LIQUOR AND BEER MEETING LOCAL LICENSING AUTHORITY CITY OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO MUNICIPAL HEARING ROOM, CITY HALL, 250 NORTH 5TH STREET

MINUTES

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 3, 2012, 2:00 P.M.

HEARING OFFICER MICHAEL GRATTAN

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting convened at 2:00 p.m. Those present were Hearing Officer Mike Grattan, City Attorney John Shaver, and Deputy City Clerk Debbie Kemp.

II. ADVISEMENT HEARING

1. Weside Delicatessen, Inc. dba The Winery, 642 Main Street, Grand Junction, CO 81501, Hotel and Restaurant

Pursuant to Colorado Revised Statutes §12-47-901(1)(a.5)(I), it is unlawful for any person, including a licensee, manager, or agent of any licensed establishment to sell, serve, exchange, or permit the sale and procurement of any alcohol beverage to or for any person under the age of twenty-one years.

Stephan Schweissing, attorney retained to represent Weside Delicatessen, Inc., was present.

Mr. Schweissing asked that the hearing be set over to allow him time to meet with DeLayne Merritt, City Staff Attorney, and his client on the matter.

Ms. Merritt advised that she and Joe Patrick, Police Department Liquor Investigations Officer, had met with the Licensee, several times and were not making very much progress which resulted in the advisement hearing being set so that the Hearing Officer is aware of where this matter is at.

Hearing Officer Grattan asked Ms. Merritt if she has any objections to a 30 day extension or the waiver of the notification. Ms. Merritt said she has no objections.

Hearing Officer Grattan waived advisement and set the hearing over to November 7, 2012.

III. APPLICATIONS TO RENEW LIQUOR AND BEER LICENSES

1. Myths and Legends Inc. dba Quincy Bar & Grill, 609 Main Street, Grand Junction, CO 81501, Tavern

Adelle Lloyd, owner of Quincy Bar & Grill, and Tia Van Houten, Registered Manager, were present.

Ms. Kemp reported that there were 156 calls for police services during the past year, one resulting in a liquor violation and the majority requiring more than one officer to respond.

City Attorney Shaver advised that he appreciates the information on police calls to the establishment; however, since those calls did not result in specific complaints, it is just general background information.

Ms. Lloyd stated that they are trying harder to comply with laws as they are still on probation for the violation and have increased staff at the bar.

No one was present in opposition to the application.

The application for renewal for Myths and Legends Inc. dba Quincy Bar & Grill was found to be in order and approved.

2. Boomers, LLC dba Boomers, 436 Main Street, Grand Junction, CO 81501, Tavern

Chet Allen, owner of Boomers, was present.

Ms. Kemp reported that the Certificate of Occupancy was recently issued, the liquor license for Boomers was just issued on October 1, 2012, and that the establishment is currently not open for business. However, it has been a year since the approval of the liquor license; therefore the renewal is in order.

City Attorney Shaver asked Ms. Kemp if the liquor license has been held because of the establishment not being in business. Ms. Kemp advised that it was being held until a Certificate of Occupancy was issued.

Hearing Officer Grattan asked if the date of the City License is tied to the issuance of the State License. Ms. Kemp said that is correct.

Hearing Officer Grattan asked Mr. Allen when he plans to open. Mr. Allen advised that the establishment will be open probably in two to three weeks.

The application for renewal for Boomers, LLC dba Boomers was found to be in order and approved.

IV. <u>APPLICATION TO RENEW LIQUOR AND BEER LICENSE AND MODIFICATION</u> <u>OF PREMISES</u>

1. El Mescal, Inc. dba Tequila's Family Mexican Restaurant, 2454 Highway 6 & 50, Suite 119 and 120, Grand Junction, CO 81505, Hotel and Restaurant

Modification: Outdoor dining area, 24 additional seats

John Howe, attorney representing El Mescal, Inc. and Anselmo Munoz, President and majority shareholder of El Mescal, Inc., and Ruby Dominguez, daughter of Anselmo Munoz and circulator of the survey, were present.

Ms. Kemp reported that the paperwork was in order and read the results of the survey into the record (see attached).

City Attorney Shaver asked Ms. Dominguez if she heard and agreed with the results of the survey that Ms. Kemp read into the record and does she believe it to be a true and accurate representation of the survey. Ms. Dominguez said she did. City Attorney Shaver asked Ms. Dominguez to describe how she conducted the survey. Ms. Dominguez said that she and her husband both conducted the survey by each going to separate complexes, which most of the businesses knew them because they eat at the restaurant. They told the contacted people that the restaurant is adding an outside patio, and asked them if they would like to sign the survey and support the restaurant. City Attorney Shaver asked if they made more than one attempt to contact people who were not at home. Ms. Dominguez said that they tried to contact those people twice.

No one was present in opposition to the application.

Mr. Howe explained that the application is to add on a 24 seating for an outdoor patio to the restaurant and, as the survey showed, it would be appreciated by the neighborhood. He asked that both the renewal and modification of premises be approved.

Hearing Officer Grattan stated that, given the area, he feels the survey showed sufficient numbers in favor of the modification and approved the application for renewal and the modification of premises for El Mescal, Inc. dba Tequila's Family Mexican Restaurant.

V. APPLICATION FOR CHANGE IN TRADE NAME

1. Top East Restaurant, Inc. dba Top East Restaurant, 707 Horizon Drive, Grand Junction, CO 81506, Hotel and Restaurant

Trade Name Change to Sakaura Asian Bistro

Quan Bei Zhao, owner of Top East Restaurant, and Peter Young, Assistant Manager at the restaurant and interpreter for Mr. Zhao, were present.

