

**GRAND JUNCTION CITY COUNCIL
MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING
October 4, 2017**

The City Council of the City of Grand Junction convened into regular session on the 4th day of October 2017 at 6:00 p.m. Those present were Councilmembers Bennett Boeschstein, Chris Kennedy, Phyllis Norris, Duncan McArthur, Duke Wortmann, and Council President Rick Taggart. Absent was Councilmember Barbara Traylor Smith. Also present were City Manager Greg Caton, City Attorney John Shaver, and Deputy City Clerk Juanita Peterson.

Council President Taggart called the meeting to order. Council President Taggart led the Pledge of Alliance which was followed by the invocation by Pastor David Crowley, The Gathering.

Proclamations

Proclaiming October 1 - 7, 2017 as "Western Colorado Council Boy Scouts of America 75th Anniversary Week" in the City of Grand Junction

Councilmember Duncan McArthur read the proclamation. Mark Switzer, Council Executive of the Western Colorado Council Boy Scouts of America, was present to accept the proclamation. Mr. Switzer gave the history of the organization and thanked Council for the proclamation.

Proclaiming October 7, 2017 as "Community Streets Day" in the City of Grand Junction

Councilmember Boeschstein read the proclamation. Urban Trails Committee Vice-Chair David Lehmann was present to accept the proclamation. Mr. Lehmann gave the history of the event and thanked Council for the proclamation.

Proclaiming October 8 - 14, 2017 as "Fire Prevention Week" in the City of Grand Junction

Councilmember Norris read the proclamation. Gus Hendricks, Fire Training Chief, and Community Outreach Specialists, Ellis Thompson-Ellis, and Dirk Clingman were present to accept the proclamation. Mr. Hendricks gave the history of the event and thanked Council for the proclamation.

Proclaiming October as "Conflict Resolution Month" in the City of Grand Junction

Councilmember Kennedy read the proclamation. Annette Ferriole from Peacemaking Resources was present to accept the proclamation. Ms. Ferriole gave the history of the event and thanked Council for the proclamation.

Citizens Comments

Bruce Lomiller, 3032 North 15th St., Apt #1204, spoke about homeless camps, night patrol funding, the Las Vegas shooting and the importance of reporting suspicious activity.

Zora Moore, 365 Vista Valley Drive, Fruita, North Avenue business owner, spoke against the North Avenue name change. She spoke of the costs, the labor involved, and asked who benefits from it. She instead proposed cleaning up North Avenue.

Mackenzie Dodge, 275 Mountain View Street, spoke against the North Avenue name change. Ms. Dodge stated her desire was to see the original petition presented to Council for the name change to University Boulevard.

Leanne Leftler-Foot, 116 E. Alco Drive, business owner of Martin Mortuary, spoke against the North Avenue name change. Ms. Leftler-Foot spoke of the impact on her business the name change would have, specifically regarding the 804 “death trusts” that they hold that would need to be changed.

Rick Sartaine, 2630 Bookcliff Avenue, spoke against the North Avenue name change. Mr. Sartaine spoke of the recession in Grand Junction and the financial impact on businesses. He addressed the cost involved in labor to execute the name change and does not believe it will benefit the community.

Melody Fraser, 527 ½ 32 1/8 Road, owner of the Mail Suite, spoke against the North Avenue name change. Ms. Fraser spoke of how the name change will negatively impact her business.

Council Reports

Councilmember Norris, attended the meetings that she has been assigned to. She thanked the citizens for attending this meeting, spoke and she expressed her appreciation for their involvement.

Councilmember Kennedy said the highlight of the last two weeks was attending Regional Broadband Conferences across the state. Successes and processes were shared and private businesses also attended and spoke of partnering to continue to move the initiative forward.

Councilmember McArthur said that October is breast cancer awareness month, and that he wore his pink shirt in support of Delaney Clements, a young lady who lost her battle to cancer last year, and for everyone fighting their own battle with cancer. On

September 21st he attended the Homeless Coalition meeting, on September 28th he attended the GOCO Lottery Fund's 25th Celebration (they have supported over 29 projects and \$2 billion over the last 25 years in Mesa County), on October 3rd he attended the Parks Improvement Advisory Board meeting and on October 4th he attended the Associated Members for Growth and Development meeting (discussed issues with 3A and 3B). Councilmember McArthur addressed the petition efforts being done against the North Avenue name change and how he found it gratifying to see the community come together in their efforts.

