

**COLORADO RIVERFRONT COMMISSION  
245-0045**

**Urban Trails Committee**

**Minutes  
April 14, 1998**

**Call to Order**

Meeting was called to order by at 7:30pm by Co-Chairman, Bob Cron. Those present from the Committee were:

Bob Cron	Pat Kennedy
Paul Teal	Gerry Tucker
Jamie Lummis	Lenna Watson
Bill Findlay	

Also present were Jody Kliska, Doug Cline, and Shawn Cooper.

**Approval of Minutes**

Bob Cron entertained a motion to approve the minutes. Second by Pat Kennedy.

Bob Cron stated that there were several changes he would suggest, one is that “There are three other groups Gennie, Inc.; Dynamic Investments and Dave Fletcher. There is other activity going on in this area. It will be expensive.” Bob believes the word should be “extensive”. (Page two under Old Business)

The last paragraph on that page, it’s a water line not a “sewer line” on South Camp.

Jamie Lummis stated that “the expensive” was the sewer and utilities (the infrastructure) cost and if we talked about anything expensive, it was those issues. He thought Shawn Cooper said that the developers didn’t seem to mind.

Shawn Cooper added that this group doesn’t seem real concerned about it.

Motion passed.

**Announcements**

Bob Cron asked if there were any announcements.

## **Old Business**

Bob Cron asked if there was any old business.

## **New Business**

Urban Trails Committee agreed to sponsor a National Trails Month event in June. There is some work on Ride Your Bike to Work on June 5<sup>th</sup>.

Jamie Lummis added that this is the event that he is working on for UTC sponsor.

Bob asked if wanted to send into State Trails. Jamie said he missed the meeting yesterday with Mari Steinback with the City of Grand Junction and will be rescheduling it for Thursday or Friday. Jamie thinks she may have already sent the information into State Trails.

Pat Kennedy asked if June 5<sup>th</sup> was the day chosen for this event. Jamie said yes, the 5<sup>th</sup> is correct and it is a Friday. Mari at Parks and Rec is working on scheduling events and UTC will be teaming up with folks already working on it - this is the most appropriate event for the two days for the Urban Trails Committee to be involved with.

Employers will be encouraged to publicize the event and get employees to use alternative modes of transportation on the 5<sup>th</sup>.

Bob Cron asked about the idea of featuring a different trail in the newspaper, Jamie said that is going to be done. Jamie said group will be meeting again next Thursday.

Jamie said he will sign the group up for a bunch of stuff - not too much. Bob Cron stated that members will help.

Bob Cron took a moment to introduce Bill Findlay to each person in the room.

Pat Kennedy stated that they wanted two Riverfront Commission members on UTC and in July, Bill Prakken will be stepping down as co-chair of the Riverfront Commission and Pat will be stepping into that position.

Jamie asked about the three vacancies on the committee. Pat said it's Bob Cron, himself and Mike Heaston's position.

Lenna Watson asked Bob if he would be staying on as co-chair. Bob said he has asked that he not, however, haven't been able to resolve the leadership situation. Bob will be staying for a little while until that is resolved.

## Committee Reports

### Recreational use of canal banks

Draft Operating Plan - Bob stated that everyone got a copy of the Draft Operating Plan which he got done as he was suppose to do but a month late. He stated everyone had a chance to look at it. He would seek at this time or soon any thoughts on other things that ought to be in there or shouldn't be in there so he can finalize by next meeting. Bob asked if anyone had suggestions. Bob handed out extra copies for those that forgot theirs. He stated that they are not to be passed around - keep in house for now.

Gerry Tucker asked what all would be prohibited for use like that - horses or cows, wheeled vehicles pulled by horses or motorized vehicles.

Bob said motorized vehicles were already in the draft. It was taken from what Shawn has done, stating the positive of what is allowed rather that disallowed. Motorized will not be allowed. You can take bikes, horses or foot.

Pat Kennedy added that it does stated "authorized vehicles" being allowed.

Jamie asked about the issue that always comes up and that being liability. Pat Kennedy asked it that was pertinent to operations? Jamie said yes.

Lenna asked if that wasn't one of the contentions about them not wanting use?

Jamie states that either the draft assumes that issue has been taken care of or resolved. Bob said he can add that.

A question was asked if that is assumes by others. Bob said he couldn't predict that but in the case of the City, that is the indication.

