CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO MEMORANDUM

Reply	Requested							
•	Yes [No					

Date

August 30, 1979

To: (From:) Louis Brach From: (To:) Jim Patterson

Re: Colorado West Area 208 Plan Final Main Report

I don't have any significant comments on the main report referenced above. Most of my comments will be addressed on the management section which is to be issued soon. The main report is mainly a cataloging of existing data and requirements along with an identification of requirements of Public Law 92-500 Section 208.

Two comments that I might have have been addressed in the appendix. It is pointed out there that the Grand Junction 201 Plan has been modified to show that we are going to abandon the existing plant and build a new 12.5 million gallon per day plant.

One question raised that I didn't see a response to is why is there a difference in the population projection for Grand Junction in the year 2000 (65,000) versus the design capacity of the new plant (120,000 population). The answer, of course, is that the Grand Junction plant serves an area larger than the City of Grand Junction. Almost half of the sewage to be treated at the new plant will come from outside the City.

The only other thing that caught my attention is a suggestion that the Grand Junction area should be monitored for effects of urban storm water runoff on the Colorado River. It was not clear in the suggestion who would do this. I don't have any objection to the monitoring being done, but if we do it then we should prepare to do this additional work.

When you receive the management part of the report, if you will send me a copy, I'm sure I will have comments on that part of the report.

COST OR PRICE SUMMARY FORMAT FOR SUBAGREEMENTS UNDER U.S. EPA GRANTS
(See accompanying instructions before completing this form)

Form Approved OMB No. 158-R0144

	GENER	<u> </u>	Ta a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
GRANTEE City of Crand Typotion and Maga Cou	2. GRANT NUMBER			
City of Grand Junction and Mesa Cou	CO 80337			
Henningson, Durham & Richardson,	Inc. o	f Colorado	1	
ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR OR SUBCONTRACTOR (Include ZIP c.	ode)	6. TYPE OF SER	I AUBUST A	ED 1979
210 Comital Life Contan		Consulting	services for	assistance ir
310 Capitol Life Center	ment of Sewe	r Use Ordi-		
Denver, Colorado 80203	Jser Charge System, In-			
•		-	Cost Recove	•
		and Pre	treatment Pr	
PART II-C			·	1
DIRECT LABOR (Specify labor categories)	ESTI- MATED HOURS	HOURLY RATE	ESTIMATED COST	TOTALS
Principal	90	5 19.00	\$ 1,710.00	
Engineer	520	12.00	6,240.00	
Engineering Technician	160	9.00	1.440.00	
Stenographic/Clerical	70	5.50	385.00	
DISPOST LOGGITATION			100 C 100 C 100 C	
DIRECT LABOR TOTAL:				s 9,775.00
INDIRECT COSTS (Specify indirect cost pools)	RATE	× BASE =	ESTIMATED COST	
Payroll Overhead .	3167	s 9,775.00	\$ 3,096.00	
	0575	9,775.00	10.337.00	
				
INDIRECT COSTS TOTAL:				s 13,433.00
OTHER DIRECT COSTS				
R. TRAVEL	-		ESTIMATED COST	
(1) TRANSPORTATION			\$ 985.00	
(2) PER DIEM			\$ 440.00	
TRAVEL SUBTOTAL:			\$ 1,425.00	
b. EQUIPMENT, MATERIALS, SUPPLIES (Specify categories)	QTY	COST	ESTIMATED COST	
Printing		\$	\$ 75.00	
			75.00	
EQUIPMENT SUBTOTAL:			75.00	
c. SUBCONTRACTS	ESTIMATED COST	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
			s	
			<u> </u>	
	-			
SUBCONTRACTS SUBTOTAL:			S	
d. OTHER (Specify categories)	ESTIMATED COST			
Barrier Committee Committe			\$.	
				•
OTHER SUBTOTAL: L			\$	
e. OTHER DIRECT COSTS TOTAL:		-0	L'	\$ 1,500,00
O. TOTAL ESTIMATED COST	₹ ₹ ₹			5 24.708.00
1. PROFIT		13.3	2	3 292 no 5 28,000.00
2. TOTAL PRICE U.F.O.			i contract of the contract of	

14. GRANTEE REVIEWER City of Grand Junction, Colorado

I certify that I have reviewed the cost/price summary set forth herein and the proposed costs/price appear acceptable for subagreement award.

Sent 9 1979

signature of REVIEWER

DA DENERS Of the section has

TITLE OF REVIEWER

16. EPA REVIEWER (11 applicable)

3-17-80

DATE OF EXECUTION

SIGNATURE OF BEVIEWER

And analot

TITLE OF REVIEWER

EPA Form 5700-41 (2-76)

PAGE 2 OF