Hearing Officer Grattan disclosed that this matter is getting very close to an impermissible conflict for him. As he has disclosed in the past, he represents Ms. Zhang who has some relationship to the restaurant. The work he is doing involves Mr. Zhao somewhat. City Attorney Shaver said that a change in trade name is not a substantive issue; however it is up to Hearing Officer Grattan and his comfort level if he wants to rule on this issue. In terms of the City's conflict, City Attorney Shaver would waive any conflict based on the continuing disclosures. Hearing Officer Grattan decided to go ahead and rule on this issue because of the nature of the request. He asked Ms. Kemp to make note that on any future substantive issues on this licensee, not including renewals or ministerial matters, to obtain a different Hearing Officer.

The application for a change in trade name for Top East Restaurant, Inc. dba Top East Restaurant, to Top East Restaurant, Inc. dba Sakaura Asian Bistro was found to be in order and approved.

VI. <u>APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL EVENTS PERMIT</u>

 Grand Junction Elks Home Association, dba Grand Junction Elks Lodge #575, PO Box 1987, Grand Junction, CO 81502, Malt and Vinous Permit – "Oktoberfest" on October 6, 2012 from 10:00 a.m. to 11 p.m., located between 6th and 7th Streets off Colorado Avenue and interior alleys of Main and Ute.

Nick DeMercurio, representing BPO Elks Home, and Kristen Brethauer, were present.

City Attorney Shaver handed Mr. DeMercurio a diagram that he had marked Exhibit A and asked who drew it, what does it depict, and to explain the red line all around the drawing. Mr. DeMercurio said that Ms. Brethauer drew it and it is all the areas of the event and what will be in each area. The red line indicates the fencing and the containment for people and alcohol. City Attorney Shaver asked Mr. DeMercurio to describe the event. Mr. DeMercurio stated that it is a festival for Oktoberfest with beer, wine, food, and music.

City Attorney Shaver asked Mr. DeMercurio if he has personal knowledge of the questionnaire that was completed. Mr. DeMercurio said he is the one who filled out the document.

City Attorney Shaver asked for a better explanation of the location of the event. Hearing Officer Grattan asked Mr. DeMercurio or Ms. Brethauer to indicate on the diagram where the alleyways are. Ms. Kemp provided Hearing Officer Grattan a separate diagram with the event area in smaller scale that showed the streets and the alleyways. Hearing Officer Grattan entered that document into the record as Exhibit B and asked DeMercurio or Ms. Brethauer to describe it, which they did. City Attorney Shaver asked for confirmation that the event does not extend into the alleys. It was confirmed that the alleys are not in the event area.

City Attorney Shaver asked how many attendees are expected at the event, is it an outdoor event, and has it been held before. Mr. DeMercurio said between 6,000 and 8,000 people will attend, it is an outdoor event, and has been held for the last 30 years.

City Attorney Shaver asked how they will insure that there will be no underage service. Mr. DeMercurio said that they will have members from the Elks Lodge as well as security at the entranceway to the beer and wine that will be checking identification and wrist bands will be used for those 21 years old or older. There will also be hired security and members from the Elks Lodge roving and monitoring the area. City Attorney Shaver asked if there have ever been any problems with alcohol service in the past. Mr. DeMercurio said there have never been any problems.

Ms. Brethauer pointed out a small discrepancy on the location description for the event. Part of the event is between 5th and 6th Street. Hearing Officer Grattan also pointed out that Exhibit B shows a portion of the event as not part of the licensed area. Ms. Brethauer said that it should be part of the licensed area. Hearing Officer Grattan asked Ms. Brethauer to correct the diagram on Exhibit B, which she did. City Attorney Shaver also noted that the event does take up approximately half of the block of 5th Street.

Hearing Officer Grattan amended the location on the application to match Exhibit B and found that under CRS 12-48-106, there are no grounds to find that the issuance would be injurious to the public welfare; the application for a Special Events permit was in order and approved.

Colorado Mesa University Foundation, 1450 N. 12th Street, Grand Junction, CO 81501, Malt, Vinous, and Spirituous Permit - "Steak and Crab Feast" on October 13, 2012 from 2:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., located on the grassy area east of Houston Hall between the building and 12th Street.

Chuck Pipher, Head Wrestling Coach for CMU, and Rick Adleman, Director of Alumni Relations, were present.

There was no one present in opposition to the application.

City Attorney Shaver asked Mr. Pipher or Mr. Adleman to describe the nature of the event and what they hope to occur. Mr. Pipher said that the event is a fund-raising event for the wrestling team and for the athletic department.

City Attorney Shaver handed Mr. Pipher a diagram that was provided with the application and asked him to describe it for the Authority (see Exhibit A). Mr. Pipher stated that the diagram shows where the grassy area is and where the beer garden would be set up. City Attorney Shaver asked if there was a perimeter security proposed in the event area to contain the alcohol. Mr. Pipher said yes, there will be the orange mesh fencing and parking barriers. There will be only one entrance for both people who are coming in and people who are going out. City Attorney Shaver asked who will be checking identification and what provisions have been made to prevent overservice. Mr. Pipher said that Big O Tires, a sponsor, will be checking identification along with some other

adults who have done it in the past, and staff members. TIPs trained adults will be there to insure there is no overservice.

City Attorney Shaver asked if the event has been conducted previously. Mr. Pipher said that it has, this is the seventh year although alcohol was not served the first couple of years.

Mr. Pipher asked if the application could be amended to serve alcohol until 9:00 p.m. instead of 7:00 p.m.

Hearing Officer Grattan found that the application as amended, with the alcohol service times from 2:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., submitted by Colorado Mesa University Foundation is in order and approved.

3. Western Equality, 740 Gunnison Avenue, Suite 212, Grand Junction, CO 81501, Malt, Vinous, and Spirituous Permit – "Out Fest" on October 13, 2012 from 9:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m., located in the Gym area of the building.

Jerry Shelton, Board President of Western Equality, was present.

