

Grand Junction, Colorado

May 16, 1984

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, convened in regular session the 16th day of May, 1984, in the City-County Auditorium at City Hall. Those present were Betsy Clark, Frank Dunn, Robert Holmes, Christine Kreissler, Gary Lucero, Ray Phipps, and President of the Council Mike Pacheco. Also present were City Manager Mark Achen, City Attorney Gerald Ashby, and City Clerk Neva Lockhart.

President of the Council Mike Pacheco called the meeting to order and Councilwoman Kreissler led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

INVOCATION

Reverend Coffey, Central Orchard Mesa Community Church.

MINUTES

Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried, the minutes of the regular meeting May 2, 1984, were approved as submitted.

PROCLAMATION DECLARING JUNE, 1984, "RECREATION AND PARKS MONTH"

CITY MANAGER MARK ACHEN INTRODUCED TO AUDIENCE

PLANNING COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS

By secret ballot Thomas Mike Dooley and Susan Rush were elected to four-year terms on the Planning Commission, and Warren Stephens was appointed to serve an unexpired term ending January, 1985.

APPOINTMENTS TO PARKS & RECREATION ADVISORY BOARD

By secret ballot Kathy Hall and Bill Graham were elected to three-year terms on the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board, and Charles Rissell was appointed to serve an unexpired term ending July, 1986.

CHIP SHAW, THE TIMBERS, 1810 NORTH AVENUE

Chip Shaw, owner of the Timber Restaurant, 1810 North Avenue, appeared before Council to explain that he had made changes in his operations at The Timbers after the violations occurred more than a year ago and had set a course of fully supporting the Colorado Liquor Code. He also explained that the suspension for the two days hurt him financially. He felt that Council had not taken the mitigating circumstances into consideration when assessing the penalty especially when compared to previous penalties assessed other licensees.

RECREATION FEES

There was considerable discussion regarding the fees charged for the recreational activities in Grand Junction. Comments were had from Betsy and Jim Cowden, 1428 Grand Avenue, Sharon Brown, 1427 Chipeta, Linda Karriker, 2818 Hall Avenue.

Mrs. Brown stated that the Learn to Swim program fees increased 73 percent over what they were last year. In addition to the increase in the fees, there had been a decrease in the instruction time.

Mr. and Mrs. Cowden suggested rolling back to the 1983 figures with a ten (10) to fifteen (15) percent increase. Mrs. Cowden suggested family passes for the Orchard Mesa pool.

Linda Karriker suggested that Council reestablish season passes for individuals and families at one and one-half times what they were last year.

It was moved by Councilman Holmes that the fees be adjusted back to the 1983 schedule with an addition of fifteen (15) percent to assume a posture of compromise and to deliberate further into the season and for the budget and deal with this more intricately and more delicately. The motion was seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler.

Council President Pacheco pointed out that the fifteen (15) percent increase was across the board to all services.

Councilwoman Kreissler said she seconded the motion in order to get it on the floor for discussion and that she was not necessarily in total agreement with it. She pointed out that the entire Parks and Recreation registration was scheduled for the next morning, May 17. She said that it seemed during the discussion that Council's concern kept going back to the swimming pools in particular. With the suggestion regarding the season passes, she thought that perhaps what Council should do would be to merely put back in the season passes at the rate suggested, go ahead and register with the fees in place in the other areas until Council can sit down with its Staff and go over the proposals. She stated that registration could not be postponed.

Councilman Holmes said the season pass was a vital part of it but that Council could deal with anything it wanted to.

Councilman Phipps and Councilman Lucero both felt more time was needed to review the proposal submitted by the group and should be discussed with Staff before a decision was made.

Council President Pacheco said that on the one hand Council felt that more time was needed to review the proposal. However, he stated that when one looked at the prices and how they would impact the population who needed to use the facilities he thought Council should consider the issue at this meeting and come up with

something reasonable so that the citizens would feel the confidence that Council could recognize a mistake made by the City. He stated that the prices printed just did not seem fair from a reasonable point of view.

