

RESOLUTION NO. _____

ESTABLISHING PERSIGO WASTEWATER TREATMENT
PLANT SERVICE AREA.

WHEREAS, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act established as national policy a goal to preserve, protect and enhance the quality of the Nation's waters; and

WHEREAS, the Act sets forth, under Section 201, requirements for a process of continuing planning and implementation to achieve the national goals; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Mesa County has adopted 201 Facilities Plans for the Grand Junction area, Fruita and Palisade; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Mesa County has proceeded to construct the sewage treatment facilities as provided in the Grand Junction Area 201 Facilities Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of Mesa County, by Resolution of May 12, 1981, does support the goals, objectives and policies as written in the document entitled, "Goals, Objectives and Policies of the Comprehensive Planning Process"; and

WHEREAS, the Grand Junction, Fruita and Palisade 201 Sewer Plan boundaries will constitute the outward limits for the majority of residential development in the Grand Valley. The Greater Grand Junction Comprehensive Planning study area closely coincides with the Grand Junction 201 Sewer Plan boundaries and this geographic area represents the outward limits for future Grand Junction, Fruita and Palisade as distinct communities should be retained, with each jurisdiction maintaining their own commercial areas and public facilities, separated from each area by low-density uses; and

WHEREAS, within the three 201 boundaries, residential uses should be located where they are least harmful to natural resources and agricultural production, where public services can be most economically delivered, where they are compatible with adjacent uses, where they are not endangered by natural

hazards, and with good access to schools, shopping and jobs. Natural topographic features and multi-family housing should be used to protect established single-family neighborhoods from higher intensity uses and major traffic arterials; and

WHEREAS, it is the Board's concern that sewer extensions outside the "201" boundary may promote residential development. Reference page 538, "Public Sewerage Systems", Urban Planning and Design Criteria, De Chiara and Koppleman,

"...the construction of new sewer systems to serve anticipated growth areas is often 'self-insuring'; that is, the presence of adequate public sewerage facilities attracts home builders and homeowners alike to the areas so served and in this way stimulates population growth in these areas."

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY OF MESA:

That the Persigo Plant Service Area is defined as all areas within the "201" boundary excluding Clifton Sanitation District 1 and 2. Certain fringe areas to this service area are also included which may receive service if county-wide economic feasibility can be established. These areas include:

1. The area north of the "201" boundary and south of I-70 on the eastern side of the valley,
2. the area north of Highway 6 & 50, east of 21 Road, west of 22 Road and south of Independent Ranchman's Ditch,
3. the area previously zoned PR-3 known as Monument Valley,
4. the Orchard Mesa Sanitation District area outside the "201" boundary as shown on the 1975 "201" Facilities Plan.

All petitioners within the fringe areas for or relating to, service by the Persigo Plant shall meet the following criteria:

1. Submit a report to the Mesa County Development Department and the Grand Junction Public Works Department that examines in detail the full range and costs of sewage disposal alternatives available to the proposed site or sites. In particular, the petitioner of a site must demonstrate that Persigo Plant's capacity is adequate to handle any proposed flow and show where reductions of flow from within the "201" boundary are available to off-set the proposed unallocated flow.

The report shall include considerations of costs and provisions associated with soils characteristics, estimated costs of highway, road, canal and railroad track crossings, elevations of property in relation

to elevation of nearest sewer line, proposed pump station, construction costs, operation and maintenance costs, responsibility for maintenance, future capacity studies for surrounding parcels and other aspects necessary to determine feasibility of proposed sewage disposal system.

2. All systems are constructed to City/County standards.
3. Adequate provision (both financially and institutionally) are made for operating and maintenance responsibilities.

PASSED and ADOPTED this _____ day of June, 1981.

Attest:

Chairman of the Board of County
Commissioners of the County of Mesa

County Clerk