Grand Junction, Colorado

February 15, 1984

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, convened in regular session the 15th day of February, 1984, in the City-County Auditorium at City Hall. Those present were Betsy Clark, Robert Holmes, Christine Kreissler, Mike Pacheco, Ray Phipps, and President of the Council Gary Lucero. Councilman Frank Dunn was absent. Also present were City Attorney-Acting City Manager Gerald Ashby and City Clerk Neva Lockhart.

The President of the Council, Gary Lucero, called the meeting to order and City Clerk Neva Lockhart led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### INVOCATION

Council President Gary Lucero.

#### MINUTES

Upon motion by Councilwoman Clark, seconded by Councilman Pacheco and carried, the minutes of the regular meeting February 1, 1984, were approved as submitted.

LIQUOR-BEER - APPLICATIONS TO RENEW LICENSES APPROVED

Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the applications by the following business concerns to renew their liquor and beer licenses were approved:

The Dallas Club, 2882 North Avenue (Tavern)

Rocky Mountain Food Store, 459 Pitkin Avenue (3.2% Beer)

Last Chance Liquors, 1203 Pitkin Avenue (Retail Liquor Store)

HEARING - NORTH AVENUE WEST COMMERCIAL SUBDIVISION (MINOR), E OF  $25\ 1/2\ \text{ROAD}$ , S OF NORTH AVENUE WEST, W OF HIGHWAY 6 & 50

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Mark Kareus, Turtle Enterprises, for a minor subdivision of three (3) lots on approximately 4.03 acres in a light commercial zone east of 25 1/2 Road, south of North Avenue West, and west of Highway 6 & 50. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions. Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried, and petition for North Avenue West Commercial Minor Subdivision was approved subject to the conditions of the Planning Commission.

HEARING - PROPOSED ORDINANCE - ZONE SHERIFFS POSSE ANNEXATION PI (PLANNED INDUSTRIAL), 25 ROAD AND F 1/2 ROAD

A hearing was held after proper notice on the proposal to zone the Sheriffs Posse Annexation located at 25 Road and F 1/2 Road to PI (Planned Industrial). There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions.

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY ADDING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY. Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

BIDS - AWARD OF CONTRACT - IRRIGATION SYSTEM MODIFICATIONS AT 27 1/2 AND PATTERSON ROADS - LOCKHERT & DETMER - \$9,948.85

Bids were received and opened February 9, 1984, on the proposed Irrigation System Modifications Project at 27 1/2 Road and Patterson Roads. Bidders were:

Clarke & Younger Landscape Contractor\$36,094.62	
John H. Young Building Contractor\$23,828.38	
Lyle States Construction, Inc.\$22,399.00	
Franklin Construction Company\$21,945.00	
Locke Associates, Inc.\$19,263.15	
Commercial Services Construction Co., Inc.\$18,302.70	
G. R. Construction, Inc.\$17,777.00	
Pioneer Construction Company, Inc.\$16,094.90	
Eldorado Construction\$15,338.00	
Garlitz & Serve, Inc.\$13,990.33	
De Jarnett Contracting Company\$12,864.60	

Parkerson Construction, Inc.\$11,100.00	
Lockert & Dettmer\$ 9,946.85	
Engineer's Estimate\$23,191.75	

Staff recommended award of contract to Lockert and Dettmer for its low bid. Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the bids were accepted and the contract for the Irrigation System Modifications at 27 1/2 and Patterson Roads was awarded to Lockert & Dettmer for its low bid of \$9,946.85

HEARING - NORTHRIDGE ESTATES FILING NO. 4, OUTLINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN, E OF 1ST STREET, N OF F ROAD AND INDEPENDENT RANCHMAN'S DITCH, S OF F 1/2 ROAD, W OF 7TH STREET

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Steve Foster, Don Foster, Clifton Mays on the Northridge Estates Filing No. 4 Outline Development Plan. The request was for a plan of 87 units on approximately 28.1 acres in a residential single-family zone at 4 units per acre. The proposed development is located east of First Street, north of F Road and Independent Ranchman's Ditch, south of F 1/2 Road, west of Seventh Street. Janet Stephens of the Planning Staff reviewed the proposal and stated that the Planning Commission recommended approval not subject to the Staff comments because of the Horizon Drive question. Ken Reedy, City Engineer, was present to respond to questions.

Joseph Coleman, Attorney, was present for the petitioners. He requested that Council reconsider the 1977 requirement for access to the north, and consider access to the east south of the canal. If the City Council selects the access on the continuation of North Ridge Drive to North Acres, the access will require one bridge, but will in effect give the City dual access—it will take you to F 1/2 and take you to 7th. Mr. Coleman addressed the proposed Horizon Drive extension between First and Seventh Streets as it relates to the outline development plan for Northridge Estates Filing No. 4 and noted the additional property taxes that would be derived versus the cost of condemnation proceedings and the cost of the road extension.

Paul Reddin, 3010 Cloverdale Court, representing Committee for Residential Development between Willowbrook and Northridge Estates, endorsed the proposal.

Warren Jones, 2624 F-1/8 Road, spoke for and against the proposed development. He was against the development because his property would have no right of way, however, the developers have indicated to Mr. Jones that they will work with him on the right of way question. Speaking for the plan, Mr. Jones felt it would be

foolish not to let the developers build the two-lane Horizon Drive. It would save money that could be used elsewhere in the City.

Jan Maynard, 607 26 Road, spoke for the proposal.

Opposed: Richard Hamilton, 2425 North Seventh Street; Kirk Rider, 1050 Gunnison Avenue.

A resolution of findings and decision scheduled March 7, 1984.

The President declared a five-minute recess. The above-listed Council members were present upon reconvening.

The President introduced Jerry Phipps to Council and audience.

