Grand Junction, Colorado

July 5, 1984

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, convened in regular session the 5th day of July, 1984, in the City-County Auditorium at City Hall. Those present were Betsy Clark, Frank Dunn, Robert Holmes, Christine Kreissler, and President of the Council Pro Tem Ray Phipps. President of the Council Mike Pacheco and Councilman Gary Lucero were absent. Also present were City Manager Mark Achen, City Attorney Gerald Ashby, and City Clerk Neva Lockhart.

Council President Pro Tem Ray Phipps called the meeting to order and Councilwoman Kreissler led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

INVOCATION

J. C. Bowman, Young Life

MINUTES

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the minutes of the regular meeting June 6, 1984, were approved as submitted.

PROCLAMATION DECLARING JULY 15-22, 1984, "COLORADO RECYCLING WEEK"

SOCIETY OF AMERICA'S FORESTERS, UTE CHAPTER, PRESENTS CERTIFICATE OF RECOGNITION TO CITY FOR OUTSTANDING ACHIEVEMENT IN URBAN FORESTRY

Roy Winegardner, representing the Society of America's Foresters, Ute Chapter, presented a Certificate of Recognition to the City of Grand Junction for its Outstanding Achievement in Urban Forestry.

10% SURCHARGE ON SALES OF SOUVENIR ITEMS IN STOCKER STADIUM WAIVED FOR THE DRUMS ALONG THE ROCKIES FIVE-CORPS COMPETITION JULY 25, 1984

Mrs. Betty Matkovich, 2102 Chipeta, and Mrs. Dorene Carpenter, 1712 K-3/4 Road, Fruita, representing the Western Slope Chapter of the American Business Women's Association, appeared before Council to request that the ten percent surcharge on the sales of souvenir items in Stocker Stadium be waived for the Drums Along the Rockies Five-Corps Competition to be held July 25, 1984.

Upon motion by Councilman Holmes, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the ten percent surcharge was waived on the sales of souvenir items in Stocker Stadium for this occasion only.

LIQUOR-BEER - APPLICATIONS TO RENEW LIQUOR AND BEER LICENSES APPROVED - ONE LICENSEE WITH ALLEGED VIOLATION TO BE TURNED OVER TO HEARING OFFICER

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the applications by the following businesses to renew liquor and beer licenses were approved with the express understanding that a hearing will be held on the alleged violation filed against C & F Food Store No. 1, 859 Pitkin Avenue, to determine whether the license should be suspended or revoked.

Teddy's Pub Cafe & Lounge, 603 Highway 50 (Tavern) Golden Dragon Restaurant, 1037 North Avenue (3.2% Beer) C & F Food Store No. 1, 859 Pitkin Avenue(3.2% Beer) C & F Food Store No. 3, 2714 Highway 50 (3.2% Beer) C & F Food Store No. 4, 589 25 Road (3.2% Beer)

APPLICATION BY EDI HOTELS, LTD., AND PARKMOUNT GJC, INC. DBA RODEWAY INN OF GRAND JUNCTION, 2790 CROSSROADS BLVD, TO REGISTER CHARLENE HUDSON AS MANAGER

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried, the application by EDI Hotels, Ltd., and Parkmount GJC, Inc., dba Rodeway Inn of Grand Junction, 2790 Crossroads Boulevard, to register Charlene Hudson as Manager as approved. (Hotel-Restaurant Liquor License)

LIQUOR - APPLICATION BY CLYDE JORGENSEN FOR A TAVERN LIQUOR LICENSE AT 2882 NORTH AVENUE UNDER THE TRADE NAME OF RALPH 'N' CLYDE'S DALLAS CLUB (CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP)

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried, the application by Clyde Jorgensen for a tavern liquor license at 2882 North Avenue under the trade name of Ralph 'N' Clyde's Dallas Club was approved. This was a change of ownership - license presently held by Dorothy Bennett.

HEARING - ORCHARD GROVE OUTLINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN, SE COR OF 12TH AND ORCHARD REQUEST FOR 1-YR EXTENSION OF DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE GRANTED

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Robert Reese for a one-year extension of development schedule on the Orchard Grove Outline Development Plan for the southeast corner of 12th Street and Orchard Avenue. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions. Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the one-year extension of the development schedule was granted.

HEARING - CROSSROADS MOTOR INN - DEVELOPMENT IN H.O. ZONE E OF 27-3/4 ROAD SECTION LINE, NW OF HORIZON DRIVE - REQUEST FOR 1-YR EXTENSION OF DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE GRANTED

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Grand Junction Motor Hotel, Ltd., for a one-year extension of the

development schedule for the Crossroads Motor Inn, a Development in an H.O. Zone east of 27-3/4 Road Section Line, northwest of Horizon Drive. There were no opponents, letters of counterpetitions. Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the one-year extension of the development schedule was granted.

