

Grand Junction, Colorado

August 17, 1983

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, convened in regular session the 17th day of August, 1983, 7:30 p.m. in the City Council Chambers at City Hall. Those present were Betsy Clark, Frank Dunn, Robert Holmes, Mike Pacheco, Ray Phipps, and President of the Council Gary Lucero. Councilwoman Christine Kreissler was absent. Also present were City Attorney/Acting City Manager Gerald Ashby and City Clerk Neva Lockhart.

The President called the meeting to order and requested that Councilman Robert Holmes lead in the Pledge of Allegiance.

INVOCATION

Councilman Robert Holmes

MINUTES

Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried, the minutes of the August 3, 1983, meeting were approved as submitted.

PROCLAMATION DECLARING AUGUST 15, 1983, "AL LOOK DAY"

VISITORS AND CONVENTION BUREAU

Upon motion by Councilwoman Clark, seconded by Councilman Pacheco and carried, the appointment of the following people to the Visitors and Convention Bureau was ratified:

Frank Bering - Representing the Food and Beverage Industry
Reuben Schultz - Representing the Travel Industry
Char Hudson - Representing the Motel-Hotel Industry
Dick Schmitz - Representing the Transportation Industry
Dick Maynard - Representing the Advertising Industry
Dick Sparn - Representing the General Retail Industry
Bruce Isaacson - Representing Other Industries

REPRESENTATIVES OF GRAND JUNCTION DOWNTOWN ASSOCIATION ADDRESSED NEED FOR ROOD AND COLORADO TO BECOME TWO-WAY STREETS, ALTER PEDESTRIAN WALK SIGNALS, NIGHT LIGHTING AND UNDERGROUNDING OF UTILITIES

William Walker, representing the retail merchants on Main Street, was present to request that Council expeditiously and as inexpensively as possible make Rood and Colorado Avenues two-way streets, alter the pedestrian walk signals on Main Street, and permit left turns onto Main Street where possible and permit right turns onto or off Main on red where possible.

Council indicated that these items would be high priority items in

next year's budget. Mr. Walker offered the help of the retailers on Main to assist in the change.

Guy Stephens, representing the downtown retailers and DDA, addressed the parking on Rood and Colorado and stated that he would be opposed to the change to parallel parking. He discussed the lighting downtown and the undergrounding of the electric utility and the water. He wanted to be assured publicly that the monies are budgeted to complete these items. Ken Reedy, City Engineer, stated that the design work is in process now, and plans are to be under construction for the drainage and lighting with the work to be completed later this year.

Mike Shannon, President of the Grand Junction Downtown Association, appeared to offer its support of Mr. Walker and Mr. Stephens for the expeditious completion of the projects outlined by them. He requested consideration of what the Association may be doing downtown and how it will be affected by public improvements downtown. He referred specifically to the replacement of the water main at 5th and Main during the Sidewalk Sale downtown which was an event that had been published some seven months prior to the date of the work.

LIQUOR AND BEER - APPLICATIONS TO RENEW LICENSES APPROVED

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the applications by the following business concerns to renew liquor and beer licenses were approved:

Santy's Stop, 337 S. First Street Hotel-Restaurant

Fisher's Liquor Barn, 2448 F Road Retail Liquor Store

Albertsons Food Center, 1838 N. 12th Street 3.2% Beer

Skaggs Drug Center, 1834 N. 12th Street 3.2% Beer

LIQUOR - APPLICATION BY DALE NEITZERT AND MARILYN RISNES FOR RETAIL LIQUOR STORE LICENSE AT THE JOLLY JUG, 220 W. GRAND AVENUE - APPROVED

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the application by Dale Neitzert and Marilyn Louise Risnes (Brother-Sister Partnership) for a retail liquor store license at The Jolly Jug, 220 West Grand Avenue, was approved. This was a change of ownership; license was held by Dale and Ralph Neitzert.

