

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF  
THE CITY COUNCIL

December 21, 1977

ROLL CALL

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, met in regular session at 7:30 p.m. Wednesday, December 21, 1977, in the Council Chambers at City Hall. Present and answering roll call were Council members: Larry Brown, Robert Holmes, Karl Johnson, Bill O'Dwyer, Jane Quimby, Elvin Tufly, and President of the Council Lawrence Kozisek. Also present were City Attorney Gerald Ashby, City Manager Jim Wysocki, and City Clerk Neva Lockhart.

MINUTES

It was moved by Councilman Holmes and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the minutes of the meetings November 16, 1977, and November 23, 1977, be approved as written. Motion carried.

APPOINTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TASK FORCE

Peggy Foster, 1601 Orchard, Dr. M. Briggs, 303 Hopi Drive, Richard Coakley, 150 Willowbrook, Howard Downen, 1444 Grand, Greg Robson, 960 White were appointed by the City Council to serve on the Transportation Force. Don Henry, 1205 Chipeta, was selected as an alternate in the event any of the other five for any reason cannot serve.

RESOLUTION OF FINDINGS AND DECISION ON APPLICATION BY SOUTHLAND CORPORATION FOR 3.2% FERMENTED MALT BEVERAGE LICENSE AT 7-ELEVEN STORE, 7TH AND PATTERSON-DENIED

Mr. Donald La Mora, Attorney for Southland Corporation, filed letters December 21, 1977, which stated "The applicant hereby objects to Councilman William O'Dwyer (Councilman Robert Holmes) voting on this application and requests that he be disqualified from voting on this application for the reason that his prior voting record upon new applications for similar licenses evidences a prejudgment of and predisposition to deny all applications for alcoholic beverage licenses at new locations." Councilman Holmes and O'Dwyer did not disqualify themselves from voting.

The following Resolution was presented and read:

RESOLUTION

OF DECISION ON APPLICATION FOR FERMENTED MALT BEVERAGE LICENSE AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SEVENTH AND PATTERSON, GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO.

A public hearing having been held on December 7, 1977, on the application by Southland Corporation for a fermented malt beverage

license at 7-Eleven Store on the Northwest Corner of 7th and Patterson, Grand Junction, and the City Council having considered the evidence adduced at said hearing:

FINDS:

1. That the hearing was held after proper notice thereof under the Colorado Beer Code.

2. That in the survey of the neighborhood conducted by the City 79 persons favored the issuance of the license and felt that the needs of the neighborhood were not being met by existing outlets, while 93 persons opposed the issuance of the license and felt the needs of the neighborhood were being met by such outlets.

3. The applicant introduced petitions circulated within and without the neighborhood, but in close proximity thereto, signed by 207 persons who stated they favored the issuance of the license and the needs of the neighborhood required the issuance of the license; additionally, petitions bearing the signatures of 563 patrons of the store, which requested that the license issue. The store patrons were from the neighborhood in part but most were from areas outside the neighborhood.

4. There are, within a mile and a half driving distance of the proposed site, seven similar outlets.

5. That, in evaluating the survey of the City and the petitions as they relate to that survey in expressing the desires of the inhabitants and with that in turn related to the needs of the neighborhood, greater weight should be given the independent survey conducted by the City because of the manner in which it is conducted and the impartiality with which it is approached; and, while there are more signers for the outlet than opposed, the numbers are not controlling but are a factor to be considered along with other factors.

6. That seven outlets within a mile and a half is ample for serving the neighborhood at this time, and for that reason the application should be denied.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the application by Southland Corporation for a fermented malt beverage license at the northwest corner of 7th and Patterson in the City of Grand Junction be denied.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 21st day of December, 1977.

---

President of the Council

Attest:

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

It was moved by Councilman Brown and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the Resolution be passed and adopted as read. Upon roll call Council members BROWN, QUIMBY, HOLMES, JOHNSON, O'DWYER, AND KOZISEK voted AYE. Councilman TUFLY voted NO. The President declared the motion carried and the Resolution duly passed and adopted.

LIQUOR-APPLICATION FOR TAVERN LIQUOR LICENSE (CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP), IVANHOE INN, 233 NORTH AVENUE

Submitted for consideration was the application by Magdalena and Silvester Greenberger for tavern liquor license at the Ivanhoe Inn, 233 North Avenue. The license is presently owned by Ski-Lu, Inc. Willard and Lucille Nelson.

A report from the Police Department advised that Silvester and Magdalena Greenberger are clear NCIC, CCIC, and local files. Teletypes were sent to areas of former residency and all have been returned with no record found. Fingerprint cards were mailed 12/07/77, but have not been returned to date.

