

DOCUMENTS INDEX - 1991:

Rev. 5/97

-
- 03/19/91 Memo from Dan Wilson, City Attorney, to Jim Shanks, Public Works Director, re phone call from Larry Beckner asking about CH2M study, wherein Dan Wilson advised study was preliminary and would be released when final and wherein Larry Beckner indicated he would pursue court order to get study. [045]
- 03/22/91 Letter from Larry Beckner to Dan Wilson again requesting copy of draft CH2M Hill report, asking for opportunity to review preliminary draft and present comments. [042] [045]
- 03/28/91 Memo from Mark Eckert, County Administrator, to Greg Trainor, presenting thoughts on Sewer Rate Study and Meeting of 3/22/91 (second page references "power of attorney lawsuit" in regard to including special districts in discussion). [043]
- 04/08/91 E-mail from MARKA to JIMS re 3/28/91 memo from Mark Eckert to Greg Trainor, indicating need for discussion with a broader audience such as city services committee and him. [043]
- 04/17/91 Memo from Amy Kennedy to Ron Lappi and Sue Kaliszewski-Gormley re: Mesa County Sewer Revenue Bonds summarizing Ms. Kennedy's preliminary conclusions about refunding the 1980 Bonds, possible transfer of the joint sewer system to the City and City participation in the refunding of the County bonds - City should adopt an ordinance approving the County's bond issue and agree to the pledge of joint sewer system revenues to the refunding bonds. [County file - "Sewer Re-financing" - Stack 4]
- 04/19/91 Letter from Tom Harrison to Clifton Water District re: Valle Vista odor problems - Clifton Sewer District may be a potential defendant. [City Utility Files]
- 04/23/91 and 5/28/91 "Sewer System Extensions and Residential Collectors" re alternatives for funding of sewers into neighborhoods that are on septic but in need of public sewer. [045]
- 05/15/91 Letter from Mayor Shepherd to County Commissioners summarizing the City's position concerning sewer issues (we do not appear to have a copy of this letter). It is referenced in the Commissioner's 5/24/91 letter written in response.
- 05/24/91 Letter from John Leane and Jim Spehar, Mesa County Commissioners, to the Honorable Conner Shepherd, City of Grand Junction Mayor, commenting on his 5/24/91 letter, explaining why County made decision it did, stating philosophy to eliminate County involvement in municipal-type services. [045]
- 05/28/91 Memo from Public Works and Utilities Department, Finance Department, to Mark Achen re Executive Summary Ten Year Reconciliation of Expenses and Revenues Among City/County Sewer System User Groups [045 - attached to 5/7/92 Caldwell memo]
- 05/29/91 Memo from Eckert to Board of Commissioners re: background concerning County involvement in sewer. Contains "an abbreviated history of the Central Valley sewer experiences, a brief discussion of the implication of various County assumptions concerning the sewer and two scenarios on how the Board can avoid further blackmail efforts by the City or the Districts." [County file - Persigo - Stack 4]

05/30/91 Letter from John Leane, Mesa County Commissioners, to Greg Trainor, advising plan to have full discussions re Wastewater Utility Rate and Cost Allocation Study with all sanitation districts a.s.a.p. and advising Districts have been asked to direct comments and concerns to County Administrator, Mark Achen. **[043]**

06/12/91 10 Year Summary of Sewer Capital Revenues and Expenditures City/County Customer Group. **[043]**

07/91 OMSD Newsletter re: "Issues of Interest": e.g., 201 Rate Study and power of attorney litigation.

