Grand Junction, Colorado

September 17, 1986

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, convened in regular session the 17th day of September, 1986, at 7:30 p.m. in the City-County Auditorium at City Hall. Those present were James Leland, Tim Mannion, Paul Nelson, Ray Phipps, Reford Theobold, and President of the Council Steve Love. Councilman Gary Lucero was absent. Also present were City Manager Mark Achen, Assistant City Attorney Bourtai Hargrove, and City Clerk Neva Lockhart.

Council President Steve Love called the meeting to order and Councilman Mannion led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

INVOCATION

Dan Cox, Christian Life Center.

MINUTES

Upon motion of Councilman Mannion, seconded by Councilman Nelson and carried, the minutes of the September, 3, 1986, City Council Meeting were approved as submitted.

PROCLAMATION DECLARING OCTOBER 9, 1986, AS "FIRE DRILL AWARENESS DAY" IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

PROCLAMATION DECLARING NOVEMBER 23-30, 1986, "NATIONAL BIBLE WEEK" IN GRAND JUNCTION

HEARING - PROPOSED ORDINANCE - REZONE 2716 PATTERSON ROAD FROM RSF-8 TO PB

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Daniel and Kathleen Geer to rezone 2716 Patterson Road from RSF-8 (Residential Single-Family) to Planned Business on approximately 0.9 acre. Dan Geer, 738 Centaauri Drive, requested that the openspace fee be waived on this petition (\$600.00).

Councilman Gary Lucero arrived at the meeting at this time.

Mike Sutherland, City Planner, reviewed the petition for the rezone, stating there was no opposition by the Planning Commission. The City is requesting that the open-space fee be charged. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions.

The following entitled proposed ordinance was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY. Upon motion of Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilman Leland and carried, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication, with the request to waive the open-

space fee being denied.

APPLICATION BY B.P.O. ELKS LODGE #575 FOR A 3.2% BEER SPECIAL EVENTS PERMIT ON OCTOBER 4, 1986, AT 6TH AND MAIN STREETS FOR OCTOBERFEST - 2ND PERMIT - APPROVED

A hearing was held after proper notice on the application by B.P.O. Elks Lodge #575 for a 3.2% Fermented Malt Beverage Special Events Permit on October 4, 1986, from 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. at 6th and Main Streets for Octoberfest. Mr. Rich Keefer was present for the hearing. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions. Upon motion of Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman Phipps and carried, the application was approved.

BIDS - AWARD OF CONTRACT - FIRE TRUCK - FRONT-RANGE FIRE APPARATUS - \$324,232

Bids were received on July 26, 1986, for the purchase of a 75-foot tele-squirt fire truck. Staff recommended that the bid for the fire truck be awarded to Front Range Fire Apparatus in the amount of \$324.232. Upon motion of Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilman Nelson and carried, the Contract for the tele-squirt fire truck was awarded to Front-Range Fire Apparatus for its low bid of \$324,232, and the City Manager was authorized to sign said Contract.

HEARING - REVISED FINAL PLAN FOR 2510 N. 12TH STREET

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by W.B. and Carol Swisher to revise the final plan for 2510 North 12th Street in a Planned Business Zone. Mike Sutherland, City Planner, explained that in addition to what was approved in the original request (real estate office only), the petitioner is requesting that his allowed uses include similar type professional offices such as insurance, accounting, drafting, architect, attorney or consultant. The petitioner is not asking for any changes in the physical site. The Planning Commission approved real estate use only primarily because of requests by the neighbors in the area. Petitioner Bert Swisher, 1640 "L" Road, was present to speak in favor of the revised plan.

Dave McKinley, 1308 Wellington Avenue, and Joe Able, 1212 Wellington Avenue, stated concerns regarding various uses allowed for the plan. There were no other opponents, letters or counterpetitions. The hearing was closed.

Upon motion of Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman Nelson and carried, the revised final plan for 2510 North 12th Street was approved with the condition that the allowed uses would include and be limited to real estate, insurance, accounting, drafting, architect, attorney or consultant, and further, that only one of those professions may occupy the location at any one time.

ORDINANCE NO. 2309 - PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF CITY OF GRAND

JUNCTION, CO, DDA TAX INCREMENT BONDS SERIES 1986, DATED 9-1-86, IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$555,000 FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, CO, TAX INCREMENT BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES DATED 12-1-83

Upon motion of Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman Nelson and carried, the following proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read by title only: AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR ISSUANCE OF CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, DOWNTOWN THE DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY TAX INCREMENT BONDS SERIES 1986, SEPTEMBER 1, 1986, IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$555,000 FOR THE PURPOSE OF PAYING THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, TAX INCREMENT BOND ANTICIPATION NOTES DATED DECEMBER 1, 1983; PROVIDING FOR THE MANNER OF EXECUTION, DELIVERY AND REGISTRATION OF THE BONDS; PROVIDING HOW THE PROCEEDS OF THE BONDS WILL BE USED AND HOW PAYMENT OF THE BONDS WILL BE MADE; APPROVING THE BOND PURCHASE AGREEMENT AND THE PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS.