HEARING ON DEVELOPMENT IN H.O. ZONE TO PERMIT CAR WASH, MINI-MARKET, AND SELF-SERVICE GAS STATION N OF F ROAD, W OF 24 1/2 ROAD - APPROVED

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Robert

Hirons, Mesa Mini-Mall Properties, to permit the development of a car wash, mini-market, and self-service gas station on approximately .51 acre on Lot 5, Fisher Subdivision, north of F Road and west of 24 1/2 Road. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions. Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the petition for the development was approved subject to the conditions of the Planning Commission.

HEARING ON DEVELOPMENT IN H.O. ZONE FOR OFFICE BUILDINGS IN CROSSROADS COLORADO WEST SUBDIVISION N OF I-70 AT 27 1/2 ROAD LINE - APPROVED

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Jerome Fossenier, Planners & Developers, Ltd., for development in H.O. Zone to permit office buildings on Lots 9 and 10, Block 3, Crossroads Colorado West Subdivision north of I-70 and 27 1/4 Road Line on approximately 2.58 acres. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions. Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the petition for the development was approved subject to the conditions of the Planning Commission.

HEARING ON CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION COMPREHENSIVE PLAN - TABLED - SCHEDULED FOR DISCUSSION AT WORKSHOP

HEARING - APPLICATION BY LILLET CORPORATION FOR A HOTEL-RESTAURANT LICENSE AT THE GRAND JUNCTION HILTON HOTEL, 743 HORIZON DRIVE

A hearing was held after proper notice on the application by Lillet Corporation for a hotel-restaurant liquor license to be located at 743 Horizon Drive under the trade name of the Grand Junction Hilton Hotel. The following report was read:

"On July 12, 1983, we received and accepted the application by Lillet Corporation for a hotel-restaurant liquor license to be located at the Grand Junction Hilton Hotel, 743 Horizon Drive. Officers, Directors, Stockholders of the corporation are:

President: Jay R. Kuhne, Aspen CO 60%
Vice Pres: Ralph L. Braden, Aspen CO 40%
Sec/Treas: Debra Lee Babbie, Aspen CO
Manager: Anthony Verhaart, Grand Junction, CO

Bob Goldin of the Planning Department has provided a memorandum for the file advising that the conditional use review process for the proposed dining room, cocktail lounge and banquet facilities were considered concurrently and approved when the development in H.O. zone was considered and approved by the City Council on 5-20-81 (Grand Hotel). On June 2, 1983, SLP representing the Hilton Hotel filed a letter listing the parking and use relationships which was acceptable to the Planning Department.

The area bounded by G Road on the south, 12th Street/27 Road on the west, H Road on the north, to 28 1/4 Road (if extended) on the east was surveyed with the following results:

1. Yes, I am in favor of the issuance of the license as I believe the needs of the neighborhood are not being met by existing outlets. 196

a. An owners of property in the neighborhood. 80

b. An employee or business lessee of property in the neighborhood. 108

c. An inhabitant of the neighborhood. 33

2. No, I am not in favor of the issuance of the license as I believe the needs of the neighborhood are being met by existing outlets. 26

a. An owner of property in the neighborhood. 18

b. An employee or business lessee of property in the neighborhood. 9

c. An inhabitant of the neighborhood. 10

As of this date no petitions, letters or counterpetitions have been filed.

The Fire Department has reviewed the plans and sees no problem so long as the Building and Fire Code requirements are observed. The Hilton management has not contacted the Mesa County Health Department as of this date to schedule an inspection and subsequent report. The Police Department reports that nothing of a derogatory nature was revealed during the background investigation of the officers. Fingerprint cards were sent to CBI/FBI with no return to date.

Similar type outlets within survey area and within one mile: 8."

The map showing similar-type outlets was reviewed.

Richard Livingston, Attorney, was present for the applicant along with the manager Anthony Verhaart. Mr. Livingston requested that Council deviate from its normal procedure and make its decision on the application at this meeting. The primary reason, according to Mr. Livingston, was that on October 6 through 9 the Hilton will be utilized as part of a sports/medicine conference to be given as a benefit for the St. Mary's Hospital Medical Center. The personnel need training before the scheduled events occur. Mr. Livingston recognized and acknowledged the applicant's failure to file the application in a timely manner in order to assure having the liquor license for these scheduled events.