Gerry Tucker asked about pros or cons about fishing. Bob said it would be no fishing. Pat Kennedy said that there are several reasons why there would be no fishing. In Phoenix there have special fish that live in the canal and eat the vegetation on the bottom of the canal and keeps the canal cleans. The fish are huge and cost about \$25 a piece. Supposedly that are pretty good eating but they don't want that getting out.

Bob reminded everyone that they have about a week to look at it and if he doesn't hear from people, it's final.

Shawn Cooper asked if he could have a copy of the letter. Bob stated he preferred not.

Doug Cline asked if horses were allowed. Bob answered yes. Doug asked about water skiing, it wasn't mentioned in the draft. Jody Kliska added other things in the water. Shawn Cooper stated that there isn't any use of the water - it's not allowed.

Bob Cron said not tubing, water skiing or water recreation. The canal is not anybody's to go on, the trail is over on the bank, that is where the recreation easement would be.

Jamie asked about the "trail easement holder" what does that mean? Bob Cron said it means whoever has the trail easement, the holder of the easement. Pat Kennedy said it could be Mesa County or the City of Grand Junction. Bob added it could be the Riverfront Commission. Pat said it could be the managing entity for the most part whoever has control of that trail system.

Paul Teal asked if the canal companies would want to buy into any responsibility whatsoever. Bob said that he is anticipating that since there is a common use there, there are joint responsibilities for maintaining and somebody has to decide to do either part or one does it and the other pays them.

Jamie asked what the committee thinks would be appropriate. Bob Cron thinks the easiest would be the easement holder pay the canal company for part of the cost of laying the road.

Pat Kennedy added that there is a lot of stuff that happens along the canals and the canal companies are not accustomed to having to haul things away. Roads are intentionally left rough to keep people from driving on them. If a trail is on the road something will have been done with refuse.

Gerry Tucker also mentioned horse play, rough housing along the banks. Bob Cron said that is under rules.

Judy Kliska asked if envisioning trail hosts, similar to the Riverfront Trail host program. Bob Cron said he didn't know. Hadn't really talked about that.

Paul Teal stated another good idea is no loitering in the parking lot at the trail heads. This can lead to trouble.

Bob said he would write it down but knows that it is impossible to enforce that kind of thing.

Jamie asked about parking lots. Pat Kennedy stated that he doesn't envision a need for a parking lot because these types of trails are used in the neighborhood, if you live next to a canal bank, you hop the canal bank with you bike and ride eight or ten miles and then go you back home. You're using it in your localized area.

People are not going to get in their cars and drive to a canal and unload their bike to ride if they live closer to other trails such as Connected Lakes. Pat doesn't see a need for trailheads and things like that along these type of canals because they will be used more locally and centralized. Not destination trails.

Jamie said he doesn't want them to be nor does he think the public would suppose it (landowners) nor does it necessary meet the needs of what UTC is trying to create. Asked if what they are trying to do is create connectors and legitimize current use.

Bob Cron stated neighborhood use.

Jamie thinks in draft it should be pretty clear on how UTC envisions what the "trail network" not necessary recreation network.

Pat Kennedy said this is going to come back to the trail host issue too where this is going to be a very vast network, Connected Lakes and Blue Heron Trail are more destination with a lot of activity. Along the canals a lot less activity.

Pat said the vacation of G Road, there is a neighborhood above Horizon Drive, G Road ends there at 27 ½ Road (he thinks) and then dips down to Horizon Drive. The neighborhood wanted that piece of G Road easement that isn't there that goes all the way to the canal for more garden space, backyards or whatever. Were told no that they wanted to keep that easement open for a trailhead and the neighbors were concerned that there would be a lot of parking, a lot of activity around there. Pat came to the personal conclusion that we don't want a trailhead there, just want a connection. Will ease the neighborhood concerns that this isn't for everybody in the Valley, this is for your neighborhood.

Jamie said he feels that is very critical because he thinks UTC could get more homeowners support. Ask if Bob Cron agreed.

Bob stated that UTC is already on record, in this case yes.

Gerry Tucker asked if parking then needed to be addressed. Bob said he didn't think so. Shawn Cooper added not in less it becomes an issue later on, if it becomes an issue it can be handled then - not worth worrying about it now.

Shawn asked about interpretative stations or signage and trying to incorporate some kind of educational thing for use of the canals and what they do. Shawn said it had been discussed that this might be a "pro" for the canal companies if they could have a kiosk that explains the operation of the canals and the critical aspects. These could be placed at critical intersections (Magic Park or VCB) similar to the ones at Connected Lakes. Shawn assumes City would pay for them but questions who would maintain them.