HEARING - CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION COMPREHENSIVE PLAN - CHAPTER 5, ENVIRONMENT, CHAPTER 14, EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

A hearing was held after proper notice on the City of Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan - Chapter 5, Environment, and Chapter 14, Educational Institutions. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions. Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried, Chapter 5, Environment, as amended, and Chapter 14, Educational Institutions, of the City of Grand Junction Comprehensive Plan were approved.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF \$750,000 INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS - POMA OF AMERICA, INC., PROJECT

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE AND SALE OF \$750,000 AGGREGATE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS (POMA OF AMERICA, INCORPORATED, PROJECT) SERIES 1984, TO FINANCE PROPERTY SUITABLE FOR COMMERCIAL, BUSINESS OR MANUFACTURING ENTERPRISES; RATIFYING CERTAIN ACTIONS HERETOFORE TAKEN; AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY BY THE CITY OF A LOAN AGREEMENT, INDENTURE OF TRUST, OFFER TO PURCHASE, SAID BONDS AND AMENDMENTS TO THE SERIES 1983 BONDS AND CLOSING DOCUMENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; AND REPEALING ACTION HERETOFORE TAKEN IN CONFLICT HEREWITH. Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilman Pacheco and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting No, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE CHANGING THE MEMBERSHIP ON THE FORESTRY BOARD

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: CHANGING THE MEMBERSHIP ON THE FORESTRY BOARD. Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE CONCERNING PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT BONDS AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: CONCERNING PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT BONDS AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

#### ORDINANCES ON FINAL PASSAGE - PROOFS OF PUBLICATION

The Proof of Publication on the following Ordinance proposed for final passage had been received and filed. A copy of the Ordinance proposed for final passage had been submitted in writing to the City Council prior to the meeting.

ORDINANCE NO. 2173 - CONCERNING CHARGES FOR CERTAIN USES IN LINCOLN PARK

Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the following proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and the title only was read: CONCERNING CHARGES FOR CERATIN USES IN LINCOLN PARK.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilwoman Clark, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2173, and ordered published.

#### FIRE RESCUE SQUAD - AMBULANCE RELATIONSHIP

There was a discussion regarding the two-tier system of providing ambulance service in the area. In the latter part of 1983, the City Administration was contacted regarding going back to the single-tier system. Since December 30, 1983, an agreement has been worked up with St. Mary's to reflect a return to the single-tier system and to provide for coordination between St. Mary's and the City as the supplier of the service both in the City and the Rural Fire District.

Comments were had from Doctor Raley and Doctor Barbarao, Emergency Room, St. Mary's Hospital. R. T. Mantlo, Fire Chief, also commented on the issue.

Walt Brandstetner, Monument EIS, 1026 Main Street, and Lee Kelly, Quality Ambulance Service, requested that Council continue the two-tier ambulance rotation system.

This item was continued to March 7, 1984, when a determination will be made. The contracts with the two ambulance services will be continued until the decision has been made.

The President declared a short recess. Upon reconvening, the above-listed Council members were present.

RESOLUTION NO. 10-84 - INDUCEMENT RESOLUTION FOR \$10,000,000 INDUSTRIAL REVENUE BONDS FOR DOWNTOWN HOTEL - EXECUTE UDAG

AGREEMENT AND PRELIMINARY AGREEMENT AS REVISED AND AUTHORIZED THE ACTING CITY MANAGER TO SIGN

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 10-84

A RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO ISSUE INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS TO FINANCE A PROJECT FOR GRAND JUNCTION HOTEL ASSOCIATES, LTD.

WHEREAS, the City of Grand Junction, Colorado (the "City"), is authorized by the Colorado County and Municipality Development Revenue Bond Act, constituting Article 3, Title 29, Colorado Revised Statutes (the "Act"), to finance, refinance, acquire, own, lease, improve and dispose of one or more projects, including any land, building or other improvement and al real or personal properties suitable or used for or in connection with commercial or business enterprises (including, without limitation, enterprises engaged in selling any products of agriculture, industry, commerce, manufacturing or business), upon such conditions as the City may deem advisable; and

WHEREAS, the City is further authorized by the Act to issue its industrial development revenue bonds for the purpose of defraying the cost of financing any such project; and

WHEREAS, representatives of Grand Junction Hotel Associates, Ltd., (the "Partnership"), have met with officials of the City and have advised the City of the Partnership's interest in acquiring, constructing and equipping a hotel facility proposed to be located in the downtown area of the City, as well as all real estate, fixtures and equipment necessary or convenience therefor (collectively, the "Project"), subject to the willingness of the City to finance the Project through the issuance of industrial development revenue bonds pursuant to the Act; and

WHEREAS, the Partnership has requested that the City give favorable consideration to the Partnership's proposal and take such action as is necessary to give the City's approval of the Project and the financing as proposed by the Partnership; and

WHEREAS, the Partnership has given its assurance to the City that the Partnership will pay, or reimburse the City for payment of, any and all legal fees and expenses and administrative costs and expenses heretofore incurred or hereafter to be incurred by the City related to the Project and its financing, and that such agreement to pay or reimburse the City is not contingent upon commencement or completion of the Project or the financing; and

WHEREAS, the Partnership has represented to the City that the Project will be designed to qualify as a "project" within the meaning of the Act; and

WHEREAS, the City considers that the Project, if located within the City, will assist the City in promoting economic development and additional employment within the City; and

WHEREAS, the City has considered the Partnership's proposal and has concluded that the economic and other benefits to the City will be substantial and that it wishes to proceed with the development and financing of the Project.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

Section 1. That in order to induce the Partnership to locate and construct the Project within the City, the City shall take steps to effect the issuance of industrial development revenue bonds in a maximum aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$10,000,000, or such lesser amount as shall be mutually agreed upon, to finance the Project. No costs are to be borne by the City in connection with this transaction.

