

Grand Junction, Colorado

February, 4, 1981

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, convened in regular session at 7:30 p.m. the 4th day of February, 1981, in council Chambers at City Hall. Those present were Council members Louis Brach, Frank Dunn, Robert Holmes, Dale Hollingsworth, Karl Johnson, Bill O'Dwyer, and Jane Quimby, a quorum. Also present were City Manager Jim Wysocki, City Attorney Gerald Ashby, and City Clerk Neva Lockhart.

Council President Jane Quimby called the meeting to order and led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

INVOCATION

Reverend Tracey Miller, First Christian Church.

MINUTES

The Minutes of regular meetings January 7 and 21, and special meeting January 27, 1981, were deferred to February 18, 1981.

APPOINTMENTS TO CENTENNIAL COMMITTEE

This committee is being established at this time to start working towards the celebration of the centennial of Grand Junction. Appointees are: Ralph Powers, Chairman, Karen Lundstrom, Charles Teed, Ken Kosneke, Virgil Van Dyke, Marietta Benge, Bruce Benge, Theresa Bouley, Al Look, and Elizabeth Collier.

LIQUOR & BEER RENEWALS APPROVED

Upon motion by Councilman Hollingsworth, seconded by Councilman Johnson and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the applications by the following businesses to renew liquor and beer licenses were approved:

1. The Timbers, 1810 North Avenue (Hotel-Restaurant)
2. Orchard Bowl, 295 27 Road (Hotel-Restaurant)
3. City Market Store No. 1, 433 Grand (3.2% Beer)

HEARING - PEPPERIDGE SUBDIVISION REVISED FINAL PLAN AND PLAT, LOCATED S OF PATTERSON ROAD, APPROXIMATELY 1000 FEET W OF 29 ROAD - APPROVED

A hearing was held after due notice on Pepperidge Subdivision revised final plan and plat. The Subdivision is located south of Patterson Road, approximately 1000 feet west of 29 Road and contains 8.497 acres designed for 118 units in a Planned Residential Zone. The petitioner is Sundance Builders. There were no opponents, letters, or counterpetitions. Upon motion by Councilman Hollingsworth, seconded by Councilman Johnson and

carried, the Pepperidge Subdivision revised final plan and plat was approved.

ORDINANCE NO. 1940 - EASEMENT VACATION BETWEEN LOTS 10 AND 11, CREST VIEW SUBDIVISION

Upon motion by Councilman O'Dwyer, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the Proof of Publication to the following entitled proposed ordinance was accepted for filing: AN ORDINANCE VACATING AN EASEMENT IN THE CITY.

Upon motion by Councilman Holmes, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried, the proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Brach, seconded by Councilman O'Dwyer and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 1940, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 1941 - EASEMENT VACATION, LOTS 14 THROUGH 17, HORIZON PARK PLAZA SUBDIVISION

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman Brach and carried, the Proof of Publication to the following entitled proposed ordinance was accepted for filing: AN ORDINANCE VACATING AN EASEMENT IN THE CITY.

Upon motion by Councilman O'Dwyer, seconded by Councilman Brach and carried, the proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman O'Dwyer, seconded by Councilman Brach and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 1941, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 1942 - ALLEY VACATION REVISED, LOTS 4 THROUGH 27, BLOCK 5, SPARN SUBDIVISION

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the Proof of Publication to the following entitled proposed ordinance was accepted for filing: AN ORDINANCE VACATING AN ALLEY WITHIN THE CITY.

Upon motion by Councilman Johnson, seconded by Councilman O'Dwyer and carried, the proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Brach, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 1942, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 1943 - REZONE FROM R-3 AND R-1-C TO PR-18 TRACT OF LAND LOCATED SE OF 25 1/2 ROAD AND F 1/4 ROAD (FORESIGHT VILLAGE)

Upon motion by Councilman Holmes, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried, the Proof of Publication to the following entitled proposed ordinance was accepted for filing: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY.

