

TUCKED
inside
light
blue
'85
Patterson
report
Box 2

Mark

April 15, 1985

NEWSLETTER

of Appointed Administrators Serving
Cities, and Other Local Governments

I would say it
is transferable to
Pensings.

TOM DOUVILLE
Any sense of implications

Update on Antitrust Laws

In a late-March ruling, the Supreme Court expanded local government immunity from federal antitrust laws and broadly defined the circumstances in which cities are exempt.

It ruled in the *Town of Hallie v. City of Eau Claire* case that the city of Eau Claire, Wisc., cannot be sued under antitrust laws by surrounding towns for allegedly monopolizing sewage collection and treatment. The ruling means that state-approved city actions are not subject to antitrust restrictions and city actions do not have to be supervised by the state.

According to an article in the April 1 issue of *Nation's Cities Weekly*, "The Supreme Court also made clear that, because there are differences between the actions of cities and those of private parties, the courts should treat the actions of cities with more deference than those of private parties."

IRS Modifies IRS Regulations

House and Senate have passed bill to
partly alter the regulations

Patterson - I+I Study (need to make sure County personnel understand utility strengths + weaknesses)
(88 x - 1587)
1687
DISCUSS - Bennett
Mgmt. Study
Spec Treatment Program
Mapping of 201 Study Area (E. of GT)

Separate sheets