Section 2. That prior to execution of the necessary financing documents as shall be mutually agreed upon in connection with the Project and such bonds, such documents will be subject to authorization and approval by the City Council pursuant to law.

Section 3. That the Partnership has agreed to pay or reimburse the City for any and all legal fees and expenses and administrative costs and expenses heretofore incurred or hereafter to be incurred by the City related to the Project and the financing, and that such agreement to pay or reimburse the City is not contingent upon commencement or completion of the Project or the financing.

Section 4. THAT NOTHING CONTAINED IN OR CONTEMPLATED BY THIS RESOLUTION SHALL CONSTITUTE THE DEBT OR INDEBTEDNESS OF THE CITY WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE CONSTITUTION, STATUTES OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, OR THE CITY'S HOME RULE CHARTER NOR GIVE RISE TO PECUNIARY LIABILITY OF THE CITY OR A CHARGE AGAINST ITS GENERAL CREDIT OR TAXING POWERS.

Section 5. That all commitments by the City herein are subject to the condition that on or before twelve (12) months from the date of final adoption hereof, the City and the Partnership shall have agreed to mutually acceptable terms for the bonds and for the sale and delivery thereof, unless an extension is mutually agreed upon.

Section 6. That this Resolution, as adopted by the City Council, shall be numbered and recorded in the official records of the City.

Introduced, adopted and approved this 15th day of February, 1984.

/s/ Gary A. Lucero

President of the Council

#### Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

Joe Skinner, Attorney for the Downtown Development Authority, presented comments regarding the Inducement Resolution, the application by the City for the UDAG Grant, and a preliminary agreement for development. Guy Stephens, 510 Main Street, a member of the Grand Junction Downtown Association, submitted a resolution by the Grand Junction Downtown Association encouraging and requesting the City to pass the Inducement Resolution and authorize the application for a UDAG Grant. Kirk Rider, 1050 Gunnison, also supported the Council's approval of the applications.

Hank Williams, Executive Director of the Downtown Development Authority, directed his comments to the application for the UDAG Grant.

Tad Hoyt, President of the Mesa County Motel Association, spoke in opposition. Mark Andrew of the Grand Junction Hilton Hotel stated that the occupancy rate of 55-65% that has been quoted recently is not the case. Cindy Lugo, Manager of Howard Johnson's, stated the occupancy rate that has been quoted is simply not true. Lynn Studley, Manager of Rodeway Inn and Char Hudson, Director of Sales of the Roadway Inn, stated that the occupancy rate is far lower than those that have been advertised recently.

Also opposed to the Inducement Resolution were Lou Ann Hughes, Silver Spur Motel, Loretta Harrison, Bel Air Motel, and Mel Mulder, owner of a business at 2nd and Main, and Richard Hamilton of the Guest House Motel.

Jack Williams, a member of the IRB Committee, stated that the Committee recommended denial of this application. Councilman Pacheco, who served on a committee appointed by the Mayor, reported that the committee recommended the agreement and steps outlined be adopted at this meeting. Councilman Phipps, also a member of that committee, concurred.

Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried by roll call vote with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

Upon motion by Councilwoman Clark, seconded by Councilman Pacheco and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the Acting City Manager was authorized to execute the UDAG Agreement and the Preliminary Development Agreement as revised.

RESOLUTION NO. 11-84 - APPROVING AMENDMENT TO FISCAL YEAR 1984 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 11-84

#MCM 83-117a

A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION CONCERNING ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE FISCAL YEAR 1984 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM FOR THE GRAND JUNCTION URBANIZED AREA.

WHEREAS, the City and the County have been designated by the Governor as the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area; and

WHEREAS, the City and the County realize the importance of coordinating transportation planning in the urbanized area; and

WHEREAS, Federal laws establishing aid to urban street systems require the comprehensive planning of improvements (23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 1607); and

WHEREAS, the City and the County wish to make the most effective use of funds on the transportation systems, whether the source of funds be local, state or federal;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT JOINTLY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That amendments to the Fiscal Year 1984 Unified Planning Work Program for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area, hereunto attached, be adopted as shown.

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

/s/ Gary A. Lucero

President of the Council

Date: February 15, 1984

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

MESA COUNTY

/s/ George R. White

Chairman, Board of County Commissioners

Date: February 14, 1984

Attest:

/s/ Earl Sawyer by Jean Hawk,

County Clerk, Deputy

Attachments not provided at time of typing into Permanent Record.

Upon motion by Councilwoman Clark, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

RESOLUTION NO. 12-84 - APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO THE FISCAL YEAR 1984-1988 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 12-84

#MCM 83-118a

A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION CONCERNING ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE FISCAL YEAR 1984-1988 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE GRAND JUNCTION URBANIZED AREA.

WHEREAS, the City and the County have been designated by the Governor as the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area; and

WHEREAS, the City and the County realize the importance of coordinating transportation improvements in the urbanized area; and

WHEREAS, Federal laws establishing aid to urban street systems require the comprehensive planning of improvements (23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 1607); and

WHEREAS, the City and the County wish to make the most effective use of funds on the transportation system, whether the source of funds be local, state or federal;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT JOINTLY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That amendments to the Fiscal Year 1984-1988 Transportation Improvement Program for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area, hereunto attached, be adopted as shown.

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

/s/ Gary A. Lucero

President of the council

Date: February 15, 1984

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

MESA COUNTY

/s/ George R. White

Chairman, Board of County Commissioners

Date: February 14, 1984

/s/ Earl Sawyer by Jean Hawk,

County Clerk, Deputy

Attachment not provided at time of typing into Permanent Record.

Upon motion by Councilman Pacheco, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

DECISION - GOLF FEES

The Acting City Manager, Gerald Ashby, reported that he met with Mr. Aubert who represented the Senior Citizens at the last meeting, and Bill Graham. At the conclusion of their discussion the recommendation to the City Council was that since considerable study had gone into setting of the fees for this year which Council had already adopted as a part of its budgetary process that for this year the fees stay as they are. Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilman Phipps and carried, the recommendation was adopted with one change being to drop down to \$1.00 a day for the off season play for the Senior Citizen ticket holder.

