

GRAND JUNCTION CITY COUNCIL
MONDAY, APRIL 18, 2016

WORKSHOP, 5:00 P.M.
CITY HALL AUDITORIUM
250 N. 5TH STREET

To become the most livable community west of the Rockies by 2025

1. **Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) 2016 Program Year Funding Requests:** City Council will consider which activities and programs to fund for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) 2016 Program Year. The City will receive \$384,713 for the 2016 Program Year which begins September 1, 2016. In addition, funds from prior years in the amount of \$117,866 will be allocated with the 2016 funds. [Attachment](#)
2. **Committee Reports**
3. **Board Reports**
4. **Other Business**



CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT WORKSHOP

Date: April 7, 2016

Author: Kristen Ashbeck

Title/ Phone Ext: Senior Planner x1491

Proposed Meeting Date: April 18, 2016

Hearing : May 18, 2016

2nd Meeting with Action Plan, Five-Year Consolidated Plan and Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice Study : June 15, 2016

File # (if applicable): 2013 CDBG

Subject: CDBG 2016 Program Year Funding Requests

Presenter(s) Name & Title: Tim Moore, Deputy City Manager
Kristen Ashbeck, CDBG Administrator

Summary: City Council will consider which activities and programs to fund for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) 2016 Program Year. The City will receive \$384,713 for the 2016 Program Year which begins September 1, 2016. In addition, funds from prior years in the amount of \$117,866 will be allocated with the 2016 funds.

Background, Analysis and Options: CDBG funds are an entitlement grant to the City of Grand Junction which became eligible for the funding in 1996. The 2016 Program Year marks the City's 21st year of eligibility. In addition to consideration of funding projects for the 2016 program year, the City must adopt a new *Five Year Consolidated Plan* and an update to its *Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice* study. The City's 2016 Program Year will begin September 1, 2016. Applications for funding were solicited and received by the City on March 23rd. The purpose of the workshop is to 1) review the draft executive summaries for the *Consolidated Plan* and *Analysis of Impediments* study and 2) establish a work plan for the 2016 CDBG Program Year by recommending which projects should be funded. The final funding decision will be made by the City Council at its meeting on May 18, 2016 and adoption of the *Annual Action Plan, Consolidated Plan* and *Analysis of Impediments* will occur at the June 15, 2016 meeting.

2016-2020 Five Year Consolidated Plan. The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) requires the City of Grand Junction to develop and submit a *Five Year Consolidated Plan* in order to apply for and receive its annual entitlement of CDBG funds. 2015 was the last program year under the 2011 Consolidated Plan. Meetings with and gathering information from local agencies and organizations that provide services to or assist persons with low to moderate income have been ongoing since October 2015. A draft *Five Year Consolidated Plan* will be completed by the end of April 2016 to distribute to those entities that have been involved in the process for initial review and comment prior to the document being made available to the general public for review in June 2016.

The national objectives of the CDBG program are to develop viable communities by providing a suitable living environment, decent affordable housing and expanding economic opportunities for persons with low to moderate income. The Grand Junction *Five Year Consolidated Plan* identifies housing and community development goals and strategic objectives that will further these national objectives. These are outlined in detail in the attached Executive Summary of the Plan. Generally, the overall goals and objectives are the same as presented in the previous Plan but some specific items that came up in discussions have been added to the objectives as outlined in the Executive Summary attached to this report.

Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) Study. Grand Junction, as a recipient of federal funding through the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), is required to promote fair housing for all of its citizens as it implements the CDBG program. In order to determine if fair housing actions are present, it is necessary to conduct a study to determine what impediments to fair housing exist, what steps have been taken to eliminate the impediments, and what positive actions are being implemented to promote fair housing as well as the documentation showing the positive enforcement. The goal of the study is to identify barriers to affordable and impediments to fair housing choice in Grand Junction in both the public and private sector as well as to recommend ways to reduce such barriers and facilitate housing choices for all Grand Junction residents. The study is intended as a tool for the city's efforts to create a strategy for fair housing goals. It outlines specific barriers to housing choice, what needs to change and how to affect that change.

Fair Housing prohibits discrimination in housing because of race or color, national origin, religion, sex, familial status or disability. The Fair Housing Act does not further define race or color, national origin, religion or sex. Familial status means discrimination against a parent or custodian because she or he has someone under 18 living with him or her. Disability means having a physical or mental impairment including hearing, mobility, and vision, chronic alcoholism, chronic mental illness, AIDS, AIDS Related Complex and mental retardation that substantially limits one or more major life activities.

The AI was last adopted in 2011. The updated study was created with information from the recently-completed *Grand Valley Housing Needs Assessment* and the assistance of many nonprofit groups, City staff, persons in private enterprise, and the general public. In addition, questionnaires were sent to a variety of housing, lending and other entities and to clients and tenants utilizing housing services to gather initial information about impediments that may exist in the community. Generally, the surveys completed as part of the *Grand Valley Housing Needs Assessment* revealed that many of the impediments identified in the 2011 study still exist in Grand Junction although some actions to address some impediments have been updated or slightly revised. Newly identified impediments relate more to fair housing versus affordable housing and to very large issues that may only be minimally addressed at the local level. The impediments are listed in detail in the attached executive summary of the AI report which is attached to this report.

The AI was completed in March 2016 and publicized for public review through mid-April. Comments received will be incorporated into the final document that will be adopted with the *Five Year Consolidated Plan* in June 2016.

2016 CDBG Project Funding. The City's 2016 Program Year will begin September 1, 2016. Applications for funding were solicited and received by the City in March. The City has received grant requests of \$570,022 from outside agencies and has identified four City capital improvements projects totaling \$628,406 that would be eligible for CDBG funding for a total of \$1,180,142 in grant requests. The City will receive \$384,713 for the 2016 Program Year. In addition, there are funds remaining from prior years in the amount of \$117,866 that will be allocated with the 2016 funds. The purpose of the April 18, 2016 workshop is to establish a work plan for the 2016 CDBG Program Year by recommending which projects should be funded. The final funding decision will be made by the City Council at its meeting on May 18, 2016 and final adoption of the 2016 Program Year Action Plan will occur at the June 15, 2016 meeting in conjunction with adoption of the *Five-Year Consolidated Plan* and the *Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice*. A notebook with all of the complete applications has been placed in the City Council office in Administration and may be reviewed at any time. The applications for 2016 funding are summarized below and tabulated in the attached spreadsheet.

