

GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL

August 7, 1991

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, convened in regular session the 7th day of August, 1991, at 7:30 p.m. in the City/County Auditorium at City Hall. Those present were Jim Baughman, John Bennett, Bill Bessinger, Bill McCurry, Paul Nelson, Reford Theobald, and President of the Council Conner Shepherd. Also present were City Manager Mark Achen, City Attorney Dan Wilson, and City Clerk Neva Lockhart.

Council President Shepherd called the meeting to order and Councilman Theobald led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

INVOCATION - Councilman Jim Baughman.

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES

Upon motion by Councilman Bessinger, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried, the minutes of the July 3, 1991, City Council Meeting, and the July 17, 1991, City Council Meeting were approved as submitted.

POLICE OFFICER ROD BAKER EXPRESSES APPRECIATION TO CITY COUNCIL FOR THE SUPPORT HE RECEIVED WHEN HE WAS CALLED TO ACTIVE DUTY DURING OPERATION DESERT STORM

On behalf of the United States Marine Corps, Police Officer Rod Baker presented a plaque of appreciation to City Council for its support during Operation Desert Storm, 1991. He also expressed his personal appreciation for Council's supplemental financial support to him during that time.

CONSIDERATION OF BIDS - AWARD OF CONTRACTS

New Sidewalk Construction, 1991 - Mays Concrete, Inc. - \$41,922.50

Street Reconstruction, Hall Avenue to 4th to 5th, Mesa Court to Orchard Avenue, 1991 - Parkerson Construction, Inc. - \$183,779.00

1991 Alley Improvement District, Phase A - Mays Concrete, Inc. - \$143,088.00

Upon motion by Councilman McCurry, seconded by Councilman Bessinger and carried, the bids on the above contracts were accepted, the contracts were awarded as noted, and the City Manager was authorized to sign said Contracts.

APPROVAL OF ADDITION TO BALL BARRIER FENCE ALONG GUNNISON AVENUE AT LINCOLN PARK GOLF COURSE

Upon motion by Councilman McCurry, seconded by Councilman

Bessinger and carried, the addition to the golf ball barrier fence along Gunnison Avenue at Lincoln Park Golf Course was approved, and the additional transfer of appropriation of \$7,220 from the Golf Fund to be included in the next City Supplemental Appropriation Ordinance was authorized.

HEARING - APPLICATION BY GRAND JUNCTION AIR SHOW, INC., FOR 3.2% FERMENTED MALT BEVERAGE SPECIAL EVENTS PERMITS ON SEPTEMBER 13, 14, 15, 1991, AT WALKER FIELD, FOR THE AIR SHOW, 1991

A hearing was held after proper notice on the application by Grand Junction Air Show, Inc., for 3.2% Fermented Beverage Special Events on the following dates:

1. September 13, 1991, from 5:00 p.m. to 12:00 midnight, on the ramp and in the hanger at West Star;
2. September 14, 1991, from 10:00 a.m. to 11:59 p.m., on the ramp at Walker Field, Colorado, Public Airport Authority during Air Show, 1991;
3. September 15, 1991, 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., on the ramp at Walker Field, Colorado, Public Airport Authority during Air Show, 1991.

Carl Hefner, President of Grand Junction Air Show, Inc., was present to speak for the application. There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions. Upon motion by Councilman McCurry, seconded by Councilman Nelson and carried, the application was approved.

HEARING #42-91 - EASTGATE VILLAGE TOWNHOMES FILING 2 - PRELIMINARY PLAN AND FINAL PLAN AND PLAT FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT ELM AVENUE AND 28-1/4 ROAD

A hearing was held after proper notice on the petition by Robert L. Dorssey for a preliminary plan and a final plan and plat for 11 residences on 0.9 acres located at Elm Avenue and 28-1/4 Road (Eastgate Village Townhomes Filing 2).

