

**GRAND JUNCTION CITY COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING**

May 2, 2011

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction convened into regular session on the 2nd day of May 2011 at 7:01 p.m. in the City Auditorium. Those present were Councilmembers Bennett Boeschstein, Jim Doody, Tom Kenyon, Laura Luke, Bill Pitts, Sam Susuras, and Council President Teresa Coons. Also present were City Manager Laurie Kadrich, City Attorney John Shaver, and City Clerk Stephanie Tuin.

Council President Coons welcomed the new Councilmembers joining them at the dais.

Council President Coons took note that under the subject of Economic Development, the New York Times published a list of most risky and least risky communities in the United States and Grand Junction is the fifth least risky community to live in with the other top five all being in Oregon.

Proclamations/Recognitions

Proclaiming May 7, 2011 as “National Train Day” in the City of Grand Junction

Proclaiming May 14, 2011 as “Grand Junction Letter Carriers Stamp Out Hunger Day” in the City of Grand Junction

Election of Mayor and Mayor Pro Tem/Administer Oaths of Office

Councilmember Susuras nominated Councilmember Kenyon for President of the Council/Mayor of the City of Grand Junction for 2011/2012. Councilmember Doody seconded the nomination.

Councilmember Boeschstein nominated Council President Coons for President of the Council/Mayor of the City of Grand Junction for 2011/2012. Councilmember Luke seconded the nomination.

There were no other nominations.

A vote was called. Councilmember Kenyon was elected as President of the Council/Ex Officio Mayor for 2011/2012. Councilmembers Doody, Kenyon, Pitts, and Susuras voted for Councilmember Kenyon. Councilmembers Boeschstein, Luke, and Council President Coons voted for Council President Coons.

Councilmember Luke nominated Councilmember Doody as President of the Council Pro Tem/Mayor Pro Tem for the City of Grand Junction for 2011/2012. There was no second.

Councilmember Susuras nominated Councilmember Pitts as President of the Council Pro Tem/Mayor Pro Tem for the City of Grand Junction for 2011/2012. Councilmember Doody seconded the nomination.

Councilmember Kenyon nominated Councilmember Susuras as President of the Council Pro Tem/Mayor Pro Tem for the City of Grand Junction for 2011/2012. There was no second.

Councilmember Pitts was elected as President of the Council Pro Tem/Ex Officio Mayor Pro Tem for 2011/2012 by unanimous vote.

City Clerk Stephanie Tuin administered the oath of office to both Council President Pro Tem Pitts and Council President Kenyon.

New seats were taken and the meeting continued.

Council President Tom Kenyon expressed appreciation to Outgoing Council President Coons and thanked her for her service. He said she exemplified leadership and great work.

Council Comments

Councilmember Jim Doody noted the national news and thought it was a great day to be an American.

Citizen Comments

There were none.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Councilmember Susuras moved to approve and then read the Consent Calendar Items #1 through #9. Councilmember Pitts asked that Item #9 be moved to individual consideration and then seconded the motion. Councilmember Susuras amended his motion to exclude Item #9. Motion carried by roll call vote. Item #9 was moved to individual consideration.

1. Minutes of Previous Meeting

Action: Approve the Minutes of the April 18, 2011 and April 20, 2011 Regular Meetings and the Minutes from the April 20, 2011 Special Session

2. **Setting a Hearing on the Hatch Annexation, Located at 2063 S. Broadway**
[File # ANX-2011-698]

A request to annex 4.39 acres, located at 2063 S. Broadway. The Hatch Annexation consists of five (5) parcels. There is no public right-of-way contained within this annexation area.

a. **Referral of Petition, Setting a Hearing and Exercising Land Use Jurisdiction**

Resolution No. 20-11—A Resolution Referring a Petition to the City Council for the Annexation of Lands to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, Setting a Hearing on Such Annexation, and Exercising Land Use Control, Hatch Annexation, Located at 2063 S. Broadway

Action: Adopt Resolution No. 20-11

b. **Setting a Hearing on Proposed Ordinance**

Proposed Ordinance Annexing Territory to the City of Grand Junction, Colorado Hatch Annexation, Approximately 4.39 Acres, Located at 2063 S. Broadway

Action: Introduction of a Proposed Ordinance and Set a Hearing for June 13, 2011

3. **Setting a Hearing on an Ordinance Amending Title 21 of the Grand Junction Municipal Code, Concerning Language to Grant an Extension for the Recording of Subdivisions** [File # ZCA-2011-632]

These text amendments to Sections 21.02.070(u)(4), 21.020.070(a)(8), 21.020.070(r)(6) and 21.020.070(s)(4) of the Grand Junction Municipal Code, are made to revise Code language to grant an extension for the recording of subdivisions.