Board or Committee Recommendation: N/A

Financial Impact/Budget: 2016 CDBG appropriation is \$384,713, unexpended funds from prior years is \$117,866 for a total allocation amount of \$502,579.

Legal issues: N/A

Other issues: N/A

Attachments:

- A. Draft 2016-2020 Five-Year Consolidated Plan Executive Summary
- B. Draft Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice Executive Summary
- C. CDBG Evaluation Criteria
- D. 2016 CDBG Program Year Schedule
- E. History of CDBG Projects 1996-2015
- F. Spreadsheet of 2016 Funding Requests

SUMMARY OF 2016 FUNDING REQUESTS

1 Program Administration – Cannot Exceed 20% of Allocation (\$76,942)

The City allocated \$43,000 2015 CDBG funds for general administration of the program and a portion of staff salary (\$40,000 towards staff salary and \$3,000 for other program administration costs). These funds will be expended by September 2016. Council can consider what level of CDBG funding they would like to use for 2016 Program Administration.

Funds Requested: \$43,000

SERVICES PROJECTS – Cannot Exceed 15% of 2016 Allocation (\$57,706)

2 HopeWest PACE Center

HopeWest is launching a Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) to provide care to the frail elderly. The program goal is to meet the healthcare needs of this population so they can stay in their own homes and will include in-home care as well as services at the PACE Center. This grant would be used to purchase therapy equipment for the program to be operated at 2754 Compass Drive. The grant amount requested is based on the number of estimated participants in the program that will live in the City limits. HopeWest received \$7,242 CDBG funding in 2013 for its teen grief programs. All funds have been expended and project closed out.

Total Project Cost: \$21,100

Funds Requested: \$14,900

Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: Applicant will need to document household income and determine if the client lives within the City limits.

3 Marillac Clinic, Inc. – Replace Two Dental Operatories

Marillac Clinic, Inc. recently attained a designation as a Federally Qualified Community Health Center and, thus, are undergoing many changes and significant increase in services. In doing so, Marillac gave up a \$60,000 2014 CDBG grant to remodel the administration area of its facility so that they can reassess space needs based on the new designation. The main clinic has 13 dental operatories (chairs) which have all been recently inspected and all must be replaced as the patient volume increases. The two operatories identified to be replaced with this grant are the highest priority. The grant amount requested is based on 40 percent of Marillac's patients residing in the City thus 40% of project costs is eligible to be funded with CDBG. Marillac received several CDBG grants in the past: 2001 (\$200,000), 2013 (two grants \$10,000 and \$23,190) and (2014) \$60,000 All funds have been expended and the projects closed out with

the exception of the \$60,000 grant which has been withdrawn (funds being reallocated with 2016 funds).

Total Project Cost: \$49,579
Funds Requested: \$19,832
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: Applicant will need to document household income and determine if the client lives within the City limits.

4 Western Colorado Suicide Prevention Foundation – Bridges Program

The Bridges program provides emergency counseling for children, teens and young adults at risk for suicide who do not have financial resources to obtain assistance. Western Colorado Suicide Prevention Foundation received \$8,860 2015 CDBG funds which have not been expended due to inability to identify clients that will participate that live in the City limits. However, they have developed some new strategies and expect to expend the 2015 funds within the current contract period by December 2016. The 2016 funds requested will be used to pay for up to 80 therapy sessions for 10 more students and support outreach to families and make presentations in three Grand Junction schools.

Total Program Cost: \$15,360
Funds Requested: \$7,600
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: Applicant will need to document household income and determine if the client lives within the City limits.

5 St. Mary's Foundation – Senior Companion Program

The Senior Companion Program enables low to moderate income active seniors to assist other low income frail, elderly persons so that these persons can continue to live at home rather than in an assisted living facility. CDBG funds would be used to reimburse 2 new volunteers that live within the City limits for mileage expenses that support 10 more clients within the City limits. The Senior Companion Program has received CDBG funding for this same purpose in 2003 (\$5,000), 2004 (\$8,000), 2007 (\$10,000), 2009 (\$12,000), 2011 (\$8,000), 2012 (\$8,000), 2013 (\$8,000) and 2014 (\$10,000). All funds have been expended and projects closed out.

Total Program Cost: \$231,617
Funds Requested: \$10,000
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: Applicant will need to document household income and determine if the client lives within the City limits, as well as demonstrate growth in the program.

6 St. Mary's Foundation – Foster Grandparent Program

This program places low income senior volunteers in school, day care, Head Start, preschool, and safe house facilities to help children with special needs. Funding would allow for the addition of 6 volunteers to serve 66 more students. Foster Grandparent Program has received CDBG funding for this same purpose in 2003 (\$5,000), 2004 (\$7,000), 2007 (\$10,000), 2010 (\$12,000), 2011 (\$10,000), 2012 (\$10,000), 2013 (\$10,000) and 2015 (\$8,998). All funds have been expended and projects closed out except for 2015 which has 25% of funds remaining to be expended by December 2016.

Total Program Cost: \$351,371
Funds Requested: \$10,000
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: Applicant will need to document household income and determine if the client lives within the City limits, as well as demonstrate growth in the program.

7 Counseling and Education Center (CEC) - Low Income Counseling Services

This program provides counseling services for low income citizens. Funds are requested to help pay for 84 more counseling sessions for an estimated 21 clients. The number of persons served is directly related to the amount of funding received. CEC received CDBG funding for this purpose in 2007 (\$7,181), 2010 (\$6,682), 2012 (\$7,000), 2013 (\$7,000) and 2014 (\$3,000). All funds have been expended and the projects closed out.

Total Program Cost: \$270,131
Funds Requested: \$6,000
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: Applicant will need to document household income and determine if the client lives within the City limits.

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECTS

8 Center for Independence – Accessible Riser to Second Floor

The Center for Independence promotes community solutions and empowers individuals with disabilities to live independently. The agency owns and operates the building at 740 Gunnison Avenue for its programs but also leases space on the second floor to a variety of other organizations including Volunteers of America, Grand Valley Peace and Justice, national Alliance on Mental Health, Housing Resources of Western Colorado, Western Colorado Suicide Prevention; Firefly Autism West, Bill Hurd and Western Writers Forum. The building has three stairwells but no elevator or other means for accessibility to the second floor. CDBG funds are requested to purchase and install an inclined platform risers on one of the stairways. The lift/riser will eliminate architectural barriers and increase the number of agency consumers with access to the second floor. The

Center for Independence has received several CDBG grants in the past: 2003 (\$20,000), 2008 (\$9,500), 2010 (\$34,100) and 2011 (\$30,475). All funds have been expended and projects closed out.