City Planner Karl Metzner reviewed the proposal. He stated that the Planning Commission recommended denial of this petition based on the open-space issue. Mr. Metzner stated that the revised plan would solve this problem. Mr. Harry Mavrakis was present representing Mr. Dorssey. He explained that the only change being made to these final plats is the extension of each of the property lines to the actual outside boundaries. Therefore, there is no question as to any readjustment of open space, etc. He further explained that Filing 3 will come back to Planning Commission and City Council in the future.

There were no opponents, letters or counterpetitions.

Councilman Bessinger moved that this item be sent back to Planning

Commission. The motion died for lack of a second.

Upon motion by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman Theobold and carried with Councilman BESSINGER voting NO, the preliminary plan and final plan and plat for Eastgate Village Townhomes Filing 2 was approved.

HEARING #5-91 - TEXT AMENDMENTS FOR 1991 - REQUEST TO REVISE SECTIONS 5-5-1 AND 7-2-9 OF THE ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT CODE REGARDING PARKING AND LOADING STANDARDS, DOWNTOWN PARKING STANDARDS, AND ZONING DESIGNATIONS FOR THE NORTHWEST AREA THAT IS BEING ANNEXED - TABLED

Upon motion by Councilman Bennett, seconded by Councilman Bessinger and carried, this item was tabled and referred to the appropriate Council committee. This item will be readvertised for hearing.

TAX LIMITATION PROPOSAL BY THE CITY COUNCIL - CONSIDER RESOLUTION AT NEXT CITY COUNCIL MEETING

The following entitled proposed ordinance was presented and read: AN ORDINANCE SUBMITTING TO A VOTE OF THE REGISTERED ELECTORS OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO, AT A SPECIAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 1991, PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION CONCERNING NO NEW TAX OR INCREASED SALES OR USE TAXES WITHOUT VOTER APPROVAL; UNLESS THE VOTERS APPROVED, THE CITY'S ANNUAL MILL LEVY SHALL BE SET SO THAT EACH YEAR'S REVENUES FROM PROPERTY TAXES IS THE SAME AS THE PRIOR YEAR'S, EXCEPT FOR INCREASED REVENUE FROM ANNEXATION, NEW CONSTRUCTION, AND INFLATION; EMERGENCY TAXES; OUTSTANDING DEBT LIMIT; PROVIDING FOR THE HOLDING OF SAID SPECIAL ELECTION FOR THE SUBMISSION OF SAID AMENDMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CHARTER OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION AND THE CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO; PRESCRIBING DETAILS IN CONNECTION WITH SAID ELECTION AND CHARTER AMENDMENTS; AND RATIFYING ALL ACTION TAKEN TOWARD AMENDING THE CHARTER AND HOLDING SAID ELECTION.

City Attorney Dan Wilson reviewed the revised ballot measure on Tax Limitation to include some language injected by Councilman Theobold.

One provision attempts to make it clear that if the Council adopts as an emergency, which then goes to a vote and the emergency tax is disapproved by the voters, that the measure cannot be readopted for a year unless the Council makes findings as a separate, distinct emergency. The idea being so that you cannot continue the tax ongoing just by redeclaring emergency each time after the ballot question.

Councilman Theobold stated that the first amendment said "no new or increased sales and use taxes without voter approval" was amended to say "no new tax or increased sales or use tax without voter approval" to make that tighter with the idea that there may

be other taxes out there that could be conceived, and the intent was not to make it that limiting, but much more broadly in intent, and it wasn't really spelled out. "Also at the end it reads 'A tax or a tax increase approved by the electorate for the purpose of paying debt shall expire at, and shall not be collected after the end of the calendar year during which the debt is retired or eliminated. This provision is not intended to prohibit or eliminate debt refinancing.' And the intent is to levy a tax to create bonded indebtedness that once the debt is paid, the tax is eliminated, but the other provision says, if, for instance, we can refinance debt and decrease the interest rate to the advantage of the City, do a debt refinancing. That, in itself, does not mean that the debt has been retired, etc. Those are the suggestions that I had made and reflected in the draft."