There were no comments. Upon motion of Councilman Phipps, seconded by Councilman Nelson and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2309, and ordered published.

PROPOSED ORDINANCE - PETITION FOR ANNEXATION - HEATHERIDGE ESTATES #2, EAST OF SOUTH REDLANDS ROAD - RESOLUTION NO. 55-86 ANNEXING

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 55-86

WHEREAS, on the 17th day of September, 1986, a petition was submitted to the City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, for annexation to said City of the following property situate in Mesa County, Colorado, and described as follows:

Beginning at the NW Cor of the Public Site in Heatheridge Estates, thence E of the NE Cor of said Public Site, thence Serly along the Eerly line of said subdivision to the SEerly Cor of Lot 13, Blk 2 of said subdivision, thence Werly along the Serly li of said Lot 13 and Lot 14 and said li projected to the intersection with the centerline of Martello Dr, thence Nerly along said centerline of Martello Dr to the intersection with the centerline of the South Redlands Road, thence Nerly along centerline of South Redlands Road to the intersection with the Werly projection of the common li between Lots 6 and 7, Blk 1, Heatheridge Estates, thence Eerly along said projected li, the common lot li and the Eerly project of said Li to the intersection with the centerline of Rodell Dr, thence Nerly along said centerline of Rodell Dr to the center of the cul de sac, thence N to the SEerly Cor of Lot 2, Blk 1 of said Heatheridge Estates, thence N along the E li of said Lot 2, Blk 1 to the NE Cor of said Lot 2, thence Werly along the Nerly li of said Lot 1 and 2 to the NW Cor o said Lot 1, thence NEly along the Werly li of the Public Site of said Heatheridge Estates to the point of beginning;

and

WHEREAS, the Council has found and determined and does hereby find and determine that said petition is in substantial compliance with statutory requirements thereof; that one-sixth of the perimeter of the area proposed to be annexed is contiguous with the City; that a community of interest exists between the territory and the City; that the territory proposed to be annexed is urban or will be urbanized in the near future; that the said territory is integrated or is capable of being integrated with said City, and that no election is required under the Municipal Annexation Act of 1965 as the owners of one hundred percent of the property have petitioned for annexation;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

That the said territory is eligible for annexation to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, and should be so annexed by Ordinance.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 17th day of September, 1986.

/s/ Stephen C. Love

President of the Council

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

Upon motion of Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman Mannion and carried by roll call vote, with Councilman LUCERO voting NO, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

The following proposed ordinance was read by title only: AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TERRITORY TO THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO. Upon motion of Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman Phipps and carried with Councilman LUCERO voting NO, the proposed ordinance was passed for publication.

RESOLUTION NO. 56-86 GIVING NOTICE OF ELECTION

The following Resolution was read:

RESOLUTION NO. 56-86

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO:

That the election notice hereinafter set out be the Notice of the Special Municipal Recall Election to be held in the City on

Tuesday, September 30, 1986, and further that the same be published in accordance with election procedures:

ELECTION NOTICE

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

NOTICE OF SPECIAL RECALL ELECTION

TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1986

PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT A SPECIAL RECALL ELECTION WILL BE HELD ON TUESDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1986, IN THE POLLING PLACES HEREINAFTER DESIGNATED IN THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO.

That said Special Recall Election will be held at the several polling places in the several locations of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, as follows:

DISTRICT "A", PRECINCT 1

Two Rivers Plaza 159 Main Street

DISTRICT "A", PRECINCT 2

Columbus School 2660 Unaweep

DISTRICT "B"

Grand Junction High School 1400 North 5th Street

DISTRICT "C"

Orchard Avenue School 1800 Orchard Avenue

DISTRICT "D"

Mesa College Physical Education Center 12th and Orchard

DISTRICT "E"

Lincoln Park School 600 North 14th Street

Upon the date and at the places designated, the polls will open from the hour of 7:00 a.m. to and including and will be closed at the hour of 7:00 p.m. Voting devices will be provided in each

polling place for the election. The ballots to be used in voting will be prepared and furnished by the City Clerk to the Judges of Election, to be by them furnished to the voters. The election will be held and conducted as nearly as may be as prescribed by law.