Total Project Cost: \$19,600
Funds Requested: \$18,750
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: None

9 Housing Resources of Western Colorado – Phoenix Project Rehabilitation

In partnership with HomewardBound, Housing Resources provides affordable, transitional housing for homeless veterans at the Phoenix Project building at 1333 North 13th Street. Six of the eight apartment units have been remodeled since the building was acquired in 2004. Housing Resources would like to rehabilitate the remaining two units, utilizing CDBG funds to remodel the kitchens and bathrooms. CDBG funds have been granted to Housing Resources in 2000 (\$55,000), 2001 (\$130,000), 2004 (\$50,000), 2005 (\$35,000), 2009 (\$120,000) and 2015 (\$22,500) for the acquisition and rehabilitation of various housing developments. All funds have been expended and the projects closed out except for 2015 which has a 95% remaining balance.

Total Project Cost: \$10,300
Funds Requested: \$7,750
Recommended Funding: TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: None

10 Housing Resources of Western Colorado – Woodstove Replacement

Housing Resources has had program in the past to replace non-EPA approved woodstoves in homes to improve overall air quality in the area. CDBG funds are requested to help reinstate the program and would be used to replace 10-15 woodstoves and ensure they are properly installed. CDBG funds have been granted to Housing Resources in 2000 (\$55,000), 2001 (\$130,000), 2004 (\$50,000), 2005 (\$35,000), 2009 (\$120,000) and 2015 (\$22,500) for the acquisition and rehabilitation of various housing developments. All funds have been expended and the projects closed out except for 2015 which has a 95% remaining balance.

Total Project Cost: \$45,000
Funds Requested: \$30,000
Recommended Funding: TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: An Environmental Review will need to be completed for each property proposed for rehabilitation. Applicant will need to document household income and determine if client lives with the City limits.

11 Riverside Task Force, Inc. – Acquisition for Expansion of Dual Immersion Academy (DIA)/Riverside Community Center Campus

The Riverside Task Force, Inc. (RTF) is seeking to expand the Riverside School Campus through the acquisition of the last remaining residential parcel east of the school. The current campus consists of the DIA elementary school, the Community Center in the old Riverside School which also houses some uses for the school and is utilized by Riverside Educational Center, a playground and parking areas. The restored school has achieved optimal usage, with the majority of the 4,000 square feet of functional space being utilized by the elementary school, the after-school programs and other community uses on evenings and weekends. The house would be used to provide office space and expand community services.

The City awarded 2008 and 2009 (total \$326,474) CDBG funds to the Riverside Task Force to acquire and demolish the structures on two properties east of the campus and School District 51 has acquired with other funds and demolished the structures on one property east of the campus.

Total Project Cost: \$85,000
Funds Requested: \$85,000
Recommended Funding: TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: Acquisition and remodel of the building to meet building and fire codes will trigger Federal environmental and relocation requirements. The associated costs of the remodel and required compliance have not yet been determined or budgeted.

12 HopeWest PACE Center

HopeWest is launching a Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) to provide care to the frail elderly. The program goal is to meet the healthcare needs of this population so they can stay in their own homes and will include in-home care as well as services at the PACE Center. This grant would be used to purchase commercial appliances for a kitchen to be used for the program. The grant amount requested is based on the number of estimated participants in the program that will live in the City limits. HopeWest received \$7,242 CDBG funding in 2013 for its teen grief programs. All funds have been expended and project closed out.

Total Project Cost: \$55,700
Funds Requested: \$38,990
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: Applicant will need to document household income and determine if the client lives within the City limits.

13 Grand Valley Catholic Outreach (GVCO) – Pave Alley Behind St. Martin Housing Development

GVCO constructed the St. Martin housing development at 415 Pitkin Avenue with 24 units for homeless veterans. The alley behind the units between 2nd and 3rd Street is not paved and in poor condition. CDBG funds are requested to pave the alley. GVCO has received CDBG funding in the past: 1996-1999, (\$73,131), 2000 (\$130,000), 2001 (\$10,000), 2002 (\$50,000), 2010 (\$88,725), 2011 (\$50,000), 2012 (\$12,638), and 2015 (\$4,000). All projects have been completed and closed out except for 2015 which is expected to be completed in Spring 2016.

Total Project Cost: \$110,000
Funds Requested: \$80,000
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: The amount requested is not enough to cover standard design. If awarded funds, the City would design and building with a cost estimate of \$105,000-\$110,000.

14 Grand Junction Housing Authority – Highlands Senior Housing

Withdrawn by Applicant

Total Project Cost: \$ -
Funds Requested: \$ 0

15 Grand Junction Housing Authority – Nellie Bechtel Rehabilitation

The Housing Authority recently acquired Nellie Bechtel Apartments and will upgrade/rehabilitate the 96 units and community room. CDBG funds are requested to begin the first phase of rehabilitation to include replacement of evaporative coolers on all buildings and replace ranges in each unit. GJHA received CDBG funds in 1996 (\$330,000), 1999 (\$205,000), 2002 (\$41,720), 2003 (\$335,450), 2005 (\$127,500), 2006 (\$178,630), 2009 (\$100,000), 2011 (\$101,205) and 2014 (\$50,000) for numerous housing developments. All projects have been completed and closed out.

Total Project Cost (including acquisition): \$5,631,327
Funds Requested: \$161,200
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: None

16 Karis, Inc. – Purchase Zoe House

Karis, Inc. provides housing and services to homeless adults, teens and youth who are looking to move aggressively towards self-sufficiency. It currently leases the Zoe House which provides 6-month to two year housing and transitional program for youth recovering from sexual assault, domestic violence or date stalking. CDBG funds are requested towards Karis' purchase of the Zoe House. Karis received CDBG funds in 2012 (\$85,000) and 2015 (\$10,200). Funds have been expended except for a \$150 balance of the 2015 funds that are expected to be expended in Spring 2016.

Total Project Cost: \$232,543
Funds Requested: \$50,000
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: Property complies with Zoning and Development Code, applicant in process of registering as a group home.