Councilman Bessinger stated that he is absolutely, adamantly opposed to this tax issue. If Council acquaints the electorate with what has taken place, they will see that, in fact, they have had responsible government. Over a ten-year period the property tax has grown 4.8%. He supported the 3/4% Sales Tax stating that for every \$1.00 a resident spends, someone puts \$4.00 in. He felt that the informed voters will make the right decision.

Councilman Nelson agreed with Councilman Bessinger's comments. But he felt that he would like to get the issue out to the voters. They may turn it down, but at least Council can say "We gave you one. It is an honest one, it is straightforward, it is simple," And it is also the way Council has been doing business since Mr. Nelson has been on the Council. Councilman Theobald concurred with Councilman Nelson.

Councilman Bessinger felt that informing the electorate is a better approach than taking it to a vote. Mayor Shepherd asked Councilman Bessinger if he sees this method as an effort to inform the electorate. Mr. Bessinger responded that he did not see this as an effort to inform the electorate.

Mayor Shepherd stated that Council, by virtue of the fact that it was elected, is accountable to its electorate for the authenticity, the accuracy of how it operates as City government. If Council chooses to put this before the voters, it remains accountable. If Council relies solely on the Tabor group to present a similar ballot issue, that group is not held to the same standard of accountability that the City Council is. That is what Mayor Shepherd feels is the critical issue in determining whether Council should have a ballot issue.

Councilman Bessinger felt Council should do everything possible to inform the electorate of what has taken place in the past, what their government has done with taxes, with sales tax monies and other funds, and let them see that it has been responsible.

Councilman Nelson asked that Council has informed the public in the past, and the electorate are still out there saying "Reduce

our taxes." He feels that the message has not gotten through.

Councilman Bessinger stated that the message is getting through. He has not seen one citizen leave the community meetings that was not in agreement that the City's money has been handled responsibly.

Councilman Theobold stated that Council needs to represent, first and foremost, by Charter and by its Oath of Office, the residents and voters of the City, and also keeping in mind that there is a broader community outside the City whose lives are affected by Council's decisions. Council has to represent its community and do what is best for it.

Councilman Baughman preferred to handle this action by Resolution rather than a Charter Amendment. He questioned whether Council should put a tax limitation on itself. He felt that Council needs to do this by its voting record and by its actions, and not by its words. He felt that if Council would do this by its actions and show fiscal restraint in spending the public's money, there would be no outcry from the public for tax limitation upon Council.

It was moved by Councilman Nelson that the tax limitation proposal be approved as amended, and that the proposed ordinance be passed for publication. Councilman Theobold seconded the motion. Roll was called on the motion with the following result:

AYE: NELSON, THEOBOLD, SHEPHERD.

NO: BESSINGER, BAUGHMAN, BENNETT, MC CURRY.

The motion was defeated.

This item was tabled until the next meeting of Council at which time a Resolution will be considered.

#### ORDINANCES ON FINAL PASSAGE - PROOFS OF PUBLICATION

Proofs of Publication on the following Ordinances proposed for final passage have been received and filed. Copies of the Ordinances proposed for final passage were submitted to the City Council prior to the meeting.

ORDINANCE NO. 2527 - FOUNTAINHEAD ANNEXATION - LOCATED NORTH OF G ROAD BETWEEN 25-1/4 AND 24 ROADS - 136.22 ACRES

Upon motion by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried, the following entitled proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read by title only: AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TERRITORY TOT HE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO (FOUNTAINHEAD ANNEXATION), NORTH OF G ROAD BETWEEN 25-1/4 ROAD AND 24 ROAD.

Councilman Baughman questioned how one individual can have 400 voters and another have only 1 vote when it comes to petitioning

for annexation. City Attorney Dan Wilson explained that the State Statute says that more than 50% of the individuals owning more than 50% of the lands constitute a petition for annexation. Both criteria have to be met in order for the leveraged lands to be included. Mr. Wilson has advised the Planning Department and Council that when there is a platted lot, each lot can be counted as one.