There was concern as to whether the Staff could adjust the fees in time for registration the next day. City Manager Mark Achen said that the registrations for swimming programs probably could be changed fairly easily the morning of May 17 by the Parks and Recreation Staff if they knew what the new fees would be. Actual issuance of season passes might be a problem, he said, as there might not be forms to issue. But if Council directed Staff to do it, they would take the monies for registration and request people to return a week or so later to pick up their passes.

Councilwoman Kreissler clarified that the fees that were printed were not an accident; Council knew exactly what it was doing when it raised these fees; it did it deliberately. Council gave considerable thought about why it took out the season passes; it had a reason. Council knew there was a lot of abuse of the season passes as kids had been observed passing their passes over the fences to all their friends -- it occurred frequently. Thus, Council took them (season passes) out of the schedule. What Council asked for from the Staff was not a fifteen (15) percent increase in the rates charged -- it said "we want you covering the expense of the operation and maintenance of that pool up to such and such a point, now you raise the fees to do that." She disagreed with the statement that the present fee schedule had been a "mistake." She submitted that it was not a mistake. Council opted to have users pay a much larger percentage of the operation and maintenance of, specifically, the pools.

Council President Pacheco said that he did not mean the mistake was that Council changed the fees, the mistake was that the effect appeared unreasonable.

The motion was repeated. Councilwoman Kreissler asked Councilman Holmes if he wished to withdraw the motion and make a new one in light of some of the discussion. Councilman Holmes responded "No."

Councilwoman Kreissler asked what about the question of the equity between the Orchard Mesa pool and the Lincoln Park pool when it was Council's intent to try and make the rates the same in order to keep down the confusion level. She noted that the motion by Councilman Holmes did not address that issue. Councilman Holmes responded that he did not intend his motion to address that issue.

Roll was called upon the motion with the following result:

Councilmembers voting AYE: HOLMES, KREISSLER, DUNN, PACHECO.

Councilmembers voting NO: LUCERO, PHIPPS, CLARK.

The President declared the motion carried.

It was moved by Councilwoman Clark, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, that the Family Swim passes be raised from \$69 to \$90 and all other season passes be increased fifty (50) percent over the 1983 rates.

The President declared a five-minute recess. Upon reconvening all Council members were present.

APPLICATIONS TO RENEW LIQUOR AND BEER LICENSES

Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the applications by the following businesses to renew liquor and beer licenses were approved:

Goodpastures, 733 Horizon Drive (Beer-Wine)
Teller Arms Liquor Shoppe, 2353 Belford Avenue (Retail Liquor Store)
The Hungry Prospector, 2424 Hwy 6 & 50 (Hotel-Restaurant)
City Market Store No. 9, 1909 N. 1st Street (3.2% Beer)
City Market Store NO. 2, 865 North Avenue (3.2% Beer)

APPLICATION BY BETTY AND THOMAS HUBBARD DBA SUNSHINE PERSONAL & SHOPPING SERVICES FOR TAXI SERVICE MASTER LICENSE APPROVED

Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried, the application by Betty and Thomas Hubbard, doing business as Sunshine Personal & Shopping Services, for Taxi Service Master License was approved. PUC Permit No. 19429.

HEARING - PROPOSED ORDINANCE - ZONE P.H. ANNEXATION TO PB AND PR-17 AND SUNSET VALLEY VILLAGE OUTLINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN, N OF F RD, APPROX 650 FT W OF 25 RD

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Professional Investors of Grand Junction, M. Ray Painter, to zone .94 acre to PB (Planned Business) and 27.94 acres PR-17 (Planned Residential - 17) and Outline Development on 28.88 acres for Sunset Valley Village located north of F Road, approximately 650 feet west of 25 Road. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions.

Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried, the Outline Development Plan for Sunset Valley Village was approved.