City Attorney Shaver handed Mr. Shelton a diagram that he had marked Exhibit A and asked him to describe it. Mr. Shelton said that it is the downstairs of the building that Western Equality occupies; the red line indicates the area that is contained. Everything outside of that is locked. The gymnasium is in the middle, there are bathrooms, and they will be using the kitchen to prepare food. City Attorney Shaver asked if the red line could be drawn around the entire perimeter indicating the licensed area. Mr. Shelton apologized for not doing that previously and completed the perimeter in red. City Attorney Shaver asked how many years they have been holding this event and have there ever been any problems with alcohol service. Mr. Shelton said 12 years for the event, but this will only be the third year of having alcohol at the event and they have not had any problems. City Attorney Shaver asked Mr. Shelton to describe how they intend on conducting the alcohol service. Mr. Shelton said there is only one entrance and exit, identification is verified, and there are two different wrist bands, one for under 21 years of age, and one for 21 years old and older. There will be two people and security at the door, in the parking lot, and watching the street. There will be three other security people wandering the event to make sure there is no passing of drinks and the bartender and bar back have been trained to not overserve.

Hearing Officer Grattan found that under CRS 12-48-106, the application by Western Equality is in order and approved. There are no grounds to deny the request, the location in the community is appropriate, and because of the nature of the event, it seems like a logical place to conduct the event.

VII. <u>APPLICATION FOR NEW LICENSE – RESOLUTION AND FINDINGS AND</u> <u>DECISION</u>

1. D&H Good, LLC dba Papa Kelsey's, 1141 N. 25th Street, Grand Junction, CO 81501, Beer and Wine

Sole Member: Heather L. Good, 825 E. Ottley #D-4, Fruita, CO 81521

Heather Good, owner of Papa Kelsey's, and Chris Lange, employee at Papa Kelsey's and circulator of survey, were present.

Ms. Kemp reported that CBI/FBI investigations were still pending on the owner.

Ms. Kemp read the results of the survey that was conducted into the record (see attached).

City Attorney Shaver asked Ms. Good if the results of the survey that were read into the record were a true and accurate representation of what she knew. Ms. Good said yes. City Attorney Shaver asked how the survey was conducted. Ms. Good said that they started at noon and surveyed until about 6:00 p.m. or 7:00 p.m. on weekdays and weekends. City Attorney Shaver asked about the 142 people contacted that were listed on the exhibits that stated several were re-contacted. Ms. Good stated that on several of the re-contacts, they were able to get signatures. City Attorney Shaver asked if the number of the 142 unsuccessful contacts were then reduced because of being re-contacted. Ms. Good stated that it has been in business for some time. Ms. Good stated that it has been in business for 23 years; however she just purchased it on September 1st.

Hearing Officer Grattan noted that the numbers for businesses contacted were low but he found that they are sufficient because it is mostly a residential area and the residential numbers are amazingly high and he said that he appreciated all the efforts put forth on the survey.

The application for a new beer and wine license for D&H Good, LLC dba Papa Kelsey's was found to be in order and approved. The City Clerk's office will prepare a resolution for Hearing Officer Grattan's signature.

VIII. REVIEW AND AUTHORIZE STIPULATION, AGREEMENT AND ORDER

1. 314 Main, LLC dba Dream Café, 314 Main Street, Grand Junction, CO 81501, Hotel and Restaurant

Pursuant to Colorado Revised Statutes §12-47-901(1)(a.5)(I), it is unlawful for any person, including a licensee, manager, or agent of any licensed establishment to sell, serve, exchange, or permit the sale and procurement of any alcohol beverage to or for any person under the age of twenty-one years.

Stephan Schweissing, attorney representing 314 Main, LLC, was present.

Mr. Schweissing stated that Mr. Bell, manager and part owner of Dream Café, was present. Mr. Howard is also involved in the ownership of Dream Café but he is not present.

Staff Attorney DeLayne Merritt was also present and said that this matter is a result of a violation that happened July 19, 2012 for sale of alcohol to a minor at the location during an operation that the Grand Junction Police conducted for the local licensees.

Based on a lack of violation history for the licensee, a fine in lieu of suspension was offered. The Licensee agreed to a seven day suspension of its license as penalty for the violation. Five days of the suspension shall be held in abeyance for a period of one year from the date of the approval of this agreement. Based on their financials for July of 2012, the licensee met the minimum amount of \$200 required by law for the fine.

Mr. Schweissing advised that Dream Café does not do a huge amount of sales of alcohol. They do have a no tolerance policy for these types of incidents. The employee was terminated as their policy requires.

Hearing Officer Grattan executed the Stipulation, Agreement, and Order and asked Ms. Kemp to deliver it in accordance with the Certificate of Mailing.

Mr. Schweissing presented a check to Ms. Kemp to cover the fine.

IX. OTHER BUSINESS

Hearing Officer Grattan advised Mr. Patrick that he appreciated the police report provided on Quincy's Bar and Grill but there was nothing in the application that gave reason to not grant the renewal of the liquor license. If there had been an objection to deny the renewal based on what is perceived to be inappropriate conduct, someone needed to make a complaint.

City Attorney Shaver agreed and said that the City has an ordinance that require liquor licensee's call the police when there is a reason to. Even though it may not be something that they are responsible for, they call which makes their numbers of calls appear high. Particularly for that type of establishment, the City does not want to discourage them from calling the police.

Hearing Officer Grattan also asked Ms. Kemp if the Questionnaire for Special Events Permits could be modified to include a line on the form for past history of the event, i.e. has the event ever been held before? If so, how many years? Have there ever been any violations or citations? Ms. Kemp stated she will revise the form to include those questions.

X. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

The meeting was adjourned at 3:02 p.m.

