Grand Junction, Colorado

April 2, 1980

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, convened in regular session at 7:30 p.m. the 2nd day of April, 1980, in City Council Chambers at City Hall. Those present were Council members Frank Dunn, Robert Holmes, Dale Hollingsworth, Karl Johnson, Bill O'Dwyer and Jane Quimby, a quorum. Councilman Louis Brach was absent. Also present were Gerald Ashby, City Attorney/Acting City Manager, and Neva Lockhart, City Clerk.

President of the Council Jane Quimby called the meeting to order and led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

INVOCATION

Councilman Robert Holmes.

MINUTES

The Minutes of March 19, 1980, were corrected: page 2, Agreement, Urban Mass Transportation, "TDP" grant, and page 5, second paragraph, first sentence by the insertion of the word "problem." Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the minutes were approved as corrected.

APPOINTMENT OF JOHN W. BARNES TO MILL TAILINGS COMMITTEE

By secret ballot, John W. Barnes was elected Council's representative to the Mill Tailings Committee.

LIQUOR - RENEWAL OF HOTEL-RESTAURANT LICENSE AT GAY JOHNSON'S 333 N. FIRST STREET

Upon motion by Councilman Hollingsworth, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the application by Christopher Brothers, Inc., dba Gay Johnson's Restaurant, 333 N. First Street, for renewal of hotel-restaurant liquor license was approved.

HEARING - CONDITIONAL USE AMENDMENT TO HOOVER OFFICE BLDG, 2107 N. 12TH STREET - APPROVED

A hearing on the above item was held after due notice. There were no opponents, letters, or counterpetitions.

Upon motion by Councilman Hollingsworth, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried, the conditional use amendment to the Hoover Office Building at 2107 N. 12th Street was approved.

HEARING - FINAL PLAT OF WEST GRAND SUBDIVISION (MINOR), APPROVED - SE CORNER OF WEST GRAND AVENUE AND HOESCH STREET

A hearing on the above item was held after due notice. There were no opponents, letters, or counterpetitions.

Upon motion by Councilman Hollingsworth, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried, the final plat of West Grand Subdivision (Minor) was approved.

HEARINGS - APPLICATION FOR CONDITIONAL USE TO PERMIT LIQUOR LICENSE AT THE SANDWICH FACTORY, 541 MAIN STREET, AND APPLICATION BY RICHARD P. AND HELEN L. LITLE FOR HOTEL-RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE

Hearings were held on the applications by Richard P. and Helen L. Litle for conditional use to permit liquor license at "The Sandwich Factory," 541 Main Street, and for hotel-restaurant liquor license after due publication of notices and the posting of property.

The following report was read:

The hearing date was scheduled for April 2, 1980, before the City Council, and a sign giving notice of such hearing was published in The Daily Sentinel on the 21st day of March, 1980.

The Planning Commission conditional use hearing was held Tuesday, March 25, 1980, and was approved.

The area bounded by 3rd Street on the west, Grand Avenue on the north, 9th Street on the east, to Pitkin Avenue on the south was surveyed commencing March 17, 1980, and was completed March 25, 1980. Results are:

(1) Yes, I am in favor of the issuance of the license as I believe the needs of the neighborhood are not being met by existing outlets. 291

(a) Owner of property in neighborhood. 39

(b) Employee or business lessee of property in the neighborhood. 206

(c) Inhabitant of neighborhood. 39

(2) No, I am not in favor of the issuance of the license as I believe the needs of the neighborhood are being met by existing outlets. 144

(a) Owner of property in neighborhood. 19

(b) Employee or business lessee of property in the neighborhood. 89

(c) Inhabitant of neighborhood. 40

(3) Neutral 10

(a) Owner of property in neighborhood. 3

(b) Employee or business lessee of property in the neighborhood. 1

(c) Inhabitant of neighborhood. 4

The applicant has filed a petition containing 451 signatures supporting the granting of the license.

As of this date there have been no letters of opposition or counterpetitions filed.

The Police Department and Health Department reports everything in order. Fingerprint cards have been mailed with no return to date. The Fire Department lists four items for correction. The applicants are aware of the items needing correction and will call the Department for final inspection upon completion.

Similar type outlets: 11.

The map showing similar type outlets was reviewed. The applicants, Helen and Richard Litle were present. There were no opponents, letters, or counterpetitions.

A Resolution of findings and decision is scheduled for April 16, 1980.

HEARING - APPLICATION BY MESA COLLEGE FOR 3.2% BEER SPECIAL EVENTS PERMIT FOR FRIDAY, APRIL 25, 1980, FROM 1:00 P.M. TO 5:00 P.M. ON WEST SIDE OF SAUNDERS FIELD HOUSE - 4TH PERMIT

A hearing on the above application was held after due posting of sign. Mr. Tom LeValley, Vice-President of the Mesa College Student Body Association, was present. There were no opponents, letters, or counterpetitions.

Upon motion by Councilman Hollingsworth, seconded by Councilman Johnson and carried with Council members HOLMES and O'DWYER voting NO, the application by Mesa College for a 3.2% beer special events permit for Friday, April 25, 1980, from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. was approved.

RESOLUTION OF FINDING & DECISION RE: APPLICATION BY LAWRENCE AND SHARON BROWN TO REZONE 2600 N. 12TH STREET FROM R-1-C TO R-3 AND CONDITIONAL USE FOR OFFICE - DENIED

City Attorney Ashby advised that Dick Lewis, Attorney for the Brown, had called and indicated that he had information that at the fact-finding session, Diane Smucny of the Planning Department had become, instead of an advisor to the Council; a protaganist in advising the Council; apparently, according to Mr. Lewis, indicating strongly to the Council for whatsoever reason should deny the application of Mr. Brown. Mr. Lewis requested that Mr. Ashby advise the Council, and Mr. Lewis requested that he be permitted to refute those items that Diane had brought up at that particular time.

