

# COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

4210 E. 11TH AVENUE

DENVER 80220

PHONE 388-6111

机用 注意的

26181

CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION

ANTHONY ROBBINS, M.D., M.P.A. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

WATER QUALITY CONTROL COMMISSION

# NOTICE OF FINAL ADOPTION

PURSUANT to the provisions of Section 24-4-103, C.R.S., 1973, as amended,

NOTICE is hereby given that on November 1, 1977, the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission adopted, subsequent to public hearings held pursuant to and in accordance with due and proper legal notice and after hearing all interested persons present, a regulation entitled:

> STATE OF COLORADO FEDERAL CONSTRUCTION GRANT PRIORITY SYSTEM AND GRANT ADMINISTRATION PROCEDURES

Copies of the above regulation are attached and made a part of this notice.

Also attached are copies of the 1977 Priority List, an alphabetical and numerical listing of municipalities and their assigned points; and the Colorado-EPA Sewerage Construction Grant Funding List, which were adopted by the Commission as guidelines on November 1, 1977.

The effective date of the regulation will be twenty (20) days after the date upon which this Notice is published.

Dated this 15th day of December, 1977, at Denver, Colorado.

0

Evan D. Dildine, P.E. Technical Secretary Water Quality Control Commission

EDD:rr

Attachments

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH Water Quality Control Commis on •4210 E. 11th Avenue Denver, Colorado 80220

\dopted: November 1, 1977
Effective: January 6, 1978

# 5.3.0 <u>STATE OF COLORADO FEDERAL CONSTRUCTION GRANT</u> PRIORITY SYSTEM AND GRANT ADMINISTRATION PROCEDURES

#### 5.3.1 AUTHORITY

Section 25-8-207 (1) (a), C.R.S. 1973, as amended.

#### 5.3.2 DEFINITIONS

See the State Water Quality Control Act and the Water Quality Control Codified regulations for additional definitions.

- "COLLECTION SYSTEM" A system of sewers used to collect wastewater and convey the water by gravity or pressure flow to a common point.
- (2) "INTERCEPTOR SEWER" (Intercepting sewer)

A sewer that receives dry weather flows from a number of transverse sewers or outlets and conducts such water to a point for treatment or disposal. (A further refinement of the difference between a collection system and an interceptor sewer for the purpose of construction grants is presented in the section entitled General Policies).

(3) "WATER REUSE"

The recycling of a substantial portion of the effluent stream through the production of agricultural, silvicultural, or aquacultural products, through irrigation or public areas such as open space or recreation sites, or through industrial reuse, which results in substantial and effective upgrading of the effluent prior to discharge into a natural watercourse. Reuse can include discharge to an irrigation reservoir. Any dispute regarding whether or not a project qualifies as a water reuse will be resolved by the Commission.

# 5.3.3 PURPOSE

The Grant Priority System is intended to identify those municipalities that currently have the most severe wastewater treatment problems and to allocate Federal funds for the most beneficial program of water quality improvement. Evaluation of the specific proposals submitted will take place at the time the grant applications are considered. The intended result of the priority system will be a concentration of projects in high priority areas. The high priority areas will include the existing high quality waters, that is, those which are presently classified A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>, and B<sub>1</sub>. While there may be other uses identified, these are the ones normally associated with the necessity for preventing degradation by municipal discharges. The priority system is intended to fund all eligible projects on a decreasing priority basis, and municipalities will be assured that all justifiable projects will eventually be funded if Federal funds continue to be available.

## Water Quality Control Commission

# Adopted: November 1, 1977 Effective: January 6, 1978

# 5.3.3 GENERAL POLICIES

- (1) In determining which projects to fund, the Water Quality Control Commission will consider: The severity of pollution problems, the population affected, the need for preservation of high quality waters, national priorities and policies, state goals and policies, as well as total funds available, project and treatment works sequence, and established uses of the water of the state.
- (2) It is the policy of the Commission to maintain the existing high quality waters in the mountain areas by providing funds for a higher degree of treatment where required.
- (3) The need for waste treatment in excess of the minimum national requirement of secondary treatment will depend on whether or not secondary treatment is sufficient to achieve the water quality standards required by the classification assigned by the Commission to the receiving waters. If secondary treatment is not sufficient, a higher degree of treatment will be required and will be funded. However, it is also the policy of the Commission to fund a higher degree of treatment where it can be established that such systems will be needed and it will be more cost effective to design and build such systems at the present rather than add them at a later date.
- (4) Not more than 55% of the total available Federal construction grant allocations shall be awarded to the aggregate of projects within the boundary of the Denver Metropolitan Planning area. The area is established by the Denver Regional Council of Governments and will include Westminster-Broomfield, Englewood-Littleton, South Adams, South Lakewood, Clear Creek, lower South Platte and the Sand Creek Planning areas. This planning area will include the drainage basins as follows: Clear Creek-Golden to mouth; South Platte River-Chatfield to Weld County line; Sand Creek-Douglas County to mouth; Cherry Creek-Douglas County to mouth; Bear Creek-Morrison to mouth; and Big Dry Creek-Rocky Flats to the Weld County line.
- (5) It is not the policy of the Commission to encourage new development or proliferation of new sewer districts or waste treatment plants. It is the policy of the Commission to encourage good local planning within the concept of the area-wide waste management plans developed under section 208 of the Federal Act (92-500). Projects which do not conform to certified areawide waste management plans, as updated from time to time, will not be considered for grants under this priority system.
- (6) It is the policy of the Commission to fund, on a priority basis, treatment facilities, interceptor sewers, and the appurtenances associated with these two sewerage service items. It is not the policy of the Commission to fund sewage collection systems until all needs for cor struction of interceptor sewers and sewage treatment works have been satisfied; however, certain hardship cases may be funded if Federal requirements permit such funding and after adequate public notice and

(2)

#### Water 'Quality Control Commissic

Adopted: November 1, 1977 Effective: January 6, 1978

a public hearing has been held.

A sewer line can be considered eligible for funding as an interception  $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\sim}$  sewer if it performs one or more of the following functions as its primary purpose:

(a) It intercepts wastes from a final point in a collection system and conveys such wastes directly to a treatment facility.

(b) It serves in place of a treatment facility and transports the collected wastes to an adjoining collection system or interceptor sewer for treatment.

(c) It transports the wastes from one or more municipal collection system to another municipality or to a regional plant for treatment.

(d) It intercepts an existing major discharge of raw or inadequately treated wastewater for transport directly to another interceptor or to a treatment plant.

A sewer with a minor number of building or lateral connections may be considered an interceptor sewer if it performs one or more of the functions listed above. Eligibility of pumping stations will be determined on the basis of the sewers which they serve. Interceptor sewers will carry the same project points as treatment plants which they eliminate or to which they discharge. This will be based on the one given the most points.