It was moved by Councilman Johnson and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the application be approved and the license issued when the State license has been received provided nothing of a derogatory nature is revealed upon the return of the fingerprint cards. Motion carried.

HEARING ON LIQUOR CODE VIOLATION-KATHERINE L. BLACKSHEAR, ST. REGIS LOUNGE, 355 COLORADO AVENUE

Mrs. Katherine L. Blackshear was present for the hearing on liquor code violation which occurred June 11, 1977, by the sale to an underage person. She was represented by Mr. Gary Cowan. Mrs. Blackshear did not contest the violation. She appeared in Court in September and paid a \$50.00 fine and \$8.00 court costs. Mr. Cowan requested that if Council saw fit to assess a penalty, the penalty not take affect until after the first of the year because of the late date when this violation was brought to Council's attention for hearing.

David Brauneis, Grand Junction Police Department, related the incident.

Councilman Johnson repeated his reluctance to impose a sanction against a licensee where a City agency fails to bring the matter immediately to the attention of the Council. He feels justice is not being done. The licensee has a right to be advised immediately that an action is pending.

Councilman Tufly stated the indication in this case is that the owner is diligent in checking I.D.s.

Councilman Brown stated that the delay in bringing this matter to Council's attention is terrible, however, a violation is a violation. Based on the previous record of the licensee, he could see no penalty at this time.

It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman Brown that no penalty is assessed at this time for this violation. Roll call vote resulted in Council members QUIMBY, HOLMES, O'DWYER, BROWN, and KOZISEK voting NO. Council members JOHNSON and TUFly voted AYE. The President declared the motion lost.

Council members Quimby, Holmes, and Kozisek agreed with the discussion regarding the delay in bringing the violation to Council's attention. However, they felt that a violation did occur and they would be more comfortable with a suspended penalty as a matter of record so that if another violation occurs within six months the violation should be immediately brought to Council's attention for revocation of the license.

It was moved by Councilman Holmes and seconded by Councilman O'Dwyer that the license be suspended immediately for a period of five days with the suspension being held in abeyance for a period of six months. Motion carried with Councilman Tufly voting NO.

HEARING ON APPLICATION BY MESA COLLEGE FOR 3.2% BEER SPECIAL EVENTS PERMIT JANUARY 7, 1978

A hearing was held on the application by Mesa College for a 3.2% Beer Special Events Permit to be used at Houston Hall on Saturday, January 7, 1978, by the Student Body Association between the hours of 8:00 p.m. to midnight.

There was no one present from the Student Body Association to speak for the granting of the permit.

Mr. Glen Johnson, a student at Mesa College, expressed opposition to the granting of this permit as Mesa College is a learning institute and not set up to throw parties for students even though they are of legal age. He feels there are plenty of off-campus sites for the student to party.

Councilman O'Dwyer said he was uncomfortable with granting these permits because of the damage which occurs at these parties--such as broken windows and damaged doors--to a tax-supported institution.

Mr. Ashby advised that since the College is controlled by a governing Board, Council must assume they will control what happens or they will stop permitting these events on campus. He did not feel Council could anticipate there will be difficulty out

there. Councilman Holmes commented that in conjunction with the gentleman who appeared representing the students and the non-appearance of anyone from the Student Body Association in support of the application, it would appear they have concluded it is not necessary and it would seem they are taking the Council for granted in giving these permits. He concurred with the thought that it is a learning institution and (Council) shouldn't feel that it is a matter of course that this type of thing be necessary to make the activities at Mesa College a constructive community institution.

Mr. Glen Johnson voted he is the senior representative to the student government. He spoke as an individual and not as a representative of the Student Body Association.

It was moved by Councilman Brown and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the 3.2% Beer Special Events Permit be granted provided four (4) off-duty police officers are hired to work the dance. Motion carried with Council members HOLMES and O'DWYER voting NO.

#### CONSULTING ENGINEER TO DO DESIGN ENLARGEMENT OF JUNIATA RESERVOIR

Utility Engineer Duane Jensen reported that twelve consulting engineers throughout Colorado who are familiar with dam design projects were invited to submit proposals on the enlargement of Juniata Reservoir. From those who have shown interest, four have been interviewed. They are Armstrong Engineering of Grand Junction, DMJM Company of Denver, Chann and Associates of Denver and Glenwood Springs, and CH2M Hill of Denver and Oregon. A list of priorities upon which to consider the engineers has been prepared for negotiation. The number one engineer is Armstrong Engineering, number two is DMJM if negotiations are not culminated with Armstrong Engineering, and number three is CH2M Hill.

#### RESOLUTION OF FINDINGS AND DECISION ON REQUEST TO REZONE FROM 4-2 TO B-1 THE NW CORNER OF 7TH AND GLENWOOD - DENIED

The following Resolution was present and read:

#### RESOLUTION

OF DECISION ON APPLICATION FOR REZONING.