Proposed Ordinance Amending Certain Sections of Title 21 of the Grand Junction Municipal Code Concerning the Recording of Subdivisions

Action: Introduction of Proposed Ordinance and Set a Hearing for May 16, 2011

4. **Somerville Ranch Irrigation Efficiency Project**

Request to enter into a contract with the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) under the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) to

install underground pipe and gated surface pipe to improve the irrigation efficiency at the City-owned Somerville Ranch. This would be a partnership between the City, Howard and Janie Van Winkle (Somerville Ranch lessee) and the NRCS.

Action: Authorize the Utilities, Street Systems, and Facilities Director to Sign a Conservation Program Contract with the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)

5. **Persigo Wastewater Treatment Plant Dissolved Air Floatation Specialty Equipment Purchase**

This request is for the purchase of Dissolved Air Floatation (DAF) system equipment for the Persigo Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP). Based on previous process improvement evaluation studies at the WWTP, Staff has identified the need to improve the plant system for solids handling. This change will allow Operators at the WWTP to optimize solids handling throughout the entire WWTP, and during winter months when current plant processes are reaching design capacity.

Action: Authorize the Purchasing Division to Execute a Contract with World Water Works, Inc. for the Purchase of a Dissolved Air Floatation Unit for the Persigo WWTP DAF Project in the Amount of \$400,000

6. **Outdoor Dining Lease Amendment for Dynamic Adventures Limited DBA Le Rouge Restaurant, Located at 317 Main Street**

Dynamic Adventures Limited DBA Le Rouge Restaurant is requesting an additional area to be added to the existing Outdoor Dining Lease for an area measuring 23 feet by 7.83 feet (180 square feet). Le Rouge currently leases 8.67 feet by 25.4 feet (220 square feet) directly in front of the property located at 317 Main Street. The Outdoor Dining Lease would permit the business to have a revocable license from the City of Grand Junction to expand their licensed premise and allow alcohol sales in these areas totaling 400 square feet. *(This section was revised post-meeting to reflect actual measurements. ST)*

Resolution No. 21-11—A Resolution Amending the Lease of Sidewalk Right-of-Way to Dynamic Adventures Limited DBA Le Rouge Restaurant

Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-11

7. **Airport Improvement Program Grant for the Acquisition of Snow Removal Equipment**

AIP-47 is a grant for \$456,041.00 for the acquisition of Snow Removal Equipment to help ensure the safe operation of the Grand Junction Regional Airport. The Supplemental Co-sponsorship Agreement is required by the FAA as part of the grant acceptance by the City.

Action: Authorize the Mayor and City Attorney to Sign the Original FAA AIP-47 Grant Documents for acquisition of Snow Removal Equipment at the Grand Junction Regional Airport and Authorize the City Manager to Sign the Supplemental Co-sponsorship Agreements for AIP-47

8. **Sale of Property Described as Lot One of the Parkway Viaduct Subdivision, Located at 1554 Independent Avenue**

The City has entered into a contract with Paul Horbetz for the sale of the real property located at 1554 Independent Avenue.

Resolution No. 22-11—A Resolution Authorizing the Sale by the City of Grand Junction, Colorado, of Certain Real Property Located at 1554 Independent Avenue; Ratifying Actions Heretofore Taken in Connection Therewith (Lot 1, Parkway Viaduct Subdivision)

Action: Adopt Resolution No. 22-11

9. **Peppermill Lofts Fee Request** [File #SPR-2009-068]

A request to have the City pay certain development fees for Peppermill Lofts, a proposed multi-family development, consisting of 48 units, located at 2823 North Avenue and 497 and 491 28 ¼ Road.