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY ADDING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY. Upon motion by Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

HEARING - PROPOSED ORDINANCE - RIGHT-OF-WAY VACATION OF NORTH/SOUTH ALLEY BETWEEN WHITE AND THE EAST/WEST ALLEY, EAST OF 7TH STREET

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Jack Williams to vacate the 15-foot north/south alley between White and the east/west alley, east of 7th Street.

Jim Dyer, 2174 1/2 Broadway, stated that he did not oppose the project, however he wanted to be assured that Council would give him the same consideration when he develops adjacent property. His primary concern was that the property now being developed might be sold and the new owner might oppose Mr. Dyer's request for alley vacation when he starts his development. Councilman Phipps assured Mr. Dyer that he would be given consideration by this Council or any other. City Attorney Ashby advised Mr. Dyer to get his proposal prepared so he can come before the Council in the very near future as Council cannot commit itself until Mr. Dyer presents his proposal.

There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions.

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE VACATING A RIGHT-OF-WAY IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION. Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

HEARING - ONION HILL FILING NO. 1 FINAL PLAT AND PLAN (2 OF 2), SE COR OF CORTLAND AVE AND 27 1/2 ROAD - APPROVED

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Dale Williams for the final plat of Onion Hill Filing No. 1. The request was for 28 units on approximately 12.18 acres in a Planned Residential Zone at 7.2 units per acre located on the southeast corner of Cortland Avenue and 27 1/2 Road. Bob Goldin, Senior Planner, reviewed the proposal and stated the Planning Commission recommended approval subject to certain stipulations, a majority of which were met within the last couple of days. Councilwoman Kreissler asked what had already been approved. Mr. Goldin stated that Council had approved the preliminary plan for the entire Onion Hill Development. That committed Council to a certain number of units, certain density, certain concepts including private drives, other considerations that were discussed at the preliminary stage both by the Planning Commission and the City Council. Once the developer had the concepts approved, they then returned to the Planning Commission and the City Council with the technical details regarding dimensions, certain types of drainage considerations that may not have been addressed at preliminary. Once that preliminary approval had been granted then they go into the detailed engineering aspects of the final and that was the proposal before Council at this meeting.

Councilwoman Kreissler's concern was that at the preliminary stage

the discussion centered around the various items that Mr. Goldin referred to, and the comment was sort of made that "well, this is only the preliminary, you are going to see this again, it's going to come back to you, no problem." Now it's back to Council, there is a problem, and the Staff tells her she has already approved something that she is not the least bit pleased with. She stated that this may perhaps be her problem in that she does not understand the process well enough. But in the future she would like to see the preliminaries taken considerably more seriously than apparently has been done in the past.

Councilman Phipps asked about the hydrology report. City Engineer Ken Reedy said that he received it the afternoon of May 16, 1984, and he accepted it. The developers will have to implement the hydrology report. Mr. Reedy stated that the developers have agreed to escrow the funds for improvement of those portions of 27 1/2 Road and Cortland for this phase of the project.

Councilman Holmes commented that Councilwoman Kreissler raised a very crucial point that needed to be very much observed in future considerations. He did not feel that Staff had misled Council in the past, but there had been the suggestion that you can accept the preliminary and you can do the alterations later. He suggested that anything that is in question should be dealt with real carefully at the preliminary hearing.

Council President Pacheco said that Councilman Holmes's suggestion was to request from Staff that in a preliminary hearing any questions that are brought up by Council would be exceptions to the approval of the preliminary. Councilman Holmes said that yes, that was a correct summation of his comments.

There were no opponents, letters, or counterpetitions. It was moved by Councilwoman Clark and seconded by Councilman Dunn that the final plat and plan for Onion Hill Filing No. 1 be approved. Motion carried.