# 5.3.5 PROCEDURES

- (1) The priority point system will be in three parts. The first part is the basic point system which will be applied to all municipalities. This part of the system will address population affected and preservation of high quality waters. The second part, the project point system, will be based on need for a particular project or a component part of a project which may be an interceptor sewer, a new treatment facility or expansion/upgrading of an existing treatment facility. Repairs or maintenance such as replacement in kind will not be eligible for funding. The third part is the special points system. It is the Commission's policy to move on to design and construction of any needed facility and to encourage multi-use water reclamation projects. Therefore the Commission will give special points (1) to assure that a project proceeds to completion and (2) for water reuse as defined herein.
- (2) Populations used for the basic point system will be the latest confirmed figures of the actual existing population, i.e. U.S. Census Bureau, approved facility plans, or Division inspection records.
- (3) Basic points assigned to a municipality should not change from year to year unless there is a significant population change or unless there is a change in the use classification assigned to the receiving stream.
- (4) Project points will be added to the basic points to determine funding

(3)

priority for Step I, II and III grants of 201 plans. Each project will receive only one category of project points; however, if two categories are applicable, the highest point category will be used. For example, if an overloaded secondary waste treatment plant also causes a health hazard the higher point category for a health hazard will be used.

- (5) Special points will be added to the basic and project points for Step II and III grants. Since special points may add as much as 95 points to the total, the relative position of a municipality on the priority list may change. Where a Step I plan justifies going ahead with Step II and Step III, 50 points will be added. Where the Step I plan chooses a water reuse alternative, an additional 45 points will be added. Choosing a water reuse alternative in particular will have a major impact on the position of the municipality on the funding list.
- (6) In the event two or more municipalities receive an equal number of priority points, such ties shall be broken on the basis of population. The municipality with the largest population as used in determining its basic points will be first.
- (7) Interceptor sewers needed to serve new or existing sewage treatment plants will carry the same project points as the treatment plants. Interceptor sewers which will eliminate a sewage treatment plant, will carry the same project points as the plant to which the wastes in the sewer will ultimately discharge. For example, if the interceptor sewer discharges to an advanced waste treatment plant, the project would receive points as shown in Section 5.3.6. Also, if there is a raw discharge and/or a health hazard is being eliminated the project will also receive special points and could also receive special points for water reuse and for having an approved 201 plan.
- (8) It shall be the responsibility of each COG regional planning commission to develop a project list and assign basic, project, and special points to eligible municipal projects within its jurisdiction. These lists shall then be sent to the Water Quality Control Division for review and compilation into a statewide priority list based upon the These local lists submitted. If such lists are not received by the Division by an established yearly deadline, the list will be prepared by the Division. If a disagreement is found between the Division and the regional planning commission the disagreement will be brought to the Commission at the time of public hearing for resolution. All known municipalities as defined by the act that have responsibilities for constructing sewage treatment facilities and interceptor sewers will be listed in the priority system, including unsewered incorporated municipalities. Since it is possible that a municipality may have been missed, the Commission requests such municipalities to notify the Technical Secretary of the Commission at the earliest practicable date.
- (9) Each year for which grant funds are available a project priority funding list will be compiled by the Water Quality Control Division and presented to the Commission. The project funding list will consist

(1)

. . .

#### Water Quality Control Commission

Adopted: November 1, 1977 Effective: Janauary 6, 1978

> of only those projects which are identified by 208 plans as needing to start planning during the current or next fiscal year, or in the absence of a certified 208 plan, the project has been reviewed and approved by local and 208 planning agencies, and those projects for which Step I plans or equivalent have been completed or are near completion. Each municipality on the basic list will be notified of the proposed list and a public hearing will be held. After considering all pertinent comments, the Commission will adopt the fund-ing list for the next fiscal year. Projects started in previous fiscal years will be carried forward on each new list until completed. Approximately 2% of each year's appropriation may be retained for one year for new Step I grants. This does not limit Step I grants to 2%of available funds, but is intended to assure a reasonable mix of all project steps and assure an orderly progression of projects. Step I grants may be made only in priority order on the approved list. Grants may be certified out of order but will be limited to the total amount of available funds. If projects are needed and are ready to proceed to the next step, and additional funds become available for any reason within a fiscal year, additional grants may be made but only in priority order for either Step I, II or III. Funds must be retained to cover all needed projects. If it is subsequently determined that a project is not needed, the project can be removed from the funding list at the next Commission hearing or at a special hearing if circumstances warrant it. A minimum of five percent of each year's appropriation will be reserved for grant increases. Any of these funds not obligated within eighteen months after the end of the fiscal year for which they were appropriated will be used for regular grants.

(10) Within 10 days of the adoption of this priority system and the priority list by the Commission, the Division will notify those municipalities on the priority funding list by certified mail that federal grant funds have been reserved for them. Within 30 days of receipt of such letter, each municipality is requested to notify the Division of the municipality's intention to file an application for a Step I, Step II, or Step III grant, as appropriate. No later than January 1, of the next year, each municipality so notified of Step I eligibility shall file an application for a grant. For those municipalities in possession of a National Pollutant Elimination System Permit, issued by either the United States Environmental Protection Agency or the Division of Administration, Colorado Department of Health, failure to apply for a grant for which they are eligible may result in initiation of an enforcement action against the municipality for failing to abide by the permit conditions. Adopted: November 1, 1977 Effective: Janaury 6, 1978

## 5.3.6

### PRIORITY POINT SYSTEM

- (1) BASIC POINT SYSTEM FOR ALL MUNICIPALITIES
  - (A) <u>Population</u> The population of the municipality or the municipalities served, See the Population Chart
  - (B) <u>Discharge to State Waters</u> Actual or potential discharge from a treatment plant to waters which presently are classified  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , or  $B_1$
- (2) <u>PROJECT POINTS</u> Only one category can be used for each project
  - (A) Status of Waste Treatment
    - (a) <u>Interceptor Sewers</u> which eliminate an existing treatment plant or eliminate a designated health hazard. This will also include approved Inflow/Infiltration correction projects.
    - (b) All other Interceptor Sewers
    - (c) Expansion of Treatment Plant where current loading exceeds 80% of the rated organic or hydraulic capacity
    - (d) <u>Overloaded Secondary Treatment Plant</u> including sludge handling (actually exceeding rated capacity)
    - (e) <u>Treatment Beyond Secondary</u> includes land treatment needed to meet water quality requirements
    - (f) <u>Designated Health Hazards</u> malfunctioning of a majority of the septic tanks serving an area to be sewered; or pollution of state waters which causes a health hazard. These must have been officially designated a health hazard by a responsible health authority.
- (3) <u>SPECIAL POINTS</u> To be added only for Step II and III grants and only when there is a needed project shown by a completed 201 plan or equivalent
  - (A) <u>Completed 201 plan or equivalent justifying a</u> <u>Step II or III project</u> this could include a 201 plan nearing completion or an approved 208 plan which identifies a project and needs only minor additions to be the equivalent of a 201 plan. (6)

50 points maximum

15 points

Same points as receiving treatment plant, or 20 point whichever is large

5 points

10 points

30 points

20 points

40 points

50 points

## Water Quality Control Commiss

Adopted: November 1, 1977 Effective: January 6, 1978


·注入》等456.44

## (B) <u>Water Reuse</u>: See definition of water resue in Section 5.3.2 to determine whether or not the project qualifies for reuse points.

