on Application for Liquor License at Freeway Bowl was read:

DECISION ON APPLICATION FOR LIQUOR LICENSE AT FREEWAY BOWL

The City Council, having heard the evidence presented at its regular meeting of May 7, 1975, and having considered the evidence at that time presented all concerning the application for a hotel and restaurant liquor license by Robert Stack at 1900 Main Street, Grand Junction, Colorado, and being fully advised FINDS:

1. That both the independent survey conducted by representatives of the City and the petitions circulated by the applicant indicate that the needs of the neighborhood are not being met by existing outlets within the neighborhood or near the neighborhood;
2. That there are no other factors which would warrant a denial of the license.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the license be issued to Robert Stack

for the premises described upon the issuance of the State license.

President of the Council

Attest:

Deputy City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the application be approved and the license issued upon receipt of the State license. Motion carried with Councilman Brown voting NO.

DISCUSSION

Sale of Sandwiches on Public Rights-of-Way

Mr. Gary Hall and Mr. George Nauman appeared before Council with a request to amend Section 13-67 of the Ordinance governing Sales on Rights-of-Way to permit sale of sandwiches from a three-wheeled bicycle-type vehicle with facilities for dispensing food items, particularly hotdogs. Mr. Hall was questioned as to conformity with the Health Department regulations, and replied that they intended to abide by all State and Health Department regulations and the Ordinance governing place of sale and vehicle used. Councilman Johnson questioned Mr. Hall about preparing a sandwich out in the open as opposed to the prepackaged sandwich as to whether this was within the Health Department food handling requirements.

Council requested that Mr. Hall contact the State Department of Health as to what their regulations are, and then the Council will be in a better position to consider it further. Mr. Hall stated he would do so and report back to Mr. Ashby.

President Kozisek then asked if Mr. Hall and Mr. Nauman would like an expression from the Council as to whether they would be interested in changing the existing Ordinance to include the sale of sandwiches from rights-of-way.

Mr. Nauman stated he would like to sell along Main Street. President Kozisek and Councilman Van Houten were not in favor of this. Councilman Tufly stated a concern as to how this would affect organizations and youth groups in the City who occasionally have money-making projects along Main Street on a one-day basis. Councilman Van Houten felt allowing Mr. Nauman's request would open the door to all types of peddlers and vendors. Councilman Brown felt that, assuming all health requirements were met, there was nothing wrong with it.

President Kozisek asked Mr. Hall and Mr. Nauman if they would mind meeting with the Downtown Retail Trade Commission and get their viewpoints, and then bring these comments back to Council on June 4, which they agreed to do.

REPORT FROM MESA COUNTY LAND USE ADMINISTRATOR JIM KYLE

Mr. Jim Kyle, Mesa County Land Use Administrator, appeared before Council to report on his activities with the Mesa County Land Use Commission. He distributed to Council a letter from Mr. John R. Bermingham, Chairman of the Colorado Land Use Commission, addressed to All County and Municipal Land Use Administrators; a letter to Mr. Bermingham from the Assistant Attorney General; and an interpretation of H.B. 1041 compiled in layman's language by Mr. Kyle.

Mr. Kyle was mainly concerned with encouraging the local Government to proceed with designations of land use, particularly with regard to land around the airport to allow for future growth, the floodplains to guard against future health hazards or property damage, and designation of certain lands and property of historical value.

Councilman Colescott stated that a time would come whereby if the local Government did not do this, it would be done for them, and Mr. Kyle again encouraged the Council to make these designations before the County or the Land Use Commission made the designations for them.

There was a general discussion by Council following Mr. Kyle's presentation.

RETAIL STORE LIQUOR LICENSE (CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP)

Don Gurgess Liquors (Formerly Raso Liquor Store)

Granted

Presented for consideration was the application by Don Burgess Liquors, (formerly Raso Liquor Store) for a Retail Store Liquor License at 202 Ute Avenue. This was a change of ownership. A memorandum from the police department indicated background investigations revealed nothing of a derogatory nature.

It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the application be approved and the license issued when the State license has been received. Motion carried.

APPLICATION FOR RENEWAL OF 3.2 BEER LICENSE

Way Side Grocery 2851 North Avenue

Granted

Presented for consideration was the application by Travis L. and Edith M. Park, Way Side Grocery, 2851 North Avenue, for renewal of 3.2 Beer License. A report from the Police Department showed during the past licensing period there had been no complaints filed against the business, the owners or the operation.