RESOLUTIONS CONFERRING AUTHORITY ON DESIGNATED PERSONS TO PERFORM TRANSACTIONS ON BEHALF OF THE CITY WITH INTRAWEST BANK OF GRAND JUNCTION - COPIES OF RESOLUTIONS INTRAWEST BANK FILE

Resolution No. 24-84 - Payroll Account

Resolution No. 25-84 - General Warrant Account

Resolution No. 26-84 - Improvement District

Resolution No. 27-84 - General Fund Account

Resolution No. 28-84 - Petty Cash Warrant Account

Resolution No. 29-84 - Authorizing John Tasker, Finance Director, to Cash Checks Payable to the Finance Director, Petty Cash Fund

Upon motion by Councilman Lucero, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried by roll call vote, the Resolutions were passed and adopted as noted.

RESOLUTION NO. 30-84 - ADOPTION OF EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS PLAN

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 30-84

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the Mesa County Emergency Operations Plan, Annex S-Flooding, attached hereto and made a part hereof by this reference, is hereby adopted for the City of Grand Junction;

Further, that the President of the City Council is hereby authorized, at his discretion, to declare an emergency from flooding, to declare a disaster emergency because of flooding and to declare for disaster relief should that be made necessary by flooding.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 16th day of May, 1984.

/s/ J.P. Mike Pacheco

President of the City Council

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

MESA COUNTY EMERGENCY OPERATIONS PLAN

ANNEX S - FLOODING

I. Introduction

A. Purpose - To protect lives and property in Mesa County from the effects of flooding, and to provide a measure of preparation and early warning in case of possible flooding.

B. Authority - Overall authority is given to the Mesa County Emergency Preparedness Coordinator in the Colorado Disaster Emergency Act of 1973 (House Bill 1600) under Part 1, Section 28-2-107, Numbers 1, 2, 4, 8 and 9. Authority is also given to the same under Mesa County Resolution Number MCM 82-285. Authority for search and rescue is given to the Mesa County Sheriff under House Bill 1600, Part 1, Section 28-2-107, Number 10.

C. Organization (see attachment 1).

D. Responsibilities

NOTE: Each representative of the following agencies should identify an alternate representative and how they may be reached on a 24 hour basis in case of his/her absence (see attachment 2).

1. The Mesa County Emergency Preparedness Coordinator is responsible for the overall operation of the plan. This person shall work directly with the Mesa County Sheriff, Colorado State Patrol, County Administration, County Road Department, City Public Works, City and County Floodplain Administrators. The City Information Office and the Media. Communications will be maintained primarily by telephone and possible two-way radio.

2. The Mesa County Sheriff will be responsible for law and order in the County as well as search and rescue. Also responsible for warning affected residents of possible evacuation, orderly evacuation of residents if required, security of evacuated areas, establishing a forward, command post if required, maintaining traffic control, and maintaining representation at the Emergency Operations Center (EOC) if opened (see addendum).

3. The Colorado State Patrol will be responsible for maintenance of law and order on Colorado State highways and roads. This agency will also be responsible for closure of said roads or highways and state owned bridges if necessary as well as traffic control in such instances. Assistance from the Colorado State Patrol is contingent upon direct request of the County or Sheriff.

4. The City Floodplain Administrator will be responsible for routinely collaborating with the Emergency Preparedness Coordinator in providing recommended plans of action as a result of the interpretation of flood data received. This person will maintain direct contact with the Emergency Preparedness Coordinator throughout the duration of flooding. This person is also responsible for working with the City Engineer and City Department of Public Works in the interpretation of flood data and providing this information to the Coordinator as well as updating the City Manager. Representation required at EDC.

5. The County Floodplain Administrator will be responsible for routinely collaborating with the Emergency Preparedness Coordinator and maintaining direct contact during flood periods. This person is also responsible for working with the County Engineer to provide interpretation to the Coordinator. Also responsible for the routine updating of the County Road Department and County Administration to provide recommended actions as a result of decisions by the Coordinator and assisting bodies. Representation required at EDC.

6. The County Road Department is responsible for carrying out recommended actions for physical construction to mitigate. Also responsible for providing information to the County Floodplain Administrator and County Administration if actions are recommended

in certain areas. Representation also required at EDC.