APPROVAL OF BUDGET GUIDELINES

Upon motion by Councilwoman Clark, seconded by Councilman Pacheco and carried, the budget guidelines were approved.

ADJOURNMENT

The President declared the meeting adjourned.

Neva B. Lockhart

Neva B. Lockhart, CMC City Clerk

### **MEMORANDUM**

TO: MEMBERS OF THE GRAND JUNCTION CITY COUNCIL GERALD ASHBY, ACTING CITY MANAGER

FROM: CHARLES TRAINOR, METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

DATE: FEBRUARY 8, 1984

SUBJECT: AGENDA ITEMS FOR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 15,

1984

Attached are two items proposed for consideration on the City Council agenda of February 15, 1984. These items will also be presented to the Mesa County Commissioners for approval.

- Item 1: Amendment to the Fiscal Year 1984 Unified Planning Work Program. This amendment is necessitated by the reorganization of the City-County Planning Department into three separate organizations. It involves changing the funding for individual planning work tasks programmed in 1984. No additional funding is involved: the originally programmed \$77,420 remains as does the local match amount.
- Item 2: Amendment to the Fiscal Year 1984-1988 Transportation
  Improvement Program. The City rescheduled work on 15th
  Street and Patterson Road, originally slated for 1986,
  to start in 1984. As this project calls for the use of
  Federal Aid Urban System funds, it is necessary to amend
  the T.I.P. Work on 28th Street, north of Grand, which
  was to be done in 1984 with Federal Aid funds has been
  postponed until adequate funding is available.

Neither of these amendments increases the City's 1984 budget.

FY 1984

UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

for the

GRAND JUNCTION URBANIZED AREA

Prepared by the

Mesa County Policy and Research Office and the

Colorado Department of Highways Program Management Branch

In Cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration

June, 1983 (Revised January 26, 1984)

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction p. 2 Summary of Budget p. 3 p. 4 Work Tasks Tables Summary of Budget T.1 - p. 3Funding of Task T.2 - p. 15Figures Transportation, Planning Terminology F.1 - p. 1 MPO Area Map F.2 - p. 2a MPO Structure F.3 - p. 2b Task Schedule F.4 - p. 16

# Figure 1 TRANSPORTATION PLANNING TERMINOLOGY

Air Quality Control CommissionAQCC
Colorado Department of HighwaysCDH
Continuing, Comprehensive and Cooperative Transportation Planning Process
U.S. Department of TransportationDOT
Federal-Aid Highway Program ManualFHPM
Federal-Aid Urban SystemFAUS
Federal Highway AdministrationFHWA
Highway Planning FundsHPR
Intermodal Planning GroupIPG
Metropolitan Planning OrganizationMPO
FHWA planning funds made available through CDH to PRO for "3C" processPL Funds
Policy and Research Office of Mesa CountyPRO
Technical study funds for UMTA made available to PRO for "3C" process
Standard Metropolitan Statistical AreaSMSA
State Implementation PlanSIP
Traffic EngineeringTE
Title VI of the U.S., Civil Right Act of 1964, as amendedTitle VI
Transit Development ProgramTDP
Transportation Improvement ProgramTIP
Transportation Policy Advisory CommitteeTPAC
Transportation Technical Advisory CommitteeTTAC
Unified Planning Work Program
Urban Mass Transportation Administration
Urban Transportation Planning Process
Vehicle Miles TraveledVMT

### Introduction

The Unified Planning Work Program describes planning tasks and personnel costs and budget funds for the Fiscal Year 1984 (October 1, 1983 - September 30, 1984). The Metropolitan Planning Organization, composed of Grand Junction and Mesa County elected officials and staff, coordinates this planning with State officials from the Highway Department and the Health Department. The goal of this planning process is an efficient, effective transportation system.

To further the continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative planning for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area (Fig. 2), the Federal Highway Administration provides PL funds to the MPO under the administration of the State Highway Department. For 1984 and 1985 the funds available from PL and HPR will be \$40,000 per year, which is matched at 20% ratio by the MPO. Thus, for every \$100 expended by the MPO on approved tasks, \$80 will be reimbursed by PL funds up to the budgeted amount. The Highway Department, as the Contract Administrator, monitors the timely accomplishment of tasks and the reimbursement process.

In addition, the Highway Department actively participates in the planning process through the provision of technical services.

### LOCAL STRUCTURE/REVIEW PROCESS

#### DECISION MAKING BODY

Mesa County Commissioners Grand Junction City Council

# TRANSPORATION POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE (T.P.A.C.)

- 1) City of Grand Junction--City Council Designee
- 2) Mesa County--County Commission Designee
- 3) State Highway Commission Designee
- 4) Colorado Department of Health--Air Quality Control Commission Designee
- 5) Federal Highway Administration Designee (non-voting)
- 6) Transportation Citizen Advisory Committee Chairman (non-voting)
- 7) Northwest Associated Governments

# MESA COUNTY POLICY & RESEARCH OFFICE

## TRANSPORTATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (T.T.A.C.)

- Mesa County Policy and Research Office
   Colorado Department of Highways
- 3) Colorado Department of Highways--Division of Transportation Planning
- 4) City of Grand Junction--Department of Public Works
- 5) Mesa County--Department of Roads and Bridges
- 6) Colorado Department of Health--Air Pollution Control Division
- 7) Federal Highway Administration
- 8) Mesa County Community Action Agency
- 9) City Planning
- 10) County Planning Department

GRAND JUNCTION PLANNING COMMISSION MESA COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

# Summary of the Budget

For 1984 it is proposed that \$77,420 be expended by the MPO on transportation planning. \$15,484 would be the required match from Grand Junction and Mesa County. \$61,936 would be provided by PL and HPR funds- \$40,000 from the Fiscal Year 1984 allocation and \$21,936 from carryover funds. The break-down of these funds by task-group and agency is shown below.