45 points

# 5.3.7 STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

A written statement of the basis and purpose of these priority point system regulations has been prepared and adopted by the Commission. This written statement is hereby incorporated in the regulations by reference in accord with C.R.S., 1973, 24-4-103, as amended.



# COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HE H Water Quality Control Commission 4210 E. 11th Avenue Denver, Colorado 80220

APPROVED: November 1, 1977

# 1977 PRIORITY LIST Alphabetical Listing

.

MUNICIPALITY	Population Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
Academy Water & San. District	1	15	16	0		16
Aguilar, Town of	3		3	0		3
Akron, Town of	10		10	0		10
Alamosa, City of	19	15	34	0		34
Antonito, Town of	6	15	21	· · · · <b>O</b> -···· ·		21
Arriba, Town of	1		1	0		1
Arvada, City of	35		35	0	- 4 -	35
Aspen Metro/Aspen San. Distric		15	39	20*	50*	109
Aspen Metropolitan San. Distric		15	36	0 .	·	36
Aspen Sanitation District	18	15	33	0		33
Ault Sanitation District	7		7	0		7
Aurora, City of	38		38	0		38
Avondale Water & San. District	13		13	30		43
Bailey Water & San. District	1	15	16	30		46
Basalt Sanitation District	8	15	23	0		23
Bayfield Sanitation District	3	15	18	30		48
Bennett Sanitation District	3		3	0		. 3
Berthoud, Town of	13	10	13	0		13
Blackhawk-Central City San. Di	st. ]	15	16	0		16
Blanca Sanitation District	1	15	16	0		16
Boone Sanitation District		10	7	0	50	. 7
Boulder, City of (WWTP)	36	15	51	20	50 50*	121
Boulder, City of (Sludge)	36	15	51	30*	20~	131
Box Elder Sanitation District	15	15	15	0	50	15 105
Breckenridge Sanitation Distric		15	35	20	50 50	105
Brighton, City of	22		22	30	50	102
Bristol Water & San. District	1		1	0		25
Broomfield, City of	25		25 15	10		25
Brush, City of	15 t 11	15	26	0		26
Buena Vista Sanitation Distric	13	15	13	30	45	88
Burlington Sanitation District			2	30	75	32
Byers Water & Sanitation Distr			2	30	50	81
Calhan Sanitation District	1		i	0		1
Campo, Town of Canon City Metro San. District	23		23	õ		23
Carbondale Sanitation District	13	15	28	20	50	98
Castle Rock, Town of	13	15	28	30		58
Cedaredge, Town of	5	15	20	0		20
Center Sanitation District	9	. •	9	30		39
Central Clear Creek San. Distr	-	15	16	0		16
Central Grand Valley San. Dist			1	Ō		1
central analia failing bank bibb			-	-		

\* These points will not count if project funded with FY-76 money.

# Water Quality Control Commonsion 1977 Priority List Alphabetical Listing

Approved: November 1, 1977

			ų.				
	MUNICIPALITY	Population Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
	Chapel Hills Sanitation Distric	ct ]	15	16	0		16
	Cheyenne Wells San. District #		15	6	ŏ		6
	Cimarron Sanitation District	16	15	31	30	50	111
	Clear Creek Valley San. Distric		15	25	0	50	25
	Clifton Sanitation District #1	8		8	30	50	88
	Clifton Sanitation District #2	14		14	30	50	94
	Collbran, Town of	14		14	0	50	1
	Colorado City Water & San. Dist	-		10	0		10
	Colorado Springs, City of (Slue			41	30	95	166
	Copper Mountain Water & San. D		15	31	20	50	51
	Cortez Sanitation District	21	15	21	30	95	146
	Craig, City of	19	15	34	30	50	114
	Creede, Town of	5	15	5	30	50	35
	Crested Butte, Town of	2	15	17	30		47
	Crested Butte Water & San. Dis		15	35	20		55
	Crestview Water & San. Distric		15	27	0		27
	Cripple Creek, City of	7		7	30	50	87
	Crook Sanitation District	í		i	30		31
	De Beque, Town of	i		i	30	50	81
	Deer Trail, Town of	1		1	30		31
	Del Norte, Town of	9	15	24	30		54 -
	Delta, City of	15		15	30	50	95
	Denver (Public Works Departmen	t) 49		49	30		79
	Denver (Water Department)	49		49	30		79
	Denver Southeast Suburban W&S	Dist. 6		6	0		6
	Dinosaur Sanitation District	]		1	0		1
	Dolores, Town of	6	15	21	30		51
	Donala Water & San. District	1		1	0		T
	Dove Creek, Town of	3		3	0		3
	Durango, City of	26	15	41	30	50	121
	Eads, Town of	4		4	0		4
	Eagle Sanitation District	2	15	17	0		17
	East Alamosa Water & San. Dist	. 7	15	22	0		22
	East Canon Sanitation District	10		10	0		10
	Eastern Fremont County San. Dis			26	40	50	116
	Eaton, Town of	9		9	0		9
•	Elbert Water & Sanitation Dist	. 1		1	0		1
	Elizabeth, Town of	1		1	0		1
	Empire, Town of	1	15	16	0		16
	Englewood, City of	35	15	50	0		50
	Englewood/Littleton	40	15	55	20	50	125
	Erie Water & Sanitation Distri			8	30	50	88
	Estes Park San. District	24	15	39	20	50	109
	Evans Sanitation District	16		16	0		16
	Evergreen Sanitation District	10	15	25	20		45
	Fairplay Sanitation District		15	16	30		46
	Fairways Water & San. District		15	16			16
	Flagler Sanitation District	3		3 1	30		33
	Fleming Sanitation District	I		I	0		1