Registration for the said election will take place in the manner now provided by Ordinance and law.

That at said election, there be submitted to the electorate a People's Proposed Ordinance, with the ballot title to read:

THE CITY SHALL NOT ORDER THE CONSTRUCTION OF ANY IMPROVEMENT WHERE ASSESSMENTS ARE TO BE LEVIED AGAINST PROPERTY OWNERS AS PROVIDED UNDER C.R.S. 3125-503 EXCEPT AFTER APPROVAL BY A MAJORITY OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS TO BE ASSESSED WITHIN THE IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT TO BE CREATED.

FOR THE ORDINANCE

AGAINST THE ORDINANCE

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL that at said Special Recall Election on September 30, 1986, there be submitted to the electorate the following recall questions on each officer for Council Districts B.C.D.E., City at Large, term to expire 1987, City at Large, term to expire 1989, and the list of candidates for each office:

DISTRICT B - TERM TO EXPIRE MAY, 1987

The grounds for demanding the recall of the incumbent are that:

a. Said officer has failed to represent the will of the electors of the City of Grand Junction in the creation of special road improvement districts ST-84 and ST-85 (7th Street, 15th Street and Patterson Road) causing the assessment of property owners in said districts and resulting in an excessive tax burden and general hardship on said taxpayers.

b. Said actions are arbitrary, an abuse of discretion and against the will of the electors, the people of the City of Grand Junction.

Justification of course in office by James Leland; Councilman - District B:

The Grand Junction City Council has addressed the problems of the citizens affected by the establishment of special improvement districts. New policy has been formulated which provides the citizens a variety of payment methods of assessments while remaining consistent with previous improvement districts and assessments.

Additional fiscal responsibility has been displayed in providing

efficient, top-quality services to city residents, while reducing city mill levy 33% since 1982.

The current City Council has also taken an active part in working with the Mesa County Economic Development Council to solicit and attract new businesses. The Council participated with other local agencies in Mesa County in securing designated enterprise zones. The Council is continuing with plans to improve the quality of life as evidenced by construction of the Lincoln Park Pool. Currently under construction is a plan to highlight the confluence of the Colorado and Gunnison Rivers, "Confluence Park."

Retention of the current City Council will insure that the progress initiated by the Council will continue and that the four-year commitment made by the electorate and the Councilman can be honored.

Shall James Leland be recalled from the office of Councilman, District B?

YES

NO

(VOTE FOR ONE)

JAMES LELAND

O.F. "RAGS" RAGSDALE

DISTRICT C - TERM TO EXPIRE MAY, 1987

The grounds for demanding the recall of the incumbent are that:

a. Said officer has failed to represent the will of the electors of the City of Grand Junction in the creation of special road improvement districts ST-84 and ST-85 (7th Street, 15th Street and Patterson Road) causing the assessment of property owners in said districts and resulting in an excessive tax burden and general hardship on said taxpayers.

b. Said actions are arbitrary, an abuse of discretion and against the will of the electors, the people of the City of Grand Junction.

Justification of course in office by Reford C. Theobold, Councilman - District C:

The Grand Junction City Council has addressed the problems of the citizens affected by the establishment of special improvement districts. New policy has been formulated which provides the citizens a variety of payment methods of assessments while remaining consistent with previous improvement districts and assessments.

Additional fiscal responsibility has been displayed in providing efficient, top-quality services to city residents, while reducing city mill levy 33% since 1982.

The current City Council has also taken an active part in working with the Mesa County Economic Development Council to solicit and attract new businesses. The Council participated with other local agencies in Mesa County in securing designated enterprise zones. The Council is continuing with plans to improve the quality of life as evidenced by construction of the Lincoln Park Pool. Currently under construction is a plan to highlight the confluence of the Colorado and Gunnison Rivers, "Confluence Park."

Retention of the current City Council will insure that the progress initiated by the Council will continue and that the four-year commitment made by the electorate and the Councilmen can be honored.

Shall Reford C. Theobold be recalled from the office of Councilman - District C?

YES

NO

(VOTE FOR ONE)

MARVIN M. "MAC" MCCARTY

JOHN H. SCHOENING

REFORD C. THEOBOLD

DISTRICT D - TERM TO EXPIRE MAY, 1989

The grounds for demanding the recall of the incumbent are that:

a. Said officer has failed to represent the will of the electors of the City of Grand Junction in the creation of special road improvement districts ST-84 and ST-85 (7th Street, 15th Street and Patterson Road) causing the assessment of property owners in said districts and resulting in an excessive tax burden and general hardship on said taxpayers.

b. Said actions are arbitrary, an abuse of discretion and against the will of the electors, the people of the City of Grand Junction.

