

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

MEMORANDUM

Reply Requested

Yes  No

Date

July 29, 1982

To: (From:) Jim Wysocki From: (To:) Jim Patterson

I have heard second hand that Eric Kelly is proposing some changes in the 201 sewer service area boundary. As I understand it, he is looking at areas such as the rivers and flood plain and is saying that since those areas cannot be developed that a like amount of area can be added to the existing 201 area.

When we prepared the 201 plan we divided the total area into several subareas. We then looked at existing uses, zoning, development trends, and possible future zoning and development in those subareas to try to project future sewage flows. The new plant was designed at a capacity equal to the total expected sewage flow of all the subareas. All factors in the subareas such as rivers, flood plains, streets, parks, etc., was considered in estimating the sewage flows.

It is my opinion that to expand the 201 service area boundary would seriously jeopardize the ability to treat all of the expected sewage to be generated within the service area with the present plant design. Our agreement with EPA in accepting some \$20 million in grants is that we will treat all the sewage within the service area.