Councilmember Wortmann expressed that he is appreciative of the boundaries that our citizens are crossing in putting forth the petition against the North Avenue name change. He thanked the citizens for attending the meeting and expressing their passion.

Councilmember Boeschstein thanked everyone who attended the meeting to speak on the North Avenue name change. He assured that they are looking at this issue. He passed around a booklet from the Business Incubator Center and spoke of how they offer help to small businesses. Councilmember Boeschstein mentioned the grant award received for the trail linking the Riverfront Trail to the Monument along Monument Road and how it will be a wonderful project. He invited the public to attend a course offered by Colorado Mesa University on Saturday, Economics of our Water.

Council President Taggart took time to address the Retiree Health Program. He explained the program was self-funded by City employees. Since its inception, the monies being collected cannot keep up with inflation of health care issues and the program is not able to support itself. He said City staff is working to develop a strategy to protect the program for the retirees. He spoke of his opportunity to work with the Dual Immersion Elementary School and how they took a field trip to City Hall to ask him questions about our government. Council President Taggart expressed how impressed he was with their questions and how insightful they were. He also got to speak to East Middle School of the importance of Bike and Walk to School Day and how the City has worked hard to increase the safety of the routes available to the community.

Consent Agenda

Councilmember Boeschstein moved to adopt the Consent Agenda Items #1 through #4. Councilmember Kennedy seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Consent Agenda

1. Approval of Minutes

- a. Summary of the September 18, 2017 Workshop
- b. Minutes of the September 20, 2017 Special Session
- c. Minutes of the September 20, 2017 Regular Meeting

2. Set Public Hearing

- a. Quasi-judicial
 - i. Ordinance Vacating Right-of-Way and Easements Located in the Jarvis Subdivision Plat and Set a Public Hearing for October 18, 2017

3. Contract

- a. Contract Kannah Creek Intake Rehabilitation

4. Resolution

- a. A Resolution Amending Resolution No. 2617 Appointing and Assigning City Councilmembers to Represent the City on Various Boards, Committees, Commissions, Authorities, and Organizations

Public Hearing Amending the Downtown Development Authority Plan of Development to Include the Las Colonias Business Park

The Plan of Development for the Downtown Development Authority (DDA) was originally adopted in 1981 and needs to be updated to address the recent development opportunities along the Riverfront corridor. The Plan of Development identifies public improvements to the Las Colonias area including providing parks and other public improvements such as streetscape improvements and parking, but does not explicitly identify the proposed business-related improvements. The proposed amendment to the Plan of Development would identify the Las Colonias Business Park as a project under Section VII of the Plan of Development.

Pursuant to C.R.S. 31-25-807(4)(b), prior to its approval of a plan of development, the governing body shall submit such plan to the planning board of the municipality, if any, for review and recommendations. The planning board shall submit its written recommendations with respect to the proposed plan of development to the governing body within thirty days after receipt of the plan for review.

The public hearing opened at 7:18 p.m.

Kathy Portner, Community Services Manager, presented this item along with the history of this DDA and the projects that have taken place in the DDA. She explained that the proposed amendment would add Las Colonias park to the DDA Plan of Development as a specific project, providing public improvements to the riverfront corridor, and help spur private investment in the area which aligns with the goals and objectives of the plan of development.

Councilmember Kennedy asked how many other park properties fall under the DDA footprint. Ms. Portner answered that there are two parks, Whitman Park and Emerson Park. He asked if adding the park will increase the amount of funds paid to the DDA annually. City Manager Caton said no, because it is already within the boundaries and will actually bring in additional dollars because of the private investment potential.

Councilmember Norris asked if the County also pays a fee to the DDA since they own a lot of property in that area. City Manager Caton said no. Councilmember Norris lauded the DDA for the life they have brought downtown to the businesses and to that area.

Councilmember McArthur asked if the new business that will come into that area are automatically under the DDA and subject to the taxation and City Manager Caton answered that yes, they will be, and that this is a significant step forward in increasing the DDA's revenue.

The public hearing closed at 7:24 p.m.