07/12/91 Memo from Jim Shanks to Mark Achen re Sewer Capital Summary with attached spread sheet, joint summary of collection system and backbone system capital expenditures from 1985 to 1993. **[Greg Trainor's files] [045 - attached to 5/7/92 Caldwell memo]**

07/31/91 Memo from Jim Shanks to Mark Achen re Sewer System Capital 1985 - 1991 **[045 - attached to 5/7/92 Caldwell memo]**

08/01/91 Letter from Larry Beckner to Mark Eckert, providing comments of OM Sanitation District and CGVSD re study by CH2M Hill (taking exception to characterization of it being a "joint 201 sewer system"; proposing uniform definitions; recommending changes in the Executive Summary Section; expressing disagreement with ownership interests as set forth; dissolution of districts, etc.) **[043]**

08/15/91 Letter from Larry Beckner to Mark Eckert re: CH2M Hill Cost Allocation Study. The letter includes the comments of the OMSD and CGVSD Boards concerning that study. Handwritten notes in the margin by Doralyn Genova. **[County - Stack 2]**

08/23/91 Letter from Panorama Improvement District to Mark Eckert re: the possibility of having the Panorama Improvement District's sewer system connected to the Valley wide Persigo Wastewater Treatment Facility. Further proposals and discussions to follow. **[County - Stack 2]**

08/26/91 Memo from Mark Eckert to Jim Shanks attaching comments re Sewer Rate Study from Larry Beckner (Beckner's 8/15/90 letter). **[043]**

09/20/91 Memo from County Administrator to County Commissioners re: refinancing of sewer bonds. Interests exist because of declining interest rates and the desire on the part of the Commissioners to modify the bond covenants to allow greater flexibility in the potential assignment of this debt to a different governing entity should that decision be made. Permission granted to the staff to research possible refinancing of the bonds. **[Achen's files]**

09/26/91 Memo from Mark Eckert to Greg Trainor re scheduling of meetings for review of 1992 Sewer Budget and Review of CHM2 Hill "Sewer Rate Study" indicating purpose of meeting includes "owner wishes to discuss and hear the concerns of the Operator..." **[043]**

10/07/91 Letter from Del-Monte Consultants, Inc. (engineers) to Joe Crocker, Engineering Supervisor Mesa County Department of Public Works re; Valle Vista subdivision, gravity sewer extension - feasibility study (we requested just the first two pages of this letter). The "recent background information" suggests that OMSD authorized its engineers to prepare a master sewer plan for the presently unsewered area east of the district which, plan, will be available in one to two weeks. Also discussed is the Rolland Engineering Study, the 201 Study Boundary and alternatives re: the proposed gravity sewer. **[County file - Agreements - City/County - Box 3]**