This matter having come on for action on the 7th day of December, 1977 upon the application of Harry Williams for the change in zoning from R-2 (Residential-Duplex) to B-1 (Limited Business) on land situated in the City of Grand Junction, County of Mesa, described as:

The South 80 feet of the North 180 feet of lot 19 in CAPITOL HILL SUBDIVISION in Section 11, Township 1 South, Range 1 West of the Ute Meridian,

and the Council, having considered the matter,

FINDS:

1. That, some considerable time prior to the matter coming before the City Council, the City Planning Commission had recommended that the application be denied.
2. That the applicant did not present any evidence to the Council to indicate either that the original zoning was in error or that conditions had changed to warrant the change in zoning requested.
3. That such change would not be in the best interest of the public peace, health and safety at this time, and the application should be denied.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the application of Harry Williams for the change of zoning on the land described be denied.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 21st day of December, 1977.

\_\_\_\_\_  
President of the Council

Attest:

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

It was moved by Councilwoman Quimby and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the Resolution be passed and adopted as read. Upon roll call Council members QUIMBY, HOLMES, JOHNSON, O'DWYER, BROWN, and KOZISEK voted AYE. Councilman TUFLY abstained. The President declared the motion carried and the Resolution duly passed and adopted.

HEARING ON APPLICATION TO REZONE FROM R-1-A TO R-1-B BELLRIDGE  
SUBDIVISION, 27 1/2 ROAD AND F1/2 ROAD

The Planning Commission recommended approval subject to:

No reduction of lot size or increase in the number of lots approved in either the final plat of Bell Ridge Filing #1 or the approved preliminary plan for the balance of Bell Ridge.

A hearing was held after proper notice. There was no one in the audience who indicated a desire to speak, and no letters were filed.

The following entitled ordinance was introduced and read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY CHANGING THE ZONING ON CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY. It was noted that this ordinance upon final passage will need to be recorded. It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilwoman Johnson that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

HEARING-FIRST ADDITION ARBOR VILLAGE SUBDIVISION, NW CORNER ORCHARD AVENUE & 26TH STREET

The Planning Commission approved the First Addition Arbor Village Subdivision located on the NW Corner of Orchard Avenue and 26th Street subject to the following conditions:

--Full improvements to Bookcliff from west boundary to east boundary of the subdivision.

--Street lights are not shown.

--Alternative #3 was settled upon by the Planning Commission for the design of the cul-de-sacs and trash pickups.

--Irrigation easements should be provided on east side of irrigation ditch.

--Public Service Company needs six foot front lot line easements adjacent to lots on Pinyon, Walnut, and Bookcliff and Electric requires 10 foot easement adjacent to east property line on Block 2, lots 1, 4, 5, 8, and 9.

A hearing was held after proper notice. Blaine Ford, developer, was present. There were no others in the audience who indicated a desire to speak, and there were no letters filed.

It was moved by Councilman Johnson and seconded by Councilman O'Dwyer that the First Addition to Arbor Village Subdivision be approved subject to the conditions of the Planning Commission and provided no building permits issue until the deficiencies of the streets in Arbor Village one have been resolved to the satisfaction of City Staff. Motion carried.

HEARING ON APPLICATION TO REZONE FROM R-2 TO B-3, SW CORNER 17TH AND MAIN

The Planning Commission recommended denial of this application based on a reason that proposed use constitutes (Section 10. Amendments 10e6) spot zoning and because of the many loopholes in business zoning which could result in being bad neighbors for a residential area.

Planning Staff, City Engineering, and representatives of Parks Department met to discuss this proposal and if this proposal were

to be granted, would suggest:

--landscaping on 17th Street (front).

--when building is sited, do not obscure sightlines for vehicles at end of 17th and alley.

PDB may be more appropriate, but as a practical matter many of the uses by "right" may be illogical because of locations and parkings.

A hearing was held after proper notice.

Mr. Tony Prinster, Attorney for the petitioner, Mr. Klauzer, stated the reason they are seeking the rezoning of the property is to accommodate Mr. Klauzer's business, the nature of which is light retail office supply. The business is operated primarily as a mail-order type of operation. Mr. Klauzer solicits as an on-the-road and in town salesman. He compiles his orders and does minor warehousing of office supplies. There is no heavy walk-in trade. He needs a place primarily for an office building with small warehousing facilities to service his business. He proposes a nice building for the property. The Staff recommendations agreed to by Mr. Klauzer involved screening to the west to take care of the residential property and an arrangement for trash pick-up. Mr. Prinster stated that at the Planning Commission hearing there were no neighbors who objected. He submitted a letter from Mrs. Anne Jones whose property is located next to and immediately west of the proposed development. Mrs. Jones has no objection to the proposed zoning change. Mr. Prinster thinks the Planning Commission was concerned primarily that maybe Mr. Klauzer was speculating and trying to get the zoning change and then turning around and doing something else with it but that certainly was not the case. That was sort of the flavor they got from the comments that were made after the meeting was closed. For that reason, the request for Council's consideration. He requested Council to remand the request to the Planning Commission for further inquiry and consideration so the petitioner can have another shot at it and perhaps fully develop the plan for the Planning Commission.