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman Brach and carried, the proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Brach, seconded by Councilman O'Dwyer and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 1943, and ordered published.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE REMOVING OFFICE AND RESTAURANT USES AS CONDITIONAL USES IN THE MULTI-FAMILY (R-3) RESIDENTIAL ZONE IN THE CITY

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE REMOVING OFFICE AND RESTAURANT USES AS CONDITIONAL USES IN THE MULTI-FAMILY (R-3) RESIDENTIAL ZONE IN THE CITY. Upon motion by Councilman Hollingsworth, seconded by Councilman Johnson and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

BAUGHMAN ANNEXATION - PETITION - RESOLUTION - PROPOSED ORDINANCE - S OF PATTERSON ROAD, E OF POMONA SCHOOL

The petition for annexing the Baughman property south of Patterson Road, east of Pomona School was accepted for filing.

PETITION

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, do hereby petition the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, State of Colorado, to annex the following described property to the said City:

The E2 of the NW4 of the NE4 of Section 10, T1S, R1W of the Ute Meridian, and F Road right of way on N;

As ground therefor, the petitioners respectfully state that annexation to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, is both necessary and desirable and that the said territory is eligible for annexation in that the provisions of the Municipal Annexation Act of 1965, Sections 31-12-104 and 31-12-105 CRS 1973 have been met.

This petition is accompanied by four copies of a map or plat of the said territory, showing its boundary and its relation to established City limit lines, and said map is prepared upon a material suitable for filing.

Your petitioners further state that they are the owners of one

hundred percent of the area of such territory to be annexed, exclusive of streets and alleys; that the mailing address of each signer and the date of signature are set forth hereafter opposite the name of each signer, and that the legal description of the property owned by each signer of said petitioner is attached hereto.

WHEREFOR, these petitioners pray that this petition be accepted and that the said annexation be approved and accepted by ordinance.

DATE SIGNATURE ADDRESS PROPERTY DESCRIPTION			
2/2/81/s/ Robert I. Baughman2579 F Road Grand Jct, COThe E2 of the NW4 of the NE4 of Section 10, T1S, R1W of the Ute Meridian.			
2/2/81/s/ Frances L. Baughman2579 F Road Grand Jct, COExcept F Road on the N.			
2/2/81/s/ Dennis L. Barbour2496 Random Hills Grand Jct, CO			
2/2/81/s/ Ted L. Straughan2667 Catalina Dr. Grand Jct, CO			

STATE OF COLORADO)		
)SS		
COUNTY OF MESA)		

AFFIDAVIT

DON WARNER, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, upon oath, depose and says:

That he is the circulator of the foregoing petition;

That each signature on the said petition is the signature of the person whose name it purports to be.

;sigl;
/s/ Don Warner

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2nd day of February, 1981.

Witness my hand and official seal.

;sigl;
/s/ Neva B. Lockhart
Notary Public

My Commission expires: 6-23-82

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, on the 4th day of February, 1981, a petition was submitted to the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, for annexation to said City of the following property situate in Mesa County, Colorado, and described as follows:

The E2 of the NW4 of the NE4, Section 10, T1S, R1W, Ute Meridian; and F Road right of way on N

WHEREAS, the Council has found and determined and does hereby find and determine that said petition is in substantial compliance with statutory requirements therefor; that one-sixth of the perimeter of the area proposed to be annexed is contiguous with the City; that a community of interest exists between the territory and the City;

that the territory proposed to be annexed is urban or will be urbanized in the near future; that the said territory is

integrated or is capable of being integrated with said City, and that no election is required under the Municipal Annexation Act of 1965 as the owner of one hundred percent of the property has petitioned for annexation;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

That the said territory is eligible for annexation to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, and should be so annexed by Ordinance.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 4th day of February, 1981.