It was moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the application for renewal be approved and the license issued when the State license has been received. Motion carried.

APPLICATION FOR 3.2 BEER LICENSE (CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP)

Robert James Stack, Orchard Bowl, 295 27 Road

Granted

Presented for consideration was the application for 3.2 Beer license (change of ownership) Robert James Stack, Orchard Bowl, 295 27 Road. Mr. Stack just recently purchased Orchard Bowl and this is an application to change the license into his name. A report from the Police Department advised there was nothing derogatory in the applicant's background check.

It was moved by Councilman Johnson and seconded by Councilman Van Houten that the application be approved and the license issued when the State license has been received. Motion carried.

APPLICATION FOR RETAIL STORE LIQUOR LICENSE (CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP)

Rollie Douglas and Darlene M. Stoner

Granted

Presented for consideration was the application for a Retail Store Liquor License by Rollie Douglas and Darlene M. Stoner who have purchased what is presently Jay's Liquors, 401 North Avenue, hereafter to be known as D & D Liquors.

A Police Department report revealed that background investigations and personal interviews with the applicants gave rise to nothing of a questionable nature and that no reason was known that the applicants would not meet all requirements for licensing in the State of Colorado.

It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the application be approved and the license issued when the State license has been received.

City Attorney Ashby added that Council had requested at the luncheon that he briefly discuss existing policy with regard to violations which from time to time occur. Even though the license has been transferred, any penalties that may be meted out by County Court will still go on. It was Mr. Ashby's suggestion that

this policy be continued, even though there will be times when the license will be transferred in the meantime, such as in this instance. He stated that it is preferable to go into criminal proceedings first before it comes before Council for action. Because if it comes before Council first, he is handicapped as to proof because they can refuse to testify on grounds of incrimination. Then he has no witnesses. If they've already been in Court, they don't have this defense.

HEARING

Application by Mesa College for Special Events Permit to Serve 3.2 Beer by the Drink in Mesa College Student Center, June 6, 1975

Approved

Presented for consideration was the application by Mesa College for a Special Events Permit to serve 3.2 Beer by the drink in Mesa College Student Center, one day only, June 6, 1975.

A memo from the Police Department stated that the application had been filed by Mr. Richard Appel, and that every effort would be made by the student body and supervising officials to cooperate with the City and comply with local and State laws, and they recommended honoring this application. The State Liquor Officer had made a specific requirement that they rope off a particular area since this event is to be held outdoors.

Mr. Allen Workman, Coordinator of Student Activities at Mesa College and Acting Sponsor for this event, stated that the application is incorrect as to place of the event--that it will be on the lawn area rather than in the Student Center. This is an area about 150 by 350 feet, south of the Center, and it will be roped off. The event is to be from 4:00 to 6:00 P.M. with a jazz band during that time. Council had asked that an investigation be made concerning two recent events the College held which resulted in complaints from the neighborhood about noise. Mr. Teed talked to Mr. Workman concerning this and found it was a student affair with College sanction. There was no rowdyism. They did check the sound level in the neighborhood, and they have had the volume lowered, which indicates the College is making an effort to avoid any problems with the neighborhood.

It was moved by Councilman Johnson and seconded by Councilman Brown that this Permit be granted. Motion carried.

REQUEST FOR ZONING CHANGE FROM R-1-C TO PD-20 (TABLED LAST MEETING) PATTERSON GARDENS, SW CORNER OF 15TH & PATTERSON ROAD

Senior Planner Don Warner stated that he was in receipt of a letter signed by Mr. Pat Edwards, Mr. Mike Hyre, and Mr. Chuck Wiman, and also a letter from Mr. Keith Mumby, on behalf of his clients, all objecting to PUD 20 zoning change. The letters indicated that these people would not object to a PUD 12 zoning.

Mr. Warner stated Mr. Mumby's clients are the owners of the Wellington Gardens. Changing to PD-12 zoning would require the plan to go back to Planning for some revision, and then come before Council again. During ensuing discussion, the possibility of PD-8 zoning was mentioned. Mr. Chuck Wiman, the developer of the project, said there was no way that they could proceed with the development if it were zoned PD-8, but they could with PD-12. He also was fearful that they would stand to lose some of the commitments they now have if there were many more delays, as these funds are allocated by the Government on a limited basis. He said if they lose out on these funds, they would not be able to come under that program and could not proceed with the development.

