## FENCE PERMIT



## **GRAND JUNCTION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT**

THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 587 /2 GRAND CASCHE	♠ PLOT PLAN
PROPERTY TAX NO: 2943 -072-19-013	
SUBDIVISION: THY FALLS #	NORTH
PROPERTY OWNER: JOHN A. 4 KATTAKWEWKIGLEY	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
OWNER'S PHONE: (970) 241-8799	
OWNER'S ADDRESS: 587/2 GRANT CHERRY WILL	
CONTRACTOR NAME: TINAGANR CONST. CO.	248
CONTRACTOR'S PHONE:	5891/2
CONTRACTOR'S ADDRESS: 28/2 Kt.	287 GRANY
FENCE MATERIAL & HEIGHT: WOOD-ATTACK 5'04	CARCATU WAY
♠ Plot plan must show property lines and property dimensions, property lines, & fence height(s). NOTE: PROPERTY LINE IS	all easements, all rights-of-way, all structures, all setbacks from LIKELY ONE FOOT OR MORE BEHIND THE SIDEWALK.
#THIS SECTION TO BEIGGINFLETED BY COMM	ITINES DEVENOPMENTO EXAMINOS PARES ANTONIOS
00	
ZONE	SETBACKS: Front from property line (PL) or
SPECIAL CONDITIONS	from center of ROW, whichever is greater.
	Side from PL Rear from PL
Fences exceeding six feet in height require a separate permit from the City/County Building Department. A fence constructed on a corner lot that extends past the rear of the house along the side yard or abuts an alley requires approval from the City Engineer (Section 4.1.J of the Grand Junction Zoning and Development Code).	
The owner/applicant must correctly identify all property lines, easements, and rights-of-way and ensure the fence is located within the property's boundaries. Covenants, conditions, restrictions, easements and/or rights-of-way may restrict or prohibit the placement of fence(s). The owner/applicant is responsible for compliance with covenants, conditions, and restrictions which may apply. Fences built in easements may be subject to removal at the property owner's sole and absolute expense. Any modification of design and/or material as approved in this fence permit must be approved, in writing, by the Community Development Department Director.	
I hereby acknowledge that I have read this application and the information and plot plan are correct; I agree to comply with any and all codes, ordinances, laws, regulations, or restrictions which apply. I understand that failure to comply shall result in legal action, which may include but not necessarily be limited to removal of the fence(s) at the owner's cost.	
Applicant's Signature 1990 Date 6-4-2003  Community Development's Approval Will Mann Date 4-03	
Community Development's Approval	m Date <u>4-03</u>
City Engineer's Approval (if required)	Date

JOHN A. WRIGLEY -

587 ½ Grand Cascade Way Grand Junction, CO 81501 (970) 241 - 8799

**Saturday, June 07, 2003** 

YLISHI ARAGON
Community Development
City Hall
250 North Fifth Street
Grand Junction, CO 81501

Dear Ms. Aragon:

Re: Fence at 587 1/2 Grand Cascade Way

Thank you for your help in partially clearing up the troubling matter of our fence. You asked that I write to you to offer my input to this Confusing matter.

We bought this house in October, 2000 from a supposedly reputable home builder, Dinasaur Enterprise, Inc., Ebe Estami, President.

We were recently informed by Falls Homeowners Association that the City of Grand Junction had found encroachments by fences and retaining walls by many of the homes in the area. We understood that a number built by Dinasaur were involved. We were told that instead of requiring that the fences be torn down or retaining walls removed that The City would permit replatting and resurveying with substantial cost to only the homes involved.

The letter from the City of Grand Junction dated May 30, 2003 requiring that we obtain a fence permit for a fence that was built three

years ago came as a real shocker. In any event, with your assistance, we obtained the required fence permit.

It was also gratifying to learn that you and your supervisor, from a review of an aerial photograph, had determined that our fence was right on our property line and not one of the offenders.

So, we now have the tardy fence permit that Dinasaur did not obtain in the year 2000 and have learned that we should not be subjected to the onerous replatting and resurveying costs which will be required by the City's belated concern with property encroachments in the area.

Thank you,