HEARING - SUN CREST VILLAS PRELIMINARY PLAN APPROVED, N OF F ROAD, APPROX 650 FT W OF 25 ROAD

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by F Road Development Corp., Professional Investors of Grand Junction, and Paul and Francis Kern for a Preliminary Plan of 354 units in a Planned Residential Zone at 17 units per acre and a Preliminary Plan on .68 acre in a Planned Business Zone for the Sun Crest Villas located north of F Road, approximately 650 feet west of 25 Road. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions. Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried, the Sun Crest Villas Preliminary Plan was approved subject to the conditions of the Planning Commission.

HEARING - PROPOSED ORDINANCE - REZONE FM RSF-4 TO PB AND KEXO RADIO FINAL PLAN WESTERN BROADCASTING CO., N OF F ROAD, APPROX 220 FT E OF 25 1/2 ROAD

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Western Broadcasting Company represented by Lance Spurlock, to rezone from a Residential Single-Family Zone at 4 units per acre to a Planned Business Zone and a Final Plan on approximately 4.86 acres located north of F Road, approximately 220 feet east of 25 1/2 Road. Councilwoman Kreissler requested discussion on the fact that the County Planning Commission has not been in favor of this particular rezone; some discussion on F1/4 Road; and discussion on the timing of the building.

A representative of the Broadcasting Company indicated there are too many vague areas for him to state that they will even build on the property. Other properties are being considered.

Karl Metzner, Planning Director, said that the County Planning Commission's concern had been that a large commercial development on the property would impact the residential uses that are around it. Mr. Metzner discussed with them that all that is being proposed is a radio station/offices which allayed their concern.

Mr. Metzner said that Western Broadcasting Company has agreed to do its half-street improvements on F1/4 Road. He noted that at this particular time, there are no developments in that area that would warrant the City scheduling improvements to the road.

There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions.

The following proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING

THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY. Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the KEXO Radio Final Plan was approved subject to the conditions of the Planning Commission.

HEARING - REZONE FM RMF-32 TO RSF-8 BOTH SIDES OF 7TH STREET FROM GRAND AVE TO HILL AVE (7TH STREET HISTORIC DISTRICT) - HEARING CONTINUED TO THE AUGUST 1 COUNCIL MEETING

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Kathy Jordan, representative, for a rezone from Residential Multi-Family uses at 32 units per acre to Residential Single-Family Uses at 8 units per acre on approximately 6.9 acres excluding the street right-of-way for both sides of 7th Street from Grand Avenue to Hill Avenue (7th Street Historic District). Karl Metzner, Planning Director, explained that this request, essentially, was for a down zone. He submitted two letters - one from Mrs. Violet N. Green, 625 Hill, favoring the rezone and one from L. E. Thomas, owner of The Learning Tree, 711 North 7th Street, opposing the rezone. He had a petition signed by 19 owners of property representing 59 percent. A letter from Jay Brodell was submitted objecting to the down zone and requesting that his name be removed from the petition, thus 56 percent of the owners had signed the petition in favor of the down zone. The Planning Commission recommended in favor of the down zone feeling that it was in keeping with the 7th Street Policy and the designation of the area as a historical district which happened two months ago. Mr. Metzner noted that the rezone would be a significant change in terms of the potential density. It would not be much of a change in terms of what uses are there now.

There was discussion regarding this area's eligibility for low-interest loans for rehabilitation purposes.

Kathy Jordan, 440 N. 7th Street, the representative from the neighborhood, presented the reasons for the petition to rezone. Mr. Harold Olson, 505 N. 7th Street, spoke in favor of the rezone.

James Brodell, 712 N. 7th Street, objected to the proposal in two categories: procedural and substantive. In the procedural area, he found himself working without data because there were no impact statements although there was a narrative statement. He was concerned that if the rezone passes there would be substantial reduction in the sale price of homes in this area. In the substantive area, Mr. Brodell stated that more people are needed downtown, not less. He pointed out that in the one-block area where he lives on both sides of 7th from Gunnison to Hill there are 9 houses. He believed that only one of the 9 houses qualified as a single-family house. Mr. Brodell stated that he believed

there were more than 5 multi-family units in the area while only 5 were addressed in the narrative. He suggested there should be a Should Council approve this proposal, Mr. Brodell respectfully requested that Council instruct the Department and the Building Department to document every nonconforming use in that area. He did not want to see an apartment house being bootlegged in a couple of years from now where no apartment house exists today. He referred to the 7th Street Policy which says "retain existing uses." His duplex has been there since 1928, and he thought that was an existing use. At a meeting two years ago on this very same topic, he was told by the Planning Department and Planning Staff that absolutely no effort would ever be made to change the area into single-family homes because that would be foolish. Another point Mr. Brodell wanted to make was that if this proposal were approved, The Learning Tree could not add any more students because that would be an expansion of a nonconforming use. He suggested a compromise: cut the rezone off at Gunnison and leave alone those properties between Gunnison and Hill.