Lenna asked if this should be something the Safety Committee should address. Safety could team up with whoever will build the signs. Would have connecting trails, safety information, telephone numbers, what the canals are used for and that you must stay out of them.

Shawn asked if it would be possible to have emergency phones out there. Shawn thinks they might be easier on the canal trails because of easier access to lines.

Lenna said they had the idea of trails host having the phones because it's easier.

Bob Cron said would have to reach agreement with canal companies regarding telephones. If anything happens on the canals, the first people to see it will not be canal riders but rather people using the canal trails.

Shawn suggested every mile or at the intersections there could be a phone. Bob thought that might be possible.

Bob reminded everyone that this is an outline, not trying to resolve all the issues here, just want to make sure they get addressed.

Bob will be out of time next week so if he doesn't hear from anyone, he will finish the draft off.

White Paper is ready to go.

Gerry Tucker's proposed letter - Lenna thought that the \$10.00 in last sentence looks like a \$1,000. She thinks it should be \$10.

Jamie said Number Two the second sentence after the "how" needs to be fixed. It contains too many "they" making it confusing.

Bob asked if there were any other thoughts for Gerry because he's going to finalize the letter.

Jamie wanted to know what UTC is going to do with it.

Pat Kennedy wants to take the Operating Plan, the White Paper and the Letter to Janet Terry and present it to her so that she can review them and then give UTC guidance on how UTC wants to use them. Wants to take all three of them to her at the same time and let her know that they are ready to go and can start sending the letters if she thinks UTC needs to and see what the next step is regarding the White Paper.

Lenna asked if there have been anymore meetings between Janet Terry and canal companies. Pat said not that he is aware of, he hasn't been invited to any.

Jamie asked if the ad hoc committee was dead. Pat said no it's not, the last thing he's heard he received a copy of the Request for Proposal for the person who's going to do the next feasibility study, Greg Hoskin had made some changes and some verbiage changes and that is the last Pat has seen. If haven't already put out to bid, will be doing so.

Jamie asked if UTC was going to say they want to be participants in this study with regard to the urban area.

Pat said he hasn't been invited to a single meeting yet and he can't address any of these issues yet and he is frustrated.

Lenna Watson asked if heard anymore from Mark Achen. Pat said no.

Bill Findlay asked if anyone had signed up for the canal companies permanent easements. Bob Cron said he hasn't heard but believes that there has been some who did because they were adamant about no trails. Canal companies are not sharing that information with UTC.

Jody Kliska and Shawn Cooper said that if someone has could be checked through the Recorder's office or the County Assessor's office.

Bob asked if it was really important for someone on the committee to go spend a couple of days researching that information. Group said no will wait until can narrow down to a particular section.

Bob told Gerry Tucker to finalize the letter.

Subdivision Trails - Bob asked if anything going on with this. Shawn said yes and showed the group a map of Redlands Mesa (Colinas del Valle). It has been informally presented to the City and it hasn't been filed but it is in progressing stages.

Shawn said what it is, is an approximate 400 acre track, it runs by Monument Road and Mariposa on the right, basically down along Monument Road, it takes in the bluff along Monument Road and finishes up on the north end of Shadow Lake and extending out to Dave Fletcher's subdivision.

Bob asked if Climbing Rock was included and if so where it was. Shawn said yes and showed him where it was.

Shawn said in the planning the developers weren't really aware of the desire for the trail system and the connections in the area.

Bob asked if it's City property on the right hand side, Shawn said yes, pointed to Painted Bowl. Bob then asked if there was any intervening land between the City and this proposal. Shawn said no, it's adjacent to that City property.

Shawn said they are aware of UTC desire to connect the trails and existing trails in the area and they agreed to try and work that into the plan. What they are proposing is several communities of different levels of housing all the way from first starter homes, to your second move up, then executive and then estate and then luxury and then the epitome. They have hired Hale Irwin to design the golf course. The way it's laid out now, most of the course would be laid out in the lower areas and the homes would be stacked on edges and plateaus around it. When looking closer at the plan, there are large red dots that represent the trail system, which is mostly proposed along the boulevard. It would be a continuous trail along the boulevard system around the entire development.