President of the Council

Attest:

City Clerk

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman Brach and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TERRITORY TO THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO. Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman O'Dwyer and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

RESOLUTION SUBMITTING AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A LODGER'S TAX TO THE ELECTORATE OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION - DEFEATED

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION

SUBMITTING AN ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING A LODGERS' TAX TO THE ELECTORATE OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the proposed Ordinance attached hereto and made a part hereof by this reference, entitled: AN ORDINANCE IMPOSING A LODGERS' TAX FOR THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, SETTING FORTH PURPOSES AND DEFINITIONS, PROVIDING FOR EXEMPTIONS AND ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES, ESTABLISHING ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE be passed for publication and be submitted to the electorate of the City of Grand Junction at the general municipal election to be held in the City on the 7th day of April, 1981, to determine whether or not the Ordinance should be adopted.

PASSED and ADOPTED this _____ day of _____, 1981.

President of the Council

Attest:

City Clerk

ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE IMPOSING A LODGERS' TAX FOR THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, SETTING FORTH PURPOSES DEFINITIONS, PROVIDING FOR EXEMPTIONS AND ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES, ESTABLISHING ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

Section 1:

TITLE

This ordinance shall be known and may be cited as "The Lodgers' Tax Ordinance."

Section 2:

PURPOSE

The purpose of this ordinance is to impose a tax which will be borne by transient persons using commercial lodging accommodations in the City of Grand Junction, which tax will provide revenues for advertising the community, promoting tourism and visitors, attracting conferences, conventions, and meeting to the City of Grand Junction, and to allocate a portion of the revenue derived therefrom for administrative and clerical expenses.

Section 3:

DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of this Ordinance the following words shall have the following meanings:

(a) Person shall include any individual, firm, partnership, joint enterprise, corporation, estate or trust, or any group or combination acting as a unit, in the plural as well as in the singular number.

(b) Providing Lodging shall mean the providing of the right to use

or possess, for a consideration, any room or rooms in a hotel, apartment hotel, lodging house, motor hotel, guest house, guest ranch, mobile home, movable structure, auto camps, trailer court and parks under any concession, permit, right of access, license to use or other arrangement or otherwise, but shall not include rentals under a written agreement for occupancy for a period of 30 days or more.

Section 4:

AMOUNT OF TAX

Any person providing lodging within the City of Grand Junction shall collect a tax from all those to whom lodging is provided amounting to two percent (2%) of the total rental revenue received by such person. Such tax shall be in addition to any other tax or levy for providing such service.

Section 5:

REMITTING OF TAX

Any person providing lodging shall pay to the City Finance Director all tax funds collected by him during each calendar month not later than twenty (20) days after the last day of said month and each payment shall be accompanied by a report of rental income for said month.

Persons collecting and remitting the tax can deduct five percent (5%) as a collection fee. Any person failing to make said payment and file said report within the period of time as herein provided shall pay a penalty of ten percent (10%) of the amount by him collected for each month or fraction thereof, together with interest at the rate of one percent (1%) per month of said amount collected, until said payment and report shall be properly filed with the City Finance Director.

Section 6:

TAXABLE REVENUE

Rental revenue shall include all revenues earned and received for rooms excluding the following:

(a) Charges for other services furnished by a person providing lodging.

(b) Deposits placed by any customer with a request to hold a room for such customer for a future date until such time as said deposit has been credited against actual room rental earned by the operator.

(c) Charges for rooms providing to persons employed by the United States government, the State of Colorado, its departments and

institutions, and the political subdivisions of the State, when such persons are engaged in such employment.

(d) Charges for rooms provided to persons engaged in activities for charitable organizations while in the conduct of regular charitable functions and activities.

Section 7:

DEDUCTIONS

The following deductions shall be allowed against total rental revenue received by the person providing lodging:

(a) Refunds of rental revenues actually returned to any roomer or customer.

(b) Any adjustments in rental which amount to a refund to a roomer or a customer, providing such adjustment pertains to the actual rental rate charged by the person providing lodging and does not include any adjustments for other services furnished by him.

Section 8:

REGULATIONS

The City Clerk is hereby authorized to promulgate regulations to aid in collection of said lodging tax and in particular and without limiting the general language of this section, to provide for:

(a) A form of report on rental income to be supplied to all persons who provide lodging.