7. The City Public Works Department is responsible for carrying out recommended actions for physical construction to mitigate in accordance with the existing city flood response plan. Also responsible for providing information to the City Floodplain Administrator and City Administration if actions are recommended in certain areas. Representation also required at EDC.

8. The County Health Department is responsible for maintaining health in the County during flooding and monitoring water treatment and sewage disposal facilities for any possible danger to the public. Also responsible for the containment of hazardous materials which may be exposed. The Director of the Environmental Health Section is responsible for providing assistance to, and acting in the absence of, the Emergency Preparedness Coordinator.

9. The Grand Junction Police Department is responsible for maintenance of law and order in the City of Grand Junction, warning affected residents of possible evacuation, and the orderly evacuation of residents in the City if required as well as security of evacuated areas. Also responsible for maintaining traffic flow in affected area. Also responsible for updating the City Information Office and City Floodplain Administrator in cases of direct results of flooding involving the general public. Representation required at EDC.

10. The Grand Junction Fire Department is responsible for the protection of lives and property from fire and to aid in the rescue and first aid of victims of sudden illness and injury within their fire district. Responsible also for maintaining communications with the Grand Junction Information Office and City Floodplain Administrator if directly involved with flooding.

11. The City Information Office is responsible for the receipt and dissemination of all information to the general public through telephone inquiries. Also responsible for the routine updating of received information to the above appropriate agencies concerning flooding in the County. Orderly release of information to the media will be coordinated between the Emergency Preparedness Coordinator and this office. Releases to the media will be made on a prearranged basis. Responsible also for the receipt of incoming information from various flood related agencies during Phase I (addendum) of this plan (i. e. National Weather Service, Bureau of Reclamation, USGS, etc.) and the relay of that information to the City and County Floodplain Administrators. Responsible also for receipt of calls from volunteers and the appropriate routing of these calls to the Human Resource Department. Also responsible for the initial recording of received data to be forwarded to the City and County Floodplain Administrators and ultimately to the Emergency Preparedness Coordinator for permanent record.

12. The Human Resource Department is responsible for the maintenance of a list of volunteer groups and a contact person for

each for purposes of volunteer work near affected areas if requested. Also responsible for the location of alternative housing, transportation and feeding of evacuees in the event of evacuation. Resources such as the American Red Cross and Salvation Army will be utilized where appropriate. This person will collaborate with the Grand Junction Police Department for assistance.

II. Dangers Faced

A. Setting - The City of Grand Junction and portions of Mesa County are located along creek and river drainages prone to high water spring runoff and flooding; residents or businesses along these drainages are at risk as a result. Specific areas include Connected Lakes, Rosevale, Riverside, Clifton Sanitation District, Clifton Water District and Colbran.

B. Other dangers include broken gas lines, downed power lines, major disruption of sewer and water provision and loss of life and property.

C. Warning - Warning of increasing levels of flow and/or danger will come primarily from the National Weather Service, Bureau of Reclamation, and USGS office. A spotters network has been set up by the National Weather Service utilizing citizens in the County who will routinely provide information to the weather service to update the forecast. Routine reports from the above will be received at a central location during phase 1 (City of Grand Junction Information Office - telephone 244-1507). Information will be received at least twice per day during runoff, and analyzed to determine potential threats through use of models developed by Greenhorne and O'Mara Engineers. Warning to the general public at risk will occur via Mesa County Sheriff and Grand Junction Police Department should possible evacuation be necessary. Said law enforcement personnel shall receive directives for evacuation warning and/or evacuation from Mesa County Emergency Preparedness Coordinator or his designee.

D. Emergency Response - Response to individual emergencies will occur according to existing plans in both City and County. Response to large flood related emergencies will be a coordinated effort between municipalities and County. The County's role will be coordinated with such entities through the Mesa County Emergency Preparedness office.