Mr. Lewis indicated that he was not prepared to make his statement tonight as he did not understand that he would be permitted to make a statement tonight, nor did he request additional time to prepare a statement to present at the next meeting.

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION

OF DECISION ON REZONING APPLICATION BY BROWN.

Recitals

Lawrence J. Brown and Sharon M. Brown applied to change the zoning from R-1-C to R-3 on land situate in the City of Grand Junction, Mesa County, Colorado, described as:

Beginning 5 feet West and 210 feet South of the Northwest Corner of Block 11 in Fairmount Subdivision, thence South 70 feet, thence East 170 feet, thence North 70 feet, thence West 170 feet to the point of beginning.

The applicants proposed a change in zoning on the property as a basis from which they might then seek a conditional use to permit an office use as is permitted in an R-3 zone, the zone being otherwise a high density residential zone. To insure that the office use would be the only use to which the premises might be put, an arrangement for reverter was offered and approved by the City Attorney under the circumstances here present.

A hearing was held before the City Council on the 19th day of March, 1980.

Findings

1. The City Planning Commission had recommended the denial of the application for the reasons of the high density permitted in the zone (no ruling had been made at that time as to the possibility of control of the use through reverter) and because of the 12th Street Policy which indicated that while business uses might be considered at 12th and Patterson they were to be considered in a Planned Development.

2. The subject property is abutting on the north by a Planned Business zone.

3. The planning staff had preferred a planned business approach to the zoning.

4. It does not appear to be good practice to in effect create a new zone through zoning to R-3 even with an acceptable reverter when there is available an existing type of zoning (PD-B) not requiring a contract or other control to accomplish what is sought by everyone as to use. The use of a legal arrangement might well encourage others to seek such arrangements although improper in the circumstance of the other. The fact of R-3 zoning as a spot within the area would also encourage others to expand that area, even though it might be shown that the use in the zone was limited.

5. The proposed change in zoning would not be in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the application by Lawrence J. Brown and Sharon M. Brown for a rezoning on the within described property from R-1-C to R-3 be denied; provided, however, that the Council would strongly consider a change in zoning to Planned Development - Business for the site, and would permit the seeking of such zone without payment of further fee.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 2nd day of April, 1980.

President of the Council

Attest:

City Clerk

Upon motion by Councilman Johnson, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried by roll call vote with Councilman HOLLINGSWORTH ABSTAINING and Council members HOLMES and O'DWYER voting NO, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

RESOLUTION OF FINDINGS & DECISION RE: APPLICATION BY NORBERT LUKAS AND RICH WELCH FOR CONDITIONAL USE TO PERMIT DRIVE-UP WINDOW AT BURGER KING, 1730 NORTH AVENUE - APPROVED

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION

GRANTING CONDITIONAL USE FOR DRIVE-UP WINDOW FOR NORTH AVENUE BURGER KING, 1730 NORTH AVENUE.

Recitals

L & R, Inc. applied for a conditional use permit to build and operate a drive-up window at the Burger King at 1730 North Avenue in the City of Grand Junction, Mesa County, Colorado, on property described as: Lot 13, ELMWOOD PLAZA SUBDIVISION. A hearing was held before the City Council on the 19th day of March, 1980, on the question of granting the use.

Findings

1. The applicant has sought, over the years, the conditional use and has presented various designs and plans for such use.

2. This application was recommended for denial by the Grand Junction Planning Commission as there was felt a lack of accord with the drive-up window policy of the City.

3. The planning staff had recommended approval of the use, believing that, while not perfect, the plan did seem one that would function well and without danger to the surrounding properties or the persons using the subject property.

4. The plan, with the changes recommended by the City staff does represent improvement over other plans submitted and is worth consideration as being in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the application by L & R, Inc. for a conditional use permit to build and operate a drive-up window on the within described property is approved, subject to the conditions of the Development Department attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof by reference and the site plan attached hereto as Exhibit B, also made a part hereof by reference.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 2nd day of April, 1980.

President of the Council

Attest:

City Clerk

REVIEW SHEET SUMMARY

EXHIBIT A

FILE #45-79

ITEM: CONDITIONAL USE - BURGER KING

PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING DATE: 12-18-79

DATE RECEIVED: 12-11-79

AGENCY

Design & Development Planner

Petitioner has worked with staff and city agencies to develop a design which is most workable on site, after a series of previous denials:

1. Parking spaces north of alley should be limited to employees only (possibly blocking off alley access).

2. Widening of curb cut on North Avenue has allowed better access. Directionalized islands permit improved traffic flow in and out of site. It is recommended that the two parking spaces on the southeastern end of the lot be eliminated to avoid congestion from traffic on North Avenue. Bike racks could be located here.

3. Wider aisle than previous submittal allows easier flow of traffic through site. Speed bump is good idea.

4. Rear area of site is much better designed than previous submittal, with adequate stacking space for vehicles, and a more desirable location of the order station.

5. Limited space between structures permits only passenger vehicles, clearance sign is in best location to allow oversized vehicles to exit. The proposed concrete curb, or a guard rail appear to protect adjacent building.

6. Access to North Avenue across the area in the front of the existing building, allows some eating area to remain and proposes needed additional landscaping to the concrete jungle on North Avenue. However, planter box on east side of building entrance should be limited in height due to maintaining visual clearance.

7. Vehicles exiting from the drive-up window should yield to vehicles exiting from the main lot -- height of the planter box should be low enough to allow for proper visual clearance.

This is the best available solution for a design for a drive-up window for this facility with the limited space available and limiting curb cuts onto North Avenue.

Public Service - Received 12-13-79

GAS: No objections

ELECTRIC: Utility Pole (with transformer bank) to be protected from traffic or relocated.