Councilmember Kennedy moved to approve Ordinance No. 4765 An Ordinance Amending Sections of the Zoning and Development Code (Title 21 of the Grand Junction Municipal Code) Regarding Zoning Board of Appeals Memberships on final publication and ordered final publication in pamphlet form. Councilmember McArthur seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Public Hearing – Ordinance Amending Section 21.02.030 of the Zoning and Development Code Regarding Zoning Board of Appeals Membership and a Resolution Adopting Bylaws for the Zoning Board of Appeals

Due to the infrequency of meetings and a historic lack of interest in serving on this Board, staff is proposing to amend Section 21.02.030 of the Zoning and Development Code to reduce the number of members of the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBOA) from five members to three members. To avoid the challenge of finding new members, the three members are proposed to be comprised of the Chairman of the Planning Commission and the two designated Planning Commission alternates.

The public hearing opened at 7:25 p.m.

Kathy Portner, Community Services Manager, presented this item.

Councilmember Kennedy asked how long the board has been serving with three members versus the full five. Ms. Portner answered it has only been 4-5 months, but this change was being considered previous to that time. Councilmember Kennedy expressed his concern in taking away these two at large positions. Ms. Portner addressed his concern in that the Planning Commission and Zoning Board of Appeals have many similarities and the Planning Commission has members to cover the diversity as appointed by City Council.

Councilmember Norris asked about the overlap in the Planning Commission and Zoning Board of Appeals given the alternates and the role they play on each board. Ms. Portner said they act as two separate and distinct entities with no overlap. Councilmember Norris asked if the City has a lot of growth, and potential for more appeals, would this three-member board still work. Ms. Portner said that the cases that are heard by the Zoning Board of Appeals are few and far between; the bar is set high. Staff works with applicants to find other solutions in lieu of variances. She also said there are many other options that have been added to allow applicants the flexibility to find a solution other than a variance.

Councilmember Wortmann thanked Ms. Portner for efficiencies that are shrinking government and how that is a very good thing.

The public hearing closed at 7:33 p.m.

Councilmember Wortmann moved to adopt Resolution No. 57-17 A Resolution Adopting Bylaws for the Zoning Board of Appeals and Approve Ordinance No. 4766 An Ordinance Amending Sections of the Zoning and Development Code (Title 21 of the Grand Junction Municipal Code) Regarding Zoning Board of Appeals Memberships on final passage and ordered final publication in pamphlet form. Councilmember Boeschstein seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Public Hearing Ordinance Rezoning the Proposed Fossil Trace to R-2 (Residential 2 DU/AC), Located at 465 Meadows Way

The Applicant, Fossil Trace Holdings LLC, is requesting a rezone of Lot 3, Rump Subdivision (8.41 +/- acres), located at 465 Meadows Way, from the R-R (Residential - Rural) to the R-2 (Residential - 2 du/ac) zone district for the purpose of future subdivision. The Future Land Use Map designates the property as Estate and identifies the property on the Blended Residential Land Use Map as Residential Low. The

Residential Low designation within the Blended Map allows for the application of the R-R, R-E, R-1, R-2, R-4 or R-5 to implement the Estate future land use category. As a result, the Blended Map provides for an allowable density of up to five dwelling units per acre which is consistent with the Applicant's request to zone this property R-2.

After this zoning request, should the Applicant choose to move forward with the development of the property, the subdivision would be subject to an administrative review.

Mayor Taggart introduced Kevin Bray, who had students from CMU, Success in Real Estate course with the topic of Planning and Development to the meeting that night for live observation.

The public hearing opened at 7:36 p.m.

Scott Peterson, Senior Planner, presented this item. He reviewed the location, site, current zoning, and the history of the property. He stated that a neighborhood meeting was held in May in which sixteen citizens and the applicant attended. He said concerns were expressed at that time on drainage and traffic.

Councilmember Boeschstein asked why the area had been originally shown as an RR zoning when the Comprehensive Plan had been adopted. Mr. Peterson said that was the state designation and nothing had been proposed for development at that time so that was the most appropriate zoning. Councilmember Boeschstein asked why the low-density designation and Mr. Peterson answered that it may have been because a good portion of the property cannot be developed due to the wetlands designation.

Councilmember Kennedy asked about the Home Owners Association Tract for Peregrine Estates. Mr. Peterson said this area is owned by the HOA and is not developable, it is open space.

Councilmember Boeschstein asked about geotechnical reports. Mr. Peterson said that would come later when a subdivision application is submitted.

Council President Taggart asked how Peregrine Estates and Monument Meadows subdivision is zoned. Mr. Peterson showed on the map that Peregrine is R-2 and Monument Meadows is RF-2.