Mr. Wilson stated that he was not trying to convince Council that it is right, but he explained that the State Legislatures and the Colorado Courts says in the Annexation Statute that a goal is that City should annex, and should take into the City Limits rural ground that could be urbanized or will be urbanized in the future. The Courts, in fact, when they construed the Statutes, and when a question comes up, a judge will say, "By law I am required to assume the annexation is good." The Statute says, "To avoid the proliferation of the governments and to reduce taxes, and to bring people into the City limits who use City services . . ." And those biases are built right into the Annexation Statute. That is why the Statute is written the way it is, because the Legislature has made the assumption that if you did not allow leverage to annexations, cities could not grow fast enough to cover for the urbanizing areas, for the development that is occurring just outside the fringe of the cities. The problem was identified in Colorado in the 1950s as an area around the front range cities. The Legislature said, "We need to promote and encourage cities to annex rural areas that will become more dense."

Councilman Baughman feels it goes against his whole sense of fair play. If you are a bigger country you just don't go run over a little guy just because you're able to. If you're a big guy in school, you don't go knock the little guy down, and this is the same thing with the City.

Mr. Wilson responded that the assumption the Legislature makes is that moving the City boundary is not taking any rights. In fact, it is giving rights because the complaint that we might have on sales taxes is, "I have to pay sales tax, but I can't vote for the Council." When annexation occurs, people have more rights. They still get to vote for the County Commissioners, and they can influence City government. So the assumption that some may make is that annexation by cities are bad because they are losing something. But it is not true. In fact, people are gaining, at least according to the Legislature and the Statute because they have two bodies to now go request change from, the Commissioners and the City Council. And the motion is that cities provide better services.

There were no other comments. Upon motion by Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman Bessinger and carried by roll call vote with Councilmembers BAUGHMAN and BENNETT voting NO, the Ordinance was passed and adopted as amended, numbered 2527, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2528 - FOSTER ANNEXATION NO. 2 - LOCATED WEST OF CASCADE DRIVE AND SOUTH OF HOMESTEAD ROAD - 1.32 ACRES

Upon motion by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried, the following entitled proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read by title only: AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TERRITORY TO THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO (FOSTER ANNEXATION NO. 2), WEST OF CASCADE DRIVE AND SOUTH OF HOMESTEAD ROAD.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman McCurry, seconded by Councilman Bessinger and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2528, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2529 - ZONING 1.32 ACRES OF FOSTER ANNEXATION TO PR 32-91 WITH A DENSITY OF 1.75 UNITS PER ACRE

Upon motion by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried, the following entitled proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read by title only: ZONING CERTAIN LANDS ANNEXED TO THE CITY LOCATED WEST OF CASCADE DRIVE AND SOUTH OF HOMESTEAD COURT.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman Baughman and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2529, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2530 ON FINAL PASSAGE - FIRST AND PATTERSON ANNEXATION - LOCATED ON THE SOUTHWEST AND NORTHWEST CORNER OF FIRST STREET AND PATTERSON ROAD- 40.99 ACRES - CONTINUED TO AUGUST 17, 1991, CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Upon motion by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried, the following entitled proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read by title only: AN ORDINANCE ANNEXING TERRITORY TO THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO (FIRST AND PATTERSON ANNEXATION), SOUTHWEST AND NORTHWEST CORNERS OF FIRST STREET AND PATTERSON ROAD.

Councilman Baughman stated that when Mr. Gormley petitioned for this annexation that he received some exemptions from Council of the animals that he is allowed to leave intact on his property. Mr. Baughman stated he was expecting the same consideration for his own family. To date, he has not received a letter from the Development Department stating such. He requested that Dr. Mary Moore receive the subject letter.

Councilman Bessinger stated that the above considerations (grandfathering) are all on record in the City Council minutes.