E. Flood magnitude - Areas in Mesa County, the City of Grand Junction and the Town of Colbran are at risk during spring runoff periods and the possibility exists that one or all areas may be damaged by flood waters during these periods. Although unlikely, under a quickly developing situation loss of life could accompany damages in extreme cases.

III. Flood Hazard Identification

A. Causes - Including but not limited to accelerated runoff due to higher than normal snowpacks with high water content accompanied by extremely warm days in succession, dam failure, and flash flooding from rainfall.

B. Historic flood damages - 1983 and previous years (1957, 1952, 1935 and 1921) have demonstrated the potential for severe flooding in Mesa County.

IV. Mitigation

A. Floodplain management - Long range management to eliminate further building in low lying flood prone areas is underway. Some property has already been acquired by the County with the idea of eventually acquiring most or all property in areas with historic flood damages.

The Board of Commissioners in Mesa County have identified a need to view the entire Colorado River segment in Mesa County as a system that should be planned so that structural and non-structural solutions may be determined that will provide a long term solution to the situation.

B. Dam safety - Ongoing studies of dam safety performed primarily by the bureau of Reclamation, the Colorado State Engineer, and the U.S. Army Corps.

C. Emergency preparedness - Measures are underway to mitigate most or all aspects of possible flooding. A floodplain study was completed by a Denver Engineering firm in December 1983 to ascertain which areas are of greatest concern and what may be done to prepare for the 1984 high water season as well as future years. According to the recommendations of this study, work will be performed on the banks of the waterways in areas of greatest concern. These areas in order of priority are as follows: Connected Lakes, City of Grand Junction (Pepsi Plant dike and Riverside dike), Colbran (Road PE and Buzzard Creek log jam) farmland at 33 and D Roads (adjacent to Clifton Sanitation lagoons) and along 32 Road (Corn Lake and private property adjacent to Corn Lake). Other emergency preparedness measures include a plan for distribution of a questionnaire evaluating the status of potentially affected households (copy attached), the placement of staff gauges for more accurate water level determination, coordination of agencies providing advanced warning information, advanced stocking of sandbags, the initiation of a spotters network to aid the National Weather Service in determining existing conditions in the County, and a plan for housing and transportation of evacuated individuals.

V. Recommendations

A. It is recommended that the existing mitigation measures continue and that the above be implemented immediately. Long range planning of the Colorado River segment in Mesa County, with a

focus on non-structural solutions is also essential.

VI. Implementation

A. Funding - Limited funding is currently available to implement the above measures (\$40,000 from the State of Colorado and \$50,000 from the City of Grand Junction). These funds, although far short of the amount needed to begin work required to mitigate flooding in 1984. The State of Colorado may appropriate additional funds (over and above the current \$40,000 for Mesa County) in the future or in emergency situations. The Federal Government also may allocate monies for flood mitigation, however to this date none is available.

B. Followthrough - This plan will be distributed to the appropriate elected officials and staff personnel. As many mitigation measures as possible will be carried out prior to the 1984 high water season. Monies for assistance will continue to be sought from the State and Federal governments as well as local private sector resources.

ADDENDUM TO ANNEX S - FLOODING

The preceding plan will be implemented in phases as follows:

Phase 1 - Readiness/Monitoring Phase

Part A. Activated by Emergency Preparedness Coordinator or his designee, information regarding current and forecasted water levels, snowpacks and weather, will be called to the City Information office twice per day between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Information will be logged and transmitted once per day to City and County Floodplain Administrators.

Part B. Activated by Emergency Preparedness Coordinator or his designee on recommendation of both Floodplain Administrators, above information will be routed to Mesa County Sheriff's Office on a 24 hour basis. Information will be logged and transmitted as often as necessary to both Floodplain Administrators and subsequently to Emergency Preparedness Coordinator from Flood plain Administrators. Mesa County Sheriff's officers and Grand Junction Police officers will begin routine monitoring of concerned areas (Dike Road, Rosevale, and Riverside initially), and report any information of concern to above 24 hour information officer via telephone or radio. This information shall be transmitted to both Floodplain Administrators and subsequently to the Emergency Preparedness Coordinator. All agencies involved in plan will be alerted as to activation of this Part B. Preventative sandbagging and other minor repairs or construction may take place during this phase according to plan.