In answer to the question by Councilman Brown about considering PD-B, Mr. Prinster stated this was certainly something that was considered after the Planning Commission denial. It would be more expensive to do that, and that is why they brought the matter to Council. They did not pursue PD-B in the first instance because after consulting with Mr. Beaver and planning staff it appeared that B-3 was the proper avenue to pursue.

Mr. Beaver noted that the Planning Commission would not recommend that the developer come in with a PD-B.

During discussion, it was suggested by Council that in the event the developer wishes to come in as PD-B immediately, fees other than advertising and posting can be waived, and the item can be



scheduled before the Planning Commission at its January meeting.

Mr. Beaver indicated that in this rezoning, the Planning Commission can request but it cannot demand that the developer do any or all of these things. With PD-B, however, it leaves the door open to request a power of attorney for full half street improvements on 17th which goes along in front of his property. As it exists, it is going to remain an unimproved street for some time.

There were no others who indicated a desire to speak and there were no other letters filed.

It was moved by Councilman O'Dwyer and seconded by Councilman Brown to refer this item back to the Planning Commission for reconsideration and urge the Planning Commission to consider PD-B. Motion carried.

REPLAT OF LOT 3, COLORADO WEST DEVELOPMENT PARK, 900 BLOCK OF SOUTH 5TH STREET

The Planning Commission approved the replat of Lot 3, Colorado West Development Park located in the 900 block of South 15th Street subject to the following conditions:

--City Engineering requested the petitioner replace the 3 foot gutter pans with 5 footers.

--City Utilities requires 15 foot easement centered on sewer line for access and maintenance.

--Public Service Company and Mountain Bell require additional easement.

--Fire Department has approved fire hydrant locations.

--Building permits will be reviewed to insure adequate circulation for police and fire service around all structures.

A hearing was held after proper notice. There was no one in the audience who indicated a desire to speak, and there were no letters filed.

It was moved by Councilman Johnson and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the replat of Lot 3, Colorado West Development Park be approved subject to the conditions of the Planning Commission. Motion carried.

HEARING-FINAL PLAT NORTHRIDGE ESTATES FILING 3, NORTHEAST OF NORTHRIDGE DRIVE

The Planning Commission approved the final plat of Northridge Estates Filing 3 subject to the following conditions:

--Zoned R-1-A.

--Petitioner has placed all hydrants as requested by City Fire Department.

--Public Service Company and Mountain Bell require some additional easements.

--Subsurface soils analysis revealed a necessity for engineered foundations in certain areas. Building Department has a copy of the analysis and monitor permits.

--Some street names have been changed to avoid confusion.

--Petitioner has agreed to install a canal crossing for access to the north at the time of 75% buildout.

A hearing was held after proper notice. There was no one in the audience who indicated a desire to speak and there were no letters filed.

HEARING-FINAL SUBDIVISION PLAT OF PHEASANT RUN AT SPRING VALLEY  
DISCOVERY "76" WEST OF 28 ROAD, NORTH OF PATTERSON

The Planning Commission approved the final subdivision plat of Pheasant Run at Spring Valley Discovery "76" with some changes that are not agreeable to the petitioner.

--5 foot attached curbswalks on 50 foot right-of-way.

--34 foot mat, parking on both sides.

--5 foot detached sidewalks on 60 foot right-of-way.

--Mountain Bell is requiring an additional 10 foot easement around the irrigation pond.

--Public Health has approved the design cross-section of the irrigation pond and recommend stocking the pond, annually, if necessary with mosquito larvae feeding fish available through the Health Department free.

--Surrounding drainage has been addressed to staff satisfaction.

--Petitioner requested that Pheasant Run Street and Pheasant Run Circle be constructed using a 28 foot mat, 4 foot detached sidewalks and vertical faced curb and gutter. This had been proposed at a meeting between the petitioner, City Engineering, and City Planning. The proposal would require no parking on one side of the street due to the 50 foot right-of-way. The petitioner assured staff that he would be responsible for the "NO PARKING" signs to be posted prior to the sale of any lots so prospective buyers would understand the situation.