City Traffic Engineer - Received 12-17-79

1. For safety reasons, as well as possible legal liability, I am adamantly opposed to the installation of "speed bumps" or any other non-standard traffic control device.

2. Refer to the city engineer's comments regarding parking spaces adjacent to North Avenue.

3. With a 20 foot wide alley and a wooden fence on the north side, there is not adequate room for maneuvering into and out of the six 90 degree parking stall on the north property line. Access to parking stalls should be internal.

City Engineer (Rish) - Received 12-17-79

I think the first two parking spaces next to the North Avenue entrance should be deleted. Vehicles backing out will conflict with smooth flow from North Avenue traffic. Sidewalks on North Avenue should be constructed as 5-foot detached concrete aprons as per City standards. Access from North Avenue and alley looks okay to me as shown.

Fire Department - Received 12-17-79

The Fire Department will have no objection to this use if the flow of traffic can be controlled so as not to hinder access to the alley off 18th Street, north side of Station #2, and access to the rear of the station. It is possible that a build-up of traffic into this area could hinder the movement of the fire apparatus.

City Utilities - 12-17-79

None.

Energy Office - Received 12-18-79

Please give petitioner attached items. (attached items were not submitted with review sheet)

Staff Recommendations - 12-18-79

Recommend approval of conditional use. The proposed design functions better than the previous submittal, and access into and from the site, as well as within the site, is improved.

The following considerations should be depressed before the time of City Council hearing:

1. Move or protect the utility pole as per Public Service comments.

2. Speed bumps should be low as not to create a traffic hazard.

3. Eliminate two parking spaces in southeast corner of site adjacent to North Avenue.

4. Rear parking spaces, adjacent to north alley should be limited to employee parking.

5. Consider Design & Development Planner comments regarding visual clearance and circulation at North after drive-up (#7).

6. Comply with city engineer comments regarding design of curb aprons on North Avenue.

7. Add bike racks on site, possibly in vacated parking spaces at North Avenue.

Although the proposed design conflicts with Item #2 on the Policy Statements for Drive-up Windows, the details in the design mitigate any problems with ingress-egress to the site.

History

1. November 30, 1977 - Denial. Lack of information including effect on pedestrians, aesthetics, drive radii, patio layout.

2. January 25, 1977 - Denial. Site doesn't have safe and adequate treatment for a drive-thru.

3. June 26, 1979 - Denial. Conflicts with drive-up policies. Does not handle stack-up behind call box; alley shouldn't be primary traffic outlet.

Upon motion by Councilman O'Dwyer, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried by roll call vote with Councilman HOLLINGSWORTH ABSTAINING and Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read:

HEARING CONTINUED FROM MARCH 19 MEETING - EASTGATE PLACE SUBDIVISION FILING #2 FINAL PLAN (OR PLAT OR BOTH) LOCATED ON SW CORNER OF 28 1/4 ROAD AND ELM AVENUE - APPROVED SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS

A hearing on the above item was held after due notice. The plan was reviewed. Since the items was tabled at the March 19 meeting, the petitioner has met with the Planning Staff to work out the concerns of the Planning Commission. The petitioner has discussed some possible redesign of the parking plan and the trash site, and the petitioner was requested to provide a letter of agreement or disagreement to this effect for filing which has not been done. The petitioner, Stan Anderson, was present. He stated that he thinks everything has been worked out, and that it will be a simple matter to provide a letter.

Upon motion by Councilman Johnson, seconded by Councilman Holmes

and carried, the Eastgate Plaza Subdivision Filing #2 final plan (or plat or both) was approved subject to the conditions of the Planning Commission, the submission of the letter, and submittal of a revised plan.

ORDINANCE NO. 1878 - EASEMENT VACATION, 279 EAST PARKVIEW

Upon motion by Councilman Johnson, seconded by Councilman Hollingsworth and carried, the Proof of Publication to the following entitled proposed ordinance was accepted for filing: AN ORDINANCE VACATING AN EASEMENT IN THE CITY.

Upon motion by Councilman Holmes, seconded by Councilman Hollingsworth and carried, the proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman O'Dwyer and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 1878, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 1879 - ZONING PIONEER VILLAGE ANNEXATION C-1

Upon motion by Councilman O'Dwyer, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried, the Proof of Publication to the following entitled proposed ordinance was accepted for filing: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY ADDING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY.

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman O'Dwyer and carried, the proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman O'Dwyer, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 1879, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 1880 - AMENDING CHAPTER 14, CODE OF ORDINANCES, WEEDS

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the Proof of Publication to the following entitled proposed ordinance was accepted for filing: AN ORDINANCE CONCERNING WEED CONTROL.

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Johnson, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 1880, and ordered published.

I.D. ST-80, PHASE A - RESOLUTION OF INTENT TO CREATE DISTRICT RESOLUTION ADOPTING DETAILS, PLANS, AND SPECS AND GIVING NOTICE OF HEARING THEREOF (28 1/4 ROAD, ORCHARD TO PATTERSON; PATTERSON ROAD, MIRA VISTA TO PARK DRIVE)

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION

DECLARING THE INTENTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, TO CREATE WITHIN SAID CITY A LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. ST-80, PHASE A, AND AUTHORIZING THE CITY ENGINEER TO PREPARE DETAILS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE SAME.

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined the desirability of the construction of the following improvements:

Description of streets and their improvements:

1. Patterson Road from Mira Vista Road to Park Drive.

To include: Combination concrete curb, gutter and five foot (5 foot) sidewalk with a base course material under a 28 foot wide mat of Hot Mix Bituminous paving from lip of gutter to lip of gutter, a wooden noise barrier to be installed along the north side of said Patterson Road from Station 3+30 to Station 10+00, Storm drainage and intersection improvements as deemed necessary by the City Engineer. No on-street parking on either side of said Patterson Road will be allowed.

