March 13, 1975

Theodore L. Hall
Nelson, Haley, Patterson & Quirk, Inc.
760 Horizon Drive
Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

Dear Mr. Hall:

After reviewing your proposed Grand Junction 201 Facilities plan I have
the following comments to make:

From the aesthetic viewpoint, placement of interceptors below the surface
would be the most desirable method of installation. Either this method
or suspending the line from piers will disturb the river bottom consi-
derably during the construction. The timing of construction activity
can reduce or increase any effects of suspended soils. We would pre-
fer scheduling of work activities to commence in late summer to avoid
any disturbance of spawning activites on three species of "Endangered
id Threatened" fish in the river below proposed river crossing.

For your information the "Endangered and Threatened" species in the
area concerned are: the Humpback Chub, the Colorado River Squawfish,
and the Razorback Sucker. Any channel alterations or bank encroachments
should be undertaken with caution and these fish in mind. Under the 1973
"Endangered and Threatened Species Act" destruction of their habitat
ranks comparably with possesion of Peregrine Falcon, Golden Eagle,
and the Whooping Crane from the standpoint of legal penalties. This
is not intended to scare you off the different proposals but to point
out the need for reasonable care in construction.

One comment regarding a river crossing. Was consideration given to
correlating this with the proposed bridge at 22 1/2 Road?

Sincerely,

Glenn E. Rogers

Glenn E. Rogers

GR:kc

: R. Elliott
 C. Sealing
 J. Gray