1

Water Quality Control Con sion 1977 Priority List Alphabetical Listing

Approved: November 1, 1977

		•				
**	Population	Stream	Basic	Project	Special	
MUNICIPALITY	Points	Points	Points	Points	Points	TOTAL
	1011103	10111125	FUTTLS	FUTTLS	FUTTLS	TUTAL
Florence Sanitation District	13		13	0		13
Forest Lakes Metro District	1	15	16	ŏ		16
Fort Collins, City of (Sludge)		10	34	30	50	114
Fort Garland Water & San. Dist		15	16	30	50	46
Fort Lupton, Town of	13	10	13	30		43
Fort Morgan, City of	20		20	30		50
Fountain Sanitation District	19		19	30	50	99
Fowler, Town of	7		7	0	50	7
Fraser SD/Winter Park W W&S Di	•	15	31	ŏ		31
Frisco Sanitation District	7	15	22	20*	50*	92
Fruita, Town of	11	15	11	30	50	91
Garden Valley San. District	9		9 .	30	50	89
Genesee Water & San. District	ĩ	15	16	0	50	16
Georgetown Valley Water & San.	Dist.3	15	18	30		48
Gilcrest Sanitation District	-4	15	4	Ő		40 4
Glendale, City of	14		14	20		34
Glenwood Springs, City of	16	15	31	30	50	111
Golden, City of	22		22	Ũ		22
Granada Sanitation District	2		2	Ō		2
Granby Sanitation District	6	15	21	20	50	91
Grand County (Upper Fraser)	24	15	39	30	50	119
Grand County Water & San. Dist	. #1 10	15	25	0		25
Grand Junction, City of (Reg.			29	30	50	109
Grand Junction, City of(Interc			29	30*	50*	109
Grand Lake Sanitation District		15	21	0		21
Grand Valley Sanitation Distri	ct l		1	30	50	81
Greeley, City of	31		31	30	50	111
Grover, Town of	]		1	Ō		1
Gunnison, City of	20	15	35	30		65
Gypsum, Town of	1	15	16	30		46
Harvest Estates Sanitation Dis	trict 6		6	0		6
Haxtun, Town of	6		6	Ō		6
Hayden, Town of	10	15	25	20	95	140
Hazeltine Heights Water & San.			1	0		1
Highline Park Water & San. Dis			2	30		32
Hi Land Acres Water & San. Dis			1	30		31
Hill-n-Park Sanitation Distric	t 4		4	30		34
Holly, Town of	6		6	0		6
Holly Dot Park Water & San. Di	st. 1		1	0		1
Holyoke, Town of	9		9	0		9
Hotchkiss Sanitation District	2	15	17	30		47
Hot Sulphur Springs, Town of	1	15	16	0	•	16
Hudson Sanitation District	2		2	30		32
Hugo, Town of	4		4	30		34
Idaho Springs, City of	12	15	27	30		57

# Water Quality Control Con. ssion 1977 Priority List Alphabetical Listing

APPROVED: November 1, 1977

MUNICIPALITY	Population Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
Norwood Sanitation District Nucla Sanitation District Oak Creek, Town of Olathe, Town of Olney Springs, Town of Ordway, Town of	1 6 4 7 1 6	15 15	1 6 19 22 1 6	0 0 30 30 0 30	50	1 6 99 52 1 36
Otis Sanitation District Ouray Sanitation District Ovid, Town of Pagosa Springs Sanitation Dist Pagosa Water & Sanitation Dist Palisade, Town of Palmer Lake Sanitation Distric	. 6 6	15 15 15	1 4 24 21 6 22	0 30 0 30 30 0	50	1 4 31 24 21 86 22
Paonia, Town of Parker Water & San. District Peetz Sanitation District Penrose Sanitation Association Perry Park Water & San. Dist. Phippsburg, Town of	7 1 1	15 15 15	22 1 1 1 17 16 5	30 0 0 30 0 10	50	102 1 1 47 16 15
Pierce, City of Pinon Water & Sanitation Distr Platteville, Town of Pueblo, City of Pueblo West Metro District Purgatory Water & San. Distric Ramah, Town of	8 37 11 t 13 1	15	1 8 37 11 28 1	0 10 30 10 0 0	50	1 18 117 21 28 1
Rangely Sanitation District Redcliff Water & San. District Redstone Water & San. District Rico, Town of Ridgway, Town of Rifle, City of	1 1 13	15 15 15 15	10 17 16 16 1 28	0 30 0 30 30	50 50	10 97 16 16 31 108
Rocky Ford, City of Round Mountain San. District Roxborough Park Metro District Rye, Town of Saguache, Town of St. Charles Mesa San. District	1 2 19	15	16 16 1 2 19	30 0 30 0		46 16 1 31 2 19
St.Mary's Glacier W & S Dist. Salida, City of Sanford, Town of San Luis Water & San. District Security Water & San. District Sedgwick, Town of Seibert, Town of Severance, Town of		15 15 15 15	16 33 17 19 19 1 1 1 1	0 30 0 10 0 0 0	50	16 113 17 19 29 1 1 1

Water Quality Control Comm. sion 1977 Priority List Alphabetical Listing

APPROVED: November 1, 1977

F	Population Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
Silt Sanitation District	4	15	19	30	50	99
Silver Heights W & S District	i		1	0		1
Silverthorne/Dillon JSA	21	15	36	20	50	106
Silverton, Town of	3	15	18	20		38
Simla, Town of	1		1	0		1
Snowmass Water & San. District	21	15	36	20*	95*	151
South Adams County W & S Distric	t 28		28	30	50	108
South Fort Collins San. District			15	0		15
South Lakewood San. District	25		25	<b>3</b> 0	95	150
Spring Canyon Water & San. Dist.	13		13	0		13
Springfield, Town of	9		9	0		9
Steamboat Springs, City of	22	15	37	0	_	37
Steamboat Springs RSA	26	15	41	20	95	156
Steamboat II Water & San. Dist.	1	15	16	0		16
Sterling, City of	22		22	40	50	112
Strasburg Water & San. District	3		3	0		3
Stratmoor Hills San. District	18		18	30	50	98
Stratton Sanitation District	4		4	0		4
Sugar City, Town of	1	10	1	30	50	31
Summit County (Lower Blue)	1	15 15	16	20 20	50 50	86 104 -
Summit County (Snake River)	19 28	15	34 43	20	50	113
Summit County (Sludge) Swink, Town of	20	15	43	20	50	113
Sylvan Lakes Water & San. Dist.	7	15	16	0		16
Telluride, Town of	4	15	19	30	50	99
Thornton, City of	29	15	29	Ő	20	29
Three Lakes Water & San. Dist.	23	15	38	20	95	153
Timnath, Town of	1	10	ĩ	0		1
Trinidad, City	21		21	30		51
Upper Eagle Valley San. District		15	26	0		26
Upper Eagle Valley/Vail	26	15	41	30	50	121
Upper Thompson Sanitation Distri	ct 1	15	16	0		16
Vail Water & Sanitation District		15	41	0		41
Victor, City of	1		1	30	50	81
Vilas, Town of	1		1	0		1
Vona, Town of	· 1		1	0		1
Walden, Town of	6	15	21	30		51
Walsenburg, City of	16		16	30		46
Walsh, Town of	6		6	0		6
Weld County Tri-Area San. Distri			14	30	50	94
Wellington, Town of	7	1-	7	0		7
West Glenwood Springs San. Dist.		15	26	0		26
West Jefferson County San. Dist.		15	21	0		21
Westminster, City of	27		27	0	0F	27
Westminster/Broomfield	31	15	31	20	95	146 19
West Montrose Sanitation Distric	ct 4	15	19	0		13