The President declared a ten-minute recess to give the Community Development Department an opportunity to check the files for said

letters. Upon reconvening, all members of Council were present.

Councilman Baughman stated that the Community Development Director has not come up with a letter specifying earlier considerations.

City Manager mark Achen understood the Council's intent that the benefits that the Gormley family were obtaining in terms of usages, particularly agriculture uses, were to be made available to the other properties in this annexation. It is the City's intent to do so, and to confirm that in writing so the property owners have documentation on it.

At the recommendation of the City Manager, this item was tabled to the August 21, 1991, City Council Meeting.

ORDINANCE NO. 2531 - AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 2506 CHANGING DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF FIRE PROTECTION UPGRADE PLANS TO ALLOW FULL SIX MONTHS TO PREPARE

Upon motion by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried, the following entitled proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read by title only: AMENDING SECTIONS #1 AND #2 OF ORDINANCE NO. 25-6 TO ALLOW WATER PROVIDERS SIX MONTHS TO PRESENT A PLAN TO UPGRADE FIRE PROTECTION FACILITIES AND FIVE YEARS TO COMPLETE THE UPGRADE.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman McCurry, seconded by Councilman Bennett and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2531, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2532 - AMENDING SECTIONS 2-2-2, 4-1-1, 4-1-3, 4-4-2, 4-4-4, 4-7-2, 5-1-2, 5-4-6, 5-6-13, 5-7-7, 6-7-2, 7-5-1, AND 9-3-2 OF THE ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT CODE OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

Upon motion by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried, the following entitled proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read by title only: AMENDING SECTIONS 2-2-2, 4-1-1, 4-1-3, 4-4-2, 4-4-4, 4-7-2; 5-1-2, 5-4-6, 5-6-13, 5-7-7, 6-7-2, 7-5-1 AND 9-3-2 OF THE ZONING AND DEVELOPMENT CODE OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION. Section 5-5-1 and Paragraphs 13 and 14 were deleted from this ordinance.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed and adopted as amended, numbered 2532, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2533 - ZONING 6.73 ACRES KNOWN AS DIAMOND SHAMROCK ANNEXATION NO. 1 TO LIGHT COMMERCIAL (C-1), LOCATED AT STATE HIGHWAY 340 AND MONUMENT ROAD

Upon motion by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried, the following entitled proposed ordinance was called



up for final passage and read by title only: ZONING CERTAIN LANDS ANNEXED TO THE CITY LOCATED AT THE INTERSECTION OF BROADWAY AND MONUMENT ROAD.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman McCurry, seconded by Councilman Baughman and carried by roll call vote, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2533, and ordered published.

ORDINANCE NO. 2534 - ZONING 11.52 ACRES KNOWN AS KNOCH ANNEXATION TO RSF-8, P-2, AND I-1, LOCATED SOUTH OF HALE AND NORTH AND EAST OF THE COLORADO RIVER

Upon motion by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried, the following entitled proposed ordinance was called up for final passage and read by title only: ZONING CERTAIN LANDS ANNEXED TO THE CITY LOCATED SOUTH OF HALE AVENUE AND NORTHEASTERLY OF THE COLORADO RIVER.

There were no comments. Upon motion by Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman Baughman and carried, the Ordinance was passed, adopted, numbered 2534, and ordered published.

RESOLUTION NO. 52-91 - COLORADO 2000 RESOLUTION, A STATE EFFORT TO IMPROVE COLORADO'S SCHOOLS

The following Resolution was presented and read: (Full copy in P.R.). Upon motion by Councilman McCurry, seconded by Councilman Nelson and carried by roll call vote, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

RESOLUTION NO. 53-91 ACCEPTING COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT FUNDS FROM THE STATE OF COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL AFFAIRS, HOUSING DIVISION

The following Resolution was presented and read: (Full copy in P.R.) City Attorney Wilson explained that this Resolution would authorize the City to accept and sign the Contract for Grand Funds for the purchase of the Bass Apartments. It would be a pass-through on behalf of the Grand Junction Housing Authority.