Kevin Bray, 350 Shadow Lake Road, spoke about the feedback received and how it focused on drainage, soils, traffic, wetlands and privacy issues. He said he looks

forward to addressing these through the neighborhood meetings throughout the process.

Tim Donovan, 457 Feather Court, asked to present an overall summary of their concerns opposing the rezoning.

Council President Taggart asked City Attorney Shaver to clarify the rezoning issues to be considered before Mr. Donovan presented his presentation. City Attorney Shaver clarified the items to be considered – subsequent events that have invalidated the original premise and or findings relative to the zone, character, and/or condition of the area has changed so that the amendment is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Public and community facilities are adequate to serve the type and scope of land use proposed, an inadequate supply of land that is suitably designated land available in the community to accommodate the proposed land use and lastly, the area as defined by City Council will derive benefits from the proposed amendment.

Mr. Donovan then continued with his presentation. His concerns were: safety with proposed entrance off Meadows Way, disturbance of wetlands, cluster of surrounding neighborhoods, and uncertainty of the type of houses to be built.

Gerald Safe, 2162 Peregrine Court, spoke against the rezoning. Mr. Safe also addressed traffic and drainage concerns.

Janie Wilding, 2172 Peregrine Court, spoke against the rezoning. Her concerns were with the number of potential homes due to the cluster provision and the potential unintended consequences to her own home.

John Cassidy, 2174 Peregrine Estates, spoke against the rezoning. Mr. Cassidy addressed the safety concerns with the rezoning due to traffic. He stated that he understood that even if the rezoning is approved the development may not be, but he felt that this decision cannot be made in isolation, but instead made looking at the overall picture.

Chris Taggart, 452 Feather Court, spoke against the rezoning. His concern was with the cluster development and the amount of traffic that it will bring to the neighborhood. He has two small children and believes this would make the area unsafe for them and the other small children of the neighborhood.

Sam Sterling, 2161 Peregrine Court, spoke against the rezoning. Mr. Sterling doesn't believe that the potential houses to be put in would match the character of the surrounding houses and community.

Kim Gage, 460 Feather Court, spoke against the rezoning. Ms. Gage's concern was mainly with the cluster provision which may result in 14 homes in only a few acres. She also echoed the safety issues and drainage issues.

Andrew Smith, 2175 Peregrine Court, spoke in opposition of the rezoning. Mr. Smith believed that the wetlands were not accurately depicted on the maps presented and stated that if they had been the access to the property, this would not be considered.

Kara Thunderburk, 2170 Peregrine Court, spoke against the rezoning. Ms. Thunderburk spoke of her own experiences with her home and the need to put in french drains and landscaping to deal with the excess sitting water.

Tisha Cassidy, 2174 Peregrine Court, spoke against the rezoning. Ms. Cassidy spoke of the unintended consequences of passing the rezoning.

Cindy Wilbur, 458 Feather Court, asked to be put on record of being opposed to the rezone.

Jean DeBerry, 455 Feather Court, agrees with everything the neighborhood has said and wanted to go on the record as being strongly opposed to the rezoning.

Mr. Donovan, 457 Feather Court, read letters from Tony Walters, 2164 Peregrine Court, and Pamala Williams, 454 Feather Court, who oppose the rezoning.

The public hearing closed at 8:32 p.m.

Councilmember Norris asked if the Meadows Way and Broadway are City Roads or County Roads. Mr. Dorris said they were City Roads. She asked about the cluster provision and if it is normal in a zoning change. Mr. Peterson said the cluster provision has nothing to do with the zoning change, but would be taken into consideration in the planning phase.

Council President Taggart asked for clarification on the cluster provision and why it isn't a zoning component. City Attorney Shaver clarified that the provision is a design component and that is why it isn't incorporated in the zoning phase. The rezoning only takes into consideration the assumed dwellings per acre per gross acre.

Councilmember Norris asked if there is a better zone for this property and Mr. Peterson said R-2 is what the applicant has requested.

Councilmember Boeschstein has asked himself if there is a reason to change the zoning and answered that he didn't feel there was and stated he would vote no on this ordinance.

Councilmember Kennedy asked when the last time the flood plain was updated. Mr. Dorris answered that there is not an established flood plain in Limekiln Gulch. He described the data on the flooding was based on observations made and recorded in the seventies and eighties.