Mrs. Audrey Thompson, 214 Easter Hill Drive, owner of property at 726 N. 7th Street, stated that she was not contacted by Mrs. Jordan nor did she receive notice of the proposed rezone. She became aware of the proposal by the sign posted on the corner and by articles in the newspaper. She could see no reason at all to change the zoning again. She agreed with Mr. Brodell that if the rezone occurred below Gunnison, she had no objections.

The President Pro Tempore closed the hearing.

The City Attorney advised Council that the present rules require a favorable vote of five (5) members of the entire membership of the governing body to overturn the recommendation of the Planning Commission. A Resolution of Findings and Decision was scheduled for the July 18, 1984, City Council meeting, and the City Attorney suggested that the procedure be followed in order for the other two members to hear the record and to allow Staff time to investigate the matters that Mr. Brodell brought up.

Councilwoman Kreissler moved that the hearing remain open until the City Council meeting on August 1, 1984. The motion was seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried.

The President Pro Tem declared a five-minute recess. Upon reconvening five Council members were present.

HEARING - RIGHT-OF-WAY VACATION, ELM AVENUE FM 12TH ST TO COLLEGE PL - DENIED - PROPOSED ORDINANCE VACATING RIGHT-OF-WAY OF THE NORTH/SOUTH ALLEY AND EAST/WEST ALLEY N OF ELM AVENUE, W OF 12TH ST - MESA COLLEGE

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Mesa College to vacate the right-of-way on Elm Avenue from 12th Street to College Place and to vacate a section of the north/south alley

and east/west alley north of Elm Avenue, west of 12th Street. Planning Director Karl Metzner submitted a petition opposing the closure of Elm Avenue and referred to a report from Traffic Engineer James Bradgon regarding the traffic counts and the effect the temporary closure of Elm Avenue had upon the traffic situation in the surrounding neighborhood. The Planning Commission recommended that Elm Avenue not be closed but that sections of the north/south alley and east/west alley north of Elm Avenue, west of 12th Street be vacated.

John Tomlinson, President of Mesa College, reviewed the plans for Mesa College and the proposal to unify the campus by requesting the vacation of Elm Avenue and the alleys.

Mr. Bob Kays, President of the Grand Junction Area Chamber of Commerce, stated that the Board of Directors support Mesa College and its petition to vacate Elm Avenue. He offered to mediate in an effort to resolve the issue in a harmonious manner.

Opponents: Colleen Warner, 850 Kennedy; Bill Johnson, 1001 Texas Avenue; Donald Whitford, 1227 Texas; Thatcher Shaw, who lives within a block from Elm Street, Arthur Bridgett, 2010 Elm.

The hearing was closed.

Upon motion by Councilman Holmes, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the petition by Mesa College to vacate the Elm Avenue right-of-way from 12th Street to College Place was denied, and the petition to vacate sections of the right-of-way for the north/south alley and the east/west alley north of Elm Avenue west of 12th Street was granted.

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE VACATING A RIGHT-OF-WAY IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION. Upon motion by Councilwoman Clark, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

A letter from Mr. John H. Schoening, 907 Texas Avenue, was acknowledged. Mr. Schoening stated that it was rumored that the people who are renting three or four homes on College Place and Bunting Avenue from Mesa College have been told they will have to move so that Mesa College can make offices in some of these homes. Mr. Schoening wanted to protest that use as the zoning in the area is residential and he wanted it to remain residential.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE - REPEALING AND REENACTING ARTICLE V, CHAPTER 17, CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, CONCERNING PAWNBROKERS

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: CONCERNING THE REGULATION OF PAWNBROKERS. Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 4, ARTICLE I, CODE OF ORDINANCES, ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES, APPLICATION FEES

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE INCREASING THE APPLICATION FEES FOR CERTAIN BEER AND LIQUOR LICENSES. Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

ORDINANCES ON FINAL PASSAGE - PROOFS OF PUBLICATION

The Proofs of Publication to the following Ordinances proposed for final passage had been received and filed. A copy of the Ordinances proposed for final passage had been submitted in writing to the City Council prior to the meeting.