2. 28 1/4 Road from Orchard Avenue to Patterson Road.

To include: Combination concrete curb and gutter and a five foot (5 foot) concrete sidewalk with a 52 foot wide mat of Hot Mix Bituminous paving that will allow for four lanes of traffic, two north bound and two south bound, and on street bike lanes, one north bound and one south bound, from lip of gutter to lip of gutter. Earthwork from the Grand Valley Canal north to Patterson Road and from Orchard Avenue to Brittany Drive, storm drainage and intersection improvements as deemed necessary by the City Engineer. No on-street parking on either side of said 28 1/4 Road will be allowed.

Where acceptable curb, gutter and/or sidewalk exists, credit will be given.

WHEREAS, the City Council deems it advisable to take the necessary preliminary proceedings for the creation of a special improvement district;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

That the district of land to be assessed is described as follows: That the district of land to be assessed is described as follows:

1. Lots 20, 21, 24, 25, 26 and 27 in Block 1 of Vanderen Ford Subdivision; Also Lots 17 to 21 of Park Lane Subdivision; Also Lot 4 in Block 1 of Olympic Acres Subdivision; Also, Lots 2 to 9 in Block 1 of Willowbrook Subdivision; Also, Beginning 734.07 feet East of the Northwest corner of the Northwest Quarter of Section 11, T1S, R1W, UM; Thence South 20 deg. 10 min. E 622.5 feet; thence S 65 deg. 30 min. East, 113.3 feet; thence N 631.27 feet; thence W317.6 feet to the point of beginning, Also, Beginning at the SE Corner of the SW Quarter of the SW Quarter of Section 2, T1S, R1W, UM; thence W 173 feet; thence N 391 feet; thence N 75 deq. 02 min. E 175.3 feet; thence S 406 feet to the point of beginning. Also, Beginning 30 feet N of the SW Corner of the SE Quarter of the SW Quarter of Section 2, T1S, R1W, UM; thence N 127.8 feet; thence E 100 feet; thence S 127.8 feet; thence W 100 feet to the point of beginning. Also, Beginning 30 feet N and 100 feet E of the SW Corner of the SE Quarter of the SW Quarter of Section 2, T1S, R1W, UM; thence E 85 feet; thence N 127.8 feet; thence W 85 feet; thence S 127.8 feet to the point of beginning; Also, Beginning 30 feet N and 185 feet E of the SW corner of the SE Quarter of the SW Quarter of Section 2, T1S, R1W, UM; thence N 127.8 feet; thence E 115 feet; thence S 127.8 feet; thence W 115 feet to the point of beginning.

All within the limits of the City of Grand Junction, Mesa County, Colorado, T1S, R1W of the UM.

2. Lot 1 Block 1 of Landing Heights Nursing Care Center Also, Lot 66 of Mantey Heights Subdivision, Also the E Quarter of the SW Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 7, T1S, R1E, UM; Except the S 200 feet thereof; Also, Beginning 205 feet E of the SW Corner of the E Quarter of the SW Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 7, T1S, R1E, UM; thence N 200 feet; thence E 125 feet; thence S 200 feet; thence W 125 feet to the point of beginning. Also, the W Quarter of the SE Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 7, T1S, RIE, UM, N of the Grand Valley Canal. Also, Beginning N 0 deg. 23 min. 16 sec. W, 60 feet from the SW corner of the SE Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 7, T1S, R1E, UM; thence N 0 deg. 23 min. 16 sec. W, 991.98 feet; thence N 34 deg. 28 min. 04 sec. E, 35.35 feet; thence N 88 deg. 24 min. 51 sec. E, 307.15 feet; thence S 0 deg. 21 min. 35 sec. E, 917.81 feet; thence N 89 deg. 51 min. 01 sec. W, 80 feet; thence S 0 deg. 21 min. 35 sec. E, 112 feet; thence N 89 deg. 58 min. 01 sec. W, 246.79 feet to the point of beginning. Also, NE Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 7, T1S, R1E, UM, except beginning 30 feet S of the NW Corner of the NE Quarter of the NW Quarter of said Section 7; thence S 350 feet; thence E 420 feet; thence N 350 feet; thence W 420 feet to the point of beginning also except, beginning 420 feet E of the NW Corner of the NE Quarter of the NW Quarter of said Section 7; thence E 240 feet; thence S 400 feet; thence W 240 feet; thence N 400 feet to the point of beginning also except, road also except,

the Falls-Filing Number One.

All within the limits of the City of Grand Junction, Mesa County, Colorado, Section 7, T1S, R1E of the UM.

That the City Engineer be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to have prepared and filed full details, plans and specifications for such sidewalk, curb, gutter and street paving and estimate of the total costs thereof, exclusive of the per centum for cost of collection and other incidentals, and of interest to the time the first installment becomes due; and a map of the district to be assessed, from which the approximate share of said total cost that will be assessed upon each piece of real estate in the district may be readily ascertained, all as required by Ordinance No. 178, as amended, City of Grand Junction, Colorado.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 2nd day of April, 1980.

President of the Council

Attest:

City Clerk

Upon motion by Councilman Holmes, seconded by Councilman Johnson and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

The following Resolution adopting details, plans and specs and giving notice of intent to create said district was read:

RESOLUTION

ADOPTING DETAILS, PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTING SIDEWALKS, CURBS AND GUTTERS AND PAVING STREETS IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, IN IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT ST-80, PHASE A, DETERMINING THE NUMBER OF INSTALLMENTS AND THE TIME IN WHICH THE COST OF SAID IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE PAYABLE, THE RATE OF INTEREST ON UNPAID INSTALLMENTS AND THE DISTRICT OF LANDS TO BE ASSESSED WITH THE COST OF THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS, AND AUTHORIZING NOTICE OF INTENTION TO CREATE SAID DISTRICT AND OF A HEARING THEREON.