Secondary to there will be the off road connecting trails (small red dots) will connect some of the subdivisions together utilizing some of the existing trail system out there. Couple of things they are kicking around as a possibility is an area they may dedicate to the City and another area to be keep as open space (probably the cliffs and the area below the cliffs). Tim Woodmansee has seen the plan and he was very vocal in preserving more of the trails. Shawn said they are also trying to connect more of the little communities together with linking trails link all the way across and tie it back and forth. At this point since it's so early in the stages, the developers are very agreeable and willing to work with the trail system and work it into the plan.

Bob Cron asked about connections to the west. Shawn said it was one of the things that was discussed in a meeting following the meeting with the developers, there is one proposed up at the top north. They run into some problems trying to get through the golf course and make it a safe passage to the west. The City is looking at and has requested the developers investigate an access to Red Canyon.

Pat Kennedy asked if this was Dave Fletcher's property and Shawn said yes it was. He then asked where the Bed and Breakfast was. Shawn showed him on the map.

Bob Cron asked about the 35 acre BLM land and that there is access from several different directions. The City might want to acquire it. Shawn said he has already contacted Carlos and let him know the City is interested in it. He thinks Carlos is trying to swing it into the Little Park Reserve exchange and when the City takes Little Park Reserve that would come with it. Shawn doesn't know where it is in the workings.

Bob Cron stated that his neighborhood wants to have access into a parcel (he pointed it out on the map. Bob asked if there was a way to work an access in at South Camp. Shawn is optimistic that the details can be worked out.



Bob then asked the City folks about the transportation route that Mark had mentioned. Shawn stated he thought Mark was looking at that. Jody Kliska said they told Mark they weren't going to abandon the idea but may kick around.

Lenna Watson asked if Dave Fletcher was coming around on the trails. Bob said no but he does have to dedicate some open space and there's no value to him.

Jody Kliska said that one of the questions Mark Relph had of the group was is there a need for equestrian trails. Bob said there are people who ride horses out that way.

Jamie pointed out to one trail where horses differently ride.

Doug Cline asked if it was lots of horses. Bob said maybe every fifth time out there he sees a horse.

Jody Kliska stated that someone out of Boulder did the design. Shawn stated that Craig Roberts is involved in the design with the Boulder group.

Jamie stated that the property could still be developed and for walking trails the amount of easement needed is not that great, plus it doesn't impact the rim.

Shawn said they did make a request to work a connection into Red Canyon and up to the west. Shawn said they've had one meeting with them.

Shawn said that it probably would be a concrete trail system, detached most of the time. They were thinking the trail would meander through the right-of-way. So there are points where it will be adjacent to the curb but it will swing back out.

Jody said they are going to ask for a pavement with a striped bike lane. She also said curb and gutters are required, however, sidewalks are not.

Shawn said the trail would be, basically as the developers explained it, it would be a natural surface non-improved trail. It would be a single track, mountain bike, hiking, whatever. Shawn said it's meant to follow the existing trail and they don't intend to mess it up.

Shawn said that making the west connection will be difficult because of having to wind through the golf course.

Shawn said their explanation of what they are trying to do is make the boulevard so it went through communities and then you had a couple of views of across the valley. You'd have golf course views, you had canyon views, you had areas where you just see houses. Shawn said there is some satisfaction as to what they've got proposed but it still not what the City wanted to see happen.

Bob talked about another discussion with Dave Fletcher and he's just very adamant that he was not going to build paths in his development. He's going with the County rather than the City. Bob thinks he can get less restrictions there, it remains to be seen. Richard Goecke is the person handling this development. Bob has talked with Rich, Ken Simms is out of town and he will be providing the engineering input and Bob needs to talk with him. Dave Fletcher told Bob that no body uses the paths now. Bob said his side of the neighborhood supports the trails.

Shawn asked about if the trails were unimproved.

Bob Cron said he will keep monitoring that but that he will not be here when this is heard before the County Planning Commission. Next meeting will have to talk about who will go and represent UTC. The hearing is like May 28<sup>th</sup>. The County Commissioners is in June and he will be here for that.

Pat Kennedy asked about the "first home buyers", are they talking about the first time in \$150,000 or are they talking first time. Shawn said first time at \$150,000. Shawn stated that will be \$100,000+ townhomes. Thinks they are looking at \$120,000 to \$130,000. There will be more zero lot line townhomes connected.

The developers made it very clear they haven't done all the studies yet. Shawn said the golf course will be public and they anticipate approximately 500 units.

Bob thanked Shawn for bringing the map.

Bob stated he did get UTC on the County mailing list for subdivisions.