Table 1

	Task Group		Agency					
		PRO	(HPR) CDH	(PL+HPR) FHWA TO	TAL			
A.	Management	\$ 4,740	\$23,850	\$18,960	\$47,550			
в.	Monitoring.	\$ 948	\$11,000	\$ 3,792	\$15,740			
c.	Planning	\$ 8,595	\$27,625	\$34,381	\$70,601			
D.	Implementation	\$ 632	\$ 3,500	\$ 2,528	\$ 6,660			
E.	Services	\$ 569	\$ 4,200	\$ 2,275	\$ 7,044			
	TOTAL	\$15,484	\$70,175	\$61,936	\$147,595			

This table is further elaborated by Table 2.

## WORK TASKS

The major portion of this document consists of work tasks programmed for completion during FY1984 (October 1, 1983 through September 30, 1984). These work tasks are intended to monitor and implement the continuing, cooperative and comprehensive urban transportation planning process carried out by CCDD and CDH in the Grand Junction urbanized area. The agency with primary responsibility for completion of each task is listed in the UPWP. In the case of joint responsibility, the agency with primary responsibility is listed first. The UPWP is intentionally presented as an outline of primary funding sources and planning schedules. An overview of the entire planning process is contained in the Letter of Intent establishing the MPO.

Figure 4 provides a summary of scheduling for all UPWP tasks. Work tasks of a continuing nature are differentiated from those with definable time frames. Significant deviations in UPWP schedules will be outlined on monthly progress reports which will be distributed through the MPO Transportation Technical Advisory Committee. Modifications in task schedules will also be reflected in monthly or quarterly PL monitoring reports. Significant changes in schedules will be agreed to by CDH and PRO.

### A. Management Activities

The primary objective of the UPWP management activities is to provide for the on-going management of the urban transportation planning program in the Grand Junction urbanized area. Secondary objectives include the coordination of planning efforts between local, regional and state agencies, and the documentation of transportation planning efforts and technical studies through locally adopted planning documents. Since PRO and CDH share responsibility for compliance with Federal planning guidelines, both agencies are involved in program management activities.

Al. Task Name:

Federal Fiscal Year 1985 (October 1, 1984 through September 30, 1985) Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP)

Objective:

To produce an FY85 UPWP which will include all transportation planning activities regardless of funding sources which significantly impact the study area of the Metropolitan Planning Organization, whether performed on a federal, state, or local level.

Methodology:

The PROS staff, with the support of local government technical staff, and the Colorado Department of Highways, will be responsible for preparing the FY85 UPWP. The UPWP will be prepared in accordance with all applicable federal and state requirements. Each task in the UPWP will be described in terms of status, agency responsibility, revenues, funding sources, schedule, objective, methodology, and expected products.

Product:

A Unified Planning Work Program for

1985

Schedule:

UPWP first draft by April, local adoption

in June

Personnel:

PRO 20 days CDH 12 days

Costs:

PRO

\$3,160

CDH \$2,300

A2. Task Name: Citizen Participation

Objective:

To encourage public involvement in transportation planning and increase awareness of the Metropolitan Planning

Organization Process.

Methodology:

Citizens will actively participate in the development of policy for the MPO through the Transportation Citizen Advisory Committee. PRO staff will prepare information for the media and

the public.

Products:

An active Transportation Citizen Advisory Committee process and press releases concerning transportation

issues.

Schedule:

Continuous throughout the year.

Personnel:

20 days PRO .

CDH 2 days

Costs:

PRO \$3,160 CDH

\$400

A3. Task Name:

Program Administration

Objective:

To effectively administer, support, and coordinate the continuing federally assisted transportation planning process for the Grand Junction urbanized area.

Methodology:

The PRO staff will be responsible for carrying out the following administrative activities:

(1) Maintain the commitments included in the Letter of Intent and the contracts for planning funds (P.L. funds and Section 8 funds); Submit periodic monitoring reports on the FY84 UPWP tasks; (3) Maintain and document expenditures and submit periodic financial reports; (4) Support members of the decision making body, Transportation Policy, Technical and Citizen Advisory Committee in their decision making on MPO related activities; (5) Monitor significant transportation planning policy level activities on the federal, state and local levels that have the potential of impacting MPO activities. At the direction of the MPO, represent the MPO members in those federal, state, and local decision making processes.

The Colorado Department of Highways staff will participate in the above listed activities and, in addition, perform the administrative activities necessary to assure the effective coordination and participation of other branches of State government and appropriate federal agencies in the MPO Transportation Planning Process.

Schedule:

Continuous through the year

Personnel:

PRO 110 days

CDH

110 days

Costs: PRO \$17,380\* CDH \$21,150\*

\*Includes \$5,750 for management and organization.

# B. Monitoring Activities

The primary objective of monitoring is to provide support to transportation planning, implementation, and service activities through the collection, maintenance and analysis of certain factors indicating the condition of land use development and the existing transportation system. Data normally maintained by participating agencies will be utilized to meet reporting requirements as much as possible. A compatible data base will be utilized to the maximum extent feasible.

Bl. Task Name:

Socio-Economic data estimates for

FY1984.

Objectives:

To develop estimates of employment, housing, and population in the urbanized area by small geographic units suitable for transportation

analysis.

Methodology:

The PRO staff with the support of the CDH staff will develop the above referenced information through counting building permits from local governments, performing statistically accurate survey samples on housing occupancy rates, and through the use of employment information from the State

Department of Commerce.

Product:

Reports listing housing units, number of people employed, and population, by data analysis zone for the existing geographic boundaries of the transportation models for Grand

Junction.