--In addition, staff requested restrictive covenants which would require each lot to have four off-street parking stalls and that all recreational vehicles would be parked off-street on the lot as well.

--After consideration at the joint City Staff Meeting, it was felt that Pheasant Run Street should not be included in this proposal due to the nature of this street, that of running between two heavier traveled streets, Hawthorne and Beechwood. In weighing the covenants, safety of detached sidewalks, enforcement problems, and emergency vehicle access, it was determined the request was not unreasonable for Pheasant Run Circle. Security of the irrigation pond was also staff concern due to its intended inaccessibility. Petitioner has indicated that a 6 foot cedar grapestake fence would be constructed to address this problem.

--28 Road improvements would be handled with a letter of credit, renewed annually until one year after buildout due to the situation of having a usable roadway and will not get into the problems of half street improvements.

Mr. Paul Barru was present and reviewed the area and the reason for objecting to the Planning Commission conditions. He stated that at the Planning Commission hearing he didn't really get to address the issue of sidewalks and not only that, a motion was passed, the meeting was recessed with Mr. Barru thinking the meeting was over only to learn the next morning that the Planning Commission reconvened and reopened the issue and passed an alternate motion after he had gone. Petitioner requested that those streets with 50 foot right-of-way, with the exception of Pheasant Run, be allowed to go in with 28 foot mat, parking on one side only, and four foot detached sidewalks, on the 60 foot right-of-way, 34 foot mat, parking both sides with 4 foot detached sidewalks. Pheasant Run Street will have 56 foot mat, parking both sides and 4 foot detached sidewalks. He expressed a desire to make this a consistent development.

A hearing was held after proper notice. There were no others in the audience who indicated a desire to speak, and no letters were filed.

Planning Staff recommended granting Mr. Barru's request subject to the Traffic Department having the jurisdiction to choose which side of the streets will be posted with "no parking."

It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the final subdivision plat of Pheasant Run at Spring Valley Discovery "76" be approved subject to the Planning Staff recommendation. Motion carried.

The President declared a five-minute recess. Upon reconvening, all Council members were present.

HEARING-CONDITIONAL USE, LEARNING TREE CHILDREN'S CENTER, SCHOOL

DISTRICT 51, NORTHEAST CORNER OF 9TH AND HILL

The Planning Commission recommended approval subject to landscaping, grass, and trees to be maintained in the non-fenced area. The petitioner, Mr. Fetters, is aware that there are a number of Building Code problems associated with the structure and he will be responsible for bringing them up to code. City Engineering stipulated that no parking should be allowed in the alleys.

A hearing was held after proper notice. There was no one in the audience who indicated a desire to speak, and no letters had been filed.

Planning Staff recommended approval.

It was moved by Councilman Johnson and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the conditional use to permit Learning Tree Children's Center on the northeast corner of 9th and Hill be granted subject to the conditions of the Planning Commission. Motion carried.

HEARING-REVISION OF USE IN H.O. ZONE, AMOCO OIL COMPANY SERVICE STATION NO. 5356, U.S. HIGHWAY 50 AND LINDEN STREET

This request is to have a convenience store service with outside gasoline pumps. The Planning Commission recommended approval subject to access being restricted with curbs and planting areas to facilitate safety. The petitioner has worked out these problems with City Staff and State Highway Department Staff.

A hearing was held after proper notice. There was no one in the audience who indicated a desire to speak, and no letters were filed.

It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman O'Dwyer that the revision of use in H.O. zone for Amoco Oil Company Service Station No. 5366 located at U.S. Highway 50 and Linden Street be approved. Motion carried.

HEARING-PROPOSED ORDINANCE REPEALING SECTION 27-22 a OF DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS AND PROVIDING FOR FILING OF PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION PLATS

In order to facilitate the review process, the Planning Commission approved the policy change to require the submission of preliminary subdivision plats on the first working day of the month and some waiver on those types of submittals that might not necessitate referral review process being accepted at Staff discretion up to the tenth day of the month. The Planning Commission also request adding that any submission that is incomplete and has not had proper review would be withdrawn from the agenda.

A hearing was held after proper notice. There was no one in the

audience who indicated a desire to speak, and no letters were filed. Since Council had received copies of the proposed ordinance for review prior to the meeting, the title only was read: AN ORDINANCE CONCERNING THE FILINGS OF PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION PLATS. It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

HEARING-PROPOSED ORDINANCE-REPEAL OF SECTION 3A(1) 1.8 RESIDENTIAL BULK DEVELOPMENT AND REENACTED WITH SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

Approved by the Planning Commission and reviewed by Senior Planner Del Beaver was the repeal of Section 3A(1) Residential Bulk Development and reenacted with specific provisions.

A hearing was held after proper notice. There was no one in the audience who indicated a desire to speak, and no letters were filed.