-

Water Quality Control Com sion 1977 Priority List Alphabetical Listing

Approved: November 1, 1977

P MUNICIPALITY	opulation Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
Wheatridge Sanitation District	30		30	30		60
Wiggins Water & San. District Wiley Sanitation District	3		3	0		3
Willowbrook Water & San. Distric	t i		i	Õ		1
Windsor, Town of	14	16	14	0		14
Winter Park Water & San. Distric Woodland Park Sanitation Distric		15 15	36 24	0 30	,	36 54
Wray, City of	11	15	11	30	45	86
Yampa, Town of	2	15	17	0		17
Yuma, Town of	11		11 5 1	30	45	86

# COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF HE 'H Water Quality Control Commission 4210 E. 11th Avenue Denver, Colorado 80220

APPROVED: November 1, 1977

## 1977 PRIORITY LIST Numerical Listing

	MUNICIPALITY	Population Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
1 2 3	Colorado Springs (Sludge) Steamboat Springs RSA Three Lakes	41 26 23	15 15	41 41 38	30 20 20	95 95 95	166 156 153
4 5 6	Snowmass South Lakewood Westminster/Broomfield	21 25 31	15	36 25 31	20* 30 20	95* 95 95	151 150 146
7 8 9	Cortez Northglenn Hayden	21 29 10	15	21 29 25	30 20 20	95 95 95	146 144 140
10 11 12	Boulder (Sludge) Metro Denver	36 50 40	15 15	51 50 55	30* 30 20	50* 50 50	131 130 125
	Montrose Boulder (WWTP)	20 36 26	15 15 15	35 51 41	40 20 30	50 50 50	125 121 121
16 17	Upper Eagle Valley/Vail Grand County (Upper Fraser)	26 24	15 15 15	41 39	30 30	50 50 50 50	121 - 119
19 20	Pueblo Eastern Fremont County Fort Collins (Sludge)	37 26 34	1 -	37 26 34	30 40 30	50 50	117 116 114
23	Summit County (Sludge) Salida	19 28 18	15 15 15	34 43 33	30 20 30	50 50 50	114 113 113
25	Leadville Sterling Greeley	18 22 31	15	33 22 31	30 40 30	50 50 50	113 112 111
27 28 29	Cimarron	) 16 16 15	15 15 15	31 31 30	30* 30 30	50* 50 50	111 111 110
30 31 32	Grand Junction (Interceptors)	29 29 t 24	15	29 29 39	30 30* 20*	50 50* 50*	109 109 109
33 34	Estes Park South Adams County Rifle	24 28 13	15 15	39 28 28	20 30 30	50 50 50	109 108 108
36 37	Silverthorne/Dillon Breckenridge Summit County (Snake River)	21 20 19	15 15 15	36 35 34	20 20 20	50 50 50	106 105 104
39 40	Brighton Paonia New Castle	22 7 5	15 15	22 22 20	30 30 30	50 50 50	102 102 100
42	Lafayette Fountain	19 19		19 19	30 30	50 50	99 99

\* These points will not count if project funded with FY-76 money.

# Water Quality Control Commission 1977 Priority List Numerical Listing

APPROVED: November 1, 1977

.

.

	MUNICIPALITY	Population Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
45 46	Oak Creek Telluride Silt Loveland (Interceptor	4 4 28	15 15 15	19 19 19 28	30 30 30 20*	50 50 50 50*	99 99 99 98
	Stratmoor Hills Carbondale Redcliff	18 13 2 15	15 15	18 28 17 15	30 20 30 30	50 50 50 50	98 98 97 95
52 53 54	Clifton Sanitation District #2 Weld County Tri-Area Frisco	14 14 7	15	14 14 22	30 30 20*	50 50 50*	94 94 92
56 57	Fruita Granby Garden Valley Burlington	11 6 9 13	15	11 21 9 13	30 20 30 30	50 50 50 45	91 91 89 88
59 60 61 62	Erie Clifton Sanitation District #1 Cripple Creek Yuma	8 8 7 11		8 8 7 11	30 30 30 30	50 50 50 45	88 88 87 86
65 66	Wray Palisade Summit County (Lower Blue) Grand Valley Calhan	11 6 1 1	15	11 6 16 1	30 30 20 30 30	45 50 50 50 50	86 86 81 81
68 69 70	De Beque Victor Denver (Wastewater)	1 1 49		1 1 49	30 30 30	50 50 50	81 81 79 79
73	Denver (Water Department) Gunnison Wheatridge Castle Rock	49 20 30 12	15 15	49 35 30 28	30 30 30 30		65 60 58
		12 t. 20 9 9	15 15 15 15	27 35 24 35 <sup>24</sup>	30 20 30 30		57 55 54 54
79 80 81	Olathe Trinidad Copper Mountain Kremmling	7 21 16 6	15 15 15	22 21 31 21	30 30 20 30		52 51 51 51
83 84 85	Dolores Walden Englewood Fort Morgan	6 6 35 20	15 15 15	21 21 50 20	30 30 0 30		51 51 50 50
87	Georgetown Valley Bayfield Perry Park	3 3 2 2	15 15 15 15	18 18 17 17	30 30 30 30 30		48 48 47 47
90 91	Hotchkiss Crested Butte	2	15	17	30		47