17 A: City of Grand Junction – Nisley Elementary Safe Routes to School

This project would construct approximately 550 linear feet of missing curb, gutter and sidewalk along the walking route for Nisley Elementary students on the east side of 28-3/4 Road. Of the two Safe Routes to School proposals, this is the higher priority for the Urban Trails Committee. The Nisley Elementary School neighborhood is CDBG-eligible.

Total Project Cost: \$90,000
Funds Requested: \$90,000
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: None

B: El Poso Neighborhood Pedestrian Improvements/Safe Routes to School

This project would construct approximately 270 linear feet of missing curb, gutter and sidewalk, a retaining wall and an accessible ramp along the west side of Mulberry Street from Broadway to West Ouray Street. It would provide pedestrian improvements to the El Poso neighborhood as well as improve Safe Routes to School for students walking to West Middle School and Grand Junction High School. The El Poso neighborhood is CDBG-eligible.

Total Project Cost: \$45,000
Funds Requested: \$45,000
Recommended Funding: \$ TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: None

18 City of Grand Junction – Senior Recreation Center Rehabilitation

The Downtown Senior Recreation Center was constructed in 1976 and is in need of rehabilitation. CDBG funds are requested in order to address the most critical elements including a roofing and wood siding, exterior doors and emergency lighting. 85% of the seniors that utilize the center are City residents, thus 15% of the eligible project costs would be budgeted elsewhere.

Total Project Cost: \$121,906
Funds Requested: \$103,620
Recommended Funding: TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: None

19 A: City of Grand Junction – Orchard Mesa Neighborhood Drainage Improvements

This project would replace an existing 42-inch diameter storm water and drainage culvert under Palisade Street on Orchard Mesa. This drainage channel conveys storm water from approximately 50% of the Orchard Mesa basin. The condition of the pipe puts conveyance of the storm flows at risk. Construction would protect approximately 25 to 30 homes in the vicinity from potential flooding. This area of Orchard Mesa is CDBG-eligible.

Total Project Cost: \$14,500
Funds Requested: \$14,500
Recommended Funding: TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: None

B: City of Grand Junction – Downtown Neighborhood Drainage Improvements

This project would connect a storm sewer inlet to the storm sewer system in the alley between 17th and 19th Streets and Rood and White Avenues. This inlet would provide for mitigation of localized flooding of 5 properties in the vicinity. This area of downtown is CDBG-eligible.

Total Project Cost: \$14,500
Funds Requested: \$14,500
Recommended Funding: TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: None

20 A: City of Grand Junction – Emerson Park Restroom Improvements

This project would either remodel the existing or provide for construction of a new restroom, sidewalk and/or shelter at Emerson Park. This area of downtown is a CDBG-eligible neighborhood. The existing restroom was constructed in 1950 and is dated, dilapidated and requires significant ongoing maintenance. Cost savings can be realized on the project through City Parks employees doing some of the initial site preparation and reusing the architectural plans from the shelter/restroom facilities recently constructed in other City parks.

Range of Cost Depending on Option: \$57,500 - \$149,100
Funds Requested: \$40,200 - 142,000
Recommended Funding: TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: None

20 B: City of Grand Junction – Whitman Park Restroom Improvements

This project would either remodel the existing or provide for construction of a new restroom, sidewalk and/or shelter at Whitman Park. This area of downtown is a CDBG-eligible neighborhood. The existing restroom was constructed in 1950 and is dated, dilapidated and requires significant ongoing maintenance. Cost savings can be realized on the project through City Parks employees doing some of the initial site preparation and reusing the architectural plans from the shelter/restroom facilities recently constructed in other City parks.

Range of Cost Depending on Option: \$57,500 - \$193,600

Funds Requested: \$40,200 - 190,000

Recommended Funding: TBD

FUNDING CONCERNS: This project might be premature until a redevelopment strategy is established for the Park.

ATTACHMENT A
2016-2020 CDBG FIVE-YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. Introduction

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) requires the City of Grand Junction to develop and submit a Five Year Consolidated Plan in order to apply for and receive Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds. This plan identifies housing and community development goals and strategic objectives, and serves as the basis for the city's grant application to HUD.

CDBG funds are awarded to communities by HUD via a formula including the poverty level in a community, condition of housing stock and population size. The CDBG program was established by the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 in order to develop viable communities, decent housing, a suitable living environment and expand economic opportunities for persons with low to moderate income. The national objectives of the CDBG program allow communities to assist persons with lower income through housing, jobs and public service activities. CDBG funds can also address slum and blight in a community or address an urgent need such as rebuilding a community after a natural disaster.

2. Summary of the objectives and outcomes identified in the Plan Needs Assessment Overview

The 2016–2020 Five Year Consolidated Plan (Consolidated Plan or Plan) process was conducted in accordance with the Citizen Participation Plan. Citizens, agencies, and public officials participated by providing information throughout the process regarding community needs and services. The plan document was written by City of Grand Junction staff. The plan includes updated information gathered through recent reports and data, and interviews, meetings and questionnaires from local agencies and organizations. As a result of the planning process, the following Five Year Goals and Objectives have been established.

GOAL DESCRIPTIONS

1	Goal Name	Suitable Living Environment - Non-Housing
	Goal Description	This goal will address Non-Housing Community Development Infrastructure. Public improvements will be neighborhood based and primarily include street, sidewalk, storm drainage, solid waste, parks and recreation improvements. This goal will also include acquisition, construction, rehabilitation or other improvements to other public facilities that are owned and operated by other entities and organizations that serve low and moderate income persons.
2	Goal Name	Decent Affordable Housing
	Goal Description	This goal is to increase the inventory of affordable housing units and remove lead-based hazards in residential units.
3	Goal Name	Creating Economic Opportunities
	Goal Description	This goal addresses economic development and the creation of jobs and childcare needs categories.
4	Goal Name	Suitable Living Environment - Homeless
	Goal Description	This goal addresses shelter, housing, services and other activities to support homeless individuals and families.
5	Goal Name	Suitable Living Environment - Special Needs/Human Services/Youth
	Goal Description	This goal will provide activities to support Special Needs Populations and Other Human Services and Youth need categories.