(b) The records which said persons providing lodging are to keep concerning said report.

Section 9:

KEEPING OF REPORTS; INSPECTION

All reports of rental income and information supplemental thereto shall be retained by the City Finance Director and shall not be open to the inspection of the general public. However, any officer or employee of the City of Grand Junction who may be charged with the duty of enforcement of this Ordinance shall be entitled to inspect said reports and any information supplemental thereto as may from time to time be required to attend to the proper execution and enforcement of this Ordinance. Any City officer or employee or any member of the office of, or officer, or employee of the Finance Director who shall divulge any information classified herein as confidential, in any manner, except in accordance with proper judicial order, or as otherwise provided by law, shall be guilty of a violation hereof.

Section 10:

ENFORCEMENT; INSPECTION

Any police officer of the City of Grand Junction or any person designated by the City Clerk to enforce this Ordinance shall have the right at any reasonable time to enter upon the premises of any person providing lodging and to request to see the register of guests and rate schedule maintained by any rooming house for the purpose of attending to the enforcement of this Ordinance.

Section 11:

COLLECTION REQUIREMENT

It shall be unlawful for a person providing lodging to rent lodging without collection, in addition to the rental thereof, the tax provided for in Section 4.

Section 12:

UNLAWFUL FOR PERSON PROVIDING LODGING TO ASSUME TAX

It shall be unlawful for the person providing lodging to assume or absorb the payment of the tax provided for in Section 4.

Section 13:

UNLAWFUL FOR PERSON PROVIDING LODGING TO REFUSE PAYMENT

It shall be unlawful for the person providing lodging to fail or refuse to pay to the City Finance Director the tax collected by him or to file reports required of him under the terms of this Ordinance.

Section 14:

COMPLIANCE REQUIRED

It shall be unlawful for any person either as principal, agent or employee to fail to comply with the provisions of this Ordinance.

Section 15:

USE AND DISPOSITION OF FUNDS

The funds shall be used as outlined in Section 2: PURPOSE, and shall be expended as directed by the Board of Directors in the following manner:

(a) All Funds Collected from the special lodging tax, less the lodgers' collection fee and less ten percent (10%) for City administrative costs, will be under the budgetary control of the

Board of Directors who will submit quarterly reports to the City Council on the expenditure of funds and the program development.

(b) Governing Board to Promote Tourism and Conventions: While the City Council shall look to the Chamber as the responsible agency, it recognizes that an organizational structure is necessary and approves the following:

(1) Composition of the Board: A governing board of six (6) members shall be appointed by the City Council as follows: two members from the Chamber of Commerce; one member from the City Council; and three members from the lodging industry. The City Finance Director and the Executive Vice President of the Chamber of Commerce shall serve as ex-officio members of the Board.

(2) Powers and Responsibilities of the Board:

i. It shall appoint employees specifically to engage in tourism and convention activities and to be responsible for the budgeting of funds.

ii. It shall operate from the offices of the Chamber of Commerce.

iii. Funding and expenditures shall be controlled by the governing board with monies kept in a special account separate from the Chamber of Commerce funds and budget. The board shall prepare an annual budget for review and approval by the City Council.

iv. Employees appointed by and accountable to the board shall nevertheless be considered employees of the Chamber of Commerce.

Section 16:

This Ordinance shall be and become Chapter 24.1 of the Code of Ordinances of the City of Grand Junction and become effective on the 1st day of June, 1981.

PASSED and ADOPTED this _____ day of _____, 1981.

President of the Council

Attest:

City Clerk

Councilman Hollingsworth moved the adoption of the Resolution as read. The motion was seconded by Councilman Johnson.

President Quimby clarified that this proposal is being brought back to get a recorded vote of the Council at the request of a

council member due to a lack on the President's part to request comments prior to the vote at the last Council meeting.

Councilman Hollingsworth said that for a further clarification the Council is voting now to determine whether the citizens of this community will have an opportunity of deciding what has developed into a very controversial issue.

