

UNRELATED IMPROVEMENTS
NO/INPUT ON STANDARDS — THEME OF COMP PLAN IS INPUTS
CONFUSED PROCESS

1) INAPPROPRIATE TO TIE UNRELATED REQUIREMENTS — SUCH AS CURB/CUTBACK/SIDEWALK/LANDSCAPING/PEDESTRIAN + BICYCLE PATHWAYS TO PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUES SUCH AS SEWER SERVICE, PARTICULARLY IN AREAS OUTSIDE CITY LIMITS. ~~WOULD~~ YOU DO SAME IN GATEWAY + OTHER AREAS IN RETURN FOR DATA/SERA RESPONSE?

2) NO CONNECTION "W/O" PRIOR APPROVAL OF MANAGER" FOR DEVELOPMENTS OUTSIDE MUNICIPAL BOUNDARIES ALSO INAPPROPRIATE. COUNTY IS RESPONSIBLE GOVT ENTITY FOR LAND USE DECISIONS IN UNINCORPORATED AREAS. DOES SEEK COMMENT FROM ALL AFFECTED ENTITIES BUT IS RESPONSIBLE AGENCY. DOES CITY SEEK TO SET STANDARDS FOR ALL OF 201 SUR. AREA W/O INPUT FROM THOSE DIRECTLY IMPACTED?

3) HAVE BEEN WORKING COOPERATIVELY ON SEWER AND OTHER ISSUES — SHAME TO HAVE THIS ATTEMPT TO SUDE THIS THRU ON CONSENT DISRPT THAT COOPERATION — NO JOINT DISCUSSION SO FAR DESPITE "JOINT CITY-COUNTY SEWER SYSTEM" —

4) DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY AND PROPERTY OWNERS ^{REQUIRED TO} ~~APPROVE~~ SEEK APPROVALS AND ACCEPT CONDITIONS FROM APPROPRIATE GOVERNING BODY — WHETHER CITY OR MUNICIPALITY.

THIS CERTAINLY CONFUSES PROCESS AND LEAVES IN DOUBT INTEGRITY OF OUR INDIVIDUAL PROCESS. AND IS CONTRARY TO STATUTORY LAND USE PROCEDURES.