NEXT REGULAR MEETING – October 17, 2012



MEMO:	Local Licensing Authority
FROM:	Juanita Peterson, Deputy City Clerk
DATE:	September 25, 2012
SUBJECT:	Application for a Modification of Premises to Increase Licensed Area for an Outdoor Patio

El Mescal Inc. filed an application with the Local Licensing Authority on August 30, 2012 for a modification of premises at 2454 Highway 6 & 50, Suite 119 under the trade name Tequilas Family Mexican Restaurant. The application and supplementary documents were reviewed, found to be in order, and accepted. The hearing date was set for October 3, 2012.

In order to address the reasonable requirements of the neighborhood and the desires of the adult inhabitants of the neighborhood, the applicant conducted a survey. The neighborhood boundaries are defined as: G Road on the north, Colorado River on the south, 25 Road on the east and 23 ½ Road on the west and includes both sides of the streets as the outer boundaries.

The results of that survey are as follows:

If you support/oppose this proposed modification of premises as increasing the licensed area to include a patio because this will not conflict with the reasonable requirements of the designated area and it is your desire the modification be approved/not approved.

Business Results:	FAVOR: OPPOSE:	56 0
Residential Results:	FAVOR: OPPOSE:	38 0

There was one who did not answer yes or no.

No letters of opposition or counterpetitions have been filed to date.

There were 3 sheets of "exhibits to survey petition" that indicated that 4 persons were under 21 years of age, 8 people refused to sign, 16 no answers, and 10 no solicitors, and 5 other. There were 3 disqualified as they were out of the survey area.


Reports have been requested from the Grand Junction Police Department, Fire Department, Health Department and the Grand Junction Sales Tax Department to verify they are in compliance with this request.

The number of similar-type outlets in the survey area is as follows:

Hotel and Restaurant – 12 (Boston's The Gourmet Pizza, Carino's Italian, Chili's Grill and Bar, Chuck E. Cheese's, Conchita's Mexican Restaurant, Famous Dave's Bar B Que, Genghis Grill, Olive Garden, Outback Steakhouse, Red Lobster #685, Cinnamon Grill, and Buffalo Wild Wings).

That concludes this report.

cc: Applicant John Howe, Howe, Hoskins Farina & Kampf John Shaver, City Attorney Joe Patrick, Grand Junction Police Department File




		Dec	ason	Additional Attempt
Street No.	Street Name	Not 21 Years of Age Befused to S		□ Yes □ No #of
6571/2	Senenity Landi		ight anto monor	attempts
	Senentry Land GU CO 81505	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to S	ign Ne Answer No Solicitors	ND
657	Senenity Lane GUCO \$1505	Other	5	
. ·	A second and a side	Not 21 Years of Age dBefused to S	ign □No Answer □No Solicitors	NO
730	produellow Land	Other		
1051.11	Sminiti lane a	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to S	ign the Answer ⊡No Solicitors	NO
45/1/2	Serently Lane GO CO.81505	□Other		NO
452	Serenity Lane GIODASOS	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to S	Sign Burto Answer Birto Considere	100
		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to 5	Sign dNo Answer DNo Solicitors	NO
2454	Brodeweller Loog CO 81508	-Other	5 0	
	Brook willow Loop CJCOS 1505	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to S	Sign dNo Answer DNo Solicitors	NO
2450	COSIS05	Dother		410
0160 1/2	Brockwillew Cto in area		Sign DNo Answer Brid Solicitors	NO
24521/2	1000000000	Other	Sign No Answer DNo Solicitors	NO
2456	Brookwillan Cta Do more	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Other	× 44	Mill Dont d
	(D)(U)(1)(U)		Sign Wo Answer 100 Solicitors	Mice Dong Cor
ULP7	pleasant.st. GIOSSISSIS	Other	/	()
245812	Pleasant. St. GICO-81505 Prodewillacct GICO 8150	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to	Sign ditto Answer DNo Solicitors	yes (2)
245812	Brookwillau (FUCO \$150) Brookwillaw (FUCO \$150)	⊡Other		NAC (D)
2460	Brookwillow CF CSCO. 8150C Brookwillow CF CSCO 91500	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to		yes (2)
	Cold. or sou	□Other deNot 21 Years of Age □Refused to	Sign DNo Answer DNo Solicitors	NO
2460/2	Production Cr. Co CO 81551	□Other		
out	proxwillow of ano siste	□Other → Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to	Sign No Answer No Solicitors	NO
2464	B)008150	D Other		Other-O


EXHIBIT TO SURVEY PETITION Page of _____ Model how has a few for the 2454 Huly CATOO.

FOR: M	0	U U Beason	Additional Attempts
Street No.	Street Name	Heason □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	Yes D No # of
2464	HWY 4-50 #130 GJCO 8150	5 Not 21 Years of Age DHefused to Sign Divo Answer Bits Something	attempts Yes
		dother Manager 107 015/16 No Answer No Solicitors	
2464	they 6-50 #124 GUCO8150	B Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors	yes (2)
	1 .	No Solicitors	
2474	HWY4-SOHB GICO8150		
		Bother Solution - Refused to Sign, No Answer No Solicitors	yes. (2)
2454	HWY 4-50# 113 QL (6 8150		100 1
			Corporation comp.
2454	HWY 6-50#102 65008150		Cannot sign.
2454	Hwy 4-50#110 6. TOBISC	No Answer DNo Solicitors	
2434	Hwy 4-50#10 6 C08150	DOther	(
2454	HWY 4-50#109 GJC08150	□ Other	VES (2)
2937		other Uanager not onsite	not interested
24.54	17W4 6-50 #117 @SC0.8150	I Not 21 Years of Age Befused to Sign INo Answer No Solicitors	not interestar
2701	1101 a c mai a co co.850	O Other	Chi Canada Ca
2464	14004450 # #331 GS CO PK	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign HNo Answer No Solicitors	
- 10			
2464	F114 Rd #342 GJCOSI.	A Not 21 Teals of Age Enclased to Sign C	
	Fight - Wush	Other Other Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign Ko Answer No Solicitors	
2464	F14 Rd # 442 GION	A Other	in the Allemon
			Doesn't make a difference according to them.
2464	F1/4 # 441 QUCO.815	(2) Other	according to them.
		No Answer Who Solicitors	-
2472.	F/4 Ratho GJ.00815	() Other	
17		No Answer the Solicitors	
667	Senentrylane. GJ CO 815		then-4