PROJECTED OUTCOMES

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
1	Suitable Living Environment - Non-Housing	2016	2020	Non-Housing Community Development	Census Tracts	Non-Housing Community Development Infrastructure	CDBG: \$560,700	Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 3000 Persons Assisted Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit: 3000 Persons Assisted
2	Decent Affordable Housing	2016	2020	Affordable Housing Public Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs		Special Needs Populations and Other Human Services Increase the Inventory of Affordable Housing Units	CDBG: \$500,600	Rental units constructed: 120 Household Housing Unit Rental units rehabilitated: 50 Household Housing Unit Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated: 30 Household Housing Unit Housing for People with HIV/AIDS added: 5 Household Housing Unit

Sort Order	Goal Name	Start Year	End Year	Category	Geographic Area	Needs Addressed	Funding	Goal Outcome Indicator
3	Creating Economic Opportunities	2016	2020	Economic Development and Childcare		Economic Development and Childcare	CDBG: \$50,000	Jobs created/retained: 20 Jobs Businesses assisted: 5 Businesses Assisted Other: Construct 1 Other Facility
4	Suitable Living Environment - Homeless	2016	2020	Homeless		Non-Housing Community Development Infrastructure Special Needs Populations and Other Human Services Homeless	CDBG: \$300,400	Homeless Person Overnight Shelter: 1200 Persons Assisted Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added: 20 Beds Homelessness Prevention: 20 Persons Assisted
5	Suitable Living Env - Special Needs/Human Services/Youth	2016	2020	Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development		Non-Housing Community Development Infrastructure Special Needs Populations and Other Human Services	CDBG: \$460,600	Other: 1,000 Other

3. Evaluation of past performance

A review of past Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Reports (CAPERs) for the City of Grand Junction demonstrates a strong and consistent record of performance in the use of allocated CDBG funds. It is integral to determine what has been accomplished and what work is necessary to address the many and varied needs in the community. In Grand Junction, this evaluation included a review of past Consolidated Plans and Annual Action Plans as well as accomplishments reported to HUD each year. Through this evaluation the City was able to compare the needs identified through the Consolidated Plan and compare them to the activities that have taken place in the past to determine if there are continued or new needs relative to those activities. Priority needs and goals were then formulated and updated to meet current needs with attention to what has been successful in the past and what is needed in the future. In the past five years, the City has focused its efforts on funding activities that benefit special needs populations, homeless, affordable housing and neighborhood improvements, with consistent funding each year in these years.

4. Summary of citizen participation process and consultation process

Several opportunities were provided for citizen input on the development of the plan as well as the final draft of the plan. As required in the Citizen Participation Plan, the City held public meetings, met with service providers and focus groups to gather input for the plan. Presentations were made to the City Council regarding the plan and CDBG funded activities throughout plan development. Draft copies of the plan were made available to the public through the internet, the public library, and the City Neighborhood Services Division office. Copies of the plan were also distributed to the organizations and agencies listed above that had participated in its development. The draft Five Year Consolidated Plan will be made available for public comment from June 6, 2016 through July 8, 2016.

5. Summary of public comments – to be included prior to submission to HUD

ATTACHMENT B

ANALYSIS OF IMPEDIMENTS TO FAIR HOUSING CHOICE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report is an update to the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice report which was prepared by City of Grand Junction Community Development Division staff in 2011. The current report, also prepared by City staff follows the guidance of HUD's *Fair Housing Planning Guide* and staff of HUD's Region VIII Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO) office.

Much of the data presented is from the *2016 Grand Valley Housing Needs Assessment* which involved meetings and interviews with staff and/or representatives of participating agencies, organizations and businesses listed on page 6. Public participation was conducted through focus group and general public meetings, interviews, distribution of the report to key agencies and individuals, an advertised public comment period and an adoption hearing before City Council.

The intent of this update is to evaluate and update data used in the 2011 report; review the impediments that were identified in that report to determine if the impediments still exist; review what actions have since been taken and evaluate the effectiveness of the actions taken; and identify any new impediments that may exist, as well as recommend actions to address any new identified or continuing impediments.

Impediments to fair housing choice are any actions, omissions, or decisions:

- taken because of race, color, religion, sex, disability, familial status, or national origin which restrict housing choices or the availability of housing choices; or
- which have the effect of restricting housing choices or the availability of housing choices on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, disability, familial status, or national origin.

2011 IMPEDIMENTS

The following were identified as impediments to fair housing choice or barriers to affordable housing in the City of Grand Junction 2011 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice report.

Barriers to Affordable Housing

1. Land development costs
2. The "not in my back yard" (NIMBY) syndrome
3. A limited number of affordable housing units, single residency occupancy (SROs), one-bedroom or larger, particularly for very-low and low-income households, large families with children, seniors and persons with disabilities
4. Physical and mental disabilities of some persons, primarily veterans, homeless, single elderly and disabled
5. Low wage rates, increasing transportation costs or a lack of transportation and a lack of affordable, convenient child care

Impediments to Fair Housing Choice

1. High number of foreclosures in Grand Junction

2. Housing discrimination for persons that have disabilities and sometimes for race or national origin reasons
3. Language – e.g. there are currently no bilingual counselors for housing clients and homebuyer education classes are not provided in Spanish

A review of these and input from the *2016 Grand Valley Housing Needs Assessment* concluded that some of the barriers and impediments listed above are still valid but new impediments were recognized as listed below. In addition City and HUD FHEO staff consulted through site visits and telephone conversations to update and improve the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice. The impediments identified for the 2016 Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice are listed below.

2016 IMPEDIMENTS TO FAIR HOUSING CHOICE

Impediment 1: Lack of rental and affordable housing affects fair housing choice for a variety of groups including families, minorities and disabled person in the community.

Recommended Actions

- 1A.** Encourage affordable housing development through density bonus, fee deferments or waivers, and other forms of cost benefits to developers.
- 1B.** Continue its support of area housing agencies in the pursuit of additional funding opportunities, from public and private sources, for housing development
- 1C.** Encourage inclusive, affordable rental housing development and report any new rental housing that is constructed.
- 1D.** Assess areas and vacant parcels that can accommodate additional rental/multifamily development within range of existing infrastructure and accommodations.
- 1E.** Encourage rental developments through development incentives and fee waivers.
- 1F.** Review zoning requirements that may limit rental/multifamily developments and areas of increased density, especially in areas adjacent to existing amenities and infrastructure.
- 1G.** Review the availability and need for additional amenities, such as public K-12 schools, grocery stores and public transportation within the vicinity for new developments.