WHEREAS, on April 2, 1980, the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, by a Resolution authorized the City Engineer to prepare and file full details, plans and specifications for constructing sidewalks, curbs, gutters and paving streets in said City within proposed Improvement District No. ST-80, Phase A, together with an estimate of the total cost of such improvements and a map of the District to be assessed; and WHEREAS, said City Engineer has fully and strictly complied with the directions so given, and has filed such details, plans and specifications, estimate and map, all in accordance with said Resolution and the requirements of Ordinance No. 178, as amended, of said City;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

Section 1. That said details, plans and specifications, estimates and map be and the same are hereby approved and adopted.

Section 2. That the district of lands to be assessed are as follows:

1. Lots 20, 21, 24, 25, 26 and 27 in Block 1 of Vanderen Ford Subdivision; Also, Lots 17 to 21 of Park Lane Subdivision; Also Lot 4 in Block 1 of Olympic Acres Subdivision; Also, Lots 2 to 9 in Block 1 of Willowbrook Subdivision; Also, Beginning 734.07 feet E of the NW Corner of the NW Quarter of Section 11, T1S, R1W, U.M.; thence S 20 deg. 10 min. E 622.5 feet; Thence S 65 deg. 30 min. E, 113.3 feet; thence N 631.27 feet; thence W 317.6 feet to the point of beginning. Also, Beginning at the SE Corner of the SW Quarter of the SW Quarter of Section 2, T1S, R1W, U.M.; thence W 173 feet; thence N 391 feet; thence N 75 deg. 02 min. E 175.3 feet; thence S 406 feet to the point of beginning. Also, Beginning 30 feet N of the SW Corner of the SE Quarter of the SW Quarter of Section 2, T1S, R1W, U.M.; thence N 127.8 feet thence E 100 feet; thence S 127.8 feet; thence W 100 feet to the point of beginning. Also, Beginning 30 feet N and 100 feet E of the SW Corner of the SE Quarter of the SW Quarter of Section 2, T1S, R1W, U.M.; thence E 85 feet; thence N 127.8 feet; thence W 85 feet, thence S 127.8 feet to the point of beginning; Also, Beginning 30 feet N and 185 feet E of the SW Corner of the SE Quarter of the SW Quarter of Section 2, T1S, R1W, U.M.; thence N 127.8 feet; thence E 115 feet; thence S 127.8 feet; thence W 115 feet to the point of beginning.

All within the limits of the City of Grand Junction, Mesa County, Colorado, T1S, R1W of the U.M.

2. Lot 1 Block 1 of Landing Heights Nursing Care Center. Also, Lot 66 of Mantey Heights Subdivision, Also, the E Quarter of the SW Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 7, T1W, R1E, U.M.; except the S 200 feet thereof; Also, Beginning 205 feet E of the SW Corner of the E Quarter of the SW Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 7, T1S, R1E, U.M.; thence N 200 feet; thence E 125 feet; thence S 200 feet; thence W 125 feet to the point of beginning. Also, the W Quarter of the SE Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 7, T1S, R1E, U.M. N of the Grand Valley Canal. Also, Beginning N 0 deg. 23 min. 16 sec. W, 60 feet from the SW Corner of the SE Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 7, T1S, R1E, U.M.; thence N 0 deg. 23 min. 16 sec. W, 991.98 feet; thence N 34 deg. 28 min. 04 sec. E, 35.35 feet; thence N 88 deg. 24 min. 51 sec. E 307.15 feet; thence S 0 deg. 21 min. 35 sec. E, 917.81 feet; thence N 89 deg. 51 min. 01 sec. W, 80 feet; thence S 0 deg. 21 min. 35 sec. E, 112 feet; thence N 89 deg. 58 min. 01 sec. W, 246.79 feet to the point of beginning. Also, NE Quarter of the NW Quarter of Section 7, T1S, R1E, U.M., except beginning 30 feet S of the NW Corner of the NE Quarter of the NW Quarter of said Section 7; thence S 350 feet; thence E 420 feet; thence N 350 feet; thence W 420 feet to the point of beginning also except, beginning 420 feet E of the NW Corner of the NE Quarter of the NW Quarter of said Section 7; thence E 240 feet; thence S 400 feet; thence W 240 feet; thence N 400 feet to the point of beginning also except, road also except, The Falls - Filing Number One.

All within the limits of the City of Grand Junction, Mesa County, Colorado, Section 7, T1S, R1E of the U.M.

All installations to be constructed in accordance with General Conditions, Specifications and Details for Street Improvement Projects, City of Grand Junction, Colorado. Where acceptable, curb, gutter and or sidewalk exists, credit will be given.

Section 3. The assessments to be levied against the property in the said District to pay the cost of such improvements shall be due and payable, without demand within thirty (30) days after the ordinance assessing such costs becomes final, and, if paid during this period, the amount added for costs of collection and other incidentals shall be deducted; provided that all such assessments may, at the election of the owner of property in said District be paid in ten (10) equal installments, the first of which shall be payable at the time the next installment of general taxes is, by the laws of the State of Colorado, made payable, after the expiration of said thirty-day period, and the following annual installments shall be paid on or before the same date each year thereafter, with interest, in all cases, on unpaid principal, payable annually at a rate not to exceed the amount established by the City Council in the assessing ordinance.

Section 4. Notice of Intention to Create said Improvement District No. ST-80, Phase A, and of a hearing thereon, shall be given by advertisement in one issue of The Daily Sentinel, a newspaper of general circulation published in said City, which Notice shall be in substantially the following form:

NOTICE

OF INTENTION TO CREATE IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. ST-80, PHASE A, IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, AND OF A HEARING THEREON.

PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN to the owners of real estate in the District hereinafter described, and to all persons generally interested that the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, intends to create Improvement District No. ST-80, Phase A, in said City for the purpose of constructing sidewalks, curbs and gutters and paving streets to serve the property hereinafter described, which lands are to be assessed with the cost of improvements, to wit: Beq SE Cor SW4SW4 Sec 2 1S 1W W 173 ft N 391 ft N 75 deg. 02 min. E 175.3 ft S 460 ft to Beg Beq 30 ft N of SW Cor SE4SW4 Sec 2 1S 1W N 127.8 ft E 100 ft S 127.8 ft W to Beg Beg 30 ft N and 100 ft E of SW Cor SE4SW4 Sec 2 1S 1W E 85 ft N 127.8 ft W 85 ft S to Beg Beg 30 ft N and 185 ft E of SW Cor SE4SW4 Sec 2 1S 1W N 127.8 ft E 115 ft S 127.8 ft W to Beg Lot 9 Blk 1 Willowbrook Sub Replat Sec 2 1S 1W Lot 8 Blk 1 Willowbrook Sub Replat Sec 2 1S 1W Lot 7 Blk 1 Willowbrook Sub Replat Sec 2 1S 1W Lot 6 Blk 1 Willowbrook Sub Replat Sec 2 1S 1W Lot 5 Blk 1 Willowbrook Sub Replat Sec 2 1S 1W Lot 4 Blk 1 Willowbrook Sub Replat Sec 2 1S 1W Lot 3 Blk 1 Willowbrook Sub Sec 2 1S 1W Lot 2 Blk 1 Willowbrook Sub Replat Sec 2 1S 1W Beg 734.07 ft E of NW Cor NW4 Sec 11 1S 1W S 20 deg. 10 min. E 622.5 ft S 65 deg. 30 min. E 113.3 ft N 631.27 ft W 317.6 ft to Beg Lot 20 Vanderen-Ford Heights Replat Lot 21 Vanderen-Ford Heights Replat Lot 24 Vanderen-Ford Heights Replat Lot 25 Vanderen-Ford Heights Replat and Beg NE Cor Lot 25 S 89 deg. 54 min. E 30 ft S 9 deg. 56 min. W 74.5 ft N 13 deg. 80 min. W 75.5 ft to Beg Lot 26 Vanderen-Ford Heights Replat Exc Beg NE Cor Lot 25 Sub S 89 deg. 54 min. E 30 ft S 9 deg. 56 min. W 74.5 ft N 13 deg. 08 min. W 75.5 ft to Beg Lot 27 Vanderen-Ford Heights Replat Lots 20 and 21 Blk 1 Park Lane Sub Beg 69.6 ft W of NE Cor Lot 17 Park Lane Sub Sec 11 1S 1W S 3 deg.

6 min. E 149.9 ft W 92 ft to W Li Lot 19 Park Lane Sub N 151 ft to NW Cor Lot 19 E to Beg

Beg NE Cor Lot 17 Park Lane Sub Sec 11 1S 1W S 20 deg. 10 min. E 158 ft S 89 deg. 21 min. W 116 ft N 3 deg. 6 min. W 149.9 ft S 69.6 ft to Beg

Lots 3 and 4 Blk 1 Olympic Acres Sub Sec 11 1S 1W

E4SW4NW4 Sec 7 1S 1E Exc S 200 ft

Beg 205 ft E of SW Cor E4SW4NW4 Sec 7 1S 1E N 200 ft E 125 ft S 200 ft W to Beg

W4SE4NW4 Sec 7 1S 1E N of Grand Valley Canal

Beg N 0 deg. 23 min. 16 sec. W 60 ft fr SW Cor SE4NW4 Sec 7 1S 1E N 0 deg. 23 min. 16 sec. W 991.98 ft N 34 deg. 28 min. 04 sec. E 35.35 ft N 88 deg. 24 min. 51 sec. E 307.15 ft S 0 deg. 21 min. 35 sec. E 917.81 ft N 89 deg. 58 min. 01 sec. W 80 ft S 0 deg. 21 min. 35 sec. E 112 ft N 89 deg. 58 min. 01 sec. W 246.79 ft to Beg

NE4NW4 Sec 7 1S 1E Exc Beg 30 ft S of NW Cor NE4NW4 S 350 ft E 420 ft N 350 ft W to Beg Exc Beg 420 ft E of NW Cor NE4NW4 Sec 7 1S 1E E 240 ft S 400 ft W 240 ft N 400 ft to Beg Exc Rd. Also Exc The Falls-Filing No. One.

Lots 7-8 and 66 and that Pt of Lot 65 Desc as follows: Beg 313.84 ft S 89 deg. 50 min. W of SE Cor Mantey Hts Sub N 17 deg. 44 min. W 520.13 ft N 31 deg. 40 min. W 274.33 ft N 13 deg. 12 min. W 179.62 ft S 31 deg. 25 min. W 170 ft S 57 deg. 33 min. E 86 ft S 11 deg. 09 min. E 37 ft S 27 deg. 08 min. E 478.1 ft N 46 deg. 31 min. W 138 ft S 17 deg. 44 min. E 350 ft N 89 deg. 50 min. E 125 ft to Beg Exc that Pt of Lot 66 Lyg in Landing Hts Nursing Care Center

Lot 1 Blk 1 Landing Heights Nursing Care Center Sec 7 1S 1E Exc Beg N 89 deg. 50 min. E 1023.7 ft fr NW Cor Sd Sec 7 N 89 deg. 50 min. E 235 ft S 0 deg. 11 min. E 400 ft S 89 deg. 50 min. W 225 ft NWly to Beg

Beg N 89 deg. 50 min. E 1023.7 ft fr NW Cor Sec 7 1S 1E N 89 deg. 50 min. E 235 ft S 0 deg. 11 min. E 400 ft S 89 deg. 50 min. W 225 ft NWly to Beg Being Pt of Lot 1 Blk 1 Landing Heights Nursing Care Center

Where acceptable curb, gutter and/or sidewalk exists, credit will be given. Any portion of the District cost not covered by said assessment shall be paid by the City.