# Water Quality Control Comr sion 1977 Priority Listing Numerical Listing

APPROVED: November 1, 1977

	MUNICIPALITY	Population Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
02	Littlatan	31	15	46	0		46
	Littleton	16	15	16	30		46
	Rocky Ford	16		16	30		46
94 95	Walsenburg	10	15	16	30		46
95	Gypsum Fairplay	1 7	15	16	30		46
90 97	Fort Garland	1	15	16	30		46
98	Bailey	1	15	16	30		46
99	Evergreen	10	15	25	20		45
	Fort Lupton	13		13	30		43
101	Las Animas	13		13	30		43
	Avondale	13		13	30		43
	Vail	26	15	41	0		41
	Limon	10		10	30		40
	Julesburg	10		10	30		40
	Center	9		9	30		39
	Aurora	38		38	0		38
	Johnstown	8		8	30		38
	Silverton	8 3	15	18	20		38
	Steamboat Springs	22	15	37	0		37
	Milliken	7		7	30		37
	Winter Park	21	15	36	0		36 -
	Aspen Metro	21	15	36	0		36
	Louisville	16		16	20		36
	Ordway	6		6	30		36
116		1	15	16	20		36
	Arvada	35		35	0		35
118	Mt. Werner	20	15	35	0		35
119	Creede	5		5	30		35
120	Alamosa	19	15	34	0		34 34
121	Glendale	14		14	20		34
	Hill-n-Park	4		4	30		34
123	Naturita	4		4	30		34
	Нидо	4		4	30		34
	Aspen Sanitation District	18	15	33	0		33
	Flagler	3		3	30		33 32 32 32
	Hudson	2 2 2		2 2 2 2	30		32
	Byers	2		2	30		32
	Highline Park	2		2	30		32
	La Veta	2			30		32
	Fraser/Winter Park West	16	15	3]	0		31
	Log Lane	1		1	30		31
	Ovid	1			30		31
	Manzanola	l 7		1	30		31 31
	Morrison			 7	30		31
	Deer Trail	l r		l r	30		31
	Hi Land Acres	l T		ן ר	30 30		31
138	Sugar City	1		1	30		51

# Water Quality Control Commision 1977 Priority Listing Numerical Listing

APPROVED: November 1, 1977

· .

	MUNICIPALITY	Population Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
1 30	Rye	7		1	30		31
	Ridgway	1 .		i	30		31
	Crook	i		i l	30		31
	Mead	i		i	30		31
	Longmont	30		30	0		30
	Thornton	29		29	Ō		29
	Security	19		19	10		29
	Purgatory	13	15	28	0		28
	Westminster	27		27	0		27
148	Crestview	27		27	0		27
149	Upper Eagle Valley	11	15	26	0		26
150	Buena Vista	11	15	26	0		26
151	West Glenwood Springs	11	15	26	0		26
	Meeker	11	15	26	0		26
	Broomfield	25		25	0		25
154	Clear Creek Valley	25		25	0		25
	Brush	15		15	10		25
	Grand County Water & San. Dist		15	25	0	,	25
157	Pagosa Springs Sanitation Dist	rict 9	15	24	0		24
	Canon City Metro	23	16	23	0		23
	Basalt	8	15	23	0		23 23
	Lake Eldora	8	15	23	0		23
	Golden	22		22	0		22
	Palmer Lake	· 7	15 15	22 22	0		22
	East Alamosa	7	15	11	10		21
	Pueblo West	11	15	21	0		21
	Grand Lake	6	15	21	0		21
	Antonito	6	15	21	0		21
	Lake City Bagosa Waton & San District	6	15	21	0		21
	Pagosa Water & San. District West Jefferson County	6	15	21	0		21
	La Junta	20	15	20	0		20
	Lamar	20		20	0		20
	Cedaredge	5	15	20	Ŭ.		20
	Ignacio	5	15	20	Ō		20
	St. Charles Mesa	19		19	Ō		19
	San Luis	. 4	15	19	Ō		19
	La Jara	4	15	19	0		19
	Manassa	4	15	19	0		19
	West Montrose	4	15	19	0		19
	Platteville			8	10		18
	Sanford	2	15	17	0		17
	Eagle	8 2 2 2 2	15	17	· 0		17
	Nederland	2	15	17	0		17
<b>1</b> - 1			15	17	0		17
+ - 7	Evans	16		16	0		16

# Water Quality Control Com ssion 1977 Priority List Numerical Listing

APPROVED: November 1, 1977

						· ·
	Population	Stream	Basic	Project	Special	
MUNICIPALITY	Points	Points	Points	Points	Points	TOTAL
185 Central Clear Creek	1	15	16	0		16
186 Fairways	i	15	16	Ő		16
187 Blackhawk-Central City	i	15	16	0		16
188 Empire	i	15	16	0		16
189 Round Mountain	1	15	16	0		16
190 Hot Sulphur Springs	1	15	16	0		16
191 Phippsburg	1	15	16	0		16
192 Steamboat II	1	15	16	0		16
193 Academy	1	15	16	0		16
194 Blanca	1	15	16	0		16
195 Redstone	1	15	16	0		16
196 Mesa	]	15	16	0		16
197 Mountain	1	15	16	0		16
198 Chapel Hills	1	15	16	0		16
199 Left Hand		15	16	0		16
200 St. Mary's Glacier		15	16	0		16
201 Genesee	1	15	16	0		16 16
202 Sylvan Lakes	l T	15 15	16 16			16
203 Forest Lakes	1	15	16	0		16
204 Rico	1	15	16			16
205 Upper Thompson 206 Box Elder	15	15	15	0 0		15
207 South Fort Collins	15		15	0		15
208 Pierce	5		5	10		15
209 Lincoln Park	14		14	Ŏ		14
210 Windsor	14		14	Ō		14
211 Berthoud	13		13	0		13
212 Florence	13		13	0		13
213 Spring Canyon	13		13	0		13
214 Niwot	12		12	0		12
215 Keenesburg	1		1	10		11
216 East Canon	10		10	0		10
217 Rangely	10		10	0		10
218 Colorado City	10		10	0		10
219 Akron	10		10	0		10
220 La Salle	10		10	0		10
221 Eaton	9		9	0		9
222 Holyoke	9		9	0		9
223 Springfield	9		9	0		9 9 7
224 Fowler	7		7	0		/
225 Wellington	7		7			/ 7
226 Ault	/		7			1
227 Boone			7			/ 5
228 Denver South East Suburban	6		6			0 2
229 Haxtun	6		6 6	0		6 6 6
230 Holly	6		0	1 0		<u>ں</u>