Metropolitan Planning Organization - Gerry stated that he forgot all about MPO. Said he doesn't remember getting an agenda. Jody Kliska said there is a meeting Thursday at 1:30pm.

Jody said they delivered to Ken Sooms what the City has done for bike routes and bike signage. He has started working on bike map to publish. (Lenna left so they weren't able to ask about the printer).

Community Awareness - Jamie said their project is National Trails Day and some press relating to that. He still owes an article to Michele about accomplishments. He will call either of the co-chairmen to make sure he gets it right.

Action Plans - Logos - Gerry said he contacted the school board again and no one submitted anything except the original design received.

Bob asked what the group wanted to do. Gerry said could decide if what to accept or not. Bob also reminded him that he had others. Pat Kennedy said he liked the ones from the person in Gerry's office.

Bill Findlay asked if this was to be a competition/contest. Gerry said it was intended that way, a prize was offered. The school coordinator was excited about the project. \$50 was for the design chosen and \$25 for the runner up.

Bob told Gerry to get the other two members of the Community Awareness Committee together and go through the designs and then make a recommendation at the next meeting.

Jamie mentioned that Mesa State has a graphic artist program and maybe UTC should contact someone over there. Bob said that they had avoided mixing the school and college work together. He thinks maybe now they should do that.

Jamie said he's only make that suggestion since the response from District 51 was so disappointing.

Pat said he was hoping for something that was similar to the Riverfront logo but changed a little bit.

Bob suggested that they get together and look at what they have, if not satisfied, extend it to Mesa State.

Gerry said that the gentleman working for him is an artist and that if they want something changed on the designs he did, he would make the changes.

Urban Trails Priority List - Paul Teal said on March 12<sup>th</sup> he and Pat Kennedy had a meeting with Pete Baier and Carl White. Pat gave them a basic overview of what Urban Trails is all about and some of the goals for 1998. It was a long, lengthy discussion. Did find out that their views and UTC views are wide apart. They took a close look at the 1998 County Priorities for the street striping and signing. They showed an interest in some of them but basically UTC didn't get any commitment or anything else from them. They did listen to them and that's a start.

Pat added that Carl White is of a different school of thought than Mark Relph. Carl doesn't believe in street striping. He thinks it's dangerous, he thinks it leads to problems with pedestrians and bicycle. It boils down to the whole problem between the City and the County, the City looks at one thing and that kind of the school thought UTC has been adopting and then it's a different ball of wax when getting into the County. Pat isn't sure if it will be instrumental or a barrier with Carl White. He doesn't believe in what the City is doing.

Bob asked if Mr. White is Pete's boss. Pete is Mr. White's boss. Paul added that the impression was given that they pretty much work hand-in-hand.

Bob then asked what Mr. Baier's view was. Pat said he really didn't express an opinion. He kind of deferred to Carl White. Gave the impression that he didn't believe in what UTC was trying to accomplish.

Paul said that they did say they were going to do something on the 30 Road project. They said that they were going to put a stripe on to separate the traffic from there, will have to wait until they start laying pavement to see if things like that happen. There would be no symbols.

Pat said that UTC made their point extremely clear that they wanted continuity on the trails. Not sure how much they will put into UTC credence of what UTC is trying to do.

Bob asked if UTC needs to formally send a list to the County. Paul said they gave them a list of at least the priorities in the County. Bob wanted to know if it should be sent along with a cover letter stating this is UTC priorities. Pat thought the formal letter would be appropriate.

Jody asked if UTC had looked at the list of priorities and seen how they match with the 1992 Multi-Modal plan. Pat said yes. Jody said UTC might refer to that in the letter.

Bob said the Multi-Modal does have standards back in the back.

Paul said UTC should send the traffic accident report that Jody had provided because Pete and Carl were very interested in statistics. Jody said he has that available to create the program Jody used.

Jody said that the status report which was an update of the report from January. On the second page what is highlighted is what was transferred to the first page. Third page are projects to be completed after 1998.

### **Public Comment**

Doug Cline thanked Bob for the nice letters written to the City on their behalf.

Update on the Old Mill Bridge and vandalism. Since the lights have been fixed on the bridge the vandalism has moved to the overhead lights, working its way up the hill on the south end of the bridge. Four lights have been lost to date of the overhead model. They are completely destroying the fixtures. Can't replace parts, it must be a complete unit, they cost \$822 each. Doug said they are going to rewire the units and basically put new fixtures of "our own" in. The other thing they are going to do is develop a guard just like they did for the lights on the bridge itself. Hope to have something next week in the way of design. Would not be doing all of the lights, just those from the bottom of the bridge going up to hill on the south side and probably a couple of those on the north side that were hit earlier.