Members of Council received copies of the proposed ordinance for review prior to the meeting. The title only was read: AN ORDINANCE CONCERNING RESIDENTIAL BULK DEVELOPMENT. It was moved by Councilman Johnson and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION ADOPTING 1978 BUDGET INCLUDING SALARY SCHEDULE AND POSITION CLASSIFICATIONS

The following Resolution was presented and read:

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION 1978 BUDGET

IMPLEMENTING DOCUMENTS

RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A BUDGET (INCLUDING SALARY SCHEDULE AND POSITION CLASSIFICATIONS) FOR DEFRAYING THE EXPENSES AND LIABILITIES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 1978.

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of Article VI Section 59, of the Charter of the City of Grand Junction, the City Manager of said City has submitted to the City Council, a budget estimate of the revenues of said City and expenses of conducting the affairs thereof for the fiscal year ending December 31, 1978, and

WHEREAS, after full and final consideration of the budget estimate, the City Council is of the opinion that the budget should be approved and adopted:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

That the budget estimate of the revenues and expenses of

conducting the affairs of said City for the fiscal year ending December 31, 1978, as submitted by the City Manager, be and the same is hereby adopted and approved as to the budget estimate for defraying the expenses and liabilities against said City for the fiscal year ending December 31, 1978.

ADOPTED AND APPROVED THIS 21st day of December, 1977.

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
President of the Council

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk

| <u>Budgeted</u><br><u>PositionsClass</u><br><u>ificationSalar</u><br><u>y Range</u><br><u>NumberSalary</u><br><u>Range</u> |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 2Accounting<br>Clerk I39680-<br>870  |  |  |  |
| 1Accounting<br>Clerk II44769-<br>985   |  |  |  |
| 1Administrativ<br>e Aide52937-<br>1200   |  |  |  |
| 5Administrativ   |  |  |  |

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| e Secretary<br>I40697-892                         |  |  |  |
| 6Administrativ<br>e Secretary<br>II42732-937      |  |  |  |
| 1Administrativ<br>e Secretary<br>III44769-985     |  |  |  |
| 1Airport<br>DirectorN/C167<br>3                   |  |  |  |
| 1Airport<br>Director,<br>Assistant68139<br>1-1781 |  |  |  |
| 2Animal<br>Warden42732-<br>937                    |  |  |  |
| 1Buyer48849-<br>1087                              |  |  |  |
| 1City<br>AttorneyN/C233<br>3                      |  |  |  |
| 1City<br>ManagerN/C2667                           |  |  |  |
| 1City Manager,<br>Assistant68139                  |  |  |  |

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1-1781                                      |  |  |  |
| 1City<br>Clerk551009-<br>1292               |  |  |  |
| 1City Clerk,<br>Deputy44769-<br>985         |  |  |  |
| P/TCity<br>Council<br>MemberN/C100          |  |  |  |
| P/TCity<br>Council<br>PresidentN/C12<br>5   |  |  |  |
| 7City Services<br>Foreperson5610<br>34-1324 |  |  |  |
| 7City Services<br>Supervisor6212<br>00-1536 |  |  |  |
| 6City Services<br>Worker I34601-<br>769     |  |  |  |
| 17City<br>Services<br>Worker<br>II40697-892 |  |  |  |



|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 42City<br>Services<br>Worker<br>III45788-1009     |  |  |  |
| 28City<br>Services<br>Worker<br>IV51914-1170      |  |  |  |
| P/TClerk26 (1-<br>3)2.8442-<br>3.1385             |  |  |  |
| 10Clerk<br>I31558-714                             |  |  |  |
| 4Clerk<br>II35616-788                             |  |  |  |
| 1Community<br>Center<br>Supervisor5911<br>14-1426 |  |  |  |
| 1Community<br>Representative<br>48849-1087        |  |  |  |
| 2Computer<br>Encoder<br>Operator38663-<br>849     |  |  |  |
| 1Computer<br>Encoder<br>Operator,                 |  |  |  |

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Senior42732-937                         |  |  |  |
| 2Computer Operator46808-1034            |  |  |  |
| 3Computer Programmer54985-1260          |  |  |  |
| 1Computer Programmer, Senior561034-1324 |  |  |  |
| *Computer Programmer, Trainee50892-1142 |  |  |  |
| 1Computer Systems Analyst591114-1426    |  |  |  |
| 1Data Processing Supervisor681391-1781  |  |  |  |
| 1Engineer, Associate561034-1324         |  |  |  |
| 2Engineer, City721536-1966              |  |  |  |