- 10/14/91 Memo Trainor to Commissioners reviewing proposed 1992 Sewer Budget. (Enc. to 10/15/91 letter to Mr. Eckert). **[County Docs. - Stack 2 - "Sewer/D.G."]**
- 10/15/91 Letter from Greg Trainor to Mark Eckert re: 201 sewer system recommendations concerning operation of the 201 system including withdrawal of the County as a partner in the joint sewer system and relinquishment of the County's share of the system to its operating partner - the City. This copy has one of the Commissioner's handwritten notes. Attached to the copy were the following documents: 10/3/91 Summary of Collection System Capital Revenues and Expenses; 10/3/91 Backbone System Capital Revenues and Expenses; 9/26/91 Memo from Eckert To Trainor re: scheduling of meetings for review of 1992 sewer budget, etc.; 10/14/91 Memo from Trainor to Commissioners re: 1992 Sewer Budget and Formal Review; and "Backbone System Recommendations Map". **[County - Stack 2]**
- 10/15/91 Meeting between the City, the County and the Special Districts concerning the future direction of the 201 Sewer System. The City presents its "201 Sewer system recommendations" in the form of a letter to County Administrator Eckert dated 10/15/91. The recommendations addressed the following issues: ownership, operation of the joint system as a utility, definitions of the sewer system (backbone vs. collection), sewer rates and budgeting, system extensions and expansion, major capital expenditures (the development of a Technical Advisory Committee to make recommendations regarding Capital Plans of the sewer system), and annexation/POA issues. **[Achen's files as of 4/11/95]**
- 10/15/91 Letter from Gregory Trainor to Mark Eckert, County Manager, submitting (at the 10/15/91 meeting between the City, the County and the Districts - see above) the City's 201 Sewer System Recommendations, subject to formal approval by City Council (references County's desire to withdraw as partner in joint sewer system; draft Objectives City-County Sewer System (lists "annexation") (045) Sewer Proposal by City to County to take over total ownership and operation of Persigo Wash Wastewater Treatment Plant and 201 sewer system facilities. **[045 - attached to 5/7/92 Caldwell memo]**
- 10/17/91 Letter from Commissioner Genova to Mayor Shepherd re the 10/15/91 meeting between the City, the County and the Special Districts concerning the future direction of the 201 Sewer System and specifically re the City's 10/15/91 proposal presented at that meeting. She seeks information concerning the City's recommendation regarding the power of attorney/annexation process including a reference to inquiries as to past practices concerning POAs. **[Achen's files as of 4/11/95]**
- 10/22/91 Letter from Doralyn Genova, Mesa County Commissioners to Central Grand Valley Sanitation, Fruitvale Sanitation and OM Sanitation, soliciting responses to the City's proposal of 10/17/91 re Persigo Wastewater Treatment Plant and System. **[045 - attached to 5/7/92 Caldwell memo]**
- 10/28/91 OMSD letter to County Commissioners re: 1992 Joint Sewer Budget as proposed by the City.
- 10/29/91 CGVSD letter to County Commissioners re: 1992 Joint Sewer Budget as proposed by the City.
- 10/29/91 Commissioners begin discussion of the 1992 Persigo 201 sewer budget. Greg Trainor present to answer questions relating to the sewer budget and to gather comments made by the users of the system to be incorporated, if appropriate, into the final budget. Hearing opened for public comment. Formal prepared statements read and submitted for the record by CGVSD (Royal Collard) and OMSD (Dick Hodges). OMSD resident Jerry Hobgood complains that OMSD's rates are the highest in the area and that there should be more

equitable distribution of fees. She also asked "why are there so many districts"? She wants those questions answered. She was referred by County Administrator Eckert to W. Davis, OMSD Manager. **[Commissioners Minutes]**

- 11/05/91 Memo from Keith Fife, Assistant Director of Planning to Mark Eckert, County Administrator, re: Valle Vista subdivision sewer extension - 201 area revision process and development potential. **[County file - Agreements - City/County - Box 3]**
- 11/06/91 Memo from Keith Fife to Don Van Wormer re: Valle Vista sewer extension - reporting concerning the discussion at the 11/5/91 OMSD Board meeting. Included are references to Bill Cheney's statements on behalf of the City objecting to any extension of the 201 Area (*NOTE: the reference to the State Health Department Personnel's comments and the marginal reference that reads "can force with state help"*), Mr. Fife's concern that Mesa County has no master plan for Orchard Mesa and the OMSD desire "to extend sewer as far north and east as possible as soon as possible", (Mr. Fife recommends that it is "of the utmost importance to have a plan in place prior to opening up OM to full blown urban sprawl."), the extension of the sewer will place the agricultural nature of OM in jeopardy, a discussion of the alternate routes and the related infrastructure expenses, plans with respect to Chipeta Golf Course, the situation might be an opportune time to establish a policy for the use of the City/County sewer budget line item for interceptor construction and unless the County wants to direct and encourage growth on OM, "future expansions of the sewer system on OM, if suggested in a land use plan for the area, should probably be the burden of future developers." **[County file -Valle Vista -Stack 4]**
- 11/12/91 Letter from OMSD and CGVSD Boards to Commissioners re: 201 sewer system recommendations. **[County file "Sewer 1990-1994"]**
- 11/12/91 Letter from OM Sanitation District and CGVSD to Mesa County Board of Commissioners re 201 Sewer System Recommendations. **[045 - attached to 5/7/92 Caldwell memo]**
- 11/22/91 Letter from Larry Beckner to Grand Junction City Council, enclosing copy of proposal from OM Sanitation District and Central Grand Valley Sanitation District on the CH2M Hill Rate Study. **[045 - attached to 5/7/92 Caldwell memo]**
- 12/10/91 Commissioners meet to discuss the proposed 1992 sewer budget. Present are Commissioner Spehar, Leane and Genova; Administrator Eckert, Greg Trainor, Jim Shanks and Larry Beckner (representing OMSD and CGVSD). Discussed were use of interest income, legal fees, flow monitoring, the definition of backbone capital, implementation of the CH2M Hill Study and the construction of the Scenic School interceptor. The districts and the County question the City's use of 10% of the interest income together with their general operating expenses to have an excess of \$70,000.00 to pay for administrative costs. **[Commissioners Minutes]**
- 12/12/91 Memo from Greg Trainor to Jim Shanks re City response to the O.M. and Central Grand Valley Sanitation Districts' comments of the "201 Sewer Recommendations" made to Mesa County on 10/15/91 by the City of Grand Junction. **[045 - attached to 5/7/92 Caldwell memo]**
- 12/13/91 Joint letter from OMSD and CGVSD to County Commissioners with attachments re: 1992 Joint Sewer Budget as proposed by the City.