Mr. Al Goffredi, a resident of the area in question, stated that he and other residents in the area would like to see the changes now proposed in writing and have a chance to discuss them, since they did not have the letters in hand that the Council had, and were not prepared to make a decision at this meeting. Asked if, by June 4, they were furnished copies of the material Council had received, would they be prepared to appear at the June 4, Council Meeting if they had any objections, Mr. Goffredi replied that they would be there.

After further discussion regarding mix of high and low density, spot zoning, and PD-8 zoning, it was the consensus to return the plan to the Planning Department to be advertised for zoning change to PD-12, and brought before the June 4, Council meeting.

It was moved by Councilman Van Houten and seconded by Councilman Johnson that this request for zoning change to PD-12 be advertised for public hearing before Council on June 4. Motion carried.

ORD. NO. 1563

Zoning Bookcliff Avenue Enclave Annexation R-3

The Proof of Publication for the following entitled Ordinance was presented: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY ADDING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LAND WITHIN THE CITY. It was moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the Ordinance be called up for final passage and read. Motion carried.

The Ordinance was read. There being no comments, it was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the Ordinance be passed, adopted, numbered 1563 and ordered published. Roll was called upon the motion with all Council members present voting AYE. Motion carried.

ORD. NO. 1564

Rezoning 11th Street & Ute Avenue H.O.

The Proof of Publication for the following entitled Ordinance was presented: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY CHANGING THE ZONING ON CERTAIN LAND WITHIN THE CITY. It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman Colescott that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the Ordinance be called up for final passage and read. Motion carried.

The Ordinance was read. There being no comments, it was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the Ordinance be passed, adopted, numbered 1564 and ordered published. Roll was called upon the motion with all Council members present voting AYE. Motion carried.

ORD. NO. 1565

Annexing Howard Johnson No. 2

The Proof of Publication for the following entitled Ordinance was presented: AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TERRITORY TO THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO. It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the Proof of Publication be accepted and filed. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the Ordinance be called up for final passage and read. Motion carried.

The Ordinance was read. There being no comments, it was moved by Councilman Van Houten and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the Ordinance be passed, adopted, numbered 1565 and ordered published. Roll was called upon the motion with all Council members present voting AYE. Motion carried.

The President declared a five-minute recess.

PROP. ORD. AMENDING MISDEMEANOR OFFENSES

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 19 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, THE MISCELLANEOUS OFFENSE ORDINANCE, BE REPEALING CERTAIN SECTIONS THEREOF AND AMENDING OTHERS. City Attorney Ashby reviewed the sections to be repealed and amended, as outlined in a memo to the Council.

It was moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilman Brown that the Proposed Ordinance be passed for publication.

Motion carried.

RESOLUTION CONVEYING PROPERTY TO WILLIAM JARVIS, JR.

City Attorney Ashby advised that Mr. Jarvis had previously offered to purchase this property for \$3,250.00 which was the appraised value of the property, and the same amount the City was paying him for four feet on the lot next to him. Mr. Van Gundy was also interested in acquiring this property. Mr. Jarvis did covenant as part of the Deed not to use this property for junkyard purposes. The junkyard use is a conditional use in that area and if Mr. Van Gundy were to acquire the property to use as a junkyard he would have to come before Council to do it. Mr. Ashby felt the arguments against the property being used for junkyard purposes would be somewhat less if Mr. Van Gundy owned it.

City Attorney Ashby recommended to Council that ??? convey to Mr. Jarvis the ??? for the sum of \$3,250 and ??? them that it could probably ??? out and more money real-??? it. It was the consensus ??? that it be bidded out with the proviso it not be used as a junkyard. It was moved by Councilman Van Houten and seconded by Councilman Colescott that this parcel be put up for bid and the bid specify the intent it never be used as a junkyard. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION FORMALY RECOGNIZING MESA COUNTY CENTENNIAL-
BICENTENNIAL COMMITTEE IN ORDER TO OBTAIN TAX EXEMPT STATUS

Councilwoman Quimby moved to adopt the following Resolution:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Mesa County Centennial Bicentennial Committee has requested that the City of Grand Junction formally recognize such Committee so that the same may secure tax exempt status under the regulations of the Internal Revenue Service, and

WHEREAS, the City Council believes such recognition is in the interest of the citizens of the City of Grand Junction for proper coordination of Centennial Bicentennial activities;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, that the Committee, composed of Marietta Benge, Dottie Dillard, Audrey Bassinger, Mabel Donaldson, Rev. Ken Baird, Carter Elliott, Jr. and Sam Suplizio, is recognized as the official committee for Centennial Bicentennial activities under the name of Mesa County Centennial Bicentennial Committee.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 21st day of May, 1975.

