

## CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

## MEMORANDUM

Reply Requested  
Yes  No Date  
Oct. 25, 1978To: (From:) James E. Wysocki From: (To:) Duane R. Jensen, P.E.  
City Manager City Engineer-UtilitiesSubject: Sewer Extension Agreements with Subdivisions and Districts  
outside the City of Grand Junction

We have recently had many inquiries concerning the extension of sewer lines outside the City of Grand Junction in lands that are not eligible for annexation. Attached is a copy from C.W.B. Builders who are making such a request for the 25 Road Subdivision located at 25 Road and West Mesa Court. This sewer line could be extended from the existing sewer lines which will service Wesslo Commercial Park, West Gate Commercial Park, and other sewers in the area. This would extend the sewer line further to the west and put us at a closer proximity to the General Growth property. This property as far as I can determine at this time does not have the boundary continuity that is required for annexation, therefore it is necessary that some sewer agreement be drawn up with the City of Grand Junction containing the Power of Attorney for annexation to provide sewer service to this particular subdivision. It is my hope that in the future the pump station which will be located in this subdivision will be extended on to the west and would serve the General Growth project. Also when the pump station was moved further to the west, the sewer line would be constructed at the same time to connect into the proposed Paradise Hills Interceptor Phase II which would eventually eliminate this pump station.

I have been in contact with General Growth properties and they have agreed to pay for the cost of the pump station and the force main to come back to this pump station in any event. This will be the case even if the Paradise Hills Interceptor and the interim treatment works was provided thus eliminating the need for the pump station and force main.

With the City policy at the present time on a moratorium for new agreements outside the City limits, it would restrict my ability to present an agreement to the City Council regarding this proposed subdivision and other subdivisions which are outside the City limits and design sewer service.

I am confused by the issue and statement made in meetings with the County Commissioners concerning outside sewer service with the development of the plant investment fee. I think that I am hearing that there would be a total area wide use of any interim facilities and any future facilities which may be constructed by the City.

I would appreciate your direction regarding these agreements as soon as possible.

Attachment

cc - Jim Patterson