MOVED TO INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION

ITEMS NEEDING INDIVIDUAL CONSIDERATION

Peppermill Lofts Fee Request [File #SPR-2009-068]

A request to have the City pay certain development fees for Peppermill Lofts, a proposed multi-family development, consisting of 48 units, located at 2823 North Avenue and 497 and 491 28 ¼ Road.

Neighborhood Services Manager Kathy Portner presented this item. She described the history of the site and how this developer came forward with an idea that fit into the

North Avenue Corridor Plan. She described the proposal to build four structures with apartments. The developer has requested assistance with the payment of some of the development fees for a total of \$167,366.

Councilmember Pitts asked about the time frame for the project to start. Ms. Portner said the applicant can answer but she believes it will be in the next thirty to sixty days.

Councilmember Susuras asked about the project being financed by HUD and how this will be restricted for low income buyers. Ms. Portner deferred that question to the applicant.

Neighborhood Services Manager Portner added that the addition of multi-housing will add to the diversity of housing needed in the community.

Councilmember Boeschstein lauded the plan and was pleased to see residential on North Avenue, noting it will help with the redevelopment of North Avenue. He asked about a park or payment in lieu of. Ms. Portner said the applicant will be paying park fees in lieu of a park with the nearest parks being Columbine and Rocket Parks.

Ted Ciavonne, representing the applicant, addressed the City Council with regard to the fee request. During the last two years, the Comprehensive Plan has been adopted and the North Avenue Corridor Plan was adopted. This plan was modified to meet those Plans including consolidating curb cuts and additional streetscape. Mr. Ciavonne described the difficulty with demolition and site clearing and other expenses associated with the project. The closing will take place in the next fifteen to thirty days. The outstanding fees are the only issue; the project has weathered the economic downturn and went through the HUD financing. He noted the deteriorating corridor of North Avenue.

Councilmember Doody asked about the water tap fee being low. Mr. Ciavonne responded that there are some credits for the existing businesses.

Tim Moore, Public Works and Planning Director, confirmed that sewer taps have increased and there is a large credit for the water tap from the existing car wash. Councilmember Doody asked for confirmation that the General Fund will be where the funds come from as the water and sewer funds are enterprise funds that will need to remain whole.

City Manager Kadrich noted that is a clarification that should be made for the community. It is not a fee waiver, it is actually a co-sponsorship of this project by the City for economic development. Therefore, a transfer from reserve funds would be made to cover those fees.

Councilmember Susuras asked for an explanation of how HUD oversees this project since it is a HUD financed project.

Bruce Millyard, 828 Quail Run, representing Northvin, LLC., the developer, said there are various programs within HUD. This particular one being used is 201B and in that there are market rents. He will have to submit a market study of rents to help determine rent prices for the project. This project was designed to be on the lower scale of rents because that is where the need is in the City. This project is also ENERGY STAR rated which will make utilities considerably lower for the occupants which equates to lower rent rates. A one bedroom unit will rent for about \$550 per month and a two bedroom will rent for about \$700 per month.

Council President Kenyon asked City Attorney Shaver if there was a need for a public hearing on this item. Mr. Shaver responded there was no need as it is for Council to deliberate. The money is there, it is as the City Manager described, from the fund balance.

Councilmember Coons said that this project has previously gone before the Economic and Community Development Committee and was favored because it has fallen into the Master Plan by helping to develop the North Avenue Corridor and providing economic stimulus to the community. The Economic and Community Development Committee has recommended approval.

Councilmember Pitts stated that, although it sounds good, he appreciated having an explanation on where the funds are going to come from.

Councilmember Susuras moved to approve the request for the City to pay the development fees in the amount of \$167,366 for the Peppermill Lofts Apartments. Councilmember Pitts seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Commission on Arts and Culture's Grant Recommendations Supporting Arts and Cultural Events and Projects for 2011

The Commission on Arts and Culture recommendations are for grant awards to local non-profit organizations to support arts and cultural events, projects, and programs in Grand Junction, which are expected to reach an audience of over 250,000 citizens and visitors and help promote employment, education, exhibit, and sales opportunities for many artists, musicians, and non-profit sector employees in our community.

Rob Schoeber, Parks and Recreation Director, introduced this item and the Commission on Arts and Culture Chair Gisella Flannigan.

Dr. Flannigan reported on the Commission's art grant program. She first provided a brief history of the program and the economic benefit including the leverage the grants provide. The arts and culture truly benefit the community and she thanked the Council for their continued support.

