

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

MEMORANDUM

Reply Requested
Yes No

Date
April 14, 1980

To: (From:) Maxine Albers From: (To:) Jim Patterson

Re: "STATE, FEDERAL AND LOCAL GUIDELINES, REGULATIONS AND GOALS
RELATING TO 208 WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT AGENCIES"

General Comments

The general requirements are very similar to the way we are now operating in the valley. The County has assumed management activities by adoption of the various "201" plans in the valley. The City has had for a number of years, agreements with various sanitation districts for "day to day" operation and maintenance activities. The City and County are in the process of drafting an agreement for operating activities.

I'm not sure if the existing City-district agreements can serve as sub-agreements under the umbrella of the City-County agreement or if new agreements between the County and the districts should be made. The County should ask COG for assistance in determining what agreements should be made and the content of those agreements. Perhaps COG should also review the proposed City-County agreement for completeness; however, let's be very careful not to delay the bonding process for our new facilities.

Specific Comments

On page X-2 one of the responsibilities of the planning agency (CWACOG) is to "provide technical and administrative assistance to management agencies". The County should ask for assistance in preparing required agreements between the County and others-especially for non point source control with federal agencies.

On page X-6, I'm not sure what is meant by an overall agreement among counties, cities, and operating agencies. This may be covered by adoption of the "208" plan by those entities or perhaps by adoption of the various "201" plans. I think most of those items listed would be covered in those documents.

On page X-6 the requirements for management in the urban influence areas may suggest that the City-County agreement be more detailed than it is in the current draft form. If that is the case, perhaps we should go ahead and sign it to satisfy bonding requirements with the idea of revising it to satisfy state and federal requirements.

On page X-7, the recently adopted joint City-County ordinance-resolutions should satisfy the regulatory responsibilities for use requirements and industrial cost recovery. Pretreatment requirements will have to be adopted within the next three years. I have applied for an EPA grant to pay for development of a pretreatment program.

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

MEMORANDUM

Reply Requested
Yes No

Date
April 14, 1980

To: (From:) Maxine Albers From: (To:) Jim Patterson

Page 2

On page X-8 it indicates that the City should review site applications within three miles of the City. Current planning department policy is to submit for City staff review those developments within two miles of the City.

On page X-11 perhaps the following agencies should be listed under recommended operating agencies for Municipal and Industrial Wastes:

Fruitvale Sanitation District
Central Grand Valley Sanitation District
Orchard Mesa Sanitation District
The Ridges Metropolitan District
Clifton Sanitation District No. 1
Clifton Sanitation District No. 2
Panorama District

EDA said
3 miles -
City review
Get that
p. X-8