There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions. The hearing was closed.

Councilman Holmes said that in regard to the request by Mr. Livingston that Council forego its process of going to fact-finding, he would make this observation: That to take exception to the process for this particular event or occasion for this liquor license would be in essence the opening of the proverbial "can of worms." He did not feel that Council should, under any circumstances, take an exception to this proposal as a quasi-judicial body; however, if the Council felt that in this case fact-finding was not a necessity and would concur that fact-finding would not be a part of making a determination not only for liquor licenses but any and all other matters from here on out that would be a "bird of a different color." He believed Council would be irresponsible and would have many problems in the future dealing with many applications regarding liquor or development or whatever if it were to forego fact-finding based on the hardships or circumstances of this particular situation, and he advised against that.

Councilman Dunn noted that Council has in the past with regard to Development items foregone fact-finding and make decisions. Councilman Holmes acknowledged that fact and stated that if Council continues to take exception it would be inviting, according to his beliefs, disaster by subjecting the City to litigation where a preferential treatment is shown for one over another based on criteria that is not that critical. He requested that what he was suggesting was that if Council should choose to go ahead and remove fact-finding completely, that would be fine. But he did not feel that Council should take an exception on this particular case.

Councilman Phipps requested that the City Attorney explain "fact-finding." Mr. Ashby said the whole concept of fact-finding was in part as Councilman Holmes outlined and that as a quasi-judicial body the Council is required to come up with findings of fact which will then be used to determine whether or not the Council will grant something or whether it will deny something. He indicated that on Monday or Tuesday he was asked whether or not the Council could waive its normal fact-finding procedure, and he stated that Council may do so but that it is up to Council to determine whether or not it wants to do so. The practice was set up to go into the next Council meeting to make these determinations because that was the easiest way to do it in that he had the ability to review with Council the evidence so that a determination could be made that bore some relationship to the evidence. Mr. Ashby then reviewed the application under discussion: Overwhelming survey; no opposition to the license; there are other outlets in the area of the license, but they are not determinative when there is a survey. When asked by Councilman Phipps for his opinion regarding setting a precedent, Mr. Ashby said that he was not sure that he had all that fear for setting precedence. He thought that each time an applicant comes forward and stated that he feels that he has some special reason why the Council should deviate from what is a rule of the Council, the Council should at least listen to that and then Council can then

determine in each instance. Admittedly, according to Mr. Ashby, it is a little more burdensome on the Council to have to consider these from time to time as they come in. But he thought that once Council sets a pattern of requiring something rather strong before it deviates from its policy there aren't going to be that many people coming in and asking for that deviation.

Councilman Holmes pointed out, however, that in times past the City Attorney has strongly advised Council of the necessity of adhering to the fact-finding process. This was the point of his concern. He felt that the fair course for Council would be to eliminate the fact-finding altogether. He though the record would show that in the past he has referred to fact-finding in this vernacular "I have felt that it has been a cop-out." He restated that it is important to let those people who come before Council applying for a development, a liquor license, or whatever have the right to know where each individual vote is coming from and what the feeling is. He stated that one does not necessarily get this when the matter is taken to fact-finding. It's done behind scenes, you don't know who's in favor, you don't know how it was arrived at, so in essence, a more democratic and a more fair process would be to eliminate fact-finding and make the decisions right out here up front where everyone that's concerned can hear them, see them, and the public and the constituency out in the community will know how the votes came about and who did what. He thought that would be a true democratic process. But he thought that for Council to stand in a position where it maneuvers it to suit whoever, whatever, whenever would, be believed, be an exercise in hypocrisy.

Councilwoman Clark stated that it has been her feeling that the procedures the Council has in this manner are procedures and Council should follow those as closely as it can. She also believed the Council has directed the City Attorney to investigate, find ways to resolve this very issue; that is, take it out of the political arena and to put it into a more democratic process through the hearing process with a hearing judge. She looks forward to reports from the City Attorney in that regard.