Councilmember Coons thanked the Commission on Arts and Culture for their good work as she has had many comments that the arts and culture is one reason people move here.

Councilmember Boeschstein added that supporting the arts also supports economic development.

Council President Kenyon said he sat in on the last meeting where the Art on the Corner Committee presented and he agreed that this program provides jobs and an economic boost for the community. The Committee is looking at expanding the program.

Councilmember Coons moved to approve recommendations from the Commission on Arts and Culture for grants to help with the cultural events and arts projects. Councilmember Susuras seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Contract with the Colorado Department of Transportation and the Union Pacific Railroad to Furnish and Install Traffic Safety Features at the River Road Spur, East of Railroad Boulevard

A contract with CDOT and UPRR for the installation of flashing lights, gates, bells, constant warning circuitry, and new control cabinet at railroad milepost 453.56, located on River Road east of Railroad Boulevard. Traffic control for the crossing consists only of the required signing installed by the railroad, which is an advance railroad warning sign and a cross-buck railroad sign at the crossing. The request is to design and construct flashing lights, gates and a concrete grade crossing.

Tim Moore, Public Works and Planning Director, presented this item. This item combines resources of the Colorado Department of Transportation and the Union Pacific Railroad. He displayed a site map as to the location of the improvements as well as photos of the current crossing. The plan is to install a full safe crossing with gates and lights. The City will be responsible for the traffic control and restriping the road for an approximate cost of \$20,000. The Railroad plans to begin the improvements in the fall.

Councilmember Doody asked who maintains this road. Mr. Moore said, at this location, the City is responsible.

Councilmember Doody asked about the railroad spur at the CoorsTek plant. Mr. Moore said the City upgraded it as part of the Riverside Parkway project. The Railroad will maintain the crossing; the City maintains the road.

Councilmember Pitts asked about the intersection onto I-70B off of River Road. Mr. Moore said there are plans in the long term to improve that intersection.

Councilmember Susuras asked Mr. Moore to elaborate on the funding. Mr. Moore explained the Railroad grant funding and that it is matched with the State of Colorado funding. Since the project won't begin until fall, the cost may go up slightly but there is funding to cover that increase.

Resolution No. 23-11—A Resolution Authorizing the City Manager to Sign a Contract with the Colorado Department of Transportation and the Union Pacific Railroad for the Installation of Rail Crossing Warnings at the Railhead Spur (Railroad Milepost 453.56) in the City of Grand Junction

Councilmember Doody moved to adopt Resolution No. 23-11. Councilmember Susuras seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Public Hearing—Hyre Heights Rezone, Located at 2674 F Road [File # RZN-2011-643]

Request to rezone 0.64 acres located at 2674 F Road from R-4, (Residential – 4 du/ac) to MXG-3 (Mixed Use General Form District – 3 stories) zone district.

The public hearing was opened at 8:01 p.m.

Brian Rusche, Senior Planner, presented this item. He described the site, the location, and the request. The property is within walking distance of St. Mary's primary campus. There are a number of medical providers in that general area as well as other multi-family housing. The Comprehensive Plan designates this area as an area for a "center" and is suitable for a form district zoning. The applicant wants to convert the existing residence into another use. That change would require additional administrative review and any new structures would have to conform with the new zone district. Mr. Rusche said the request does meet the criteria of the Grand Junction Municipal Code and is consistent with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

Councilmember Coons asked what uses will be allowed in this zone district. Mr. Rusche said residential, institutional, commercial, and limited industrial uses are allowed as listed in the Code and would be allowed in the form district.

Councilmember Boeschstein asked how the parcel will access Patterson Road. Mr. Rusche said it will use its existing access. The parking lot adjacent may be an opportunity for shared access and it accesses 26 $\frac{3}{4}$ Road. This would be encouraged. The access is not regulated; there is no median on Patterson.

Councilmember Boeschstein asked about the Planned Zone for the adjacent parcel. Mr. Rusche said it is part of the general St. Mary's Plan.

Public Works and Planning Director Tim Moore responded to Councilmember Boeschstein's question about an access control plan onto Patterson. Mr. Moore agreed additional access is discouraged if there is another alternative.