, ,

•

Ì

1

# Water Quality Control Cor ssion 1977 Priority List Numerical Listing

APPROVED: November 1, 1977

	MUNICIPALITY	Population Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
231	Kersey	6		6	0		6
	Nucla	6		6	Ō		6
	Walsh	6		6	0		· 6
	Cheyenne Wells	6		6	Ō		6
	Harvest Estates	6		6	0		6
	Lyons	5		5	. 0	-	5
	Gilcrest	4		4	· 0		4
	Eads	4		4	0		4
	Lochbuie	. 4		4	0		4
240	Mancos	4		4	0		. 4
241	Stratton	4		4	0		. 4
	Ouray	4		4	0		4
	Aguilar	3		3	0		3
	Bennett	3		3	0		3
	Dove Creek	3		3	0		3
	Strasburg	3		3	0		3
247	Wiggins	3		3	0		3
	Saguache	2		2	0		2
249	Granada	2		2	0		2
	Otis				0		1
	Simla	1			0		1
	Norwood	1			0		1
	Fleming	1			0		1
	Elizabeth	1		1	0		1
	Collbran	1 ·			0 0		1
	Swink	ן ז		1	0		1
	Wiley Parker	1		1	0		1
	Dinosaur	1		1 1	Ö		1
	Peetz	i		1	Õ		i
	Silver Heights	1		i	õ		i
	Olney Springs	i		i	Õ		i
	Arriba	i		il	Õ		1
	Merino	i		1	0		1
	Kit Carson	1		1	0		1
	Bristol	1		1	0		1
	Willowbrook	1		1	0		1
	Penrose	1		1	0		1
	Sedgwick	1		1	0		1
	Campo	1		1	0		1
271	Elbert	1		1	0		]
	Seibert	1		1	0		1
	Timnath	1		]	0		
	Roxborough Park	1			0		1
	Grover	1			0		1
	Hazeltine Heights	1			0		 7
277	Vilas	l		1	Ò		ł
				•			

ि

١

# Water Quality Control Commusion 1977 Priority List Numerical Listing

APPROVED: November 1, 1977

MUNICIPALITY	Population Points	Stream Points	Basic Points	Project Points	Special Points	TOTAL
278 Vona 279 Pinon 280 Ramah 281 Donala 282 Severance 283 Holly Dot Park 284 Central Grand Valley	           		1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0		1 1 1 1 1 1

-4

#### Revised October 21, 1977

	·			ESTIMATED GRANT AMOUNT IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS												
Priority	Priority	Project								ional FY-77 Anticipated FY-78 Funds Ant					4-4-4-4	
Number	Points	Number	MUNICIPALITY AND PROJECT		<u>11 94</u>	-447 Fun		┢	<u>Funds</u>	Anticipa:		H	<u>11-78 rund</u>	<u>s Antic</u> 	In TIL	
1	166	415	Colorado Springs - Sludge Project		10	200	0	ſ	0	0.	0		0	0	2,250	
2	156	331	Steamboat Springs RSA - Regional Plant, interceptor & reuse		D	368	3,322	T	0	o	٥		0	0	· 0	
3	153	345	Three Lakes - Plants and Interceptors		0	180	0		0	0	1,900		0	0	0	
4	151	349	Snowmass Sanitation District - Second- ary expansion, AWT & reuse		To b	e funded	out of	FY	-76 funds	and FY-1	77 incre	24	se funds.	-	, ,	
5	150	385	South Lakewood Sanitation District - Expansion, interceptor, reuse	:	0	0	. 0		0	150	o	•	Û	0	Û	
6	146	401	Westminster-Broomfield - Ultimate expansion, AWT, reuse		0	566	Û		0		o		0	0	7,426 (1,9D0) <sup>a</sup>	
7	146	412	Cortez - Expansion and reuse		0	100	1,500	Γ	0	٥	0		0	0	0	
8	144	416	Northglenn - New facilities & reuse	Π	0	640 <sup>b</sup>	0		0	0	٥		0	0	7,000 <sup>b</sup>	
9	140	398	Haydon - Plant expansion & reuse Phases I & Il		0	125	700		0	0	0		0	Ó	· 0	
10	131	348	Boulder - Sludge & upgrading		Fund	ed with	FY-76 fu	nd	s.	-	-		-	-	-	
lla	130	342	Metro Denver - Lower Platte		0	0	- 0		o	375	0		0	0	0	
116	130	341	Metro Denver - Off Site Solids	$\prod$	0	0	0		0	,035	0		0	0	0	
llc	130	391	Metro Denver - Main Plant Expansion		0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	0	
11d	130	392	Metro Denver - Clear Creek	Π	0	300	0		0	0	0		Ó	0	0	
lle	130	392	Metro Denver - Sand Creek		0	300	0		0	0	0		Û	0	0	

#### Colorado - EPA Sewerage Construction Grant Funding List

<sup>a</sup> Remaining reserve funds or other funds not obligated for any reason could be allocated to these projects.
 <sup>b</sup> These funds are allocated for Northglenn through September 5, 1978. If a Step II grant for this project is not approved at or before the September 5, 1978, Water Quality Control Commission meeting, these funds will be allocated to other projects.

#### Revised October 21, 1977

riority	Priority	Project			t FY-77	and	Add1 ti	onal FY-	77 1	OF DOLLARS			
Number	Points	Number	MUNICIPALITY AND PROJECT	<u>PL 94</u>	<u>-447 Fun</u>		Funds	<u>Anticipa</u> I II	ted III	FY-78 Fund	<u>is Antic</u> II	ipated 11	
12	125	376	Englewood-Littleton - Ammonia & Chlorine removal	. 0	0	0	0	250	0	0	0		
13	125	386	Montrose - Plant expansion	0	300	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	4,00	
14	121	348	Boulder - Completion of upgrading	0	475	0	0	0	0	0	0	4,72	
15	121	387	Durango - Plant expansion & interceptors	D	400	0	0	0	0	0	0	9,00	
16	121	394	Upper Eagle Valley - Vail Plant expansion & upgrading	0	359	5,500	0	0	0	0	0		
17	119	347	Grand County, Upper Fraser	0 ·	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	(1,2	
18a	117	343	Pueblo - Plant expansion & upgrading	0	523	0	0	0	0	0	0	7,7	
185	117	375	Pueblo - Outfall line - D. Street, Union Station Separation	0	0	622	0	0	0	0	0		
18c	117	375	Pueblo - West Fountain 1/1	0	0	264	0	0	0	0	0		
18d	117	375	Pueblo - N E Fountain I/I	0	21	304	0	0	0	0	0		
18e	117	375	Pueblo - Cartaret, Madison and Washington Separation	0	0	0	0	33	412	0	0		
19	116	383	Eastern Fremont County Sanitation Dist. (Canon City Metro) Regional plant	0	428	0	0	0	· 0	0	- 0	(7,5	
20	114	328	Fort Collins - Sludge handling & reuse	0	50	900	0	0	0	0	0		
21	114	381	Craig - Plant expansion	0	0	0	0	100	1,500	0	0		
22	113	406	Summit County - Sludge disposal	0	0	0	0	120	800	0	0		

<sup>a</sup> Remaining reserve funds or other funds not obligated for any reason could be allocated to these projects.
<sup>b</sup> These funds are allocated for Northglenn through September 5, 1978. If a Step II grant for this project is not approved at or before the September 5, 1978, Water Quality Control Commission meeting, these funds will be allocated to other projects.