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   |  |  |  |
| 2Engineer,<br>Project591114-<br>1426                        |  |  |  |
| 2Engineering<br>Aide44769-985                               |  |  |  |
| 3Engineering<br>Technician<br>I49870-1114                   |  |  |  |
| 2Engineering<br>Technician<br>II54985-1260                  |  |  |  |
| 1Finance<br>DirectorN/C192<br>5                             |  |  |  |
| 1Finance<br>Director,<br>Assistant68139<br>1-1781           |  |  |  |
| 3Fire<br>Battalion<br>Chief64<br>(6)1613                    |  |  |  |
| 1Fire<br>Battalion<br>Chief/Fire<br>Prevention66<br>(6)1695 |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| 3Fire<br>Captain61 (5-<br>6)1426-1498                      |  |  |  |
| 1Fire<br>ChiefN/C1875                                      |  |  |  |
| 9Fire<br>Engineer/Vehic<br>le<br>Maintenance549<br>85-1260 |  |  |  |
| 28Firefighter5<br>1914-1170                                |  |  |  |
| *Firefighter,<br>Trainee49870-<br>1114                     |  |  |  |
| 9Fire<br>Lieutenant57<br>(4-6)1230-1357                    |  |  |  |
| P/TGolf ProN/C   |  |  |  |
| P/TGolf<br>Ranger28 (1-<br>3)2.9885-<br>3.3000             |  |  |  |
| 4Mechanic51914<br>-1170                                    |  |  |  |
| 2Mechanic  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Helper45788-1009                       |  |  |  |
| 2Meter Reader39680-870                 |  |  |  |
| 1Meter Reader, Lead41714-914           |  |  |  |
| 1Municipal Court Clerk42732-937        |  |  |  |
| P/TMunicipal Court JudgeN/C1030        |  |  |  |
| 1Off-Set Press Operator44769-985       |  |  |  |
| 1PBX Operator/Receptionist35616-788    |  |  |  |
| 2Parking Control Clerk38663-849        |  |  |  |
| 1Parking Meter Serviceperson45788-1009 |  |  |  |
| 1Parks &                               |  |  |  |

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Recreation<br>DirectorN/C187<br>5                 |  |  |  |
| 1Personnel<br>DirectorN/C163<br>5                 |  |  |  |
| 1Planner<br>Analyst53961-<br>1230                 |  |  |  |
| 2Police<br>Captain68<br>(6)1781                   |  |  |  |
| 1Police<br>ChiefN/C1916                           |  |  |  |
| 1Police Crime<br>Lab<br>Technician5510<br>09-1292 |  |  |  |
| 8Police<br>Dispatcher3968<br>0-870                |  |  |  |
| *Police<br>Dispatcher,<br>Trainee37647-<br>828    |  |  |  |
| 4Police<br>Lieutenant64<br>(5-6)1536-1613         |  |  |  |

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| **42Police<br>Officer53961-<br>1230                 |  |  |  |
| *Police<br>Officer,<br>Trainee51914-<br>1170        |  |  |  |
| 3Police<br>Records<br>Clerk37647-828                |  |  |  |
| 8Police<br>Sergeant60 (4-<br>6)1324-1462            |  |  |  |
| 1Public Works<br>& Utilities<br>DirectorN/C210<br>0 |  |  |  |
| 1Purchasing<br>Agent601142-<br>1462                 |  |  |  |
| 1Quality<br>Control<br>Technician5498<br>5-1260     |  |  |  |
| P/TRecreation<br>Aide23 (1-<br>3)2.6423-<br>2.9135  |  |  |  |
| P/TRecreation                                       |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Leader I33 (1-3)3.3808-3.7327                  |  |  |  |
| P/TR Recreation Leader II38 (1-3)3.8250-4.2231 |  |  |  |
| P/TR Recreation OfficialN/C2                   |  |  |  |
| 2Recreation Supervisor591114-1426              |  |  |  |
| 1Right-of-Way Agent52937-1200                  |  |  |  |
| 1Sales Tax Agent46808-1034                     |  |  |  |
| 1Storekeeper46808-1034                         |  |  |  |
| 1Stores Clerk42732-937                         |  |  |  |
| 1Utility Accounts Manager551009-1292           |  |  |  |
| 1Utility                                       |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Accounts<br>Manager,<br>Assistant45788<br>-1009                |  |  |  |
| 4Wastewater<br>Treatment<br>Plant Operator<br>I43750-961       |  |  |  |
| 2Wastewater<br>Treatment<br>Plant Operator<br>II46808-1034     |  |  |  |
| 0Wastewater<br>Treatment<br>Plant Operator<br>III50892-1142    |  |  |  |
| 1Wastewater<br>Treatment<br>Plant Operator<br>IV53961-1230     |  |  |  |
| 1Wastewater<br>Treatment<br>Plant<br>Supervisor5710<br>60-1357 |  |  |  |
| 1Water Supply<br>Supervisor5498<br>5-1260                      |  |  |  |
| 3Water<br>Treatment<br>Plant Operator<br>I43750-961            |  |  |  |