Councilmember Pitts asked about the three-story building in the form district. Mr. Rusche said that could be constructed but the applicant plans to use the existing residence which will be non-conforming. They can do that for an interim period. The three story building in a form district would usually be commercial on the bottom floor and residential on the top floors; that is what would be envisioned for a form district. This request is to just change the zoning at this time.

Councilmember Susuras said there is concern in the neighborhood that there will be a three story building built immediately. He asked the Planner to explain the process. Mr. Rusche said it would be reviewed administratively and would include review of the site plan which includes the form of the building, utility connections, how many parking spaces, landscaping, etc. before they could construct such a building.

Susan Madison, 1010 Rico Court, said she lives within a half mile of the project and expressed opposition. It conflicts with the previous item as it gets rid of housing in the community. She has experienced firsthand a fatality at the ATM location and a curb cut was removed because of it. St Mary's is using that as a foot path to get to their other locations. She felt there is enough business in the area. She then asked Council to deny the request.

Lori Garrison, 608 View Point Drive, adjacent to the property in question, said the property is a peninsula in a residential area. That property only has 100 feet along Patterson Road. It sits up where the residential properties sit. This will tower over the ranch residential properties if a three story building is added. The mixed use could bring entertainment in that area. She would be opposed to having a bar and loud music in that area. She complimented the new Master Plan (Comprehensive Plan). She would like to see more of a buffer. She does not have problem with an office or a medical building but does not want to see mixed use for this area.

Georgia Meacham, 615 View Point Drive, asked the Council to look at the property before they make a decision. There are three properties that face Patterson Road. There is

already a rezoning at 7th and Patterson. She feared it will all be commercial. They are trying to protect their old neighborhood.

Todd Eriksen, 604 View Point Drive, said he would hate to see an apartment complex be built there.

Laura Bishop, speaker for her parents at 612 View Point Drive, said a three story building will not be good for the area. She was concerned about bright lights at night.

Jean Bray, 608 Rico Way, requested that Council decline the rezone.

Jean Parotty, 604 Rico Way, lives in the original house. They moved there in 1983 and have seen a huge impact on Patterson Road. It is hard to get out. This would add more traffic.

Steve Meacham, 615 View Point Drive, said that there are some areas that have sat there for a long time and development will occur at some point but it abuts to some nice neighborhoods. He asked what is appropriate for a buffer for a three story building and questioned the possibility that it does not even have to come back to Council.

Mary Munro, 617 View Point Drive, has lived there for 28 years. The property was part of the Kellogg Farm and it is a very special neighborhood with very low turnover of neighbors. They strongly recommend that it not be rezoned in this manner. They are concerned with the traffic; it is difficult to get out onto Patterson Road. The neighborhood wants to retain the peace and tranquility.

Bill Bush, 619 View Point Drive, has lived there since 1968. He also did not want to see this passed.

Georgia Meacham, 615 View Point Drive, encouraged the whole area to be planned comprehensively.

Kenneth Allen, 603 View Point Drive, has lived there 61 years. He asked what the plan is for accessibility. He said there doesn't need to be another Northern Way-like subdivision.

Mark Madison, 1010 Rico Court, has a business across Patterson. He is opposed based on neighborhood integrity. This development would need to have a curb cut on Patterson Road. As far as the planning for this area, he thought a very broad brush was used. Additional traffic and congestion is not needed in the area. This is only 6/10 of an acre so it doesn't fit a commercial development. A fit would be R-4 and redevelop as a residential district. It is not a good argument to say it can't be sold because it borders Patterson as it was there when the current owner bought it.

There were no other public comments.

The public hearing was closed at 8:38 p.m.

Council President Kenyon asked Mr. Rusche to address the curb cuts. Mr. Rusche deferred to the Public Works and Planning Director.

Public Works and Planning Director Tim Moore said it will depend on the site plan. Accessing side streets will be the preferred approach. If not, a restricted access to Patterson Road would be likely.

Councilmember Boeschstein said generally curb cuts are discouraged. Mr. Moore agreed but they would allow access to the property, perhaps restricted to right-in and right-out.

Brian Rusche, Senior Planner, identified the length of the mixed use opportunity corridor which then allows for a form district. A rezone to a form district must meet the Code criteria and then two other criteria: how it would fit in the community and the lot depth. The applicant can request this particular zone. Each request per district would have to come individually to Council.