Street No.	Street Name	Reason	Additional Attempts
24 77	Thunder M. Dr GJ Co 81505	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign Mo Answer No Solicitors Other	□ Yes □ No # of attempts
2477	Thunder Min Dr. Cyco 81500	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign pHd Answer □No Solicitors □Other	NO
2477	#24 Thurdent th Dr GJ CO81565	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer to Solicitors □Other	ND
8473	Thurder Men Dr GJ (08150	=Othor	No
2473	#23 Thunder MMDras coalse	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer HNo Solicitors □Other	NO
2473	#21 Thunder MAN D'GJ COSISCE	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer d⊌o Solicitors □Other	Nó
2473	#20 Thunder MAN GUCOSIST		NO
2473	X1 gthunder Mtm. Dr GJ CO31505		yes 2
2461	#742 FY4Rd. GJC051506	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign LNo Answer No Solicitors Other	Yes (2)
2462	#743 F1/4Rd GJ.008/500	Other	yes (2)
2461	1800 FlyRd GLOSISO		NO
2462	1829 F/4 Rd GUCONSO.	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer Not Solicitors	NO
246	#722 F/4Rd GJ008150	DUther C Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	NO
2461	#811 F14Rd GUCO8150	□ Not 21 Years of Age □ Refused to Sign □ No Answer Not Solicitors	NÓ.




B



Thursday, Sep 13, 2012 09:57 AM







MEMO:	Local Licensing Authority
FROM:	Juanita Peterson, Deputy City Clerk
DATE:	September 25, 2012
SUBJECT:	Application for a New Beer and Wine Liquor License by D&H Good LLC dba Papa Kelsey's, 1141 N. 25 th Street

D&H Good LLC filed an application with the Local Licensing Authority on August 22, 2012 for a beer and wine liquor license permitting sales of alcohol beverages by the drink for consumption on the premises at 1141 N. 25th Street under the trade name of Papa Kelsey's. The application and supplementary documents were reviewed, found to be in order and accepted. A copy was sent to the State Liquor Enforcement Division for a Concurrent Review. The hearing date was set for October 3, 2012. The Notice of Hearing was given by posting a sign on the property on or before September 23, 2012 and by publishing a display ad in the Daily Sentinel on September 21, 2012.

In order to address the reasonable requirements of the neighborhood and the desires of the adult inhabitants of the neighborhood, the applicant conducted a survey. The neighborhood was defined as the area bounded on the North by Texas Avenue, Gunnison Avenue on the South, 28 ¹/₄ Road on the East and N. 19th Street on the West and includes both sides of the streets as the outer boundaries. The results of that survey are as follows:

Check the yes/no column if you support/oppose this type of license being issued because the existing outlets do/do not adequately serve the reasonable requirements of the designated area.

Business Results:	FAVOR: OPPOSE:	20 4
Residential Results:	FAVOR: OPPOSE:	222 3

No letters of opposition or counterpetitions have been filed to date.

There were 15 sheets of "exhibits to survey petition" that indicated 9 persons were under 21 years of age, 49 people refused to sign in which 9 of these were businesses, 142 no answers, and 23 no solicitors (see attached). There were several attempts noted that the petitioners went back and reattempted contact.

The Grand Junction Police Department has investigated the owner for local criminal history. They will be inspecting the property to ensure the Notice of Hearing has been posted in a timely manner and verifying that the diagram matches the establishment. The fingerprints have been forwarded to CBI for further processing. Reports have been requested by the Grand Junction Fire Department, Mesa County Health Department, and City of Grand Junction Sales Tax Department. The number of similar-type outlets in the survey area is as follows:

Beer and Wine – 0

The number of similar-type outlets in a one mile area is also 0.

That concludes this report.

cc: Applicant John Shaver, City Attorney Joe Patrick, Grand Junction Police Department File



NORTH - TEXAS AVENUE SOUTH - GUNNISON AVENUE EAST - 28 1/4 ROAD WEST - N 19TH STREET

EXHIBIT TO SURVEY PETITION Page ____ of ____

1

FOR:	apa helseys	:	
Street No.	Street Name	Reason	Additional Attempts
2401	Bunking AUS	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No Solicitors □Other	□ Yes □ No # of attempts
2355	DUNHING HUZ	□ Not 21 Years of Age Kefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	NONE -LIES S Due
2331		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer 🔊 o Solicitors □Other	NONE - YESS Quee
		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
2313		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign ANo Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
2320		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
1330		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign ¥No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
1343	V 24Th Stead	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer No Solicitors □Other	
1363	V del Streat	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign gaNo Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
1401		□ Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	TALKad to comer
		□ Not 21 Years of Age ARefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	TAIKOOL to owner
14 05		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign Mo Answer □No Solicitors □Other	THE CALL COLOR
1415	2	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
1380	Euply	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign In No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	Scareal
1370	Signed	□ Not 21 Years of Age ARefused to Sign □No Answer No Solicitors □Other	- LG, MCO
1350		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
1320	A call	□ Not 21 Years of Age MaRefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	Talked to manager
	North ave,	□ Not 21 Years of Age the Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	talked to sapervisor
2404	TelloRare	□ Not 21 Years of Age & Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	talket to mahagor
	Tell of all	□ Not 21 Years of Age ARefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	Talked to Mang BER
2375	Belford ave	Shore road on the Annual State	
		8 10 3	