Upon motion by Councilman Theobold, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried by roll call vote with Councilman BAUGHMAN voting NO, the Resolution was passed and adopted as read.

AUTHORIZATION TO NOTIFY THE APPLICANT, WEST STATES WATER & POWER, THAT THE CITY IS NO LONGER INTERESTED IN BEING A CO-APPLICANT TO THE FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION FOR DOMINGUEZ RESERVOIR

City Attorney Wilson stated that he recently reviewed a copy of the proposed application to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by a group that would like to build the Dominguez Dam Project. in the summer of 1989 the City acted as a conduit for some water rights from government agencies to the Dominguez

Reservoir Corporation, a local non-profit group, who then had an arrangement to assign the water rights to the developer. The developer is now prepared to make application. At that point, in return for the City being willing to be a conduit, we reserved the right to be a co-applicant in that project. The idea was that the City might be able to lend some preference in the federal committee process. It turns out that that preference is not likely to occur. Staff has recommended that because the City does not feel it has the expertise or the time to really stay on top of the project, and because the City's name would be used in the application, that we not be a co-applicant. Mr. Wilson contained that he felt that the City Council likes the idea of the project. He advised that the City would like to be kept in touch, and would like to be involved in any opportunities for recreational or power uses in the future as the project gets closer to fruition.

Mr. Jim Pike, President of Western States Water & Power, was present representing the people who are leasing the water rights from the local not-for-profit corporation and the Dominguez Reservoir Corporation. Mr. Pike requested that the City remain a co-applicant for the Dominguez Reservoir project off the Gunnison River approximately 1-1/2 miles above Whitewater. He stated that his company has budgeted a considerable amount of money for paying additional staff that would be required by a large project coming to the area. He stated that his company is prepared to fund that to a great extent. Mr. Pike was not asking Council for an endorsement of the project, but liked the open mind and open door to come to Council and talk about it. He stated that he may be coming back at a later date to again ask that the City co-apply for this project because there is a possibility that his group will be able to obtain municipal preference.

Upon motion by Councilman Nelson, seconded by Councilman Theobald and carried with Councilman BAUGHMAN voting NO, the City Attorney was authorized to draw up a letter notifying the applicant, West States Water & Power, that the City is no longer interested in being a co-applicant to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for Dominguez Reservoir, and stating why.

APPROVAL OF TRANSFER FROM CONTINGENCY OF \$18,500 TO FUND 1991 COSTS OF AN ADDITIONAL ADMINISTRATIVE SECRETARY

Upon motion by Councilman Bennett, seconded by Councilman McCurry and carried, the transfer from Contingency of \$18,500 to fund 1991 costs of an additional Administrative Secretary was approved.

ADJOURNMENT TO EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion by Councilman McCurry, seconded by Councilman Bessinger and carried, the meeting was adjourned to Executive Session for discussion on developing a negotiating strategy relative to Annexation of the Ridges Area.

Neva B. Lockhart, CMC



WHEREAS, the City of Grand Junction, on behalf of the Grand Junction Housing Authority, has submitted an application to the State of Colorado, Department of Local Affairs for Community Development Block Grant Funding for the Purchase of the Bass Apartments; and

WHEREAS, the State of Colorado has approved \$300,000 in Community Development Block Grant ("CDBG") funds for the Acquisition and Relocation Program; and

WHEREAS, the City of Grand Junction, as the governmental sponsor, will act as a pass through agent for these funds from the State of Colorado to the Housing Authority of the City of Grand Junction.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, that the City of Grand Junction hereby accepts the grant funds for the purchase of the Bass Apartments on behalf of the Housing Authority and hereby authorizes the Mayor to accept on behalf of the City of Grand Junction.

PASSED and ADOPTED this 7th day of August, 1991.

\_\_\_\_\_  
President of the Council

Attest:

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Clerk