Councilman Holmes did not want his remarks to be interpreted that what he suggested by doing away with fact-finding, if indeed that was Council's pleasure, to mean that it would do away with the Council hearing the liquor circumstances that come before it.

Councilman Dunn stated that he felt very comfortable with the application in question. It was moved by Councilman Dunn and seconded by Councilman Pacheco that the Council act at this meeting on the application by Lillet Corporation for a hotel-restaurant liquor license at the Grand Junction Hilton Hotel, 743 Horizon Drive.

Councilman Holmes clarified that Councilman Dunn's motion was to take exception on this application to the process but to continue with fact-finding on all other applications in the future.

Councilman Dunn stated that his motion was to take the same process that Council has taken in the past on Planning items. He stated that Council had already established a procedure as far as Planning items are concerned, and he thought everything that comes up has to be reviewed. He did not believe that everything had to be black and white.

Councilwoman Clark asked if the motion was just to determine whether Council would take action at this meeting. The response was, "yes, that's all."

By voice vote there were three "Ayes" and three "Noes" on the motion. Roll call was requested.

In response to a question by Councilman Lucero, Mr. Ashby explained that if Council does not take action at this meeting, the item will be on the September 7 agenda for a determination as to whether or not Council would grant or deny a license.

There was discussion about an alternative by way of a caterer. Mr. Livingston indicated that the manager had contacted a couple of caterers and they are not permitted to provide this service.

There was discussion about the Certificate of Occupancy. Bob Goldin reported that a temporary Certificate of Occupancy has been issued by the Building Department for the Hilton to occupy the coffee shop and the second floor. Mr Ashby asked the applicant if there may be a problem with the Hilton franchise chain people in that the applicant may not be permitted to open at all until everything is in order. Mr. Livingston said "that's true," but the applicant came to Council with "hat-in-hand" so to speak. He said they were not trying to make any excuses for their failure to perform in a timely fashion. He pointed out that the approval of the license would not generate any immediate economic benefits to the licensee much in advance of the October 6 date. He noted that if they do not have a license, they do have a problem with the fact that the franchise with the Hilton national chain controls their opening date. Historically, he said, they have not permitted a facility to open until it was one hundred percent operational which includes alcohol in the bar and restaurant area.

Roll was called upon the motion to act at this meeting on the application by Lillet Corporation for a hotel-restaurant liquor license at the Grand Junction Hilton Hotel, 743 Horizon Drive, with the following result:

Council members voting AYE: DUNN, LUCERO.

Council members voting NO: HOLMES, PACHECO, CLARK, PHIPPS.

The President declared the motion lost.

HEARING - APPLICATION BY AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF MINING,

METALLURGICAL AND PETROLEUM ENGINEERS FOR FERMENTED MALT BEVERAGE SPECIAL EVENTS PERMITS FOR SEPTEMBER 24 AND 25, 1983, 9 A.M. TO 5 P.M. AT 2ND ST AND WHITE AVE - AUTHORIZATION TO LEASE PROPERTY - FIRST PERMIT - APPROVED

A hearing was held after proper notice on the application by the American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers for fermented malt beverage special events permits on September 24 and 25, 1983, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. at Second Street and White Avenue. Richard Munro, 581 Kirby Lane, was present to speak for the granting of the permits. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions. Upon motion by Councilwoman Clark, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried with Council members HOLMES and PACHECO voting NO, the application was approved.

Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the City Manager was authorized to sign the lease between the City and the American Institute of Mining, Metallurgical and Petroleum Engineers for the use of the property at Second and White Avenue during this two-day event.

BIDS - NORTH AVENUE ISLAND BIDS - M.A. CONCRETE - \$43,998.75 - TABLED TO SEPTEMBER 7, CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Councilman Dunn requested that this matter be tabled until the next meeting based on his conversation with Jim Kyle who would like to see a low maintenance type treatment of the islands.

CONTRACT PURCHASE AGREEMENT FOR RESCUE SQUAD - \$16,500

Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the City Manager was authorized to sign the Contract Purchase Agreement with Exxon Corporation for the Rescue Squad unit for payment in January, 1984, of \$16,500.

