

**THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION
SPECIAL WORKSHOP AGENDA**

**Wednesday, March 1, 2000, 6:30 p.m.
Two Rivers Convention Center, 159 Main Street**

*****NOTE DATE AND TIME*****

- 6:30 **RIDGESTONE COURT REFUSE COLLECTION:** Greg Trainor will initiate the discussion on options for solid waste collection in this area. [Attach W-6](#)
- 7:25 **ADJOURN TO REGULAR MEETING**

This agenda is intended as a guideline for the City Council. Items on the agenda are subject to change as is the order of the agenda.

Attach W-6

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

City Council
____ Workshop
____ Formal Agenda
Meeting Date: 03/01/2000
Trainer

Date Prepared: December 8, 1999
Author: Darren Starr
Title: Public Works Superintendent
Presenter Name: Darren Starr & Greg
Title: P.W. Superintendent & Utility Manager

Subject: The city has been requested by William J. Duncan to provide city trash service to an area near the bluffs. This is in the city limits, which is not currently being serviced by the City Solid Waste Department. This area of the city was annexed after April 19, 1994 with the passing of Senate bill 94-086 section 1. 30-15-401.

Summary: We are requesting guidance from City Council on how to handle requests, or petitions for the City Solid Waste Department to provide service to areas annexed after the passing of Senate bill 94-0846.

Background Information: On April 19, 1994 the State of Colorado enacted Senate bill 94-086 giving cities guidelines for taking over refuse collection in annexed areas where private trash haulers were providing service. This bill was the outcome of cities like Grand Junction who were growing and annexing large areas like the Ridges and removing private refuse service and providing those areas the same solid waste service as the rest of the city. The bill in summary says if the city imposes a requirement that residents use or pay user charges for residential waste service in an area annexed after the date of the bill, they must follow the bill as printed which includes formal notification to all private haulers and a competitive bidding process to serve that area. The bill does not exclude the City of Grand Junction from competing openly with the private haulers to provide service. As of today we have not chosen to compete with the private companies in these areas.

Budget: Currently, we service about 13,000 containers per week and have a projected capacity of around 14,000 containers. Projected additional cost for service of other areas, would be increased landfill and fuel costs.

Action Requested / Recommendation: We see three possible alternatives.

1. Continue to do what we are currently doing. These areas remain with private haulers at the customer's choice.
2. Impose a requirement that residents use or pay user charges for residential waste service, this will trigger a city developed bidding

process and require all residents in that area to use one hauler (low bid).

3. Accept request or petitions for city waste service with guidelines to percentage of area (2/3 of the occupied homes), location to current service area (area that are located next to a area currently being serviced), and cost of adding new area (the purchase of new collection equipment or large capital items). If area is accepted under guidelines, start offering solid waste service as a competitive service.

Citizen Presentation: ____ Yes ____ No If yes,

Name: William J. Duncan

Purpose: Give council reasons for the request.

Report result back to Council? ____ No ____ Yes, When _____

Placement on agenda: ____ Consent ____ Individual Consideration ____
Workshop