	Planning \$	5.00
•	TCP\$	
	Drainage \$	

(White: Planning)

(Yellow: Customer)

PLANNING CLEARANCE

(Multifamily & Nonresidential Remodels and Change of Use)

BLDG PERMIT NO.		
FILE #		

(Goldenrod: Utility Accounting)

Public Works and Planning Department

SIF\$	
Building Address 1101 KIMBALL AVE Parcel No. 2945-231-00-038 Subdivision	Multifamily Only: No. of Existing Units No. Proposed Sq. Ft. of Existing Sq. Ft. Proposed
Filing Block Lot OWNER INFORMATION:	Sq. Ft. of Lot / Parcel Sq. Ft. Coverage of Lot by Structures & Impervious Surface (Total Existing & Proposed)
Name SOUTH SIDE LEASING LLC Address 706 SOUTH 9 th ST. City/State/Zip 65. Co. 81501 APPLICANT INFORMATION:	DESCRIPTION OF WORK & INTENDED USE: Remodel Change of Use (*Specify uses below) Addition Change of Business Other: FIXE SPIZINK LER **UP GRADE LIGHT FIXTURES *FOR CHANGE OF USE: *Existing Use: LANCE HOUSE STORAGE
Name SAME AS ABOVE Address	*Proposed Use: WAIZE HOUSE STORAGE
City / State / Zip Telephone	<u> </u>
	xisting & proposed structure location(s), parking, setbacks to all n & width & all easements & rights-of-way which abut the parcel.
THIS SECTION TO BE COMP ZONE from property line (PL) Side from PL Rear from PL	Maximum coverage of lot by structures Landscaping/Screeping Required: YES NO Parking Regulirement
Maximum Height of Structure(s)	
Voting District Ingress / Egress Location Approval (Engineer's Initials)	
	n writing, by the Public Works and Planning Department. The intil a final inspection has been completed and a Certificate of epartment (Section 305, Uniform Building Code).
	information is correct; I agree to comply with any and all codes, e project. I understand that failure to comply shall result in legal on-use of the building(s). Date 11/1/07
Utility Accounting VALID FOR SIX MONTHS FROM DATE OF ISSUANCE (Se	S NOV W/O No. / Lenson

(Pink: Building Department)

Scott Peterson - Old sugar beet building - 1101 Kimball

From: Scott Peterson
To: Tim Moore

Date: 9/13/2007 11:16 AM

Subject: Old sugar beet building - 1101 Kimball

CC: Debi Overholt; Eric Hahn; Greg Moberg; Ivy Williams; Kristen Ashbeck; Lisa Cox

Tim,

After meeting with the applicant's representative this week during our scheduled General Meeting, it was determined that the proposed improvements to the building; concrete floor repair, fire sprinkler system and electrical upgrade, would not trigger any corrections to existing nonconforming parking and landscaping requirements, etc.

Section 3.8 B. 2. a. of the Zoning and Development, Nonconforming Sites and Structures, indicates that if remodeling projects cost less than 25% of the current fair market value of the building within a 12 month period, then no additional upgrades would be required.

After reviewing the applicants submitted appraisal of the property and building, and cost of proposed upgrades requested by the applicant, it is my opinion that the proposed costs would be less than the 25% triggering point.

There is an existing, working septic system located on the property and it is my understanding from the applicant, that the Mesa County Building Department is willing to work with the applicant to allow the proposed upgrades and utilize the existing septic system at this time. The applicant is aware that any additional changes that would be above the 25% threshold and/or a change of use of the building from storage to something else, will trigger compliance with the applicable sections of the Zoning and Development Code.

The City Development Review Engineer and Customer Service Division have been advised on this subject and are in agreement.

If you should have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Scott Peterson Senior Planner City of Grand Junction