HEARING - REZONE FROM RSF-4 TO PB AND PATTERSON MEDICAL CENTER OUTLINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN - PETITIONERS: HENRY FAUSSONE, DENNIS GRANUM, NOEL B. NORRIS

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Henry Faussone, Dennis Granum and Noel B. Norris for a rezone change from Residential Single-Family uses at 4 units per acre to Planned Business uses and an Outline Development Plan on approximately .37 acre located on the northwest corner of 26-3/4 Road and F Road. Bob Goldin, Senior Planner, reviewed the proposal. He noted that as a result of the Planning Commission hearing, it was recognized that this area was not necessarily the best area for a single-family residence. However, at the hearing there were concerns expressed from the neighborhood mainly to the north and a little to the east about an office building in a residential neighborhood. The major concerns did incorporate traffic and pedestrian safety resulting from any business use along there, and then also encroachment into the residential character of the neighborhood. The Planning Commission did recommend approval of

this project subject to the petitioners meeting with the neighbors prior to the next phase of submittal to assure that any mitigation that might be required as a result of this project be insured both on the part of the neighborhood and from the petitioner's aspects.

Councilman Pacheco asked Mr. Goldin if he thought that the concerns expressed by the people living in that area could be resolved. Mr. Goldin said that part of the discussion really regards the whole use along Patterson Road and the encroachment of business into residential neighborhoods. Their concerns regarding pedestrian safety result partly from the exit off of 26-3/4 Road for the medical office building rather than coming in off of Patterson. There is a grade problem there as far as sight distance and they did say they do have kids playing in the area, mainly in the street and their concerns were from the traffic going in and out. Also from the apartments to the east. Whether or not these concerns can be resolved will in part come as a result of the next phase when they actually get their working drawings in finalizing the design of the building, their landscape plans, their grading and drainage aspects. There was discussion with the petitioners the possibility of a garden level versus the two stories to help blend in better. The access proposed was really more beneficial from a traffic perspective coming in off of 26-3/4 Road than if it were to come from Patterson soon to be improved to major arterial status. According to Mr. Goldin, the petitioners are willing to dedicate the additional right-of-way for the improvements on Patterson, however, the actual improvements will not come until their final phase is approved. In conclusion, Mr. Goldin said it was not real clear whether the concerns can be mitigated.

Councilman Dunn, indicating that he will abstain on this issue as he lives in that neighborhood, said that that particular intersection is very hazardous, there is a steep hill, and if it is icy one cannot stop without snow tires. There is a road that comes out of Northern Way with a stop sign, but no one ever stops. The east side is blocked by the Green House Apartments and if the west is blocked by a two-story building visibility to enter Patterson would be further reduced creating a horribly dangerous situation.

Mr. Goldin indicated that the petitioner would be providing a nine-foot setback off the additional right-of-way requirements off Patterson Road.

Daryl Shrum was present representing the petitioner. He indicated that the petitioners are willing to work with the neighbors on all the final development plan, and as requested by the Planning Commission and the Planning Staff they will be having a neighborhood meeting to discuss the final development. He indicated that twenty feet of the right-of-way has already been dedicated to the City. Mr. Shrum said that if there was sight distance or any kind of traffic problems the City Engineer or the City Traffic Engineer would have brought that up on the technical review. At this point there are no outstanding Staff issues. Mr.

Shrub said that the petitioners are willing to look at the feasibility of a garden-level structure rather than a two-story structure. He submitted pictures to show the extensive vegetation that would serve as a natural barrier to other properties. They will be providing ample parking with 23 spaces.

Henry Faussone said the petitioner think they have a prudent development for this piece of property; they have selected a plan that would be the least objectionable for the use of the land; and they have spent much time discussing the proposal with the people in the immediate area. He stated they are willing to work very closely with the adjoining property owners, and scale down the size of the building. He expressed his appreciation to Councilman Dunn for his intent to abstain from voting on this issue.

Bill Dunning, 2337 Orchard Avenue, spoke for the petition.