Phase 2 - Critical Phase

Activated by the Emergency Preparedness Coordinator or his

designee, an Emergency Operations Center (EDC) will be opened at the Mesa County Sheriff's Office to direct all subsequent activities in response to flooding. This EDC will be manned on a 24 hour basis by the Mesa County Emergency Preparedness Coordinator, Mesa County Sheriff, one or both Floodplain Administrators, a representative of the Grand Junction Police Department, City Public Works, and the Mesa County Road Department. The City Public Information officer or his designee will maintain communication with the EDC routinely or be present in order to provide the media with up-to-date information. Media will be instructed to gather at a designated location for press releases. A forward command post may also be set up if needed. Warning of possible evacuation and/or evacuation of residents and emergency work in affected areas may take place during this phase. A declaration of emergency to the State of Colorado may also take place during this phase.

A gathering center for volunteers and other support resources will be opened at a pre-designated location if needed. This will be directed by the Human Resource Office Director in conjunction with the Administrative Assistant to the Assistant County Administrator. Services of this center will include the alternate housing, transportation, and feeding of evacuees.

Phase 3 - Disaster

Activated by the Emergency Preparedness Coordinator or his designee, a declaration of disaster to the State of Colorado will be filed as a result of dike failure, flash flooding, river bank failure, or any instance that results in severe mass damage to or loss of property and/or lives. All support resources including state and federal will be alerted and their services requested if indicated. Affected areas will remain evacuated indefinitely.

Phase 4 - Recovery

Assistance from local, state, and federal agencies will be utilized in all aspects of recovery including but not limited to long-term housing and feeding of evacuees, financial assistance, manpower and equipment, reconstruction, etc.

ATTACHMENT 2

OFFICECONTACT (+ALTERNATE)TITLEPHONE				
DayNight				

City Shops- Old Bldg. John KenneyOperat ions Superintende nt244- 1567464-7131				
Doug ClineStreet Supervisor24 4-1571242- 5409				
Dean DavisStreet Foreman244- 1571242-9166				
City Shops- New Bldg. John KenneyOperat ions Superintende nt244- 1567464-7131				
Harold FergesonEqui pment Supervisor24 4-1569243- 2757				
Jack ConkEquipmen t Foreman244- 1569243-4124				
National Weather				

ServiceDerry NewbyOfficial in Charge243- 7007 24- hour243-8692 (home)				
Corn Construction Dennis JonesOperati ons Manager434- 7301241-5640				
Dennis KirtlandPres ident434- 7301243-8618				
United Sand & GravelPaul McGeheeGener al Manager243- 4900434-6851				
Bill HitchcockPro duction Manager243- 4900245-1960				
Elam Construction Harold ElamSecretar y- Treasurer242 -5370243- 9171				
Earl ElamVice- President242				

-5370242-4665				
Ute WaterTed FordManager242-7491242-5987				
Don GeorgeSuperintendent242-7491464-7135				
State PatrolRobert HabilstonCaptain242-7911242-7163				
Garrett McClaryLieutenant242-7911434-4429				
County Emergency Preparedness David LacyCoordinator244-1754245-9164				
Pager 21-S.O.				
Tom DouvilleDirector Environmental Health244-1750245-7348				
County SheriffL.R. WilliamsSher				

iff244- 3500242-8422				
Joe HicksUndersh eriff244- 3500242-2075				
City PlanningBob GoldinFloodp lain Administrato r244- 1628245-1339				
Karl MetznerDirec tor244- 1628242-5163				
County EngineeringH . Keith CoreyFloodpl ain Administrato r244- 1815858-0369				
Bob CarmanEngine ering Supervisor24 4-1815434- 4553				
County Road Dept.Terry SommerfeldSu pervisor244- 1807242-1857				
Otis				