ORDINANCE NO. 2192 - AMENDING ORDINANCE 2185 RIGHT-OF-WAY ON HORIZON DRIVE, TECH DEL SOL SUBDIVISION

Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the following proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and the title only was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 2185, VACATING A RIGHT-OF-WAY IN TECH DEL SOL SUBDIVISION.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2192, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2193 - ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT CODE AMENDMENT - BANNERS

Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the following proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and the title only was read: AN ORDINANCE PERMITTING CERTAIN TEMPORARY STREET BANNERS IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2193, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2194 - REZONE FM B-1 TO PB 910 MAIN STREET - COLORAMO CREDIT UNION

Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the following proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and the title only was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY ADDING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2194, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2195 - ZONE REA #2 ANNEXATION TO C-2, 28 ROAD AND I-70 BUSINESS LOOP

Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the following proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and the title only was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY ADDING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2195, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2196 - ZONE SPERBER ANNEXATION RSF-4, E OF 26 1/2 ROAD AT SPERBER LANE

Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the following proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and the title only was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY ADDING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilwoman Clark, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2196, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2197 - PROVIDING FOR THE BILLING OF CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICES TO THE OWNER OF THE PREMISES; REMOVING OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR ADVANCE DEPOSIT FOR UTILITY SERVICES

Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the following proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and the title only was read: AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE BILLING OF CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICES TO THE OWNER OF THE PREMISES SERVED AND FOR THE REMOVING OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR AN ADVANCE DEPOSIT FOR UTILITY SERVICES.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2197, and ordered published.

RESOLUTION NO. 38-84 CONCERNING ADOPTION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1985 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 38-84

A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION CONCERNING ADOPTION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1985 UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM

MESA COUNTY RESOLUTION NO. MCM 84-67

WHEREAS, the City and the County have been designated by the Governor as the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area; and

WHEREAS, the city and the County realize the importance of both short and long range planning in the development of an efficient transportation system; and

WHEREAS, the City and the County are aware that it is the responsibility of the Metropolitan Planning Organization to perform those planning functions; and

WHEREAS, the City and the County in their performance of those planning functions wish to use Federal Highway Administration transportation planning funds in coordination with the Colorado Department of Highways;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT JOINTLY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the Fiscal Year 1985 Unified Planning Work Program for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area, hereunto attached, be adopted as shown.

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

/s/ Raymond G. Phipps

President of the Council Pro Tempore

COUNTY OF MESA

/s/ Richard C. Pond

Acting Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners of Mesa County

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

/s/ Earl Sawyer by Jean Hawk, Deputy

County Clerk

(Attachment in FY 1985 Unified Planning Work Program for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area file)

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

RESOLUTION NO. 39-84 CONCERNING ADOPTION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1985-1989 TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM FOR THE GRAND JUNCTION ORGANIZED AREA

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 39-84

A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION CONCERNING ADOPTION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1985-1989 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE GRAND JUNCTION URBANIZED AREA

MESA COUNTY RESOLUTION NO. MCM 84-68

WHEREAS, the City and the County have been designated by the Governor as the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area; and

WHEREAS, the City and the County realize the importance of coordinating transportation improvements in the urbanized area; and

WHEREAS, Federal laws establishing aid to urban street systems require the comprehensive planning of improvements (23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 1607); and

WHEREAS, the City and the County wish to make the most effective use of funds on the transportation system, whether the source of funds be local, state, or federal;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT JOINTLY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the Fiscal Year 1985-1989 Transportation Improvement Program for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area, hereunto attached, be adopted as shown.

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

/s/ Raymond G. Phipps

President of the Council Pro Tempore

COUNTY OF MESA

/s/ Richard C. Pond

Acting Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners of Mesa County

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

Attest:

/s/ Earl Sawyer by Jean Hawk

County Clerk

(Attachments in Fiscal Year 1985-1989 Transportation Improvement Program for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area File)

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

RESOLUTION NO. 40-84 GRANTING REVOCABLE PERMIT TO GENE TAYLOR FOR ENCROACHMENT ON PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY TO INSTALL A SECURITY FENCE AT 445 WEST GUNNISON

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 40-84

GRANTING A REVOCABLE PERMIT TO GENE O. TAYLOR

WHEREAS, Gene O. Taylor has petitioned the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, for a Revocable Permit to allow a two to four foot encroachment into the right-of-way on the north, east and west sides of the property described as:

Lots 1 to 6, inclusive, Six & Fifty Subdivision, Section 15, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, Ute Meridian; and Lots 1 and 2, Carpenter Subdivision No. 2, said Section 15, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, Ute Meridian; all in Mesa County, Colorado,

for a security fence, up to eight inches from existing sidewalk; and

WHEREAS, such action would not be detrimental to the inhabitants of the City;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF

GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

That the City Manager, on behalf of the City and as the act of the City, be and he is hereby authorized to grant such Revocable Permit to the above-named petitioner for the purpose above described upon the execution by the petitioner of an agreement to save and hold the City harmless from any claims arising out of the encroachment and use granted, and execution by the petitioner of an agreement that upon the revocation of such permit, the petitioner will remove said encroachment at his own expense, restoring the right-of-way to its original condition.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 5th day of July, 1984.