Justification of course in office by Steven C. Love, Councilman - District D:

The Grand Junction City Council has addressed the problems of the citizens affected by the establishment of special improvement

districts. New policy has been formulated which provides the citizens a variety of payment methods of assessments while remaining consistent with previous improvement districts and assessments.

Additional fiscal responsibility has been displayed in providing efficient, top-quality services to city residents, while reducing city mill levy 33% since 1982.

The current City Council has also taken an active part in working with the Mesa County Economic Development Council to solicit and attract new businesses. The Council participated with other local agencies in Mesa County in securing designated enterprise zones. The Council is continuing with plans to improve the quality of life as evidenced by construction of the Lincoln Park Pool. Currently under construction is a plan to highlight the confluence of the Colorado and Gunnison Rivers, "Confluence Park."

Retention of the current City Council will insure that the progress initiated by the Council will continue and that the four-year commitment made by the electorate and the Councilmen can be honored.

Shall Stephen C. Love be recalled from the office of Councilman - District D?

YES

NO

(VOTE FOR ONE)

MARGARET TEMPERANCE FRENCH

STEPHEN C. LOVE

WILLIAM E. "BILL" MCCURRY

DISTRICT 3 - TERM TO EXPIRE MAY, 1989

The grounds for demanding the recall of the incumbent are that:

- a. Said officer has been in violation of Article XIII, Section 101 of the Grand Junction City Charter.
- b. Said officer has failed to represent the will of the electors of the City of Grand Junction in the creation of special road improvement districts ST-84 and ST-85 (7th Street, 15th Street and Patterson Road) causing the assessment of property owners in said districts and resulting in an excessive tax burden and general hardship on said taxpayers.
- c. Said actions are arbitrary, an abuse of discretion and against the will of the electors, the people of the City of Grand

Junction.

Justification of course in office by Gary Lucero, Councilman - District E:

The Grand Junction City Council has addressed the problems of the citizens affected by the establishment of special improvement districts. New policy has been formulated which provides the citizens a variety of payment methods of assessments while remaining consistent with previous improvement districts and assessments.

Additional fiscal responsibility has been displayed in providing efficient, top-quality services to city residents, while reducing city mill levy 33% since 1982.

The current City Council has also taken an active part in working with the Mesa County Economic Development Council to solicit and attract new businesses. The Council participated with other local agencies in Mesa County in securing designated enterprise zones. The Council is continuing with plans to improve the quality of life as evidenced by construction of the Lincoln Park Pool. Currently under construction is a plan to highlight the confluence of the Colorado and Gunnison Rivers, "Confluence Park."

Retention of the current City Council will insure that the progress initiated by the Council will continue and that the four-year commitment made by the electorate and the Councilmen can be honored.

Shall Gary Lucero be recalled from the office of Councilman - District E?

YES

NO

(VOTE FOR ONE)

LEROY KIRKHART

GARY LUCERO

CITY AT LARGE - TERM TO EXPIRE MAY, 1987

The grounds for demanding the recall of the incumbent are that:

- a. Said officer has been in violation of Article XIII, Section 101 of the Grand Junction City Charter.
- b. Said officer has failed to represent the will of the electors of the City of Grand Junction in the creation of special road improvement districts ST-84 and ST-85 (7th Street, 15th Street and Patterson Road) causing the assessment of property owners in said

districts and resulting in an excessive tax burden and general hardship on said taxpayers.

c. Said actions are arbitrary, an abuse of discretion and against the will of the electors, the people of the City of Grand Junction.

Justification of course in office by Raymond G. Phipps, Councilman - City at Large:

The Grand Junction City Council has addressed the problems of the citizens affected by the establishment of special improvement districts. New policy has been formulated which provides the citizens a variety of payment methods of assessments while remaining consistent with previous improvement districts and assessments.

Additional fiscal responsibility has been displayed in providing efficient, top-quality services to city residents, while reducing city mill levy 33% since 1982.

The current City Council has also taken an active part in working with the Mesa County Economic Development Council to solicit and attract new businesses. The Council participated with other local agencies in Mesa County in securing designated enterprise zones. The Council is continuing with plans to improve the quality of life as evidenced by construction of the Lincoln Park Pool. Currently under construction is a plan to highlight the confluence of the Colorado and Gunnison Rivers, "Confluence Park."

Retention of the current City Council will insure that the progress initiated by the Council will continue and that the four-year commitment made by the electorate and the Councilmen can be honored.