KinderSuperintendent244-1807434-8359				
County AdministrationMark EckertAssistant Administrator244-1742464-7412				
Steve AusmusAdministrative Assistant244-1607243-7794				
Human Resource DepartmentSue KaliszewskiDirector243-7786242-8704				
Cindy EmosWeatherization Project Supervisor243-7786241-7959				
City Public InformationTom LundstromCommunity Rep.244-1507434-3604				
Art StoreyPublic				

Information Officer244- 3587244-3555				
G.J.P.D.Capt . Bob KiblerOperat ions Commander244 -3563244- 3555				
Capt. Bob EversSupport Services Commander244 -3561244- 3555				
G.J.F.D.R.T. MantloChief2 42-2900242- 2695				
Jim CampbellBatt alion Chief242- 2900245-2405				
City Public WorksJim BragdonTrans portation Engineer244- 1564242-8853				
Jim PattersonDir ector244- 1557241-9661				
Ken ReedyEnginee				

r244- 1566241-4534				
-----------------------	--	--	--	--

Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

RESOLUTION NO. 31-84 - DESIGNATING NORTH AVENUE JUCO BOULEVARD DURING NATIONAL JUNIOR COLLEGE BASEBALL TOURNAMENT

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 31-84

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That North Avenue within the City of Grand Junction be designated as JUCO BOULEVARD during the National Junior College Baseball tournament.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 16th day of May, 1984.

/s/ J.P. Mike Pacheco

President of the City Council

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

Upon motion by Councilman Holmes, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

ONE-WAY STREETS - TWO-WAY STREETS (ROOD AND COLORADO AVENUES)

Councilman Holmes received a phone call from a citizen regarding "why the need for doing the two one-way streets back to two-way streets (Rood and Colorado)" and the question was "where did this come from and how much is it going to cost?" Councilman Holmes thought that it originated with the Downtown Development Authority and he asked if there was a figure to implement this change and if so, what was the amount.

Councilman Dunn stated the need for the change from one-way to two-way of these streets as the result of a study made a couple of years ago. Councilwoman Kreissler said there is a figure, surprisingly small, because all that will occur are some changes in the traffic signals and redirection of traffic. From the DDA point of view, major expense to those streets will occur at a

later date when there will be some relandscaping and that sort of thing. Public Works Director Patterson gave the estimate of \$156,000 to redirect traffic and change the traffic signals. The end of construction season was the estimated time of completion. Note was made of some problems in ordering the materials. Council members Clark and Kreissler pointed out that this was a top-priority item both with Council and the DDA.

COUNCIL/PLANNING COMMISSION RETREAT RECOMMENDED

Councilman Lucero recommended that Council meet with the Planning Commission members at a retreat in order to give Planning Commission members some direction.

ANTITRUST LEGISLATION

Councilwoman Clark noted that according to the Nation's Cities Weekly the consensus is building for antitrust legislation by Congress this year. According to the Executive Director "City officials should make every effort to insure media coverage of the impact to the Boulder ruling on cities and the need for action by Congress." She recommended that the Mayor write a letter to the Colorado Congressional delegation supporting antitrust legislation.

TWO OPENINGS ON DDA BOARD - TO FILL UNEXPIRED TERMS ENDING JUNE, 1985

Councilwoman Kreissler announced two openings on the DDA Board. The appointees will fill unexpired terms ending in June, 1985.

COUNCIL COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS

Mayor Pacheco distributed the list for Council Committee assignments, suggested the Council members review it, and indicate his/her preference and return to him as quickly as possible. He noted inadvertent omission of two committees: Downtown Development Authority and Employee Retirement Committee.

He reminded Council of its scheduled retreat at Mesa College on June 8, 1984.

ADJOURNMENT

The President adjourned the meeting.

Neva B. Lockhart

Neva B. Lockhart, CMC
City Clerk