

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO
MEMORANDUM

Reply Requested
Yes No

Date
Dec. 27, 1984

To: ~~(XXX)~~ Mark Eckert From: ~~(TX)~~ Jim Patterson 

RE: Your Memorandum of Dec. 4, 1984.

The intent of the Nichols study is to produce a working document which can be updated to incorporate changes and additions to the sewer system. Because it is that type of product I think it is well adapted to include the additional information that you would like to see. The question is who will do the work.

It is the intent of the city to keep the study up to date on those portions of the sewer system within the city by using the existing city engineering staff. I don't think time and staff size will allow the city to update the document for areas outside of the city. Since the county does not currently have the staff to do that work I assume that a consulting engineer would be used. While I can think of some advantages of having Nichols do the work I don't think you have to limit your choice of engineers to Nichols.

Starting Jan. 21, 1985 my activities with the city will be limited to projects related to the sewer system, including final acceptance of the Nichols report. I would be happy to meet with you and Nichols to discuss the amount of work involved and their estimated cost range for having that work done either by them or someone else.