/s/ Raymond G. Phipps

President of the Council Pro Tempore

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

REVOCABLE PERMIT

WHEREAS, Gene O. Taylor has petitioned the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, for a Revocable Permit to allow a two to four foot encroachment into the right-of-way on the north, east and west sides of the property described as:

Lots 1 to 6, inclusive, Six & Fifty Subdivision, Section 15, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, Ute Meridian; and Lots 1 and 2, Carpenter Subdivision NO. 2, said Section 15, Township 1 South, Range 1 West, Ute Meridian; all in Mesa County, Colorado,

for a security fence, up to 8 inches from existing sidewalk; and

WHEREAS, City Council is of the opinion that such action would not be detrimental to the inhabitants thereof at this time and has directed the City Manager to issue a permit for such use;

NOW, THEREFORE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ACTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

There is hereby granted to Gene O. Taylor a Revocable Permit to allow a two to four foot encroachment into the right-of-way on the north, east and west sides of the property described above, for a security fence; provided, however, that said permit may be revoked by the City Council at its pleasure at any time; provided, further that the above-named petitioner shall agree to indemnify the City and hold it harmless from any and all claims, damages, actions, costs and expenses of every kind in any manner arising out of, or resulting from the permitted use; and further provided that said

petitioner shall agree that upon the revocation of such permit he will, at his own expense, remove said encroachment and restore the right-of-way to its original condition.

DATED this 5th day of July, 1984.

/s/ Mark K. Achen

City Manager

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

Upon motion by Councilwoman Kreissler, seconded by Councilman Dunn and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

RESOLUTION NO. 41-84 CONCERNING THE PAY FOR ACTING CITY MANAGER GERALD J. ASHBY - \$4100 AUTHORIZED

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 41-84

CONCERNING THE PAY FOR ACTING CITY MANAGER - \$4100 AUTHORIZED

WHEREAS, Gerald J. Ashby acted in the capacity of City Manager and Assistant City Manager for approximately five (5) months; and

WHEREAS, the City Council believes the additional compensation is due for that service;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

That there be paid to Gerald J. Ashby the sum of \$4,100 which is approximately the difference in compensation between the position of City Manager and City Attorney for the period of time involved.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 5th day of July, 1984.

/s/ Raymond G. Phipps

President of the Council Pro Tempore

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried by roll call vote with Councilman HOLMES voting NO, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

RESOLUTION NO. 42-84 ESTABLISHING PUBLIC ADMISSION FEES FOR THE USE OF CITY AND CITY/COUNTY POOLS

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 42-84

ESTABLISHING PUBLIC ADMISSION FEES FOR THE USE OF CITY AND CITY/COUNTY POOLS.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That, until further action of the City Council (or, where required, the City Council and the Board of County Commissioners), and public admission fees for the use of Lincoln Park Pool and Orchard Mesa Pool shall be as follows:

Admissions:					
Child (under 16 years) \$1.00					
Adult (16 and older) \$1.75					
Family (designated nights) \$3.50					
Senior (55 and older) \$1.00					
Summer Passes: (Memorial to Labor Day)					
Child (under 16 years) \$22.50					
Adult (16 and older) \$30.00					
Family (designated nights) \$90.00					
Senior (55 and					

older) \$22.50	
Private Party: (2 hours)	
000-050\$80.00	
051-100\$125.00	
101-150\$170.00	
151-200\$225.00	
201-250\$260.00	
251-281\$310.00	

PASSED and ADOPTED this 5th day of July, 1984.

/s/ Raymond G. Phipps

President of the Council Pro Tempore

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

Upon motion by Councilman Holmes, seconded by Councilwoman Kreissler and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATION AUTHORIZED FOR THIS YEAR'S FLOOD CONTROL EFFORTS - \$15,635

Upon motion by Councilman Dunn, seconded by Councilman Holmes and carried, an additional appropriation of \$15,635 was authorized from the contingency funds for this year's flood control efforts.