Shall Raymond G. Phipps be recalled from the office of Councilman - City at Large, term to expire May, 1987?

YES

NO

(VOTE FOR ONE)

JAMES "JIM" FRITZ

E. LORETTA HARRISON

RICHARD L. HEELY

RAYMOND G. PHIPPS

CITY AT LARGE - TERM TO EXPIRE MAY, 1989

The grounds for demanding the recall of the incumbent are that:

a. Said officer has failed to represent the will of the electors of the City of Grand Junction in the creation of special road improvement districts ST-84 and ST-85 (7th Street, 15th Street and Patterson Road) causing the assessment of property owners in said districts and resulting in an excessive tax burden and general hardship on said taxpayers.

b. Said actions are arbitrary, an abuse of discretion and against the will of the electors, the people of the City of Grand Junction.

Justification of course in office by Timothy V. Mannion, Councilman - City at Large:

What is a "fair" assessment. The residents of Patterson Road feel that fair is for the citizens of Grand Junction to pay their assessment through taxes. Many people have paid assessments, and those of us who already had a street are paying for the street in the price of the house. The residents on Patterson Road have never paid an assessment for the street before.

The assessments were based on the cost of one-third of a residential street. If these people had their house anywhere else in the city their assessments would have been \$46 per front foot. The Council held special meetings where these residents said that this road was different in that they would have more traffic, more noise, and no on-street parking. Taking these concerns into account the City Council reduced the \$46 assessments to \$25 per front foot. This was done months before this recall election was ever started, but the residents will still wanted "no" assessment. Just before the recall petitions were turned in the City Council made one last attempt to compromise by changing the assessments to charge only for the sidewalk and/or curb which improved the resident's property. But even this greatly reduced assessment was still an assessment so the residents pursued this recall. A lot of attention has been given to the amounts of the assessments; unfortunately, the residents have chosen to quote their legal limit of assessment not the actual assessment, and no mention is ever made of the money the city paid to them for right-of-way in an attempt to use misinformation to create sympathy for their cause.

Recalls are usually reserved for someone who has broken a law, not because we disagree with the way they voted.

For Grand Junction, vote NO on recall.

Shall Timothy V. Mannion be recalled from the office of Councilman - City at Large, term to expire May, 1989?

(VOTE FOR ONE)

JOHN BENNETT

GORDON W. "BUTCH" FRY, JR.

TIMOTHY V. MANNION

END OF BALLOT

City Clerk

PASSED and ADOPTED this 17th day of September, 1986.

/s/ Stephen C. Love

President of the Council

Attest:

/s/ Neva B. Lockhart, CMC

City Clerk

Upon motion of Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman Phipps and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO AGREEMENT BTN CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, CO, AND H.D.R. STRUCTURES, INC. FOR JUNIATA OUTLET WORKS RECONSTRUCTION DESIGN - NOT TO EXCEED \$20,200

City Manager Achen explained a proposal to modify the Contract with H.D.R. Associates for engineering services related to repair of the Juniata Outlet Works and installation of North Fork Pipeline. The City has an agreement for services related to this function. These services would be to develop specific plans, documents, prepare cost estimates, and administer the bidding for the Project. Total fee not to exceed \$20,200.

Upon motion of Councilman Leland, seconded by Councilman Theobold and carried, Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement between City of Grand Junction and H.D.R. Structures, Inc., for Juniata Outlet Works Reconstruction Design, not to exceed \$20,200, was approved.

RECONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 2305 - REZONE 1120 HOUSTON AVENUE FROM RSF-5 TO PARKING

It was moved by Councilman Phipps and seconded by Councilman Nelson that the final action taken by City Council September 3,

1986, on Ordinance No. 2305 (rezone of 1120 Houston Avenue from RSF-5 to Parking) be called up for reconsideration. The motion carried with Councilmembers LUCERO and MANNION voting NO.

The following Ordinance No. 2305 was read: AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE ZONING MAP, A PART OF CHAPTER 32 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, BY CHANGING THE ZONING OF CERTAIN LANDS WITHIN THE CITY. Upon motion of Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman Nelson and carried by roll call vote, with Councilmembers LUCERO and MANNION voting NO, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2305, and ordered published.

ENTERPRISE ZONES FOR COUNTY OF MESA

President Love announced that Mesa County was awarded an enterprise zone designation on Monday, September 15, 1986.

GROWTH & PLANNING COMMITTEE

President Love stated that the Growth & Planning Committee met on September 16, 1986.

ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman Theobold and carried, the meeting was adjourned.

Neva B. Lockhart

Neva B. Lockhart, CMC City Clerk