Impediment 2: Older housing stock in the community lacks accessibility features necessary for persons with disabilities, including seniors. Some older housing stock lacks basic systems to maintain the unit as affordable housing.

Recommended Actions

- 2A.** The community should fund reasonable rehabilitation and minor home repair programs to adapt older housing stock for special needs populations and repair affordable homes to keep them available.
- 2B.** Count new affordable housing developments that are accessible as new accessible units to address this impediment.

2C. Work with single family affordable developers such as Habitat for Humanity and Housing Resources of Western Colorado to incorporate universal design standards into single family homes to increase accessible housing stock and affirmatively further fair housing.

2D. Report all new accessible units and units retrofitted to be accessible or repaired to remain viable affordable units.

2E. Encourage mixed income development (i.e. market and affordable units) to accommodate more individuals and families that have been waiting for viable housing.

Impediment 3: Not in My Back Yard (NIMBY) ism – residents are opposed to affordable housing developments for families with children more so than senior affordable housing and/or market rate developments.

Recommended Actions

3A. Human service agencies, housing providers and the City should continue the good efforts to promote awareness of the need of affordable and fair housing through implementation of public policies and hosting seminars, fair housing forums and public awareness campaigns.

3B. Build on success and advertise affordable developments for families and interest in these units from market tenants.

Impediment 4: Housing Cost Burden may be disproportionately higher in census tracts with higher concentrations of Hispanic or minority families and/or persons with disabilities.

Recommended Actions

4A. Solicit participation in and advertise voucher program in these areas.

4B. Advertise affordable housing opportunities

4C. Encourage affordable housing development that can benefit these residents.

4D. Report vouchers utilized from households in these areas and developments that could benefit these persons, actions taken to address.

Impediment 5: Homeownership opportunities for minority and protected populations should be expanded.

Recommended Actions

5A. Encourage new construction at various price points to ensure access for low income persons who tend to be statistically more members or minority or protected populations.

5B. Develop targeted strategies to overcome a lack of information including homebuyers education and counseling, financial literacy programs and outreach, and bilingual training programs.

5C. Develop targeted strategies to overcome real estate and housing market barriers including development cost subsidy programs, regulatory relief in building codes and land use zoning, and enforcement of fair housing laws.

Impediment 6: Limited English Proficiency (LEP) populations are underrepresented in their participation in CDBG and other housing related programs in the community.

6A. Coordinate with HUD FHEO to develop a Limited English Proficiency (LEP) for Grand Junction.

6B. Improve and report targeted outreach and tools to better engage minority and protected populations in City activities such as public meetings and information such as on the City's web pages and mailings.

Impediment 7: There is a perceived lack of adequate public transportation to support movement from living to work, work to day care, etc. at appropriate times of day in some areas of the community.

Recommended Actions

7A. Further analyze routes and frequency of public transit to determine if there are areas with higher concentration of minority, disabled and elderly populations where service can be improved.

7B. Grand Valley Transit (GVT), local government and area non-profit agencies will continue to seek funding and offer support for transportation and child care assistance for households in need.

7C. The Regional Transportation Planning Office/GVT will collaborate with other local entities to ensure that future transit route planning takes into consideration, to the extent possible, the location of affordable housing developments.

7D. A similar collaboration will take place in analyzing location of existing and proposed child care facilities relative to housing and transportation.

ATTACHMENT C CDBG EVALUATION CRITERIA

Applications for CDBG funding will be judged by the following criteria:

- Proposed project meets national Objectives, is an eligible project and meets Consolidated Plan goals
- Ability of the applicant to complete the project
- Agency capacity – history of performance, staff level and experience, financial stability
- Amount requested
- Request by applicant is consistent with agency needs

CDBG NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

The mission of the CDBG program is the “development of viable urban communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment, and expanding economic opportunities, principally for persons of low and moderate income.” Therefore, projects funded must address one or more of the following national objectives:

- Benefits low and moderate income persons
- Eliminates or prevents slum or blight
- Address an urgent community need (usually a natural disaster)

GRAND JUNCTION PRIORITIES 2016 FIVE YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN

The Grand Junction City Council maintains a commitment to use CDBG funds for facilities, services, and infrastructure that directly benefits low-income households in Grand Junction. The Five Year Consolidated Plan outlines the following five priorities for the expenditure of CDBG funds.

- Need for non-housing community development infrastructure
- Need for affordable housing
- Needs of the homeless
- Needs of special needs populations and other human services

**ATTACHMENT D
CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION
2016 CDBG PROGRAM YEAR SCHEDULE**

Thursday February 11	APPLICATION WORKSHOP 2016 CDBG Program Year Grant Applications Available
Wednesday March 23	DEADLINE for Grant Applications
March 19 – April 20	30-Day PUBLIC REVIEW Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice Report
March 24 – April 7	STAFF REVIEW of Applications
By April 8	STAFF REPORT for Council Workshop
Monday April 18	CITY COUNCIL WORKSHOP Review Executive Summaries for Five-Year Consolidated Plan and Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice Make recommendations on which projects to fund for 2016
Wednesday May 18	CITY COUNCIL PUBLIC HEARING City Council reviews workshop recommendations and makes decision on which projects to fund for 2016 Program Year
June 6 – July 8	30-Day PUBLIC REVIEW of Five-Year Consolidated Plan and 2016 Annual Action Plan
Wednesday June 15	CITY COUNCIL PUBLIC HEARING Final acceptance of Five-Year Consolidated Plan, Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice and 2016 Annual Action Plan recommended by Council at May meeting
By July 15	SUBMIT Five-Year Consolidated Plan, Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice and 2016 Annual Action Plan to HUD. 45-day HUD review period required
August 31	RECEIVE HUD APPROVAL
September 1	BEGIN 2016 Program Year BEGIN CONTRACTS WITH SUBRECIPIENTS

ATTACHMENT E: CDBG PROJECTS BY PROGRAM YEAR 1996-2015

1996 PROGRAM YEAR – All Projects Completed

- Habitat for Humanity Property Acquisition - \$80,000
- Catholic Outreach Homeless Day Center - \$30,000
- Program Administration - \$44,000
- GJHA Lincoln Apartments Property Acquisition - \$330,000