Councilman Holmes said that for still further clarification, as was brought out at the noon luncheon, the purpose and sole purpose for this vote was to determine how the vote was at the last Council meeting at which time this ordinance was repealed and considered a closed matter. So this vote will establish what that voting record was, will it not?

President Quimby responded that this vote will establish the voting record of this evening and it will clarify the action that was taken the other night. President Quimby stated that the Daily Sentinel article was incorrect in its statement that she did not request NAY votes. She did ask for NAY votes.

Where she erred was that after the motion was made she did not allow any time for discussion, and that was part of the confusion that resulted.

Councilman Dunn questioned whether the voting on this (tonight) will put this to a vote of the people at the election.

City Attorney Ashby advised that a "Yes" vote on the Resolution will pass it (the ordinance) for publication and will submit it to a vote. A "No" vote will not pass it (the ordinance) for publication and will not submit it to a vote.

President Quimby stated that a transcript of what ensued and the comments and the votes that were made and the motions made at the last meeting were provided to council members for their review and to be sure that they are straight in their minds whether or not the comments that they made reflected the action that they took.

Councilman Holmes read from that extract from those minutes a response to comments that he made at the last meeting stating that "my feeling in this matter is that the petitions are, in effect, a mandate of the people indicating their expressed desire, and as the Council has over the many, many times solicited the participation of the citizens or the expression of their desires regarding the decisions that would be made by Council as it affects their businesses and their lives and their families, we responded to their input and to their desires, and I certainly think this is the democratic process in operation at grassroots level in the community this evening." That was at the last Council meeting and Councilman Holmes quoted "and I, for one feel that it's been handled properly." Councilman Holmes stated he read that only to emphasize the fact that the Council acted and voted upon that which was clearly a demonstration of the people affected by

the resolution and the ordinance that was repealed, and this is just a rerun, a rehash, and he wanted that to be made clear also.

President Quimby stated she would differ with Councilman Holmes a little bit. That was only the process for part of the people of the community, it was not for the community as a whole. If there is anyone who desires to read other parts of the transcript, it will be made available.

Councilman Johnson call for the question.

Upon roll call, Council members HOLLINGSWORTH, JOHNSON and QUIMBY voted AYE. Council members BRACH, HOLMES, DUNN and O'DWYER voted NO. The motion to pass the Resolution ordering the publication of the Ordinance to submit it to a vote of the people was defeated.

President Quimby stated that she feels it's very necessary to explain her vote. There have been charges and countercharges that those of us (Council) who were opposed to the ordinance were yielding to the pressures and the demands of the Chamber of Commerce. There also have been charges that those who were opposed to the ordinance were yielding to the desires and the demands of the lodging industry. It does not appear to President Quimby that the Council reached a very clear decision. True, there was a majority vote, but it's very obvious that the Council is divided on the question. She perceived one further thing that she does not think some of the Council members considered. That was that the Council is charged with responding to the desires and the needs of the whole community, not to one special interest or to another special interest. For that reason, President Quimby thought it was important that the vote go to the people because Council only heard from approximately 800 or 900 of the 23,000 plus people who live in the City. She stated that her vote was neither in support of nor against anything. It was simply that she did not think there was a clear determination and that she thought the people should have had the right to make that determination.

Councilman Johnson stated that in some discussions he had with people pro and con on this issue prior to the last action taken by Council, particularly those who were opposed to the tax, it was stated to Councilman Johnson that they had no reservations about having this put to a vote of the people and they would abide by the wishes of the people, and at the same time Councilman Johnson made it clear as far as active in campaigning for or against the passage of the ordinance if it came to a vote of the people.

Councilman Dunn stated that he felt there is just too much misunderstanding, too many people who have gotten into the act as far as being bitter. He hates to see things like this happening in our City. He does not feel this is the proper time to go to an election. There is just too much misunderstanding at the present time and that is why he voted against going to an election at this time.