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| 1Water<br>Treatment<br>Plant Operator<br>II46808-1034     |  |  |  |
| 0Water<br>Treatment<br>Plant Operator<br>III50892-1142    |  |  |  |
| 1Water<br>Treatment<br>Plant Operator<br>IV53961-1230     |  |  |  |
| 1Water<br>Treatment<br>Plant<br>Supervisor5710<br>60-1357 |  |  |  |
| 341   |  |  |  |

\* Entry-level position for corresponding permanent classification-utilized for training and/or probationary purposes only. The number of budgeted positions is included in corresponding classifications.

\*\* Incumbents of this classification who are assigned to Community Liaison, Detective, Tactical Squad, or other authorized special duty may receive an additional 5% pay differential for said assignment.

MONTHLY RATES

| <u>RANG</u><br><u>E</u><br><u>NUMB</u><br><u>ERST</u><br><u>EP-</u><br><u>1STE</u><br><u>P-</u><br><u>2STE</u><br><u>P-</u><br><u>3STE</u><br><u>P-</u><br><u>4STE</u><br><u>P-</u><br><u>5STE</u><br><u>P-</u><br><u>6RAN</u><br><u>GE</u><br><u>NUMB</u><br><u>ERST</u><br><u>EP-1</u><br><u>HOUR</u><br><u>LYST</u><br><u>EP-1</u><br><u>ANNU</u><br><u>AL</u> |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1266<br>3792<br>9430<br>8324<br>3411<br>1.53<br>463,<br>192   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2273<br>2863<br>0131<br>6332<br>3492<br>1.57  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





































































|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1033<br>,302<br>3,46<br>93,6<br>443,<br>8294<br>,023<br>4,22<br>6103<br>19.0<br>5003<br>9,62<br>4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1043<br>,384<br>3,55<br>53,7<br>353,<br>9244<br>,123<br>4,33<br>2104<br>19.5<br>2314<br>0,60<br>8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the Resolution be passed and adopted as read. Upon roll call all Council members voted AYE. The President declared the motion carried and the Resolution duly passed and adopted.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS

The following entitled proposed ordinance was introduced and read: AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS. It was moved by Councilman Tufly and seconded by Councilwoman Quimby that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Motion carried.

AGREEMENT-SEWER-GREEN MEADOWS SUBDIVISION-POLAND HEIGHTS  
SUBDIVISION TO CONNECT TO THE RIDGES SYSTEM

Public Works Director Jim Patterson reviewed the agreement by locating Green Meadows Subdivision east of 26 1/2 Road and North of G Road. Green Meadows Subdivision consists of 34 lots. This sewer system will connect to the Terra Del Vista system which in turn connects to the 26 1/2 Road sewer system which connects to the Galaxy sewer system.

Since this may be the last sewer agreement to be granted until questions regarding the new sewer plant have been resolved, Mr. Patterson pointed out that in earlier discussions with The Ridges development there was some indication that additional developments would tie into their system. The Ridges developers designed its pump station and lines with this thought in mind. There are three other areas that may wish to tie into the Ridges system. It will be necessary for these agreements to come to Council for approval.

Discussion then followed regarding capacity of the present plant and the question of when the questions before the Water Quality Control Commission will be resolved and the new sewage treatment plant will be ready for construction.

It was moved by Councilman Johnson and seconded by Councilman Brown that the agreement to provide sewer service to the Green Meadows Subdivision be approved and authorized the City Manager to sign subject to the developer understanding that at any time before the development is complete the City can cut off any additional taps. Motion carried with Councilman Holmes voting NO.

It was moved by Councilman Brown and seconded by Councilman Johnson that at this point the City go on record as not entertaining or accepting any further agreements for sewer service with those outside the City, other than those covered by previous agreements, until there is a commitment and a schedule in compliance with the Water Quality Control Commission that shows a light at the end of the tunnel and gives the City an opportunity to logically see what it can serve.

It was moved by Councilman Brown and seconded by Councilman Johnson that the motion be amended to permit the existing houses in Poland Heights Subdivision to tap onto sewer service under a forthcoming agreement. Motion carried.

#### COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS

##### HOUSING AUTHORITY (Walnut Park Housing Rehabilitation Program)

Councilman Johnson reported that all 78 units are occupied at Walnut Park. The housing rehabilitation is moving rather slowly.

#### ADJOURNMENT

The President adjourned the meeting.

Neva B. Lockhart

---

Neva B. Lockhart, CMC  
City Clerk