The probably total cost of said improvements as shown by the estimate of the City Engineer is \$899,181, exclusive of collection, interest and incidentals. The estimated assessable cost is \$557,947, also exclusive of costs of collection, interest

and incidentals.

The maximum share of such total cost shall be as follows:

Patterson Road from Mira Vista Road to Park Drive

Land having full benefit, 1,311.67 front feet @ \$45.00 per front foot.

Land having partial benefit, 346.60 front feet @ \$20.00 per front foot.

Land having partial benefit with noise barrier 813.20 front feet @ \$35.00 per front foot.

28 1/4 Road from Orchard Avenue to Patterson Road

\$100.00 per front foot.

To the estimated assessable cost of \$557,947 (said amount reflects estimated credit for existing curb, gutter and sidewalks) to be borne by the property owners, there shall be added six (6) percent for costs of collection and incidentals, and also interest at the rate borne by the special assessment bonds of said District to the next succeeding date upon which general taxes, or the first installment thereof, are by the laws of the State of Colorado, made payable. The said assessment shall be due and payable, without demand, within thirty (30) days after the ordinance assessing such cost shall have become final, and if paid during such period, the amount added for costs of collection and incidentals shall be deducted; provided that all such assessments, at the election of the owners of the property in said District, may be paid in ten (10) annual installments which become due upon the same date upon which general taxes, or the first installment thereof, are by the laws of the State of Colorado, made payable. Interest not to exceed the amount established by the City Council in the assessing ordinance shall be charged on unpaid installments.

On May 7,1980, at the hour of 7:30 o'clock p.m. in the Council Chambers in City Hall of said City, the Council will consider objections that may be made in writing concerning the proposed improvements, by the owners of any real estate to be assessed, or by any person interested.

A map of the District, from which the approximate share of the total estimated cost to be assessed upon each piece of real estate in the District may be readily ascertained, and all proceedings of the Council in the premises are on file and can be seen and examined by any person interested therein in the office of the City Clerk during business hours, at any time prior to said hearing.

Dated at Grand Junction, Colorado, this 2nd day of April, 1980.

BY ORDER OF THE CITY COUNCIL CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

By:

Neva B. Lockhart, City Clerk

PASSED and ADOPTED this 2nd day of April, 1980.

President of the Council

Attest:

## City Clerk

Upon motion by Councilman Johnson, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE - AMENDING CHAPTER 18, CODE OF ORDINANCES, CONCERNING THE INTEREST RATE IN LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE CONCERNING THE INTEREST RATE IN LOCAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICTS. Upon motion by Councilman Johnson, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

RESOLUTION CONCERNING COUNTY AND MUNICIPALITY DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BOND COMMITTEE - NOT LESS THAN SEVEN NOR MORE THAN TWELVE MEMBERS - APPROVED

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION

A JOINT RESOLUTION CONCERNING COUNTY AND MUNICIPALITY DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS.

WHEREAS, the County of Mesa, on the 23rd day of January, 1980, and the City of Grand Junction, on the 16th day of January, 1980, adopted a joint resolution concerning the establishment of an advisory committee on the issuance of Development Revenue Bonds; and

WHEREAS, that resolution provided for a committee of seven members, it now being felt that a larger committee would better serve the purposes of both bodies;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE

### CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the second paragraph of Section 3 of the Joint Resolution be amended to read as follows:

"This committee shall consist of not less than seven nor more than twelve residents of Mesa County, knowledgeable in finance and business, with appointments to be made jointly by the City Council President and the Chairman of the Mesa County Commissioners with the concurrence of their respective Boards."

PASSED and ADOPTED this 2nd day of April, 1980.

President of the Council

Attest:

City Clerk

PASSED and ADOPTED this 2nd day of April, 1980.

Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Mesa

Attest:

## County Clerk

Upon motion by Councilman O'Dwyer, seconded by Councilman Johnson and carried by roll call vote with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

DOWNTOWN DEVELOPMENT WORK PROGRAM - \$75,000 AUTHORIZED - RSWA DENVER, INC.

Upon motion by Councilman Johnson, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the \$75,000 that was appropriated in the 1980 Budget for the downtown development work program was authorized to be available to the City Manager to negotiate with RSWA Denver, Inc., to bring their proposal within the bounds.

GOODWILL - AWARD OF CONTRACT - COMPLETION OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT - ADDITION TO THE COOPERATIVE TRAINING CENTER - WM. PRICE CONSTRUCTION CO. - \$191,450

Upon motion by Councilman Hollingsworth, seconded by Councilman

O'Dwyer and carried, the contract for the addition to the Goodwill Cooperative Training Center was awarded to Wm. Price Construction Co. for the negotiated bid price of \$191,450, and authorized the City Manager to sign said contract.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING SUBSTITUTION OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES IN ESCROW ACCOUNT RELATING TO ADVANCED REFUNDING OF JOINT WATER AND SEWER REVENUE BONDS, 1968-1969 SERIES

The following Resolution was read:

#### RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE SUBSTITUTION OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES HELD IN THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN GRAND JUNCTION, GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, DEPOSITED IN AN ESCROW ACCOUNT PURSUANT TO AN ESCROW AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION AND THE BANK IN CONNECTION WITH AN ADVANCED REFUNDING OF THE CITY'S JOINT WATER AND SEWER IMPROVEMENT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES A, DATED APRIL 1, 1968, AND JOINT WATER AND SEWER IMPROVEMENT REVENUE BONDS, SERIES NOVEMBER 1, 1969, DATED NOVEMBER 1, 1969; AUTHORIZING THE SUBSTITUTION OF UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT SECURITIES IN SAID ESCROW ACCOUNT AND PROVIDING OTHER DETAILS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.

