

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

MEMORANDUM

Reply Requested

Yes  No

Date

Aug. 28, 1984

To: (From:) Mark Achen

From: (To:) Jim Patterson

RE: CITY COUNTY RELATIONSHIPS MEMO FROM GORDON TIFFANY DATED AUG. 21, 1984.  
ITEM 8, SEWER SYSTEM.

The existing agreement between the City and County is the result of long and often touchy negotiations. Most of the discussion centered around who would own the system. I sat in on most (I believe all) of those meetings and I do not remember any reference to the agreement being an interim agreement.

I think the main point that they want to renegotiate is the provision for the City to require a power of attorney for annexation when someone connects to the sewer system. George White mentioned this to me on several occasions. I think Maxine Albers is the one saying that she remembers the agreement to be an interim agreement. Ashby may be able to give you more background information.

At the time the agreement was reached the City Council felt strongly about the annexation issue. If the current Council feels that way and that is the only real issue for discussion, then we have nothing to gain and something to lose on that one.

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO

MEMORANDUM

Reply Requested

Yes  No

Date

Aug. 28, 1984

To: (From:) Mark Achen

From: (To:) Jim Patterson

*J.P.*

RE: CITY COUNTY RELATIONSHIPS MEMO FROM GORDON TIFFANY DATED AUG. 21, 1984.  
ITEM 8, SEWER SYSTEM.

The existing agreement between the City and County is the result of long and often touchy negotiations. Most of the discussion centered around who would own the system. I sat in on most (I believe all) of those meetings and I do not remember any reference to the agreement being an interim agreement.

I think the main point that they want to renegotiate is the provision for the City to require a power of attorney for annexation when someone connects to the sewer system. George White mentioned this to me on several occasions. I think Maxine Albers is the one saying that she remembers the agreement to be an interim agreement. Ashby may be able to give you more background information.

At the time the agreement was reached the City Council felt strongly about the annexation issue. If the current Council feels that way and that is the only real issue for discussion, then we have nothing to gain and something to loose on that one.

*I talked to Mark Ebert and he said with George gone the annexation issue has died down but there are other items for discussion. J.P.*

*City still using P.H.  
of re. special dist.  
"except"*