1997 PROGRAM YEAR – All Projects Completed

- Catholic Outreach Homeless Day Center - \$10,000
- Marillac Clinic Elevator and Program Costs - \$90,000
- South Avenue Reconstruction - \$330,000
- Program Administration - \$47,000

1998 PROGRAM YEAR – All Projects Completed

- Catholic Outreach Homeless Day Center - \$17,131
- Colorado West Mental Health Transitional Living Center - \$25,000
- Salvation Army Hope House Shelter - \$25,000
- Mesa Developmental Services Group Home Rehabilitation - \$200,000
- Elm Avenue Sidewalk - \$157,869
- Program Administration - \$44,000

1999 PROGRAM YEAR – All Projects Completed

- GJHA Homeless Shelter Acquisition - \$205,000
- Catholic Outreach Homeless Day Center - \$16,000
- Salvation Army Hope House Shelter - \$25,000
- Riverside Drainage Improvements - \$200,000
- Program Administration - \$26,000

2000 PROGRAM YEAR – All Projects Completed

- Catholic Outreach Day Center Acquisition - \$130,000
- Energy Office Linden Building Rehabilitation - \$55,000
- Riverside Drainage Improvements - \$200,000
- Head Start Classroom/Family Center - \$104,000

2001 PROGRAM YEAR – All Projects Completed

- The Energy Office – Housing Acquisition - \$200,000
- Catholic Outreach Transitional Housing services - \$10,000
- Marillac Clinic Dental Expansion - \$200,000
- Mesa County Partners Activity Center Parking/Landscaping - \$15,000
- Mesa Developmental Services Group Home Improvements - \$40,000

2002 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- Catholic Outreach Soup Kitchen Remodel - \$50,000
- Western Region Alternative to Placement Program Costs - \$10,000
- Homeward Bound Bunk Beds for Homeless Shelter - \$10,000
- Western Slope Center For Children Remodel - \$101,280
- GJHA Affordable Housing Pre-development/ costs - \$41,720

- Bass Street Drainage Improvements \$205,833
- Program Administration - \$50,000

2003 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- Riverside School Historic Structure Assessment - \$4,000
- Riverside School Roof Repair - \$15,000
- Center For Independence Purchase 4-passenger Accessible Van - \$20,000
- Western Region Alternative to Placement Program Costs - \$7,500
- The Tree House Teen Bistro Rehabilitation and Americorp Volunteer - \$20,000
- Gray Gourmet Program - \$5,050
- Foster Grand Parents Program - \$5,000
- Senior Companion Program - \$5,000
- GJHA Linden Pointe Infrastructure - \$335,450

2004 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- Program Administration - \$20,000
- Five-Year Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Study - \$15,000
- Gray Gourmet Program - \$10,000
- Foster Grand Parents Program - \$7,000
- Senior Companion Program - \$8,000
- Radio Reading Services of the Rockies - \$4,500
- Mesa County Health Dept Purchase Equipment - \$5,000
- Riverside School Roof Repair/Rehabilitation - \$47,650
- Senior Center Masterplan Study – \$20,000
- Hilltop Community Resources Energy Improvements - \$50,000
- Housing Resources Permanent Supportive Housing - \$50,000
- Hope Haven Roof Replacement - \$7,500
- Riverside Sidewalk Improvements - \$50,000
- Grand Avenue Sidewalk Improvements - \$60,000

2005 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- Program Administration \$25,000
- Salvation Army Adult Rehab Program - \$25,000
- Mesa County Partners Purchase 12-passenger Van - \$15,000
- GJHA Bookcliff Property Acquisition - \$127,500
- Housing Resources Install Handicap Lift at 8-plex for Homeless Veterans - \$30,000
- Ouray Avenue Storm Drain Enlargement - \$172,644

2006 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- Program Administration - \$69,656
- GJHA Village Park Property Acquisition - \$178,630
- Orchard Mesa Drainage Improvements - \$100,000

2007 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- Program Administration - \$4,808
- Audio Information Network of Colorado - \$4,500
- Center for Enriched Communication - \$7,181
- Gray Gourmet Program - \$20,500

- Foster Grandparent Program - \$10,000
- Senior Companion Program - \$10,000
- Hilltop Daycare/Family Center Remodel - \$24,547
- Homeless Shelter Screen Wall - \$40,000

2008 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- Senior Multiuse Campus Study - \$80,000
- Riverside Educational Center – Americorps Personnel - \$5,000
- Gray Gourmet Program - \$20,500
- Riverside Task Force Acquisition - \$220,900
- Partners W CO Conservation Corps Acquisition - \$100,000
- Center for Independence Vocational Center Remodel - \$9,500
- Melrose Park Restroom Replacement - \$108,201

2009 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- CDBG Program Administration - \$30,000
- HomewardBound Van Purchase - \$21,071
- Senior Companion Program - \$12,000
- GJHA Walnut Park Apartments - \$100,000
- Riverside Task Force Acquisition/Clearance - \$105,574
- MDS Group Home Remodel - \$40,000
- HRWC Garden Village Learning Center - \$120,000
- W Slope Center for Children Main Program Building Remodel - \$65,000
- Dual Immersion Academy Slope Stabilization/Landscaping - \$56,714

2010 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- CDBG Program Administration - \$60,000
- Gray Gourmet Program - \$20,500
- Foster Grandparent Program - \$12,000
- Partners Western CO Conservation Corps Van Purchase - \$17,000
- Counseling and Education Center - \$6,682
- Hawthorne Park Restroom Replacement - \$140,000
- HomewardBound Shelter Repairs and Improvements - \$6,000
- Center for Independence Energy Improvements - \$34,100
- Grand Valley Catholic Outreach Soup Kitchen Remodel - \$88,725

2011 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- CDBG Program Administration - \$30,000
- Grand Valley Catholic Outreach St. Martin Place - \$50,000
- BIC Downtown Economic Gardening - \$47,600
- GJHA Courtyard Apartments Remodel - \$101,205
- MDS Group Home Remodel - \$9,924
- Homeless Shelter Bathroom Remodel - \$30,000
- Center for Independence Kitchen Remodel - \$30,475
- Strong Families, Safe Kids Parenting Place Remodel - \$9,371
- St. Mary's Senior Companion Program - \$8,000
- St. Mary's Foster Grandparent Program - \$10,000