FOR: Papa Kelsey's

Street No.	No. Street Name Reason		
2401#4	MORTHAUE	□ Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	□ Yes □ No # of attempt
1 - 1 - 51	North ave	□ Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	SPOKETOOWNER
7344 #1	Teller Court	□ Not 21 Years of Age gRefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	SPOKe To gd min, STASION
2744 *3	teller court	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign xNo Answer □No Solicitors □Other	No further attempts
2394 #5	Teller COURT	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	No forther afternots
2745#1	eller court	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	No further attempts
2345 #3	Teller Court	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No Solicitors □Other	no Farmer 2 Hearts
2345 #5	Teller Count	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	no farther gtearts
235741	Teller Court	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign kNo Answer □No Solicitors □Other	no further attempts
235777	Teller Gurt	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign Interprotect No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	No further strengts
2355#15	TelleR COART	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign Mo Answer □No Solicitors □Other	no farthar artenty
2345#1	teller Court	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign	w farther attends
2365 #3	Teller court	□ Not 21 Years of Age @Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	190 Contract Cerrily bl,
2005	elm ave	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign #No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign w/No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
		Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors Other	
	Flmare	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer #No Solicitors □Other	
210	Elm ave	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors @Other	Notres Passing
21	Elmave	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign ■No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
	El M DIP	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign #No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
	EIN AVC	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer #No Solicitors □Other	
		11. 14 2.	

3/22/2010

EXHIBIT TO SURVEY PETITION Page 2____ of ____

FOR: Papa Kelseys

Street No.	Street Name		Reas				Additional Attempts
	mave	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	□ Yes □ No # of attemp
	have	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	∎No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	
D	hgve	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	
	h gv l	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	
	mare	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	
	n ale	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	₫Other	NOTresspissing Hourbs impricad
44.4	h a le	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	øOther	Heyrins imprixed
	aRT Bd # ZOZ	Not 21 Years of Age	■Refused to Sign		No Solicitors		
	URTRA H203	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors		
-1-	Rt Rd #267	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors		
	1R+Rd #208	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors		
	RTRO # 103	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors		
	R1 Rd # 107	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors		-
	RTRd # 50	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	øNo Answer			
	Rt Rd # 507	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	aNo Answer			
520 00	1R1 Rd # 503	Not 21 Years of Age	-	øNo Answer			
	URIRO # 502	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	.øNo Answer			X2S Sished
	at Ko # 606	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	øNo Answer			
520 (0	GRTRO A CO	Not 21 Years of Age		No Answer			
526 (0	ARTRI # 604	Not 21 Years of Age		No Answer			Xes Sigled
520 a	1R+R0 \$1606	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign	aNo Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	
		2	4	iz		2	

EXHIBIT TO SURVEY PETITION

FOR:	Papa	Kelsey's	

Street No.	Street Name	Reason	Additional Attempts
520	Counthe # 607	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign #No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	□ Yes □ No # of attempts
	546T \$602	Not 21 Years of Age @Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors Other	
	OGATRS \$603	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
	66R+Rd \$4401	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors Other	Yos Signed -2" 9/Hemp
	OURIRD 7402	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign @No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	Yes Signed - 2 Mgtt shipt
/ 1-	COGATRA # 403	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors Other	Yos Signed - 2 Me At
	ount Rd Ft You	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors Other	Ves, sighed - 2nd Atte
	-0411+Rd 11408	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors Other	,
5/5 /	OURTRO \$1302	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
	AGRITRI 7 306	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
	59R to 7 317	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	Yes, Sighed - 2 Me Ad
515 (-0 9 M Rd # 378	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
	Courtrat 361	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
515 (GURT BO A 30C	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer No Solicitors □Other	
720 (.	oust Rd #702	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign @No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
720 (ounter #1705	□ Not 21 Years of Age #Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
720 (04A NO F 707	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors Other	
75,6	oupt Rd # 703	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign @No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
720 (OURT RO # 705	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer @No Solicitors □Other	
	99R+ Rd # 906	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors Other	
Do/	ourfild #1804	□ Not 21 Years of Age PRefused to Sign ON Answer No Solicitors Other	

3/22/2010

FOR: Papa Kelseyy

Street No.	Street Na	me		Reas				Additiona	I Attempts
520	COGRT Rd	47 807	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	🗆 Yes 🗆 No	# of attempt
520	COGRT Rd	SOD K	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other		
521	COURYRD	7961	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other		
520	Court Rd	# 9 6 j # 904	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other		
520	COURTRÓ	-1907	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other		
520	COURY RJ	1 CAG	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other		(
SZ1	COURY Rd COURT Rd	# 903	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	Yes, Signe	d-zng t
2661	Pelford ave	FI 49	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	,	
2601	Bdfordave	7151	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	øNo Answer	□No Solicitors	□Other		
2601	Batoxdave	#52	A Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other		
2601	Rolford ave	750	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	Mo Solicitors	□Other		
2601	Belford ave	# 53	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other		
261	Belford ave	井坊	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	■No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other		
261	Betford que	+157	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	Mo Solicitors	□Other		
2601	Bdfordave	±56	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other		
2601	Bolfordque	#58	Not 21 Years of Age		□No Answer	No Solicitors			
2601	Bolfordave	±161	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors			
2601	Belford ave	#60	Not 21 Years of Age	Ũ	□No Answer	No Solicitors			
2661	belfold ave	#62	Not 21 Years of Age		□No Answer	□No Solicitors		Blind	
2601	Belfordave	#65.	Not 21 Years of Age	Ÿ	No Answer				
2601	Beiford ave	#6Y	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign	□No Answer	□No Solicitors	øOther	deaf	
			1	N	6	1	2		

