

**GRAND JUNCTION CITY COUNCIL  
MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING**

**May 16, 2007**

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction convened into regular session on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2007, at 7:10 p.m. in the City Auditorium. Those present were Councilmembers Bonnie Beckstein, Bruce Hill, Gregg Palmer, Doug Thomason and President of the Council Jim Doody. Absent were Councilmembers Teresa Coons and Linda Romer Todd. Also present were Acting City Manager Laurie Kadrach, City Attorney John Shaver, and City Clerk Stephanie Tuin.

Council President Doody called the meeting to order. He introduced his mother-in-law, Jean Nicholson. Councilmember Thomason led in the pledge of allegiance. The audience remained standing for the invocation by Chaplain David Frost, Good News Jail & Prison Chaplain.

**Proclamations / Recognitions**

Proclaiming May 11 -18, 2007 as “A Time of Remembrance and Reflection on the Early Beginnings of our Country and the 400<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of Jamestown” in the City of Grand Junction

**Citizen Comments**

There were none.

**Election of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem/Administer Oaths of Office and Council Assignments for 2007-2008**

**a. Election of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem**

Jim Doody was selected by acclamation to serve another one year as President of the Council/Ex-Officio Mayor for the City of Grand Junction.

Bonnie Beckstein was selected by acclamation to serve another one year as President of the Council Pro Tem/Ex-Officio Mayor Pro Tem for the City of Grand Junction.

**Administer Oaths of Office**

City Clerk Stephanie Tuin administered the oath of office to both Mayor Doody and Mayor Pro Tem Beckstein.

Councilmember Palmer left the meeting.

**b. Council Assignments – Rescheduled until July 18, 2007****CONSENT CALENDAR**

Councilmember Beckstein read the items on the Consent Calendar and then moved to approve the Consent Calendar. It was seconded by Councilmember Thomason and carried by roll call vote to approve the Consent Items #1 through #7.

**1. Minutes of Previous Meetings**

*Action: Approve the Summary of the April 30, 2007 Workshop and the Minutes of the April 18, 2007 and May 2, 2007 Executive Sessions and the May 2, 2007 Regular Meeting*

**2. Setting a Hearing on the Newton Annexation, Located at 2320 H Road [File #ANX-2007-101]**

Request to annex 11.44 acres, located at 2320 H Road. The Newton Annexation consists of one parcel and is a three part serial annexation.

**a. Referral of Petition, Setting a Hearing and Exercising Land Use Jurisdiction**

Resolution No. 72-07 – A Resolution Referring a Petition to the City Council for the Annexation of Lands to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Setting a Hearing on Such Annexation, and Exercising Land Use Control, Newton Annexation, Located at 2320 Road

*Action: Adopt Resolution No. 72-07*

**b. Setting a Hearing on Proposed Ordinances**

Proposed Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Newton Annexation No. 1, Approximately 0.46 Acres, Located at 2320 H Road

Proposed Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Newton Annexation No. 2, Approximately 0.67 Acres, Located at 2320 H Road

Proposed Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Newton Annexation No. 3, Approximately 10.31 Acres, Located at 2320 H Road

*Action: Introduction of Proposed Ordinance and Set a Hearing for June 20, 2007*

3. **Setting a Hearing on the Sky View Annexation, Located at 2881 D Road** [File #ANX-2007-085]

Request to annex 13.89 acres, located at 2881 D Road. The Sky View Annexation consists of two parcels and is located to the east of the Skyler Subdivision and west of 29 Road.

**a. Referral of Petition, Setting a Hearing and Exercising Land Use Jurisdiction**

Resolution No. 73-07 – A Resolution Referring a Petition to the City Council for the Annexation of Lands to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Setting a Hearing on Such Annexation, and Exercising Land Use Control, Sky View Annexation, Located at 2881 D Road and Also Includes a Portion of the D Road and Florida Street Rights-of-Way

*Action: Adopt Resolution No. 73-07*

**b. Setting a Hearing on Proposed Ordinance**

Proposed Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Sky View Annexation, Approximately 13.89 Acres, Located at 2881 D Road and Also Includes a Portion of the D Road and Florida Street Rights-of-Way

*Action: Introduction of Proposed Ordinance and Set a Hearing for June 20, 2007*

4. **Setting a Hearing on the Street Property Annexation, Located at 623 29 ½ Road** [File #ANX-2007-107]

Request to annex 1.49 acres, located at 623 29 ½ Road. The Street Property Annexation consists of one parcel and is located directly east of the Forrest Run Subdivision.