President of City Council

Attest:

Deputy City Clerk

which motion was seconded by Councilman Johnson. Roll was called upon the motion with all members of Council voting AYE. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING TRANSFER OF PROPERTY AT 8TH AND ROOD TO THE GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, PUBLIC HOUSING AUTHORITY

Councilman Tufly moved to adopt the following Resolution:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Grand Junction Housing Authority has requested that the City of Grand Junction convey to it as a basis for its first project the lands owned by the City of Grand Junction hereinafter described, and

WHEREAS, the proposed project has the approval of the City of Grand Junction and is a public project in the interest of the citizens of Grand Junction, and

WHEREAS, the lands are not held or used for park of governmental purposes;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, that the City Manager be, and he is hereby authorized and directed to execute a deed conveying the interest of the City of Grand Junction to the Grand Junction Housing Authority in and to the lands situate in Mesa County, Colorado, and described as:

Lots 32, 31 and West one-half of Lot 30 in Block 92, City of Grand Junction.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 21st day of May, 1975.

President of the Council

Attest:

Deputy City Clerk

which motion was seconded by Councilman Brown. Roll was called upon the motion with all members of Council voting AYE. Motion carried.

CONSIDERATION OF EXTENDING 5-YEAR EASEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY AND STATE OF COLORADO FOR BOAT RAMP ON CITY SHOPS GROUND

It was moved by Councilman Van Houten and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby to extend the 5-year easement between the City and State of Colorado for a Boat Ramp on City Shops Ground. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CITY MANAGER TO CONVEY PROPERTY FROM CITY TO ROSE B. BAKER, TRUSTEE

Councilman Van Houten moved to adopt the following Resolution:

RESOLUTION BOOK 1060 PAGE 45 RECORDED FEB. 24, 1976

WHEREAS, the property hereinafter described has been purchased from the City of Grand Junction and a Deed has been given to evidence such purchase, and

WHEREAS, the purchaser now desires that the name of the grantee be changed from the original name.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the City Manager, as the act of the City and on behalf of the City, is hereby authorized and directed to execute a Warranty Deed to Rose B. Baker, Trustee, for the property situate in Mesa County, Colorado, and described as:

Lots 27 and 28 Block L, Keith's Addition

PASSED and ADOPTED this 21st day of May, 1975.

President of the Council

Attest:

Deputy City Clerk

which motion was seconded by Councilman Johnson. Roll was called upon the motion with all members of Council voting AYE. Motion carried.

FALSE BURGLAR ALARMS

Council requested that City Attorney Ashby check into the excessive false burglar alarms, and the feasibility and legality of charging fees for false alarms. He hopes to have this report by the June 4 meeting.

PARADISE HILLS SEWER SERVICE AGREEMENT

It was noted that at the last meeting when this Agreement was brought up, there was no provision for the sewerage plant investment fee of \$150 in the event of joining into the City trunk line. Paragraph 6 now provides that if the City constructs a trunk line making it possible to treat the sewerage, each household connected to Paradise Hills Sewer Service will be assessed the \$150 sewerage plant investment fee.

It was moved by Councilman Colescott and seconded by Councilman Van Houten that the City Manager be authorized to execute the Paradise Hills Sewer Service Agreement. Motion carried.

SEWERAGE TREATMENT PLANT IMPROVEMENTS AND FUNDING

City Manager Rose explained that because of a change in State requirements, the City is forced to improve the treatment plant, and Council authorized a contract with NHPQ to study that proposition.

The resultant project to improve the plant carries an estimated cost of \$668,000. There would be an estimated annual maintenance and operation cost of \$25,000, with some additional staff needed.

He advised that the City had been offered a 75% grant with 25% matching funds. The City has \$215,000 in the budget to match this grant. Also budgeted is \$40,000 to accommodate the Coors facility which will not be needed this year due to a delay in its construction.