EXHIBIT TO SURVEY PETITION Page 5_ of ____

		la d	
FOR:	1919	Kelser5	
		/	

Street No.	Street Na	me		Reas	son			Add	itional Attem	npts
2601	Beltardaue	#66	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	Yes	□ No #ofa	ittempt
26d	Belfond que	1 100 0	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	øNo Answer	No Solicitors	□Other			
260	BatoReque	170	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other			
260	Belfordaue	#76	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	øNo Answer	No Solicitors	□Other			
260	Belford ave	1999	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	#No Solicitors	□Other			
26d	Belfordave	++81	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other			
26d	Bolford ave	#81	Not 21 Years of Age		□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other			
2601	Belfordave	7186	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other			
260 000	Kennedy (null)		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other			
48000	NIGRA CIRCE		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other			
482	Mig GRG CiRcle		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	∎Other	Vacan	+	
476 E	higging CIRCLE		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors				
474	Ni49R9 CIRCLE		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors				
472E	MIGGRG CIRCLE		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors				
2823	hlagra Sircle		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors	□Other			
2821	niagra Circle		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	-	No Solicitors		Yes 1	sefused to	sichh
28 15	MiggRa CiRcle		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors				
2413	NIA GROOC iRcle		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		□No Solicitors				
2809	NIAGRS LIRCLE		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		□No Solicitors				
2805	NIADRA CIRCLE		Not 21 Years of Age	·		No Solicitors		Ves	Sighed	
2803	Miggs Circle		Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	□No Solicitors	□Other		-	
~				n	G	3	1			

3/22/2010

EXHIBIT TO SURVEY PETITION

FOR: Papa Kelsurs

Street No.	Street Name	Reason	Additional Attempts
2801	Nagra CIRCLA	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors C	Other Des D No # of attempts
471	Niggag Ciacle	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors C	Other Contract 205564
475	Niegką cirde	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors C	
477	WagRa CiBele	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors C	
479	N. 99R9 GROLE	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign ■No Answer □No Solicitors □C	
488	Nighs GROLE	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors C	
487	Niagina circle	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors C	
493	Nigra cificle	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors C	
499	highs ciffele	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors and	- Charles
2804	MiggRy CIRcle	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors C	+ con
2808	higging citedo	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer pNo Solicitors □C	
2806	1/1965 CARCINE	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign wNo Answer □No Solicitors □C	
2810	hingRa CARCHE	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer ■No Solicitors □C	
2812	NiggRy GROLA	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors Construction No Answer No Solicitors No Answer No Solicitors No Answer No Solicitors No Answer No Solicitors No Solicitore No Solicitore No Solicitors No Solic	
2816	N149RG CIRcla	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors C	
2818	11:59RQ CiRche	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	1 6-24
2820	Niagra circle	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	V (C-V-1)
492	MIGGRG Cificle	□ Not 21 Years of Age ■Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □C	
484	higging Circle	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors	C
2817	niggres Circle	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors C	V C-
2845	NIGSRG CiRcle	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors ■C	Other Deaf
		2 2 8 7 6	

FOR:	Pg Pg	Kelsey's

Street No.	Street Name		Reas				Addition	al Attempts
2,808	NiggRA CiRcle	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors	s ⊡Other	🗆 Yes 🗆 I	No # of attempt
2824	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		□No Solicitors		For Sal	e,
2822.5	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age			□No Solicitors		for sa	te Signed
2822	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign					
2820.5	5 LM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	0.10110100	No Solicitors		Signed	
2820	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age		□No Answer			Signed	
2818	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign				NOT of	age
28/6	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors			•
2816.5	ECM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	•		-		
2814	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitor:	-	NO T	resspass
2812	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitor:	_	NOT	Home
2810	EL M	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	-	□No Solicitor:		NOT	Home
2808	2LM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitor			
1806	GLM	Not 21 Years of Age	-		□No Solicitor			
2804	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age			No Solicitor			
2802	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign				Empty	(
2800 3/4	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		□No Solicitor			
2800 1/2	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitor		Signed	
3800	ELM	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign				•	
2524	Hill ave	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitor			
2514	Hill ave	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitor	s ⊡Other		
		1	1	G	11	10		

3/22/2010

EXHIBIT TO SURVEY PETITION Page <u>9</u> of ____

FOR: Papakelseys

Street No.	Street Name		Reas				Additional Attempts
2535	Hill que	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	□ Yes □ No # of attempts
2515	HILGUE	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	
2505	Fill ave	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	@No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	
25,4	Hill ave #1	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	
25,4	H:11 que #2	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	■Other	Do Not Distand Sigh
2444	Hill ave	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	øOther	unfriendly dogs
2424	Hill ave	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	
2425	Hirave	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	øNo Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	yes, signed
25,4	Hill ave #3	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	#No Answer	No Solicitors	□Other	
2425	Hillar.	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	#Other	ho tResspassing
2414	Hill ave	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors		
2404	Hill ave	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	∎Other	No thess passing
2405	H:II QUE	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign		No Solicitors		Ves, Signed
2354	Hill ave	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer	No Solicitors	∎Other	Vacant
2364	HIII Count	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		No Solicitors		
2365	Teller CogRt #4	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	In the second	No Solicitors	□Other	
2365	tellen Gunt #2	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	□No Answer			
2355	tellek count # 8	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	No Answer	□No Solicitors		
2355	tell & R COMAT # 6	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign	No Answer			
2355	Teller Crurt #4	Not 21 Years of Age	Refused to Sign		□No Solicitors		
2355	Teller Lourt #2	Not 21 Years of Age	□Refused to Sign	■No Answer	□No Solicitors	□Other	
				12	1	5	
				10		\mathcal{I}	