Councilmember McArthur stated that the clustering provision is part of the plans and to arbitrarily change them is not an option; if they want to do that they need to amend the ordinances and the design standards. Most of the issues brought forth at the meeting were on the design standards of this project that need to be met during the development process. He spoke to the benefits of the cluster provision. He said they will still have to meet the traffic standards and the soil and drainage issues at the correct phase.

Council President Taggart said that he is not educated enough to vote on the ordinance at this Council meeting; he would like more information. He spoke of the cluster provision and how that would play out on this property.

Councilmember Kennedy said he felt the cluster provision was a design and development issue and not a rezoning issue.

Councilmember Boeschstein moved to deny Ordinance No. 4767 - An Ordinance Rezoning the Proposed Fossil Trace to R-2 (Residential - 2 DU/AC), Located at 465 Meadows Way. Councilmember Norris seconded the motion. The motion passed 4-2 with Councilmembers McArthur and Kennedy voting NO.

Public Hearing Ordinance Rezoning Property at 382 and 384 High Ridge Drive from PD to R-2 (Residential - 2 Dwelling Units Per Acre)

The Community Development Director is initiating a rezone of a lapsed Planned Development (PD) for the Ridges Mesa Planned Development because the PD has not been completed in accordance with the approved development schedule.

The public hearing opened at 9:02 p.m.

Kathy Portner, Community Services Manager, presented this item. She reviewed the location, site, and current zoning. The planned development was getting close to the lapse time to move forward with that plan, so they are requesting it be rezoned. If it lapses, the property would revert back to the previous zoning.

Councilmember Kennedy asked why. Ms. Portner explained that the developer doesn't want to move forward with the Planned Development that has already been approved. They want the land to be rezoned to R-2 so that they can propose a new development before it lapses in 2017 and must go back to a Planned Development zone which would mean the developer must come back to Council.

The public hearing closed at 9:06 p.m.

Councilmember Kennedy moved to approve Ordinance No. 4768 An Ordinance Rezoning Properties at 382 and 384 High Ridge Drive from PD (Planned Development) to R-2 (Residential - 2 Dwelling Units Per Acre) on final passage and ordered final publication in pamphlet form. Councilmember Boeschstein seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Public Hearing Ordinance Rezoning Properties Located at 703 23 2/10 Road and 2350 G Road from I-2 to (General Industrial) to I-1 (Light Industrial)

The Applicants are requesting approval to rezone two properties, located at 703 23-2/10 Road and 2350 G Road, from I-2 (General Industrial) to the I-1 (Light Industrial) zone district. The property located at 703 23-2/10 Road is 1.3 acres in size and currently has a vacant office building on it. The second property located at 2350 G Road is 1.9 acres and is developed with an office building that is also currently vacant. The property owners are seeking the rezone to allow for more flexibility in the types of non-industrial uses that could occupy the existing office structures on the properties.

The public hearing opened at 9:15 p.m.

Kristen Ashbeck, Senior Planner, presented this item. She reviewed the location, site, and current zoning, and described the history of the buildings on the property. Ms. Ashbeck said the I-1 would allow for general office use of the buildings that have not been used for years that the current zoning would not allow. The rezoning is compatible with the surrounding zones.

The public hearing closed at 9:21 p.m.

Councilmember McArthur commented on the location of one of the properties and the surrounding businesses and how they are heavy industrial. He said it seems like an office building may be out of place but didn't see how making it more inconsistent would create any type of a problem.

Councilmember McArthur moved to approve Ordinance No. 4769 An Ordinance Rezoning Properties Located at 703 23 2/10 Road and 2350 G Road from I-2 (General Industrial) to I-1 (Light Industrial) on final passage and ordered final publication in pamphlet form. Councilmember Wortmann seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Resolution Authorizing the Defense and Indemnification of a Grand Junction Police Officer

A Federal District Court action has been filed alleging violation of a citizen's rights by an employee of the Grand Junction Police Department. The lawsuit alleges misconduct in controlling and arresting a person reported to have assaulted an emergency medical

services provider and allegedly obstructed and resisted officers as the suspect was being placed into custody.

City Attorney John Shaver presented this item.

Councilmember Kennedy commented on the importance to support the City's law enforcement officers.