Schedule:

Final draft report by March

Personnel:

PRO 15 days

CDH

5 days

Costs: \_PRO \$2,370 CDH \$1,000

B2. Task Name:

Air Quality Status Monitoring.

Objective:

To fulfill monitoring requirements listed in the Colorado State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Air Quality.

Methodology:

The PRO staff, with assistance from the local government staff, CDH staff, and staff from the State Dept. of Health-Air Pollution Control Division, will review certain indicators of air quality in the Grand Junction area, and then compare the performance of those indicators with predictions made in the Colorado State Implementation Plan for Air Quality.

Product:

A report on the Air Quality status of

Grand Junction.

Schedule:

Final draft report by August

Personnel:

PRO 5 days

CDH 5 days

Costs:

PRO \$790

CDH \$1,000

B3. Task Name:

Traffic Monitoring

Objective:

To provide timely and accurate date on the volume and type of traffic using the Federal Aid System (FAS) network in the urbanized area, including auto occupancy estimates.

Methodology:

The  $\ensuremath{\,^{\mathrm{PRO}}}$  , with local traffic agencies and the Highway Department will perform the following:

- Quarterly vehicle miles traveled (VMT) estimates.
- Traffic counts on the FAS network, concentrating on changes related to major improvements on F Road and Redlands Parkway.
- 3) Auto occupancy surveys on the FAS network.

Product:

Written reports on VMT estimates, traffic counts, and auto occupancy for the FAS network in Grand Junction.

Schedule:

Quarterly VMT estimates by Oct., Jan., Apr., July; Traffic Counts by August;

Auto Occupancy by August.

Personnel:

PRO 🗓 10 days CDH 54 days

Costs:

PRO \$1,580

\$9,000\* CDH

\*Includes \$2,000 management and organization

#### c. Planning Activities

The primary objective of planning activities is to support the decision making process of MPO through the development of studies and analyses concerning short and long-term future transportation needs.

Cl. Task Name: Long-Term Plan

Objectives:

Good planning requires the development of a long-term transportation plan to support transportation policy making by MPO's. At a minimum the Plan should cover a 20 year period and include those areas presently urbanized and expected to become urbanized within that period.

Methodology

Using social and economic data and projections developed for the Study Area, PRO staff, with support from CDH staff, will project travel demand based on scenarios of development as specified in the Study Design. Existing plus committed street system will furnish the base comparison with other proposed systems. Criteria developed in the Study Design will be used to evaluate the systems. The results of these analysis will be presented to the city and the County for policy decision. The selected system, based on projected growth and land use policies, will form the Transportation Plan for the urbanized Modelling the scenarios will be area. the responsibility of the Department of Highways, with PRO staff supplying socio-economic data, land use, system descriptions, and similar data.

Schedule:

First draft due as specified in Study Design.

Personnel:

PRO 125 days

CDH 110 days

Costs:

PRO

\$19,750

CDH \$19,300

C2. Task Name:

Long-Term Plan Study Boundaries and Demographic/Economic Projections.

Objectives:

To develop study boundaries for the MPO long-term plan encompassing those areas expected to become urbanized within 20 years and, to project the demographic and economic information necessary to calculate future transportation demand.

Methodology:

PRO staff, with support from CDH staff, will analyze existing information concerning growth patterns in order to develop outer boundaries for the projection of economic and demographic information. Economic, demographic, and land use factors will then be analyzed in order to project population, housing, and employment activity by small geographic areas.

Products:

A report showing the extent of the area expected to be urbanized, at a minimum over a 5 and 20 year period. It will also include economic and demographic projections disagreggated by small geographic areas within these boundaries over the same time increments.

Schedule:

Final draft report by December

Personnel:

PRO 20- days

CDH

6 days

Costs:

PRO.

\$3,160

CDH

\$1,200

C3. Task Name:

Short-Range Transportation Planning

Objective:

To assure that short-term (1-5 year) elements of local transportation plans are included in the MPO planning process. There are four aspects of short-range planning that need attention: access control analysis of development proposals, the bicycle program update, and the elderly and handicapped program update.

C.31 Sub-Task Name:

Access Control

Objective:

protect the utility of transportation system by controlling the access granted to projects onto arterials and collectors. Such control will enhance the capacities of the streets and avoid unnecessary capital investments in widening construction.

Methodology:

The PRO , with assistance from CDH and local staffs, will investigate existing access control measures in the literature and determine which are suitable for local conditions.

Product:

An access control policy and body of regulation for the urbanized area.

Schedule:

Final draft by August.

Personnel:

CDH 10 days PRO 30 days

Costs:

PRO \$4,740 CDH \$1,750

C.32 Sub-Task Name:

Traffic Impact Analysis

Objective:

To develop a system for providing efficient, accurate evaluations of development proposals and their impacts on the transportation system.

Methodology:

staff will use various reports The PRO on existing analysis systems and select a micro-computer based evaluation system to provide quick-response analysis. Some Technical research and/or computer programming may be subcontracted so as to attain the best product.

Product:

A micro-computer based analysis system.

Schedule:

System design by May.

Personnel:

PRO 65 days\* CDH

10 days

Costs: PRO \$10

\$10,270\*

CDH

\$1,750

C3.3 Sub-Task Name

Bicycle Program Update

Objective:

To update the 1980 Grand Junction Bicycle Program in the urban area. To facilitate implementation of the Program through the identification of needs of area bicyclists, deficiencies in the existing system, and recommendation of specific solutions.

Methodology:

The PRO staff, with assistance from City and County staff, will evaluate the existing system, survey bicyclists to identify neds, and assess potential solutions relative to costs and effectiveness.

Product:

A list of projects/costs needed to implement the bicycle program.