		Beason	Additional Attempt
Street No.	Street Name	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	□ Yes □ No # of
2344	Teller coult	□ Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer KNo Solicitors	attempts
	#18		
		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign a No Answer □No Solicitors □ Other	
	# Le	Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors	
********	44	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No Solicitors	
	# <u>2</u>	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign WNo Answer □No Solicitors	
2433	TELAS AUE		
1305			vacant
		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign who Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
0345		Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign ANO Answer No Solicitors	
2337		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors	
2325		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	
2255			
2245			
9920 9920		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer 🔊 o Solicitors □ Other	
2245 2935		Not 21 Years of Age Befused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors	

EXHIBIT TO SURVEY PETITION Page ____ of ____

Street No.	Street Name	Reason	Additional Attempts
BI54	Tevas AUZ	□ Not 21 Years of Age ARefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	□ Yes □ No # of attempts
2149	I EVHS HUZ	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign 🖗 o Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
2139		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	For sale
2107		□ Not 21 Years of Age ARefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	
1525	N 21st Street	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign #N o Answer □No Solicitors	
	N BOTH Street	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign ¥ No Answer □No Solicitors □ Other	
	N 20th Street	□ Not 21 Years of Age KRefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	
535	N 20 - JANEEUX	What 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
1540		□ Not 21 Years of Age ARefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign ¥No Answer □No Solicitors □Other	
1600		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer KNo Solicitors	
1615		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNN Answer □No Solicitors □ Other	
1505		□ Uther □ Not 21 Years of Age MaRefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors □ Other	
	21M AUC	□ Uther □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign #No Answer □No Solicitors □ Other	
1923			

FOR: Pam Kelscus

	•	Beason	Additional Attempts
Street No.	Street Name	□ Not 21 Years of Age Kefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solid	citors □ Yes □ No # of attempts
1363	20 not Street	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign WNo Answer □No Soli	
136.3		□ Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer KNo Soli	
1323		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign (kg(No Answer □No Soli □ Other	C
1340		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign ¥No Answer □No Soli	
1350		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Sol	L SACES VI
1360		□ Not 21 Years of Age KRefused to Sign □No Answer □No Sol	
		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign WNo Answer □No Sol	
1404		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign \#No Answer □No Sol	
1470		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign XNo Answer □No So	
1444		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign ANo Answer □No So	
1404	123ral street	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No So	
1370	40 stuer	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign @ No Answer □No So	
1363		□ Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign @ No Answer □No Sc □ Other	licitors

EXHIBIT TO SURVEY PETITION Page ____ of ____

	•	Reason	Additional Attempts
Street No.	Street Name	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign MANo Answer □No Solicitors	□ Yes □ No # of attempts
550	Eturnez All Street	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign WNo Answer □No Solicitors	
1530	19th Street	Other Other Other Refused to Sign No Answer No Solicitors	empty
4Zlo		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign WNo Answer □No Solicitors	
410		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer KNo Solicitors	
1430	Kiennedly ACZ.	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign MNo Answer □No Solicitors	
450		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign We Answer □No Solicitors	
2010		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign 1/20/No Answer □No Solicitors	
2020		Other Other	
2120		Other Other	Deciall of
1360	NO DIGt Street	□ Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □ Refused to Sign #No Answer □No Solicitors	Dia Atlenipt
2130	Kennedy AUS	□ Not 21 Years of Age □ Refused to Sign WNo Answer □No Solicitors	
3140	Kennerdy AUS_	□ Not 21 Years of Age □ heresed to Gight ↓ Constant □ Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □ Refused to Sign ★ No Answer □ No Solicitors	
2150	Kennealy AUZ	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Herused to Sign Action Laboration □Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign XNo Answer □No Solicitors	
2160		Dother	212 Atterpt - Sign

		Beason	Additional Attempts
Street No.	Street Name	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign 🕺 o Answer □No Solicitors	Yes No # of attempts
1316	D3 rol Schoot	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No Solicitors	
330		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign Who Answer □No Solicitors	
1315		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign XNo Answer □No Solicitors	
1306		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age ARefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	and atle mot
1306		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age KRefused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	
0300	Bonting AUZ	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	
1300	25th Street	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer WNo Solicitors	
-	#211	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No Solicitors	
	# <u>ZI2</u>	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign XNo Answer □No Solicitors	
-	# 205	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer KNo Solicitors	
	# 200	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign on Answer □No Solicitors	
	#206	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign Øtho Answer □No Solicitors	
	#201	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign ∯No Answer □No Solicitors	
	# 202	Dother 2	

EXHIBIT TO SURVEY PETITION Page ____ of ____

	•	Beason	Additional Attempts
Street No.	Street Name	□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors	□ Yes □ No # of
		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sight Kind Another Internet	attempts
30le	12 25 M Steer # 302	Dother	
		□ Not 21 Years of Age. □Refused to Sign □No Answer KNo Solicitors	DO DOT Diskerb
	#303		10.00
		□ Other □ Not 21 Years of Age Ox Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	
	#305		
		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign WNo Answer □No Solicitors	
	#306	Other	
		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign No Answer □No Solicitors	
	100 900 5 10000 #307	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No Solicitors	
		□ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign into Another Error Parts	
	#312	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No Solicitors	
	#/11	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No Solicitors	
			Signed - ZUN Att
	# 112	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign KNo Answer □No Solicitors	
	0		
	#104	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign WNo Answer □No Solicitors	
	44		
	# 110	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age V Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	
	11.1		
	#109	□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	
		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	
		□Other □ Not 21 Years of Age □Refused to Sign □No Answer □No Solicitors	
		1 ()	
		L X '	