#### Revised October 21, 1977

	+ <u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ES	TIMATED	GRANT	AMOU	INT IN THO	USANDS O	F DOLLARS			
Priority	Priority	Project			nt FY-77 4-447 Fun				ional FY-					
Number	Points	Number	MUNICIPALITY AND PROJECT	<u></u>	<u>4-44/ run</u>   11		-+	Unas	Anticipa II		<u>- FT-78 FUN</u>	<u>as Ancic</u> I II	I III	
37	105	382	Breckenridge - Plant expansion & upgrading	0	0	0		0	150	0	0	0	0	
38a	104	380	Summit County, Snake River Plant expan- sion and upgrading and interceptor	0	• 0	0		0	230	0	0	0	0	
38b	104	380	Summit County, Snake River - I/I	0	0	0		0	15	75	0	0	. 0	
39	104	418	Brighton - Plant expansion	0	0	0		0	265	0	0	0	0	
40	102	<b>390</b> ·	Paonia - Plant expansion	o	0	0		0	50	0	0	0	0	
41	100	400	New Castle - Plant expansion	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	25	0	
42	99	356	Lafayette - Plant expansion	0	0	1,200		0	0	0	0	0	0	
43	99	419	Fountain - Plant expansion	7	0	0		0	0	0	0	30	0	
44	99	395	Oak Creek - Plant expansion	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	30	0	
45	99	420	Telluride - Plant expansion	10	0	0		0	0	- 0	0	100	0	
46	99	396	Silt - Plant expansion	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	30	0	
47	98	338	Loveland - Plant & Intèrceptors	Fun	ded out o	f FY-76	Funds		-	-	-	-	-	
48	. 98	421	Stratmoor Hills - Connecting Interceptor	7	0	0		0	.0	0	0	20	0	
49	98	411	Carbondale - Plant expansion & upgrading	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	(50) <sup>a</sup>	0	
50	97	422	Redcliff - Plant & sewer upgrading	0	· 0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
51	95	408	Del ta	0	0	0		0	75	0	0	0	(1,250)*	
	I				1		L.L.		l			1	1	

<sup>d</sup> Remaining reserve funds or other funds not obligated for any reason could be allocated to these projects.
<sup>b</sup> These funds are allocated for Northglenn through September 5, 1978. If a Step 11 grant for this project is not approved at or before the September 5, 1978, Water Quality Control Commission meeting funds will be allocated to other projects.

1

•

#### Revised October 21, 1977

riority	Priority	Project			it FY-77 a		1	Additi	onal FY Anticip	-77	T T	unds Anti	
Number	Points	Humber	MUNICIPALITY AND PROJECT	11	-447 Fund	1 111	$\mathbf{H}$	1					
23	113	388	Salida - Plant expansion	0	0	0		0	70 ·	1,200	0	0	0
24	113	410	Leadville - Plant upgrading	0	0	o		0	70	0	0	0	(1,000
25	112	377	Sterling - New plant	0	173	0	Π	0	0	2,910	0	0	0
26a	111	332	Greeley - 1/I Corrections	0	0	500		0	0	0	0	0	0
26b	111	332	Greeley - S E Interceptor	0	0	984	Π	0	0	0	0	0	0
26c	111	332	Greeley - Delta Plant & Poudre Interceptor	0	0	0	Π	0	0	0	0	0	(4,400)
27	111	336	Glenwood Springs - Plant & Interceptor	Pro	ects fund	led out o	of (	F¥-76 Fu	inds.	-	-	-	-
28	111	417	Cimarron Sanitation District - Plant upgrading	7	0	0		0	0	0	. 0	. 0	0
29	110	397	Monte Vista - Plant expansion & upgrading	0	0	0	Π	0	70	0	0	0	(800
30	109	337	Grand Junction - West Plant	0	0	0	Π	0	0	7,000	0	0	0
31	109	337	Grand Junction - Interceptors	0	0	0		0	0	3,050	0	0	0
32	109	344	Aspen Metro	Proj	ect funde	d out of	F F)	r-76 Fun	ds.		-	•	-
33	109	378	Estas Park Sanitation District - Tie line to Upper Thompson Sanitation District or plant upgrading	0	0	0		0	50	0	0	0	0
34	108	351	South Adams Water & Sanitation Dist Plant expansion	0	0	0		0	0	2,500	0	0	0
35	108	389	Rifle - Plant expansion or regional plant	0	0	0		0	100	1,125	0	0	0
36	106	334	Silverthorn-Dillon - Sludge processing	0	0	0	Π	0	0	320	0	0	0

<sup>a</sup> Remaining reserve funds or other funds not obligated for any reason could be allocated to these projects.
<sup>b</sup> These funds are allocated for Northglenn through September 5, 1978. If a Step II grant for this project is not approved at or before the September 5, 1978, Water Quality Control Commission meeting, these funds will be allocated to other projects.

•

#### Revised October 21, 1977

Priority	Priority	Project	r		ent FY-77	and	Add	tional F	1-77	OF DOLLARS		
Number	Points	Number	MUNICIPALITY AND PROJECT	PL S	<u> 94-447 Fu</u>		Fund	<u>is Antici</u>			<u>inds Anti</u>	
52	94	423	Clifton Sanitation District #2 - 'Revise 201 plan for upgrading	0	0	0	10	0	0	. 0	0	- 111
53	94	407	Weld County Tri Area - Plant expansion & upgrading	0	0	0	. 0	90	0	· 0	0	0
54	92	340	Frisco - Complete present plant upgrading project	o	0	0	0	0	200	· o	0	O
55	91	402	Fruita	D	0	0	0	45	0	0	0	0
56	91	346	Granby - Plant expansion & upgrading	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	(950) <sup>a</sup>
57	. <b>89</b>	424	Garden Valley - Connector Interceptor	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
58	88	425	Burlington - Plant expansion & upgrading	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0
59	88	355	Erie - Plant expansion & upgrading	0	0	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0
60	88	426	Clifton Sanitation District #1 - Revise 201 plan for upgrading	0	0	o	0	o	0	10	0	0
61	87	427	Cripple Creek - Plant expansion & upgrading	o	o	o	0	o	0	7	0	0
62	86	428	Yuma - Plant expansion & upgrading	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0
63	86	429	Wray - Plant expansion & upgrading	0	0.	0	0	0	0	20	0	0
			Column Totals	41	5,558	15,796	10	3, 343	22,992	77	235	42,153
			Increase funds Total Funds	-	21,395 1,228 22,623			26,345 <u>1,763</u> 28,108			42,465 2,314 44,779	
		L	\$ for increases			5.43			6.27			5.16

X for increases
 5.43
 6.27
 <sup>a</sup> Remaining reserve funds or other funds not obligated for any reason could be allocated to these projects.
 <sup>b</sup> These funds are allocated for Northglenn through September 5, 1978. If a Step II grant for this project is not approved at or before the September 5, 1978, Water Quality Control Commission meeting funds will be allocated to other projects.