LETTER AUTHORIZED TO DIVISION OF COMMERCE AND DEVELOPMENT, STATE OF COLORADO, STATING THAT HOYT MITCHELL'S PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH RACO, A RADIOLOGIC CONSULTING BUSINESS IN GRAND JCT IS COMPATIBLE W/CITY LAND USE REGULATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT POLICES

City Manager Achen advised that the City had received a loan application to be filed with the State Department of Local Affairs for a local establishment that is requesting Title 9 loan assistance from the State of Colorado. The State requires approval

of the application by the City. Mr. Achen had a proposed letter to the Division of Commerce and Development in the State of Colorado stating that the City had reviewed Mr. Hoyt Mitchell's proposal for establishing RACO, a radiologic consulting business, in Grand Junction. As it is proposed, RACO would be compatible with the City's Land Use Regulations and Development Policies. The letter was drawn for the Council President Pro Tem's signature.

Upon motion by Councilman Holmes, seconded by Councilwoman Clark and carried, the letter as outlined was approved and Council President Pro Tem Raymond G. Phipps was authorized to sign the letter.

STATUS REPORT REQUESTED ON CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS AND PROJECTED SCHEDULE

Councilman Phipps requested a status report from the City Engineer by the next meeting on all the various capital improvement projects and what his projected schedule is for the rest of the year.

CONCERN EXPRESSED REGARDING THE APPEARANCE OF THE APPROACHES TO THE CITY - ALSO WEEDS

Councilman Holmes expressed concern regarding the appearance of the approaches to the City. Don Warner said that the top of the 5th Street hill was not zoned for a junk yard. The lady had been advised that she has thirty days to get rid of the vehicles. There was discussion regarding other properties that are unsightly and what could be done about them. Councilman Holmes also felt that the weed program needs to start showing some results. Weeds in some areas are nearly waist high. Councilwoman Clark agreed and stated that she would like to see City-owned property getting attention so the City is in compliance with its own regulation.

12TH STREET AND PATTERSON INTERSECTION

Councilwoman Kreissler inquired about the 12th and Patterson intersection. Mr. Ashby advised that this item would be on the August 1 City Council Agenda.

CML SPECIAL COMMITTEE MEETING TO STUDY SPECIAL DISTRICTS

Councilwoman Kreissler reported that the CML Special Committee would meet July 17 to discuss special districts. She would appreciate any input from Council members prior to the meeting.

AIRPORT BOARD

Councilman Dunn reported that the bids had been received on Runway 422. Corn Paving Company appeared to be the low bidder.

CML BOARD MEETING JULY 11 WITH MORGAN SMITH - Councilwoman Clark

COUNCILMAN PHIPPS ANNOUNCED NEW BOARD MEMBER NEEDED FOR HOUSING AUTHORITY BOARD

ADJOURNMENT

Neva B. Lockhart

Neva B. Lockhart, CMC City Clerk

RESOLUTION 39-89

A JOINT RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND
THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION CONCERNING
ADOPTION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 1985-1989 TRANSPORTATION
IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE GRAND JUNCTION URBANIZED AREA

WHEREAS, The City and the County have been designated by the Governor as the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area; and

WHEREAS, The City and the County realize the importance of coordinating transportation improvements in the urbanized area; and

WHEREAS, Federal laws establishing aid to urban street systems require the comprehensive planning of improvements (23 U.S.C. 134 and 49 U.S.C. 1607); and

WHEREAS, The City and the County wish to make the most effective use of funds on the transportation system, whether the source of funds be local, state, or federal;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT JOINTLY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF MESA AND THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION:

That the Fiscal Year 1985-1989 Transportation Improvement Program for the Grand Junction Urbanized Area, hereunto attached, be adopted as shown.

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

COUNTY OF MESA

President of the Council

Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners of Mesa County

Attest:

Attest:

Country Clark

County Clerk

Dean Hawk

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
FOR THE
GRAND JUNCTION URBANIZED AREA
OCTOBER 1, 1984 TO SEPTEMBER 30, 1989

PREPARED BY THE MESA COUNTY POLICY AND RESEARCH OFFICE

IN COOPERATION WITH THE COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

AND THE
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

May 25, 1984

INTRODUCTION

The transportation improvement program is a five-year capital improvement program for the urbanized area of Grand Junction and Mesa County. (See Map) The purpose of this program is to carry out continuing, comprehensive and cooperative transportation planning by:

- coordinating projects in the urbanized area initiated by individual agencies such as the City of Grand Junction Public Works Department, Mesa County Engineering Department, or the Colorado Department of Highways.
- defining the costs of these projects and the available financial resources.
- relating the projects to goals and objectives set forth in relevant plans approved by the City of Grand Junction and Mesa County, and
- prioritizing the projects to make the best use of available resources.

The transportation improvement program not only serves the needs of the people of the area for an efficient transportation system, but satisfies regulations jointly issued by the Federal Highway Administration and the Urban Mass Transportation Administration on the content and purpose of the program. An approved program is necessary to maintain the federal funding for highways and streets on the urban system.