WHEREAS, the City of Grand Junction in the County of Mesa and State of Colorado, ("City"), is a political subdivision of the State, a body corporate and politic, and a home-rule City pursuant to Article XX of the State Constitution; and

WHEREAS, by Ordinance No. 1627, Series 1976, adopted on September 15, 1976, the City authorized the issuance of its Joint Water and Sewer Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 1976, in the principal amount of \$3,295,000 dated September 1, 1976, and

WHEREAS, the proceeds of said refunding bonds less certain sums representing expenses plus certain cash were used to purchase United States government obligations which were listed in Schedules I and II of the Escrow Agreement with The First National Bank in Grand Junction, Grand Junction, Colorado, ("Bank") dated as of September 1, 1976, sufficient to pay the principal and interest on the bonds to be refunded; and

WHEREAS, because of market conditions the United States Government securities now on hand in the Escrow Account may be sold and other suitable higher interest-bearing United States Government securities substituted therefor thus allowing the City to realize substantial gain in interest earned by the substitute securities in the Escrow Account; and

WHEREAS, the present Federal Regulations and Rulings dated March 19, 1980, do not prohibit such a substitution; and

WHEREAS, the City Council determines it necessary and advisable to amend the escrow agreement dated as of September 1, 1976, by

substitution of the United States Government Securities listed in Exhibit A hereto attached and made a part hereof.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

Section 1. That Section 1 the escrow agreement dated September 1, 1976, between the City of Grand Junction, Mesa County, Colorado, and The First National Bank of Grand Junction, Grand Junction, Colorado, be and the same is hereby amended to permit the substitution of the United States Government Securities listed in Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof for the securities listed in Schedules I and II of the Escrow Agreement. Such substitution may be made regardless of whether the securities are designated in the Escrow Agreement as being a part of the Portfolio A Account or the Portfolio B Account.

Section 2. That the Mayor, Clerk and Finance Director of the City are authorized to contract with the Bank for the substitution of the Securities and are further authorized to take all actions which will enable the City and the Bank to accomplish the purposes of this Resolution.

Section 3. The final form of Exhibit A, to be attached hereto, shall set forth the substituted U.S. Government Securities and a list of the U.S. Government Securities being replaced, a list of all the costs and expenses of the transaction and amounts allocated to the major and minor portions of the Escrow Account, and also showing the net cash amount due to the City.

Prior to the closing of the transaction, herein contemplated, John Tasker as Finance Director and Treasurer and James Wysocki as City Manager will examine the final Exhibit A and if in proper form execute same on behalf of the City of Grand Junction.

Section 4. That all costs and expenses of the transaction are hereby found to be reasonable and proper, and the allocation thereof to the major and minor portions of the Escrow Account are believed to be reasonable.

Section 5. That if any one or more section or part of this Resolution shall be adjudged unenforceable or invalid, such judgment shall not affect, impair or invalidate the remaining provisions of this Resolution, it being the intention that the various provisions hereof are severable.

Section 6. All Resolutions or parts thereof in conflict with this Resolution are hereby repealed.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 2nd day of April, 1980.

President of the Council

Attest:

City Clerk

EXHIBIT A

SUBSTITUTION SECURITIES:

U.S. GOVERNMENT OPEN MARKET SECURITIES

\$ AMOUNTMATURING DATECOUPON DATE	
\$530,0002-15-9510.50%	
120,0008-15-948.75%	
105,0008-15-938.625%	
300,0005-15-908.25%	
140,0005-15-899.25%	
135,0005-15-8712.00%	
140,0005-15-868.00%	
60,0008-15-858.25%	

# REPLACED SECURITIES

STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERIES

\$ AMOUNTMATURING DATECOUPON DATE	
\$145,0009-29-857.48%	

160,0009-29-867.52%	
160,0009-29-877.55%	
175,0009-29-897.61%	
100,0009-29-907.64%	
105,0009-29-917.66%	
120,0009-29-927.66%	
125,0009-29-937.67%	
135,0009-29-947.68%	
150,0009-29-957.69%	
160,0009-29-967.70%	
175,0009-29-977.71%	

Upon motion by Councilman Johnson, seconded by Councilman O'Dwyer and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

Councilman Hollingsworth suggested that the City take the proper steps to analyze all deposit programs to determine whether they are serving the best interest of the City.

5TH STREET WATER LINE REPLACEMENT

Councilman Holmes commented that the 5th Street water line replacement should be completed within a couple of weeks.

VACANCIES ON CONTRACTORS LICENSING BOARD AND COMMUNITY ACTION BOARD

President Quimby requested letters from people interested in serving on the Contractors Licensing Board and the Community Action Board. Mike Pacheco is the Council's representative on the Community Action Board and wishes to continue as an ex-officio and Advisory member.

Those who have submitted letters for appointment to other Boards are to be researched and contacted to see if any of the people would be interested in serving on these Boards.

### HEALTH FAIR, APRIL 14, 1980

The Health Fair will be held April 14 at Two Rivers Plaza. Council President Quimby said the Council will try to arrange to have the pre-agenda Council luncheon at Two Rivers.

## RECREATION BOARD

Councilman Dunn reported that the Recreation Department is preparing for summer activities. The golf courses and tennis courts are expected to be in good shape; work on the swimming pool is progressing; the old kitchen on the Auditorium has been torn off, a drinking fountain is being installed inside the Auditorium; an office is being built inside the auditorium; and the Department is getting geared up for the Women's Fast Pitch Softball Tournament this summer.

### WORK SESSION

President Quimby requested Council members to call her if they have any ideas they want discussed at a work session.

### ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion by Councilman Johnson, seconded and carried, the meeting was adjourned.

Neva B. Lockhart

Neva B. Lockhart, CMC City Clerk