Councilmember Susuras asked if the applicant considered Residential Office zone district.

Mr. Rusche said they did but that zone district does limit the type of office and has size limitations. He would have to ask the applicant, who was present, if they are interested in that zone designation.

Councilmember Pitts said he has a problem with the request for the zoning. There are a number of uses allowed without further input from the City Council or the Planning Commission. He would rather see an RO or a conditional use. He will not support the request; he will oppose the rezone.

Council President Kenyon asked for a motion to be made before further comments from Council are entertained.

Ordinance No. 4467—An Ordinance Rezoning the Hyre Rezone Property Located at 2674 F Road from R-4 (Residential – 4 Dwelling Units per Acre) to MXG-3 (Mixed Use General Form District – 3 Stories)

Councilmember Pitts moved to adopt Ordinance No. 4467 and ordered it published in pamphlet form. Councilmember Susuras seconded the motion.

Councilmember Coons said she is struggling with this as she was part of Council that developed the Comprehensive Plan. The mixed use corridors were very important in that Plan. She is very familiar with this area and this property. Her biggest concern is the rectangular shape so its development will affect a number of properties. She is concerned with the way the property is situated and is opposed to the rezone.

Councilmember Pitts entered a restatement of his comments.

Councilmember Boeschstein said there are a number of serious problems with the request, the first of which is allowing additional curb cuts onto Patterson. Whatever is allowed will add to the traffic impact. He said this is a spot zone which will create an inconsistent zone pattern. If combined with the ATM lot, it could possibly be developed as a mixed use. He suggested a home occupation in this residence.

Councilmember Doody agreed with those that have spoken. He recognizes the idea but not every piece of property will work. He was concerned due to the width and the curb cuts and in hearing from all the neighbors. He will not be in favor.

Councilmember Luke said one of the residents noted the elevation and she agreed that it would be a hurdle to get an easement from St. Mary's. She agreed with the rest of Council. She felt there should be a common sense solution and still stay within the guidelines of Comprehensive Plan.

Council President Kenyon noted that during the Comprehensive Plan creation there was a desire to create mixed use opportunities but they don't all make sense. There is very little benefit to the public and a big detriment to the neighborhood with this request. He thanked the neighbors for coming and expressing their concerns. He will be opposed.

Motion failed 7 to 0 by roll call vote.

Council President Kenyon called a recess at 8:57 p.m.

The meeting reconvened at 9:02 p.m.

Public Hearing—Amendments to the Grand Junction Municipal Code, Chapter 6.12, Dogs and Cats, Concerning Barking Dogs

The Grand Junction Municipal Code ("Code") had a comprehensive review as part of a contract with Code Publishing Company. A misunderstanding developed during that review and, mistakenly, a change was made to section 6.12.060, Barking Dogs, due to that misunderstanding. The amendment concerning this section 6.12.060 will remedy that mistake.

The public hearing was opened at 9:02 p.m.

John Shaver, City Attorney, presented this item. He explained that there was a mistake in the recodification of the Code and this will correct the error.

Council President Kenyon asked if the error has caused any problems. City Attorney Shaver explained the Code used to require two complainants for a barking dog but that does not work when there may be only one person left in the neighborhood to file a complaint. That was why the Code was changed. Recently a complaint came forward and it was discovered that the old Code was back in place.

Councilmember Boeschstein asked about the complainant being kept confidential.

City Attorney Shaver explained that emotions run high with this type of complaint so when the complaint is made, the complainant is not revealed until after the process is complete in trying to mitigate the situation. If the matter goes to court, then the complainant name is revealed.

There were no public comments.

The public hearing was closed at 9:09 p.m.

Ordinance No. 4468—An Ordinance Amending Section 6.12.060 of Chapter 6 of the Grand Junction Municipal Code Concerning Barking Dogs

Councilmember Susuras moved to adopt Ordinance No. 4468 and ordered it published in pamphlet form. Councilmember Pitts seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Non-Scheduled Citizens & Visitors

There were none.

Other Business

There was none.

Adjournment

Councilmember Coons moved to adjourn. Councilmember Luke seconded. Council President Kenyon declared the meeting adjourned at 9:10 p.m.

Stephanie Tuin, MMC
City Clerk