CONTENTS

The program shall contain all federally funded transportation projects in the urbanized area initiated by Mesa County, Grand Junction or by the Department of Highways. When applicable, it is necessary to include operating and/or capital grants from the Urban Mass Transportation Administration to local agencies (public or private) in the urbanized area.

Only projects on the Federal Aid Urban System (FAUS) are eligible for Federal aid. The Federal Aid Urban System is defined by the urban area boundary illustrated in Figure 1 and is made up of those arterial and collector streets which are not urban extensions of primary highways such as US 50. Principal arterials such as SH 146 (32 Road) in the urbanized area are not eligible for Federal secondary aid but are eligible for Urban System aid when shown on the approved FAUS map.

Federal Aid Urban System funds are not allocated on the basis of number of street miles in the system. The addition or

subtraction of arterial or collector mileage does not affect the amount of money available.

Beginning in 1985 the City and the County will go to a two year cycle in the sharing of Urban System funds. This will allow the money to be used more effectively on larger projects.

For informational purposes, projects locally funded $\underline{\text{and}}$ of regional significance may be included so that improvements to the total transportation system can be considered.

FORMAT

The format for the transportation improvement program is specified by Federal and State requirments. Projects are broken out by:

- 1. Funding Source (Federal Aid Urban System, Federal Aid Primary, etc.)
- 2. Priority The projects are listed by priority in the first year of the program. The first year is the only year in which commitments are made. This year is frequently called the annual element.

Each project must identify the location, description, responsible agency, general purpose, whether the project has received or will receive Federal/State funding beyond the program period, and the breakdown of funding by year and source. This format is standardized by the Department of Highways for all urbanized areas.

Location, description, and responsible agency are self-explanatory. The general purpose relates to whether the project furthers goals of the long range plan or the Transportation System Management Element, which emphasizes solution of short-term needs by relatively low capital intensive means (i.e. signal timing to increase traffic flow). Other purposes may be safety related. An example might be "for relief of traffic congestion and implementation of adopted plan".

PROCESS

The projects in the program were proposed for inclusion by the implementing agencies. These projects will be considered by member of the Transportation Technical Advisory Committee, composed of representatives from all public agencies involved in construction or operation of transportation systems in the Grand Junction Urbanized area. The first year, the portion of the program to which financial commitments are made, is discussed with elected officials to assure that matching funds will be included in the local agency budgets.

After review of the program, the transportation improvement prog-

ram is forwarded to the Transportation Policy Advisory Committee, composed of representatives from the Grand Junction City Council, the Mesa County Commissioners, the State Highway Commission and the State Air Quality Control Commission. The Transportation Policy Advisory Committee may refer the program back to the Transportation Technical Advisory Committee or endorse the program and place it before the Mesa County Commissioners and the Grand Junction City Council for their approval. The Council and the County Commission will approve the program or refer it back to the Transportation Policy Advisory Committee for consideration.

The program is sent to the State Highway Commissioners for their approval, after which it is forwarded to the Federal Highway Administration for concurrence and comments.

Amendments to the transportation improvement program involve major changes in the costs of projects or the addition or deletion of projects. These are approved in the same manner as the program. Flexibility is required to allow for construction cost changes or unforseen difficulties.

TABLE 1
TOTAL COSTS AND REVENUE

PROGRAM TYPE	FISCAL YEAR	FEDERAL AVAILABLE	FEDERAL PROGRAMMED	STATE/LOCAL PARTICIPATION	PROJECT COST
URBAN SYSTEM	1985* 1986 1987 1988 1989	\$252,757 \$252,757 \$252,757 \$252,757 \$252,757	\$252,757 \$252,757 \$252,757 \$252,757 \$252,757	\$1,000,000 \$ 76,742 \$ 76,742 \$ 76,742 \$ 76,742	\$1,252,757 \$ 329,499 \$ 329,499 \$ 329,499 \$ 329,499
INTER- STATE 4R	1985 1986 1987 1988 1989	N/A N/A N/A	\$ 199,800 \$ 182,000 \$ 0 \$1,108,100 \$ 0	\$ 24,200 \$ 22,000 \$ 0 \$133,900 \$ 0	\$ 224,000 \$ 204,000 \$ 0 \$1,242,000 \$ 0
UMTA Section 5	1985 1986 1987 1988 1989	\$434,500 \$247,053 \$ 0 \$ 0	\$187,447 \$155,047 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0	\$136,138 \$128,038 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0	\$323,585 \$283,085 \$ 0 \$ 0

^{*}For Fiscal Year 1985 the Urban System funds will be used by the Department of Highways on SH 146 between E Road and D.5 Road. The Department of Highways will furnish the match amount. Funds shown in the State/Local Participation column reflect the match and additional funds transferred by CDH.