President Quimby stated that she will take it upon herself with the assistance of Councilman Brach of bringing the "fighting factions" together and they may have to stay locked in a room until some agreement or compromise can be reached. There was an indication on the part of those in opposition to the ordinance at the last meeting that they were willing to sit down at the table and reason together. Unfortunately, there was not time to do it prior to tonight. But in discussion with some of the people in the industry and some other people, she feels there is some way to come to an agreement, keeping in mind that the intent of this all along was to provide some additional revenue to do some things that it was felt needed to be done in this City. With that, she hoped that the motel industry can select some people to sit down as representative of them, and that she and Councilman Brach can sit down with them and perhaps work out a compromise kind of thing that will end up in an ordinance that will be acceptable both to the motel industry and to the Council that will not entail the necessity of going to a vote of the people. She considered this as throwing the gauntlet out to the motel people and saying that as soon as things calm down a little bit, and one thing about it, she is not running for reelection, so she may have more time than some of the rest of them (Council members), so maybe some agreement can be worked out keeping in mind what the main intent and purpose of this all is.

In response to the challenge President Quimby threw out, Councilman Holmes said he would like to at least, for his part as a member of the City Council, state that from where he sits there is no obligation whatsoever on the part of the motel industry to have to respond in any way in this matter. It is not their responsibility, and Councilman Holmes sees in no way that they are obliged to have to sit down to try to work out a compromise for something that they have already expressed they have no desire to have at this particular time and for the purpose of those people in the industry, he would like his feelings known on that -- that he, personally feels that there is no way that they would be under any obligation to do this whatsoever. It is not their charge -- the Council is charged with the affairs of the City. The Council is charged with the task of producing revenues -- this is not the responsibility of those people any more than it is any other segment of the community to have to help or sit down with the Council in order to determine how funds are to be raised to keep this City going or any facet of it, such as tourism or any other program that might be essential.

RESOLUTION CONCERNING LOS LUNEROS DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION

THE LOS LUNEROS DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado,

has heretofore authorized the issuance of Development Revenue Bonds under the terms of Article 3 of Title 29, Colorado Revised Statutes, 1973, as amended, to finance office building to be constructed by Los Luneros, a partnership; and

WHEREAS, as a condition precedent to the issuance of the bonds for the project, the City Council asked that the partnership demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Council that at least 50% of the initial occupancy of the rental space would be by energy-related companies; and

WHEREAS, Los Luneros has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the City Council that the condition has been met;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF Grand Junction, COLORADO:

That Los Luneros be authorized to proceed with the issuance of Development Revenue Bonds previously authorized by the Council, the requirement as to tenancy having been met.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 4th day of February, 1981.

President of the Council

Attest:

City Clerk

Upon motion by Councilman Brach, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

INTERNATIONAL YEAR OF DISABLED PERSONS

Councilman Holmes noted the Mayor's participation with Ron Halsey in establishing the kickoff for the International Year of Disabled Persons, hoping that this will be something to create awareness by the community of this particular segment of the community.

AIM

Councilman Johnson reported on the review of the past year's activities of AIM and some longer range goals and programs. Through AIM, the following programs were expedited: 911; development of the Chatfield School Park area now underway; recycling paper from business concerns; bringing together the entities and arriving at a location for a swimming pool on Orchard Mesa which will be located on the Junior High School grounds when it goes under construction. He feels AIM has proved its value.

Councilman O'Dwyer added his endorsement of the AIR program.

COG

Councilman Brach reported there will be a meeting of COG in Rifle on the 5th of February.

PLANNING COMMISSION AND PERFORMING ARTS COMMITTEE

President Quimby reported that the Performing Arts Committee met today.

She requested names to fill the four seats on the Planning Commission.

1981 MUNICIPAL ELECTION CALENDAR

President Quimby noted the submission to Council of the 1981 Municipal Election Calendar. Anyone interested can pick up a copy from the City Clerk.

ADJOURNMENT

The President adjourned the meeting.

Neva B. Lockhart

Neva B. Lockhart, CMC
City Clerk