Councilmember Kennedy moved to adopt Resolution No. 58-17 - A Resolution Acknowledging Defense of Corporal Tyler Simonson in Civil Action No. 17 cv 01942. Councilmember Wortmann seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Broadband Capital Funding & Presentations by Current Broadband Service Providers

As part of the City Council's Economic Development Plan, communication and technology infrastructure was identified as an essential tool for the development of commerce and industry leading to long-term economic competitiveness for the City of Grand Junction. The Broadband Capital Fund was developed as a funding source for aiding in the development of high-speed fiber optic broadband expansion projects.

City Manager Greg Caton presented this item. The purpose is to foster the growth of broadband, to open it to all service providers, and for the repayment of money available for future projects. The eligibility is that the company must: be within City limits, offer fiber optics, at minimum be capable of symmetrical speed of 100/100 Mbps, have the ability to repay within 3 years, or as approved by City Council, and applications are submitted by the broadband service provider. The evaluation criteria and award process is that the business demonstrate a need or demand for the broadband, must demonstrate an economic development opportunity, the project should begin within 3 months and be completed within 12 months, must improve broadband services to end-users, preference is given to the retention or creation of local jobs, projects less than \$10,000 that meet eligibility requirements are approved by Administration (assuming available budget) and projects greater than \$10,000 or longer term will be presented and approved by City Council.

Guy Gunther, Vice President of Operations for Colorado with CenturyLink, was present to answer questions and briefly give updates. Mr. Gunther stated that they would like to partner with the City to promote, presell the service and to help with the funding. They just launched this same proposal in Orange Beach, Alabama where the City put forth \$1 million and CenturyLink put forth \$22 million. Mr. Gunther said the \$100,000 funding the Council has proposed, won't come close to meeting the goals that Council has outlined. He stated that the issue is not money for infrastructure, but getting the return

on their investment through the participation from the City to grow the demand in the community. He outlined that in Orange Beach they decided they needed 33% penetration in order to go forward and start building. Given that information he recommended that the City use the proposed budget in marketing the service to drive the demand necessary to move forward with the project.

Don Liam, Government Affairs Senior Manager for Colorado with Charter, was present to update Council on what they offer. Mr. Liam outlined their proposed services. A basic offering would have download speeds of up to 100 Megabytes per second (Mbps) and upload speeds of up to 10 Mbps. A premium residential service would offer download speeds of up to 300 Mbps and upload speeds of 20 Mbps. These offerings will be available to all Grand Junction residential customers within the Charter Footprint and would offer the same level of service as major markets such as Dallas, Los Angeles, and New York. The offerings would be comparable for Small Businesses.

Councilmember Kennedy addressed the incumbents about the proposed program and affirmed that it doesn't fit into their traditional business model and the money the City is proposing is a drop in the bucket compared to the overall cost involved in providing the service. He stated that although he appreciated the work that went into this creative solution, it is too little and does not do enough to benefit large providers like Charter and CenturyLink. He said that from his perspective, they have a lot more work to do to find a feasible solution for Broadband.

Councilmember Norris said the City has come a long way from when Council started the process to offer broadband. She addressed the incumbents and thanked them for stepping up and said they will have to continue to step up and offer services to a growing market or the smaller providers may get a foothold. She is looking forward to more growth in the process.

Councilmember McArthur said the capital funding proposal isn't about the larger providers using the money, but it is about getting local small businesses using this funding to gain service to their business. He said it was the providers job to build the infrastructure because it is their business and in turn as our market continues to grow they will see the return on their investment.

City Manager Caton said that both incumbents have shown interest in utilizing the program.

Council President Taggart reiterated that the program was initiated with the small business in mind, not the carrier. The money was intended to cut the bill to businesses in half to allow them access to the service. He said that the residential offering from

Charter is significant and thanked them for that. He expressed his concern with the term “marketing” and their role in governments promoting a business.

Councilmember Boeschstein appreciated the City Manager and staff for their efforts.

Councilmember Kennedy wanted to clarify that businesses do not have an opportunity to use this money, only the providers.

City Manager Caton said that this is for the up-front costs to help businesses get broadband. The providers would apply the \$10,000 towards the cost charged to the business to fill the broadband gap.

Councilmember Boeschstein moved to authorize the City Manager to create the Broadband Capital Funding. Councilmember Norris seconded the motion. Motion carried by roll call vote.

Non-Scheduled Citizens & Visitors

There were none.

Other Business

There was none.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 10:04 p.m.

Juanita Peterson, MMC
Deputy City Clerk