Schedule:

Draft by August

Personnel:

PRO 12 days

5 days

Costs:

PRO

\$1,896

CDH \$875

CDH

C3.4 Sub-Task Name:

Elderly and Handicapped Program Update

Objective:

To update the Elderly and Handicapped Program produced in 1983.

Methodology:

Mesa County Community Action Program (MCCAP) will evaluate effectiveness of program relative to needs of service providers and clients based on monitoring of program performance throughout Fiscal Year 1984.

Product:

Report on program performance and suggested modification, if necessary.

Schedule:

Report by September

Personnel:

MCCAP

5 days

CDH

5 days

Costs:

MCCAP

\$790

CDH

\$875

\*Includes time for sub-contractor and purchase of software/hardware

C.5 Task Name:

Long-Term Plan Study Design

Objectives

To develop a framework for the longterm plan and support policy making by MPO officials. To determine responsibility for work areas and set a schedule for development of the plan.

Methodology:

PRO staff, with support from CDH staff, will evaluate status of existing plans, available requirements to produce a study design for the development of a 20 year plan.

Product:

Work paper outlining needs, resources, and requirements for a long-range transportation plan.

Schedule:

Draft paper by December.

Personnel: PRO 15 days CDH 5 days
Costs: PRO \$2,370 CDH \$1,000

#### D. Implementation

activities refer to lists of capital Implementation projects that the MPO has adopted that establish policy guidance on the use of transportation funds in the urbanized area of Grand Junction.

Task Name:

Federal Fiscal Year 1985-1989 (Oct. 1, 1984 to Sept. 30, 1989) Transportation

Improvement Program (TIP)

Objective:

The FY85-89 TIP will establish the capital projects in the Urbanized Area that federal assistance is expected for during the period FY85 through FY89. It will contain an annual element showing specific projects for FY85.

Methodology:

 $\ensuremath{\text{PRO}}$  , CDH, and local staffs will, using existing capital facility plans and funding allocations by program from the Highway Department, develop a Transportation Improvement Program.

Product:

The FY85 Transportation Improvement

Program.

Schedule:

First Draft FY1985-1989 TIP by April,

local adoption in June.

Personnel:

PRO

20 days

CDH 20 days

Costs:

PRO \$3,160

CDH

\$3,500

#### E. Services

Service activities refers to assistance to local and govermental agencies concerning transportation issues.

El. Task Name: Title VI Activities.

Objective:

To assure that the activities of the MPO are in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as

Amended.

Methodology:

staff, with support from CDH staff, will prepare data on minority concentrations in the MPO study area, and assure that minorities are afforded access to the policy development

process of the MPO.

Product: Title VI report to the U.S. Department

of Transportation.

Schedule: Final draft report by September

Personnel: PRO 3 days CDH 5 days

Costs: PRO: \$474 CDH \$1,000

E2. Task Name: Services.

Schedule:

Objective: To provide technical data and general

assistance to requesting agencies.

Methodology: Published reports or data will be

supplied when available. PRO staff will also provide planning assistance

to local or state agencies.

Product: Inquiries answered.

Personnel: PRO 15 days CDH 18 days

Continuous.

Costs: PRO \$2,370 CDH \$3,200

Table 2	MPO MANDAYS	MPO COSTS	PRO SHARE	PL+HPR FUNDS	CDH MANDAYS	CDH COSTS	TOTAL	٠.
A. MANAGEMENT								•
A.1 FY85 UPWP A.2 Citizen Participation	20 20	3160 3160	632 632	2528 2528	12 2	2300 400	5,460 3,560	
A.3 Program Administration	110	17,380	3476	13,904	110	21,150	38.530	
SUBTOTAL	150	23,700	4740	18,960	124	23,850	47,550	
B. MONITORING				·				
<ul><li>B.1 SE Updates</li><li>B.2 Air Quality</li><li>B.3 Traffic Monitoring</li></ul>	15 5 10	2370 790 1580	474 158 316	1896 632 1264	5 5 54	1,000 1,000 9,000	3,370 1,790 10,580	
SUBTOTAL	30	4740	948	3792	64	11,000	15,740	
C. PLANNING								
C.1 Long-Term Plan C.2 Demo-Economic Projections C.3.1 Access Control C.3.2 Traffic Impact Analysis C.3.3 Bicycle C.3.4 E & H C.5 Long-Term Plan Study Design	125 20 30 65 12 5	19,750 3160 4740 10,270 1896 790 2,370	3950 632 948 2054 379 158	12,008 1896 2528 7584 1264 632	110 6 10 10 5 5	19,300 1,200 1,750 1,750 875 875 1,000	39,050 4,360 6,490 12,020 2,771 1,665 3,370	
SUBTOTAL	272	42,976	8595	34,381	156	27,625	70,601	
D. IMPLEMENTATION								
D.1 FY85-89 T.I.P.	20	3160	632	2528	20	3,500	6,660	
SUBTOTAL	20	3160	632	2528	20	3,500	6,660	
E. SERVICES								
E.1 Title VI E.2 Services	3 15	474 2370	95 474	379 1896	5 18	1,000 3,200	1,474 5,570	
SUBTOTAL	18	2844	569	2275	23	4,200	7,044	
TOTAL	490	77,420	15,484	61,936	387	70,175	147,595	