Councilman Dunn read a letter from Charlotte Wren, 602 Rico Way. Ms. Wren opposed the zone change to Planned Business for the following reasons:

1. Traffic problems are already bad. The proposed egress would intensify the problem.
2. Blind road entrance and exit to and from Patterson and 26-3/4 Road.
3. Blind hill into and out of residential area with children riding bikes and walking to and from school.

Councilwoman Clark said that if Councilman Dunn planned to abstain on this item, she did not feel it would be appropriate for him to enter into any discussion on it.

Councilman Dunn responded that he had a right as a citizen to make comments. Councilman Pacheco supported Councilman Dunn's remarks.

Steve Weimer, 603 26-3/4 Road, stated the neighbors would like to see the property remain single-family use. He recognized that Mr. Faussone had been very cordial in working with the adjacent neighbors. He pointed out what he perceived as a problem in that where 26-3/4 Road comes down a hill towards Northern Way with the entrance to the parking lot for the development being within that short distance of road would create a hazard.

Comments from other people in the neighborhood were had from the following:

Bill Bush, 619 Viewpoint Drive

Quentin DeWeese, 611 Viewpoint Drive

Jim Hogge, 606 Rico Way

The President closed the hearing. A Resolution of findings and decision scheduled for September 7, 1983.

HEARING - VACATION OF A UTILITY EASEMENT, NW CORNER 26-3/4 ROAD AND F ROADS - SCHEDULED ON THE SEPTEMBER 7, 1983, COUNCIL AGENDA

SURPLUS CITY - APPEAL OF BUILDING PERMIT RE: CURB CUTS - APPEAL DENIED

Bob Goldin from the Planning Department reviewed the background of this appeal. The Planning Commission recommended upholding the Transportation Engineer's requirements. Comments were had from Traffic Engineer Jim Bragdon. Mr. Holmes, owner of Surplus City, was present along with Mary Stowell-Mercer and Bill Dunning, contractor for the location.

Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the appeal by Mr. Holmes, owner of Surplus City, to keep his present curb cuts was denied.

ORDINANCES ON FINAL PASSAGE - PROOFS OF PUBLICATION

Proofs of Publication for the following Ordinances proposed for final passage had been received and filed. Copies of the Ordinances proposed for final passage have been submitted in writing to the City Council.

ORDINANCE NO. 2142 - REZONE SW CORNER OF WEST GUNNISON AVENUE AND PEACH STREET FROM RMF-74 AND C-1 TO PC

Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and the title only was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Holmes, seconded by Councilman Pacheco and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2142, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2143 - DESIGNATING PARADE ROUTES IN THE CITY

Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the following entitled proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and the title only was read: AN ORDINANCE DESIGNATING PARADE ROUTES IN THE CITY.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2143, and ordered published.

RESOLUTION NO. 44-83 AUTHORIZING CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A

CONTRACT WITH CHILDS SYSTEMS, INC.

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 44-83

AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH CHILDS SYSTEMS, INC.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That James E. Wysocki, as the City Manager of the City of Grand Junction, be and he is hereby authorized to execute a contract for a software systems license between Childs Systems, Inc., and the City of Grand Junction.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 17th day of August, 1983.

/s/ Gary A. Lucero

President of the Council

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

AIM COMMITTEE

Councilman Pacheco reported on the AIM Committee meeting. One thing of importance from the meeting concerning Parks and Recreation was that the School District may be forced not to allow as much public use of its school buildings and grounds.

ENERGY IMPACT COMMITTEE - AIRPORT RUNWAY 422 ALLOCATED \$75,000 FOR NEXT YEAR

Councilwoman Clark reported that the Energy Impact Committee allocated \$75,000 for next year for Airport Runway 422 with the recommendation that the City and the County participate with matching funds.

HOUSING AUTHORITY

Councilman Phipps reported that the Housing Authority is examining the possibility of forming a partnership with some private entities which would perhaps allow them to come up with some more funds to do some more things.

ADJOURNMENT

The President adjourned the meeting.

Neva B. Lockhart

Neva B. Lockhart, CMC
City Clerk