Mr. Jim Patterson, Utility Plant Director, had advised the need for a north-northeast interceptor that would collect sewerage from all existing and proposed residential areas to the north including the Paradise Hills area at a total cost of \$368,750 with annual maintenance and operation cost of \$1,000, and needing no additional personnel. Income would be approximately \$24,000 initially from taps with an estimated annual direct or indirect income of \$16,000.

Our share of both projects would amount to \$259,187. With the \$215,000 already budgeted and the \$40,000 budgeted to accommodate the Coors plant but which isn't going to be used this year, the staff recommends using these funds to help match the grant. City Manager Rose recommended acceptance of the grant, beginning construction plans, and letting the project for bids.

Discussion followed regarding Paradise Hills and the \$25,000 plant fee from developer Bob Bray. Mr. Bray was present and stated he did not understand that he was to put up the \$25,000 in cash, or at the outset, but that it would be at the rate of \$150 plant investment fees up to the \$25,000.

Utilities Director Jim Patterson was asked to define the route of the new line into the existing collection system.

In further discussion, City Manager Rose advised the total cost of the two projects is \$1,036,750, of which the City would pay \$259,187. Most of this would go into the plant. He also stated the two projects were not tied together; that the City could have a plant expansion without the interceptor. Councilman Tufly questioned the need for undertaking the interceptor at this time. City Manager Rose said that it is not known what grant money might be available five, six or seven years from now, and that if the interceptor is not constructed, the City might be encouraging a proliferation of package plants, and the City would want to get away from package plants.

Councilman Tufly expressed concern about taking \$40,000 which is budgeted for the Coors plant to use this year for the treatment plant since Coors isn't ready to proceed with their facility, because the City will still have to set aside that money in next year's budget since it has made a commitment to Coors.

It was moved by Councilman Johnson and seconded by Councilman Colescott that the City Manager be authorized to accept the two grants, one for the trunk line and one for the plant improvement. Motion carried with Councilman Tufly and Councilman Brown voting NO.

REQUEST FOR WAIVER OF AUDITORIUM RENTAL FEE BY SEARCH & RESCUE ORGANIZATION

Mr. Delbert Golson, a member and representative of the local Search & Rescue organization, appeared before Council to request a waiver of fees for the rental of the Civic Auditorium, by the organization May 17 and May 18. Tickets were sold to cover the fees, but after paying the instructors, and a smaller-than-expected attendance, they ran about \$70 short.

Councilman Brown expressed the opinion that the people of SARC on many occasions have given of their time and money to save people without asking a fee. IT WAS Moved by Councilman Brown and seconded by Councilman Van Houten that the fee be waived. Motion carried.

HORIZON BICYCLE CLUB

Jj, representing the newly formed Horizon Bicycle Club, extended an invitation to the Council and their families to join the Club Sunday, May 25, for a short trip to Connected Lakes where the group would have a picnic lunch. President Kozisek thanked Jj on behalf of the Council for the invitation.

TASK FORCE MEETING IN DENVER

Councilman Colescott announced that there will be a Task Force

meeting in Denver June 28, for discussion of work going into this program.

MESA COUNTY WORK RELEASE PROGRAM

Councilman Tufly moved to adopt the following Resolution:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Mesa County Work Release Program, under the direction of Sheriff Dick Williams and Ray Draper, and with the assistance of the Mesa County Commissioners and other people within the community, has proved itself to be a successful and model operation; and

WHEREAS, this has not been the result in most other areas of the State; and

WHEREAS, the success in Mesa County demonstrates the value of local control to meet local needs thereby encouraging local interest and participation; and

WHEREAS, the State Offices now propose a stronger State intervention into the Mesa County organization through the placing of persons from the Eastern Slope in the program and otherwise exerting a stronger control, all not in the interest of its continued success;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the Council strongly support the local, successful Work Release Plan and ask that State government refrain from interfering with it to its detriment;

That copies of this Resolution be communicated to those persons necessary to accomplish the intent of the Resolution.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 21st day of May, 1975.

President of the Council

Attest:

City Clerk, Deputy

which motion was seconded by Councilman Johnson. Roll was called upon the motion with all members present voting AYE. Motion carried.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councilman Johnson and duly seconded and carried that the meeting be adjourned.

Marion H. Walthall

Marion H. Walthall
Deputy City Clerk