- 12/16/91 Memorandum from Mark Eckert, County Administrator, to Mesa County Commissioners re: 1992 Sewer Budget as proposed by the City with attachments (including: 10/28/91 OMSD letter, 10/29/91 CGVSD letter and 12/13/91 OMSD/CGVSD letter).
- 12/17/91 Commissioners meet to continue the hearing concerning the proposed 1992 sewer fund budget. Present were: Commissioners Spehar, Leane and Genova, and possibly others. After discussion, the budget was approved and the staff was instructed to forward a letter to the City regarding the Commissioner's recommendations on four issues: (1) legal fees to cover the lawsuit should not be charged to the sewer fund; (2) it is "absolutely critical" that both parties arrive at a common definition of "backbone capital"; (3) an objection to the interfund transfer for billing; and (4) it is "absolutely critical" that the flow monitoring study be completed and further discussed between "the district and the City to arrive an equitable rate charge mechanism". **[Commissioners Minutes]**
- 12/17/91 Memo from County Commissioners to the City Council re: 1992 sewer budget and future discussions of the Persigo 201 Area reservations expressed by the Commissioners concerning: legal fees, backbone capital, administrative fees, and flow monitoring. **[Achen's files]**
- 12/23/91 Letter to Mesa County Commissioners from Robert Elsberry, Chairman, Orchard Mesa Sanitation, re Request for Amendment to 201 Planning and Service Area - Orchard Mesa Sanitation District. **[050]**.
- 12/24/91 Letter from Mayor Shepherd to the County Commissioners written in response to the Commissioners' comments of 12/17/91 pertaining to the sewer budget. **[Achen's files]**
- 12/30/91 Letter to Grand Junction City Council from Mesa County Commissioners Genova, Leane & Spehar, re Joint Sewerage Service Agreement-Commissioners have been advised and believe that the 5/1/80 agreement was intended to be a short-term agreement to be replaced by a long-term agreement concerning operation of the system. Commissioners intend to have a new agreement with the City prior to 1993.
- 12/31/91 Commissioner Spehar reports that a letter is being sent to the City Council and Mayor Shepherd to the effect that it is time to review the May, 1980 Agreement and consider the options regarding ownership and operation of the plant with the intent that a new agreement be in place by the end of 1992 for the 1993 operation year. **[Commissioners Minutes]**
- 12/31/91 City of Grand Junction/Mesa County, Colorado Joint Sewer System Balance Sheet, Statement of Operations and Retained Earnings, Statement of Cash Flows. **[045]**
[Financial Statements - OMSD]