

February 5, 1981

Mr. James E. Patterson Director of Public Works City of Grand Junction 250 N. 5th Street Grand Junction, CO 81501

Re: Sewer Connections to River Road Interceptor

Dear Jim:

In response to our discussions last week, we feel there are two alternative ways you could allow connections to the River Road Interceptor that would be equally acceptable. First, we see no problems with a connection to the existing manholes being made by core drilling through the concrete encasement. The location of the manhole steps would be an influence whether this procedure was used or not.

The other alternative is to allow a connection to the interceptor by breaking into the pipe either upstream or downstream of an existing manhole. I have attached a sketch drawing showing how that could be accomplished.

Please call me if you have any questions.

Very truly yours.

HENNINGSON, DURHAM & RICHARDSON, INC. OF COLORADO

James E. Abbott, P.E.
Vice-President

JEA/lt Enc.

artaa Sola Solas Sola Oles A

e y deel Provinces s Consideration Consideration se


i on the Eschitich en Confettion Science of Options, follo

cc: James Franklin

CC. Poragen 3 of SI RAK

EXIST INF.

EXIST RIVER ROAD
INTERSEPTOR



NOTE: FUTURE NAL SNACE BE CONSTRUCTED WITHIN 7' OF & 28 INTERCEPTOR MA, UP STREAM OR DOWNSTREAM CIF ENTING MA

SECTION