The motion detectors have been mounted and are aimed down toward the bridge. There has been zero vandalism since it was mounted. Not sure if it was because of the detectors or the guards - just glad it's stopped.

Bob asked if the person(s) throwing the rocks think they are out of the view of the camera. Doug thinks yes. Will not be replacing the fixtures that are broken until they get the guards built, that way everything can be put together all at once. Looks like it will be about a dozen lights.

The cost to date has been \$5,737. Half of that amount includes the guard that were built. Isn't sure what the cost will be on the new guards but it's still a good investment to get the new guards built.

Pat said he was talking with his stepmother about the vandalism and graffiti on the Front Range, it seems there was a gentleman who owned a business close to a trail they were developing in Arvada. Everyday someone would come in a spray paint his building and he would have to repaint. One day he caught the kids and an argument followed, he took the paint cans away from the kids and spray painted the kids. The kids pressed charges against the owner of the building. The case went to trial and the judge through it out.

Shawn said regarding Eagle Rim Park, the City is interviewing consultants for the design of Eagle Rim Park on the 27<sup>th</sup> and hopefully will select a consultant to proceed in that design. Looking for a representative from the Riverfront to sit in on the interview process. There will be three reps from the Park Board, three from staff, one from Orchard Mesa and one from the Riverfront to sit in and give comments.

Bill Findlay said he thought the company that designed Canyon View did a plan for Eagle Rim. Shawn said they have, because of the recent changes, the City has to do a final design and do the construction drawings, so they are looking for a firm to do that. They are one of the firms on the short list.

Bob asked Shawn if he's talked with Bill and Paul about getting a representative. Paul wasn't able to attend, was going to find someone.

Related to that, a larger topic, the City has had a call from a resident directly west of Orchard Mesa Middle School on the little small ditch that runs between Eagle Rim Park and OMM School. There is a connecting maintenance road adjacent to the ditch which is being heavily used by people going from the bridge to the Lamplight Subdivision which is about  $\frac{1}{4}$  to  $\frac{1}{2}$  of a mile. It's a perfect tie and Shawn did show it on Urban Trails plan as a very desirable link because it's going to serve a critical link trying to tie it together.

The guy who called is complaining about the amount of trespassing because it has increased dramatically since the trail and bridge went in, now people have an easy way to get across the river. He is threatening to file a suit against the City. Shawn has talked to John Schaeffer about it, the guy is under the impression that the City has to put up signs

saying no trespassing on the canal banks. In an effort to somewhat calm things down, the City didn't object to that but then they started thinking, this is John's idea, maybe the City volunteers to put up signs and approach it from the aspect that "You are not allowed to be here because of the Canal Companies, because of this, because of that, if you are interested in pursuing public use of this canal bank, call the UTC and voice your support for it" turn it into a pro-active sign rather than a no trespassing sign.

The person complaining is the person who owns the land. It is all private land. There are two residents back there, one is adamantly opposed and the other is more willing, in fact he has land below the bluff and he expressed interest (there is a terrace on that bluff) he would be willing to dedicate or donate it for public access, so that is a possibility.

Shawn told John he would discuss the sign with the UTC. He asked what the group would like to do.

Pat likes the idea of the sign about having them call the Riverfront Office.

Doug said it becomes critical where the sign is put. Shawn said the main access is right off the concrete trail at the school and they're going west from there and also on the road where it comes off Santa Clara.

Pat asked who is mostly using this trail. Shawn said it's everybody. Once the park is built, it's going to be non-stop.

Bob asked if the City wanted to get in the business to help private landowners. Shawn said if it accomplishes the task of what Schaeffer was saying, it's not a simple no trespassing, no public, private property sign. It's an informational sign.

Bob suggested that the sign say "This property is private behind here. If you want to see such and such" but when you say no trespassing, he's not sure of where the City is in setting a precedent. John Schaeffer said he would be happy to work with the City and UTC on the verbiage of the sign.

Bob said it sounds like he's hearing that UTC would be willing to look at wording. Shawn said if UTC supports the idea, the City will pursue it. Will give a couple of the UTC people a call see if they want to go with Shawn to see Schaeffer and get the thing rolling.

## **Adjournment**

Meeting adjourned.