						Figur	e 4						
		OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SE
.1	FY1985 UPWP							1	<del></del> F				
. 2	CITIZEN PARTICIPATION	<del></del>		· <del>**</del>					<u> </u>				<del>-</del> R
.3 1	PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION		7.4				<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>				
.1	SOCIO-ECON ESTIMATES						1	F					
. 2	AIR QUALITY STATUS											R	
.3	TRAFFIC MONITORING			<del></del>								Ř	
.1	LONG-TERM PLAN		بعجبها استندي	يان 40 سـ سـ بيان شد		بالمراجع فالمراجع والمارة	R			R	<b>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</b>		—R
. 2	DEMOGRAPH/ECON. PROJECTION		<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del> -	<del></del>				-F			
.3.	l ACCESS CONTROL					· <del></del>		<del></del>					−F¹
.3.	2 TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS			مت خد ور پر و کستنب		•			-F				
.3.	3 BICYCLE										F		
.3.	4 ELDERLY AND HANDIC	APPEL	<del>)</del>	<del></del>	<del></del>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				F		
.5	LONG RANGE PLAN STUD DESIGN	Y ——	<b></b>	<del></del> ₽									
.1	FY85 T.I.P.							1	—F				
1.1	TITLE VI ACTIVITIES												R
. 2	SERVICES		· <del>-</del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<del></del>	·				<b>-</b>
	lFirst draft due for FFinal draft submit RNon-policy report	tted t	o Tran	Trans sporta	portat tion F	ion Te Policy	chnica Adviso	ıl Advi ory Com	sory C mittee	committ	ee		
	Continuing Task Product Task												

Program: Urban System

Budget Year

Federal Funding

State Funding Local Funding

Total Funding

S- (3)

Location: 15th Street and Patterson Road Intersection improvements and widening Patterson to Description: four lanes between 15th and 12th Streets. PE, Design and Construction. Responsibility: City of Grand Junction
Past Funding: No Future Funding: No Remarks: TSM\_\_LR\_X 1987 1988 Budget Year Federal Funding 1984 1985 1986 \$252,757 State Funding \$ 76,742 Local Funding Total Funding \$329,499 Description: 29 Road and Orchard Avenue (E.5 Road) Intersection alignment and signalization. Design, ROW and Construction. Responsibility: Mesa County Past Funding: No Future Funding: No Remarks: TSM X LR\_ 1988 Budget Year Federal Funding 1984 1986 1985 1987 \$106,462 State Funding Local Funding \$ 40,538 Total Funding \$147,000 Description: 29 Road at the Grand Valley Canal Bridge construction. Responsibility: Mesa County Past Funding: No Future Funding: No Remarks: TSM LR\_X Ī 9 88 1985 Budget Year 1984 1986 1987Federal Funding \$146,295 State Funding Local Funding \$ 55,705 Total Funding \$202,000 Description: Unspecified project Responsibility: City of Grand Junction Past Funding: \_\_\_\_ Future Funding:\_ Remarks:

> Page 6 (Revised 1/26/84)

1985

1984

LR\_

<u>1</u>988

TSM

1986

\$252,757

\$ 76,742

\$329,499

1987

Program: Urban Syst	em				
Location: 32 Road, Description: New o		f I-70 Busir	ness Loop		
Responsibility: MPast Funding: No Remarks:	lesa County _ Future P	Funding: No	)		
Budget Year Federal Funding State Funding Local Funding Total Funding	1984	1985	1986	TSMLR_X 1987 1988 \$252,757 \$ 76,742 \$329,499	
Description: Unsp	ecified Proj	ect			_
Responsibility: 0 Past Funding: Remarks:	ity of Grand - Future E	Junction Funding:			
Budget Year Federal Funding State Funding Local Funding Total Funding	1984	1985	1986	TSMLR1988 \$252,757 \$76,742 \$329,499	
Description:					_
Responsibility: Past Funding: Remarks:	_ Future P	Funding:			
Budget Year Federal Funding State Funding Local Funding Total Funding	1984	1985	1986	TSMLR 1987 1988	
Description:					_
Responsibility: Past Funding: Remarks:	_ Future F	Funding:			
Budget Year Federal Funding State Funding Local Funding Total Funding	1984	1985	1986	TSMLR 1987 1988	

Page 7 (Revised 1/26/84) #MCM 83-117a

# RESOLUTION Mo. 11-84

A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION CONCERNING ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE FISCAL YEAR 1984 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM FOR THE GRAND JUNCTION URBANIZED AREA

- WHEREAS, The City and the County have been designated by the Governor as the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area; and
- WHEREAS, The City and the County realize the importance of coordinating transportation planning in the urbanized area; and
- WHEREAS, Federal laws establishing aid to urban street systems require the comprehensive planning of improvements (23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 1607); and
- WHEREAS, The City and the County wish to make the most effective use of funds on the transportation system, whether the source of funds be local, state, or federal;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT JOINTLY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That amendments to the Fiscal Year 1984 Unified Planning Work Program for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area, hereunto attached, be adopted as shown.

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION	MESA COUNTY
President of the Council	Chairman, Board of County Commissioners
Lehruary 15, 1984 Date	February 14, 1988  Date
ATTEST:	ATTEST: SEAL COLURADO
Deva B. Lockhart CMC City Clerk	County Clerk  (or Hawk Deauty

# **RESOLUTION** 200, 12 - 84

A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND
THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION CONCERNING
ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS
TO THE FISCAL YEAR 1984-1988 TRANSPORTATION
IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE GRAND JUNCTION URBANIZED AREA

WHEREAS, The City and the County have been designated by the Governor as the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area; and

WHEREAS, The City and the County realize the importance of coordinating transportation improvements in the urbanized area; and

WHEREAS, Federal laws establishing aid to urban street systems require the comprehensive planning of improvements (23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 1607); and

WHEREAS, The City and the County wish to make the most effective use of funds on the transportation system, whether the source of funds be local, state, or federal;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT JOINTLY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That amendments to the Fiscal Year 1984-1988 Transportation Improvement Program for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area, hereunto attached, be adopted as shown.

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

MESA COUNTY

President of the Council

Chairman, Board of County
Commissioners

February 14, 1984

Date

ATTEST:

ATTEST:

SFAL

County Clerk

City Clerk

City Clerk

Date

MESA COUNTY

Chairman, Board of County
Commissioners

February 14, 1984

February 14, 1984

County Clerk

County Clerk

County Clerk

County Clerk

County Clerk

County Clerk

County Clerk