**a. Referral of Petition, Setting a Hearing and Exercising Land Use Jurisdiction**

Resolution No. 74-07 – A Resolution Referring a Petition to the City Council for the Annexation of Lands to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Setting a Hearing on Such Annexation, and Exercising Land Use Control, Street Property Annexation, Located at 623 29 ½ Road and a Portion of the 29 ½ Road Right-of-Way

*Action: Adopt Resolution No. 74-07*

**b. Setting a Hearing on Proposed Ordinance**

Proposed Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Street Property Annexation, Approximately 1.49 Acres, Located at 623 29 ½ Road and a Portion of the 29 ½ Road Right-of-Way

*Action: Introduction of Proposed Ordinance and Set a Hearing for June 20, 2007*

**5. Setting a Hearing on the Senatore Annexation, Located at 2302 E Road [File #ANX-2007-074]**

Request to annex 3.07 acres, located at 2302 E Road. The Senatore Annexation consists of one parcel and is a two part serial annexation containing portions of 23 Road and E Road Right-of-Way.

**a. Referral of Petition, Setting a Hearing and Exercising Land Use Jurisdiction**

Resolution No. 75-07 – A Resolution Referring a Petition to the City Council for the Annexation of Lands to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Setting a Hearing on Such Annexation, and Exercising Land Use Control, Senatore Annexation, Located at 2302 E Road

*Action: Adopt Resolution No. 75-07*

**b. Setting a Hearing on Proposed Ordinances**

Proposed Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Senatore Annexation No. 1, Approximately 0.72 Acres, Located at 2302 E Road

Proposed Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Senatore Annexation No. 2, Approximately 2.35 Acres, Located at 2302 E Road

*Action: Introduction of Proposed Ordinances and Set a Hearing for June 20, 2007*

**6. Setting a Hearing to Amend the Parking Code**

Proposed amendment to the parking code allowing peace officers working traffic enforcement to park in areas of the City where parking is not normally allowed.

Proposed Ordinance Amending Part of Chapter 36 of the City of Grand Junction Code of Ordinances Relating to Parking

*Action: Introduction of Proposed Ordinance and Set a Hearing for June 6, 2007*

7. **Setting a Hearing to Repeal Ordinance No. 2575, Concerning Emergency Medical Services**

Repeal Ordinance No. 2575, which is codified as Article IV, Sections 18-86 – 18-101 of the Grand Junction Code of Ordinances, Emergency Medical Services.

Proposed Ordinance Repealing Ordinance No. 2575 Codified as Chapter 18, Section 86 – 101 of the City of Grand Junction Code of Ordinances, Concerning Emergency Medical Services

*Action: Introduction of Proposed Ordinance and Set a Hearing for June 6, 2007*

### ITEMS NEEDING INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION

**Setting a Hearing on the Newton Annexation, Located at 2320 H Road** [File #ANX-2007-101]

Although this item was approved on the Consent Calendar, the City Council allowed the Petitioner to address Council.

Request to annex 11.44 acres, located at 2320 H Road. The Newton Annexation consists of one parcel and is a three part serial annexation.

Debbie Newton, the petitioner, addressed the City Council. She reviewed the history of the application. She felt there was a huge misunderstanding about the process and the requirement for sewer. She asked for a variance to Section 6.2 of the Zoning and Development Code and if no variance could be granted, she asked to withdraw her request to annex. She noted that it will cost hundreds of thousands of dollars to extend the sewer. They have no intention to develop the property at this time.

Councilmember Hill explained that the request before them was just for annexation, it does not require the installation of sewer. If withdrawn, the applicant would have to start all over. Ms. Newton said her taxes would increase with annexation, so they do not want to be annexed until they can proceed with the lot split.

Tim Moore, Public Works and Planning Director, was asked how much staff time has already been invested in this review. Mr. Moore said he would estimate the cost at \$2,000.

Council President Doody asked if withdrawing the annexation would require the process be redone. Mr. Moore said yes, potentially.

Councilmember Beckstein asked how that area would be annexed if not through a petition. City Attorney Shaver said potentially through an enclave but that would be years into the future. Otherwise the City does not annex property unless development is requested.

Terry Newton, the co-applicant, stated they were told that once the sewer is available then annexation will happen. There is no reason to go further if they cannot split the property.

City Attorney Shaver clarified that the split requires annexation and that the sewer be extended. The criteria for annexation have been established.

Mrs. Newton noted that they have had substantial cost too.

Councilmember Hill pointed out that those costs would not be for naught if they go forward with the annexation. They can wait on the development until the sewer is closer to their property.

Councilmember Hill advised that the request to withdraw was only just received tonight and he has not had a chance to review it but the public hearing has been set and he will review the request between now and then.

Mrs. Newton described her experience with the Planning staff and again expressed her desire to withdraw their request.

Council President Doody asked Acting City Manager Kadrich to work with the petitioners over the next week to try to get some relief to the Newtons.

Mrs. Newton said she appreciated the offer for help from the Acting City Manager but she doesn't see any reason to be annexed.

The City Council took no additional action at this time.

### **Public Hearing – 2007 CDBG Program Year Funding for the 2007 Action Plan**

Consideration of funding requests for the CDBG 2007 Program Year allocations and set a public hearing for June 20, 2007 to adopt the CDBG 2007 Action Plan.

The public hearing was opened at 7:50 p.m.

Kathy Portner, Neighborhood Services Manager, reviewed this item. She presented the 2007 CDBG Action Plan and explained the process. She also identified the criteria for projects to be funded under CDBG criteria as well as the City's established priorities for funding. Ms. Portner listed the CDBG committee recommendations for funding; noting the allocations as presented will leverage over \$2 million. She stated many of the applicants are present and would like the opportunity to address the City Council.

Council President Doody asked if anyone wanted to speak.

Penny Frankhauser, Center for Enriched Communication Counseling and Education Center at 2708 Patterson Road, thanked the City Council for the money for mental health programs.

Judy Lopez, Western Slope Headstart, 835 N 26<sup>th</sup> Street, thanked the Council for the award of funds. It will allow the addition of another classroom at the Riverside School site.

Marianne Cooper, representing the Tree House, thanked the Council. The Tree House is experiencing an 80% success rate.

Jacque Pipe, St. Mary's Senior Companion Program, thanked the Council for not forgetting the elderly. The program tries to keep the elderly in their homes. She also thanked Council for the funding for the Grey Gourmet and the Foster Grandparent Program.

Linda Taylor, Center for Independence, a program that was not funded, explained their request. The building purchased for use has some electrical issues. John Coombs, a board member, was also present. They said they will request funding again next year if the need still exists.

The public hearing was closed at 8:03 p.m.

Councilmember Hill advised he serves on a committee through the National League of Cities that fights to keep this funding program alive. There is continually a threat to cut funding from the program and it has been cut in half since the City became an entitlement City. Senator Salazar signed a letter opposing the budget cuts to this program. He encouraged all organizations benefiting from this program to continue to tell their stories about how this funding helps them leverage additional funds. The funding can leverage up to ten times the dollars received.

Councilmember Beckstein said it is important for the lawmakers in Washington D.C. to know how this funding helps families in need and how many funds it leverages for those families.

Councilmember Thomason noted that every project is worthwhile and deciding who to fund is difficult. The leverage factor is so important.

Council President Doody knows the hard work these organizations do. His viewpoint on the committee was to spread out the funding this year. He encouraged organizations to keep applying.

Councilmember Thomason moved to set a Public Hearing for Adoption of the CDBG 2007 Action Plan, year 2 of the 2006 Five-year Consolidated Plan, for June 20, 2007. Councilmember Beckstein seconded the motion. Motion carried.

**Public Hearing – West Ouray Rezone, Located at 302 W. Ouray Avenue** [File #RZ-2007-034]

Request to rezone two properties with a combined acreage of 1.18 acres, located at 302 W. Ouray Avenue, from R-8 (Residential, 8 units per acre) to C-1 (Light Commercial).

The public hearing was opened at 8:11 p.m.

Faye Hall, Associate Planner, reviewed this item. She described the site, the location and the request. The Future Land Use Designation for the property is Commercial. The rezone request complies with the Future Land Use Designation as well as the rezone criteria.

Councilmember Thomason advised that Councilmember Palmer said he heard from a number of residents about access from Mulberry. Ms. Hall advised that will be addressed at site plan review.

There were no public comments.

The public hearing was closed at 8:14 p.m.

Council President Doody noted the improvements to this area and neighborhood.

Councilmember Hill said he recently drove through the area and the transformation was dramatic. He commented about the Growth Plan Amendment and the subsequent request of a rezone.

Councilmember Hill asked about some of the roadways in the area. Ms. Hall pointed out that some roadways and some alleys have been vacated recently.



Councilmember Thomason moved to adopt Ordinance No. 4080 and ordered it published. Councilmember Hill seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

**Public Hearing – Miller Annexation, Located at 450 Wildwood Drive**

[File #GPA-2006-239]

Request to annex 35.7 acres, located at 450 Wildwood Drive. The Miller Annexation consists of one parcel and is a five part serial annexation.

The public hearing was opened at 8:19 p.m.

Kristen Ashbeck, Senior Planner, reviewed this item. She described the location and the site, which is vacant with the exception of a couple of agricultural buildings. This is the largest serial annexation the City has done. Only the annexation is being considered at this time. The request meets the annexation criteria in State Statutes and the Planning Commission and Staff recommend approval.

Wylie Miller, the petitioner, 728 Jordanna Road, said he will respond to any issues later.

Tom Volkmann, 225 N. 5<sup>th</sup> Street, an attorney representing the neighbors, addressed the City Council. He referred to the annexation plats and pointed out the serial annexation is needed to leap frog from the existing City limits to the applicant's site which includes a portion of the South Broadway right-of-way. It also is supposed to include a portion of the Wildwood Road right-of way which is in question. He noted that the property actually belongs to Dale and Alice Smith, one of his clients. Although the Assistant County Attorney asserted the road is considered a County road, he contends there is no proof of that to be so. He said no one has contacted the Smiths to try to resolve this. Therefore, the petition should be rejected because without that right-of-way, there is not the required contiguity. Although the zoning is not being considered, he wanted on record that his clients do feel the current Growth Plan Designation should be enforced.

Councilmember Hill pointed out the Smiths have allowed other neighbors to use this road, so questioned why it isn't a road.

Councilmember Beckstein asked what the concern is if the road is already being used.

Mr. Volkmann said there is no proof that the road has been used continuously and adversely for twenty years. Without that roadway, there is not 1/6<sup>th</sup> contiguity.

City Attorney Shaver advised he has discussed this with Mr. Volkmann.

Councilmember Beckstein asked why the designation is such a concern when it is already being used. Mr. Volkmann said it needs to be established in court. Councilmember

Beckstein asked how long the road has been used. Mr. Volkmann said he would defer to the owners for that information.

Councilmember Hill asked the City Attorney if there is another way to annex so the road isn't an issue. Mr. Shaver said there is, but there is a reason for drawing the annexation in the current configuration. If drawn another way, the property may be landlocked.

Councilmember Hill asked if the Smiths could close the road. Mr. Shaver said yes but his contention is the road has been a County road for a number of years, and that is sufficient. The City cannot take any action unless the City has jurisdiction. The applicant has attempted to get Mesa County to take action. He referred to the Persigo Agreement as to the City's jurisdiction once the County road is annexed.

Tery Dixon, 423 Wildwood Drive, to the south of the annexation, said she is amazed at the creativity of serial annexation. She feels the spirit of the law is to include other parcels, not just rights-of-way. She said the County did purchase land for access from a property owner, but the County never followed through with remaining access.

Councilmember Hill asked Ms. Dixon how long she has lived there. She said she built her home in 1975. Councilmember Hill asked if that has always been the access. She said no, there was an older portion off South Broadway that came west into the neighborhood. The County vacated that portion of South Broadway to straighten out the road and that is when the neighbors started using the Smith's access.

Paul Cooper, 2095 Wildwood Court, a nearby homeowner, said he thinks the City is trying to surround the area to annex it all. He questioned how these small portions of right-of-way give the right to annex. He said he does not feel it is in the spirit of the law.

City Attorney Shaver corrected that surrounding the other properties is not the intent; it is to establish the 1/6<sup>th</sup> contiguity.

Mr. Cooper said the word creative is being used as a euphemism for "sneaky". Mr. Shaver said the technique is perfectly lawful. Mr. Cooper still felt it is underhanded.

Councilmember Beckstein asked if one of the purposes for the Persigo Agreement is to control the quality of development. Mr. Shaver agreed, pointing out that this property is within the 201 Persigo boundary.

Alice Smith, 467 Wildwood Drive, has been there 31 ½ years. They help maintain that road with road base, oil, and blading of the road. She asked how much of the road does the County say they own. She noted there is a bridge there, and it was recently fixed by the County.

Cheng-Er Mehmedbaisich, 456 Wildwood Drive, thought it was unethical to take away someone's property.

City Attorney Shaver said they are not taking away property.

Enver Mehmedbaisich, 456 Wildwood Drive, said there are only 17 homes there. He contended that all the homework has not been done for this annexation.

Wylie Miller, the applicant, pointed out the Smith property, and the location of the old right-of-way. The Smith home is in the middle of that right-of-way. The current access has been used for over twenty years. The Smiths brought the sewer to their property and oversized the pump station to serve the area. The rules require annexation and he has the right.

Patty Milius, 445 Wildwood, has been there 30 years, said the road was not maintained by the County, but the neighborhood maintained the road. When they moved the mailboxes up the road, they were told they had to bring the road up to County standards which the neighborhood did and it is still a dirt road. From the floods in the 1980's, the bridge was almost washed out, and the road is a drainage for water from the Monument. When the road was washed out, the neighbors redid the road. The County addressed the weakening of the bridge.

Councilmember Beckstein asked who maintains the road. Mr. Shaver said the County does.

Alice Smith returned to the podium with a drawing of her property. She asked for clarification, which was provided by Senior Planner Kristen Ashbeck.

Wayne Westcott, 2107 Wildwood Court, is new to the neighborhood. He felt the City owed the Smiths the decency to look into it further.

Mike Anton, 211 Desert Hills Road, asked for clarification. He does not know of any property being annexed on the south side of South Broadway. He does not think the bridge intersects the property. More clarification was provided by Senior Planner Kristen Ashbeck.

Annie Morrison, 452 Wildwood Drive, stated there has been a lot of questions raised and not a lot of answers and believes they deserve answers.

Tom Caper, 2411 Sandridge Court, asked if there is a question, does it make sense for the City to take a lawsuit forward and spend taxpayer dollars.

There were no additional public comments.

The public hearing was closed at 9:30 p.m.

Councilmember Thomason asked what action would have to be taken. City Attorney Shaver said it is a quiet title action where the use and adverse possession is put before a judge who decides. It is hoped that it can be avoided but Mr. Volkmann's question and if the Smiths don't want to dedicate the property that will have to be pursued. It will be something the City will have to deal with in the future.

Councilmember Hill said part of the problem is educating folks that annexation does not change their way of life. Once annexed, there are some benefits to being in the City. This is an uncomfortable issue, because the City wants jurisdiction so it can "fix" the problem. He agrees that the issue needs to be resolved, but it needs to be cleaned up by the property owner, bringing pressure on the County to fix it. If the road is not across the Smith property, then where is it?

Councilmember Beckstein agreed. She does not believe it is the City's responsibility to resolve this issue.

Councilmember Thomason agreed, although he understands the legal argument Mr. Shaver makes.

Council President Doody said it is obviously a County road but he did question whether that has actually been established by the County. He supports the tenets of the Persigo agreement. The County needs to resolve the issue.

**a. Accepting Petition**

Resolution No. 76-07 – A Resolution Accepting a Petition for Annexation, Making Certain Findings, Determining that Property Known as the Miller Annexation, Located at 450 Wildwood Drive is Eligible for Annexation

**b. Annexation Ordinances**

Ordinance No. 4081 – An Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Miller Annexation No. 1, Approximately 0.002 Acres, Located in Portions of the South Broadway and Wildwood Drive Rights-of-Way

Ordinance No. 4082 – An Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Miller Annexation No. 2, Approximately 0.01 Acres, Located in Portions of the South Broadway and Wildwood Drive Rights-of-Way

Ordinance No. 4083 – An Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Miller Annexation No. 3, Approximately 0.73 Acres, Located in a Portion of the Wildwood Drive Right-of-Way

Ordinance No. 4084 – An Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Miller Annexation No. 4, Approximately 2.10 Acres, Located at 450 Wildwood Drive and Including a Portion of the Wildwood Drive Right-of-Way

Ordinance No. 4085 – An Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Miller Annexation No. 5, Approximately 32.86 Acres, Located at 450 Wildwood Drive

Councilmember Hill moved to adopt Resolution No. 76-07. Councilmember Thomason seconded the motion. Motion failed by roll call vote. Failure of the motion made the consideration of the ordinances moot.

Council President Doody called a recess at 9:44 p.m.

The meeting reconvened at 9:54 p.m.

### **Public Hearing – 1<sup>st</sup> Supplemental Appropriation Ordinance for 2007**

The request is to appropriate specific amounts for several of the City's accounting funds as specified in the ordinance.

The public hearing was opened at 9:54 p.m.

Jodi Romero, Financial Operations Manager, reviewed this item, noting the first supplemental appropriations ordinance is mainly for carryovers for incomplete projects and specific additional projects, which she listed.

Councilmember Thomason advised the artificial turf appropriation will partially be reimbursed. Ms. Romero agreed and identified the amount to be reimbursed, with the City portion being \$250,000.

Council President Doody asked if Mesa County is also going to contribute.

Councilmember Hill advised that, although a member of PIAB, the County has declined to participate in the artificial turf installation.

Councilmember Hill asked about carryover funds for a new police facility. Ms. Romero said the supplemental appropriation includes funds for remodeling, new equipment, and for personnel.

Acting City Manager Kadrich advised that some of the dollars will be lost when a new facility is built. Some of the equipment may be able to move into to the new facility, some costs will be recovered and some will be lost.

City Attorney Shaver said the dispatcher consoles, a very expensive item, will likely be reused.

Councilmember Hill pointed out that this is a temporary fix for the Police Department, although an expensive one.

Councilmember Thomason and Council President Doody both had positive comments on the new golf carts.

Council President Doody said they are going forward with the E-85 fueling station.

Councilmember Hill asked how the City is looking moving into the last three quarters of a two year budget.

Ms. Romero said a Finance Committee is meeting to look at not only the new budget cycle, but also how things are in the current budget.

Councilmember Hill said the Council hears questions on accountability from the citizens. He said it is Ms. Romero's job to assess those expenditures with the best use of the taxpayer's money. The other issue is TABOR; he asked what the refund would have been. Ms. Romero said \$8.2 million. Councilmember Hill wanted a document to be presented to the Chamber every year of what the refund would have been, how that affects the repayment of the bonds, along with the tally sheet and post it to the website which will tell the whole story. Acting City Manager Kadrich said they are developing that document. Councilmember Hill recommended that projections not be included. He asked if the Council can have more time to review the proposed budget, at least ten to fourteen days. Ms. Romero said the involvement of City Council at an earlier date is part of what the Committee will be looking at.

Council President Doody asked that the managers put together a document that documents the cost savings accomplished by the employees. He would like to see a report to Council on a regular basis.

There were no public comments.

The public hearing was closed at 10:12 p.m.

Ordinance No. 4086 – An Ordinance Making Supplemental Appropriations to the 2007 Budget of the City of Grand Junction

Councilmember Hill moved to adopt Ordinance No. 4086 and ordered it published. Councilmember Thomason seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

**Non-Scheduled Citizens & Visitors**

There were none.

**Other Business**

Councilmember Hill wished his mother-in-law a Happy Birthday.

Council President Doody thanked all that came and for the Council's support on his re-election.

**Adjournment**

The meeting adjourned at 10:14 p.m.

Stephanie Tuin, MMC  
City Clerk