2012 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- CDBG Program Administration - \$5,000
- St. Mary's Foster Grandparent Program - \$10,000
- St. Mary's Senior Companion Program - \$8,000
- St. Mary's Gray Gourmet Program - \$11,125
- CEC Low Income Counseling Services - \$7,000
- Karis The House Acquisition - \$85,000
- Homeless Shelter Acquisition - \$109,971
- GVCO T-House Rehabilitation - \$12,638
- MDS Program Office Remodel - \$25,000
- Strong Families, Safe Kids Parenting Place Rehabilitation - \$14,080
- Gray Gourmet Kitchen Remodel - \$5,500
- 6th Street Sewer Realignment - \$27,500
- 6th Street Pedestrian Safety/Parking Improvements - \$60,536
- North Avenue Accessibility Improvements - \$25,000

2013 Program Year – All Projects Completed

- CDBG Program Administration - \$43,000
- St. Mary's Foster Grandparent Program - \$10,000
- St. Mary's Senior Companion Program - \$8,000
- Marillac Clinic Homeless Services - \$10,000
- CEC Low Income Counseling Services - \$7,000
- GANG Afterschool Tutoring/Enrichment - \$3,300
- Hospice Teen Grief Program - \$7,242
- Marillac Clinic Dental Equipment - \$23,190
- STRIVE Parenting Place Rehabilitation - \$20,000
- Head Start Facilities Security Upgrade - \$28,050 (partially withdrawn, funds reallocated with 2016)
- Hilltop Opportunity Center Rehabilitation - \$86,840
- Partners Van Purchase - \$15,000
- Nisley Neighborhood Sidewalks - \$112,647

2014 Program Year – All Projects Completed Except as Noted

- CDBG Program Administration - \$43,000
- Senior Companion Program - \$10,000 (50% completed)
- Counseling and Education Center - \$3,000
- Hilltop Latimer House - \$10,320
- Mind Springs Health Hospital Improvements - \$31,164
- Salvation Army Kitchen Rehabilitation - \$25,000 (90% completed)
- GJHA Walnut Park Apartments Rehabilitation - \$50,000
- Homeless Shelter Improvements - \$1,500
- B-1/2 Road Sidewalk - \$137,179

2015 Program Year

- CDBG Program Administration - \$43,000 (60% complete)
- STRiVE Diagnostic Clinic - \$4,500 (no expenditure)

- Mind Springs Services Expansion - \$23,910 (withdrawn, funds reallocated with 2016)
- Western CO Suicide Prevention Bridges Program - \$8,860 (no expenditure)
- Gray Gourmet Program - \$9,950 (26% complete)
- Foster Grandparent Program - \$8,998 (75% complete)
- Karis Asset House Improvements - \$10,200 (98% complete)
- Housing Resources Emergency Home Repair Program - \$22,500 (5% complete)
- Homeless Shelter HVAC Improvements - \$28,293 (completed)
- GVCO Transitional Housing Rehabilitation - \$4,000 (underway)
- STRiVE Group Home HVAC Replacement - \$27,210 (underway)
- Partners Office Safety Improvements - \$27,500 (underway)
- Orchard Avenue Elementary Safe Routes to School - \$43,129 (completed)
- Westlake Park Neighborhood Pedestrian Improvements - \$116,200 (underway)

	AGENCY	PROJECT TITLE	GRANT REQUEST	MIN REQUEST	2015 FUNDING	FUNDING LEVERAGE	PROJECT INFORMATION/COMMENTS	COUNCIL RECOMMENDATION
16 Housing Purchase	Karis, Inc.	Zoe House	\$50,000	\$1	\$10,200	\$182,543	The Zoe House provides a 5-bedroom facility with a 6-month to two year transitional program for youth recovering from sexual assault, domestic violence or date stalking. CDBG funds would be used towards the acquisition of the residence.	
		SUBTOTAL NON-CITY CAPITAL REQUESTS	\$501,690					
17 A Public	City of Grand Junction Public Works	Nisley Elementary Safe Routes to School	\$90,000	\$90,000	2 SRTS projects funded total \$159,249	\$0	This project would construct segments of missing curb, gutter and sidewalk along the walking route for Nisley Elementary students. Approximately 550 linear feet along the east side of 28-3/4 Road. Highest priority of two Safe Routes to Schools applications for Urban Trails Committee.	
17 B Public	City of Grand Junction Public Works	El Poso Neighborhood Pedestrian Improvements/SRTS	\$45,000	\$45,000	2 SRTS projects funded total \$159,249	\$0	This project would provide pedestrian improvements in the El Poso neighborhood as well as improve Safe Routes to School. Approximately 270 feet of curb, gutter and sidewalk, 1 access ramp and a retaining wall along the west side of Mulberry from Broadway to West Ouray	
18 Public	City of Grand Junction Facilities	Downtown Senior Recreation Center Rehabilitation	\$103,620	\$103,620	\$0	\$18,286	This project would fund correction of most of the critical needs identified for 2016. 85% of the seniors that utilize the center are City residents, thus 15% of the eligible project costs would be budgeted elsewhere.	
19 A Public	City of Grand Junction Public Works	Neighborhood Drainage Improvements - Orchard Mesa	\$14,500	\$14,500	\$0	\$0	Replace an existing 42" diameter storm water and drainage culvert under Palisade Street. This drainage channel conveys storm water from approximately 50% of the Orchard Mesa basin. The condition of the pipe puts conveyance of the storm flows at risk. Construction would protect approximately 25-30 homes in the vicinity from potential flooding.	
19 B Public	City of Grand Junction Public Works	Neighborhood Drainage Improvements - Downtown	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	Connect storm sewer inlet to storm sewer system. This inlet will provide for mitigation of localized flooding of several properties. The location is the Alley between 17th Street and 19th Street, Rood Avenue to White Avenue. Construction would protect approximately 5 homes in the vicinity from potential flooding.	
20 A Public	City of Grand Junction Parks and Recreation	Emerson Park Restroom	\$142,000	\$40,200	\$0	\$0	This project would either remodel the existing or provide for construction of new restroom, sidewalk and/or shelter in Emerson Park.	
20 B Public	City of Grand Junction Parks and Recreation	Whitman Park Restroom	\$190,000	\$40,200	\$0	\$0	This project would either remodel the existing or provide for construction of new restroom, sidewalk and/or shelter in Whitman Park.	
		Subtotal City Capital Requests	\$610,120					\$0

TOTAL REQUESTS

\$1,180,142