^{**}N/A means Not Applicable.

PROGRAM: 4R

LOCATION: I-70, Fruita to Clifton (Project #1 on attached map.) PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Signage

RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT: Department of Highways

PAST FUNDING: No FUTURE FUNDING: No LONG RANGE: TSM: X REMARKS: Signage will clarify entrance into area and reduce

travel on the Business Loop somewhat.

BUDGET YEAR 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989

FEDERAL: \$178,400 STATE: 21,600

LOCAL:

TOTAL: \$200,000

LOCATION: I-70 at the 22 Road interchange. (Project #2) PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Rest stop construction.

RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT: Department of Highways

PAST FUNDING: No FUTURE FUNDING: No LONG RANGE: TSM: REMARKS: Includes engineering (1985) and construction (1986).

BUDGET YEAR 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989

FEDERAL: \$21,400 \$182,000 STATE: 2,600 22,000

LOCAL:

TOTAL: \$ 24,000 \$204,000

LOCATION: I-70, east and west of Fruita. (Project #3) PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Overlay.

RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT: Department of Highways.

PAST FUNDING: No FUTURE FUNDING: No LONG RANGE: TSM: REMARKS: Funds reflect only overlay costs within the urbanized area.

1989

14

BUDGET YEAR 1985 1986 1987 1988

FEDERAL: \$321,200 STATE: 38,800 LOCAL:

TOTAL: \$360,000

LOCATION: I-70, 24 Road to Horizon Drive. (Project #4)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Overlay

RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT: Department of Highways.

PAST FUNDING: NO FUTURE FUNDING: NO LONG RANGE: TSM:

REMARKS:

BUDGET YEAR 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 FEDERAL: \$786,900 STATE: 95,100 LOCAL:

TOTAL: \$882,000

PROGRAM: FEDERAL AID URBAN SYSTEMS (FAUS)

LOCATION: State Highway 146, D.5 Road to Canal. (Project #5) PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Widen to four lanes.

construction.

RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT: Colorado Department of Highways

PAST FUNDING: Yes LONG RANGE: X TSM:
REMARKS: FAUS funds passed to State for use on SH 146 within urbanized area. State will provide match for funds.

BUDGET YEAR

1985

1986

1987

1988

1989

FEDERAL:

\$252,757

STATE:

\$1,000,000

LOCAL:

TOTAL:

\$1,252,757

LOCATION: B.5 Road, 27.75 Road to 28.5 Road (Project #6)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Widen to collector standards. Construction only.

RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT: Mesa County

PAST FUNDING: NO FUTURE FUNDING: NO

LONG RANGE: X TSM:

1988

REMARKS:

BUDGET YEAR

1985

1986

1987

1989

FEDERAL: STATE:

\$252,757

LOCAL: TOTAL:

76,742 \$329,499

LOCATION: 28 Street, Grand Avenue to North Avenue (Project #7) PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Widen to four lanes. Project includes construction.

RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT: City of Grand Junction

PAST FUNDING: Yes FUTURE FUNDING: No

LONG RANGE: X TSM:

REMARKS:

BUDGET YEAR

1985 1986

1988 \$252,757 1989

FEDERAL:

STATE:

LOCAL: TOTAL:

76,742 \$329,499

1987

\$252,757

76,742 \$329,499

LOCATION: Unspecified Project

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT:

Mesa County PAST FUNDING: FUTURE FUNDING:

LONG RANGE:

TSM:

REMARKS:

BUDGET YEAR

1985

1986

1987

1988

1989

FEDERAL:

STATE:

\$252,757

76,742

LOCAL: TOTAL:

\$329,499

PROGRAM: UMTA Section 5

LOCATION: N/A

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Operating Assistance

RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT: Mesa County

PAST FUNDING: NO FUTURE FUNDING: LONG RANGE:

REMARKS: Operating assistance to transit services described in

the Mesa County Transit Development Program (TDP).

BUDGET YEAR 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989

FEDERAL: \$111,047 \$111,047

STATE:

LOCAL: \$117,038 \$117,038 \$228,085 \$228,085 TOTAL:

LOCATION: N/A

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Bus acquisition. Eight vans, two wheelchair equipped, will be purchased as outlined under the TDP. Radios and base station equipment will be included. Eight vans, two

RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT: Mesa County

PAST FUNDING: No FUTURE FUNDING: No LONG RANGE: TSM: REMARKS: Mesa County Dept. of Human Resources--2 20-pass. vans. Mesa Developmental Services--6 vans with radios, 2 with WC

assist. BUDGET YEAR 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989

FEDERAL: \$76,400 \$44,000

STATE:

\$19,100 LOCAL:

\$95,500 \$55,000 TOTAL:

