Table of Contents

File	_	1973-0004		
Date		12/13/99		
Date 12/13/99				
- 1	S	A few items are denoted with an asterisk (*), which means they are to be scanned for permanent record on the		
- 1	c a	ISYS retrieval system. In some instances, not all entries designated to be scanned are present in the file. There		
- 1	n	are also documents specific to certain files, not found on the standard list. For this reason, a checklist has been		
€. 1	n	included.		
- 1	e di	Remaining items, (not selected for scanning), will be marked present on the checklist. This index can serve as a		
` `	"	quick guide for the contents of each file.		
		Files denoted with (**) are to be located using the ISYS Query System. Planning Clearance will need to be typed		
v ,	V	in full, as well as other entries such as Ordinances, Resolutions, Board of Appeals, and etc. *Summary Sheet – Table of Contents		
	-	Application form		
	+	Receipts for fees paid for anything		
	_	•		
V	V	*Submittal checklist		
A .	4	*General project report		
+	+	Reduced copy of final plans or drawings Reduction of assessor's map		
	-	Evidence of title, deeds		
+	-	*Mailing list		
-	\dashv	Public notice cards		
+	+	Record of certified mail		
+	┪	Legal description		
+	7	Appraisal of raw land		
-	7	Reduction of any maps – final copy		
\top	7	*Final reports for drainage and soils (geotechnical reports)		
	7	Other bound or nonbound reports		
1	T	Traffic studies		
X		Individual review comments from agencies		
1		*Consolidated review comments list		
	\perp	*Petitioner's response to comments		
\perp	4	*Staff Reports		
	4	*Planning Commission staff report and exhibits		
+		*City Council staff report and exhibits *Summary sheet of final conditions		
	+	*Letters and correspondence dated after the date of final approval (pertaining to change in conditions or		
		expiration date)		
		DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO THIS DEVELOPMENT FILE:		
		DOCUMENTO SI ECITIC TO THIS DEVELOT MENT TIES.		
X		Plot Plan		
X	7	Front Elevation		
X	T	Floor Plan		
X	7	Status Report		
X	\mathbf{x}	Letter from Don Warner to Clarence Anderson – 6/5/72		
X	x	Letter from Rick Cisar to Clarence Anderson – 6/2773		
X	1	Letter from Clarence Anderson to Don Warner re: check for \$100.00 5/30/72		
X 2	X	Ordinance No. 1459 - **		
X :	x	Planning Commission Minutes – ** - 2/28/73		
X	7	Daily Sentinel Ad-"Zoning Change Recommmended for Apartments"		
X	7	Handwritten Notes		
X	7	Letter from Wm. McBride – 4/2/73		
+	+			
+	+			

230 Project

LETTER SENT REQUESTING CHECK TO ADVERTISE FOR A HEARING.

Advertised June 5) 1972 (printed) Lotter fine 5 2

April 7, 1972

Grand Junction Planning and Zoning Commission Grand Junction, Colorado

Gentlemen,

I would like to request rezoning of the block between 17th. and 19th. Streets from Rood to Main.

Description:

Block 5 East Main Addition.

Requested rezoning is from C-2 (Heavy Commerce) and P (Parking) to R-3 (Multi-Family) to construct an apartment complex.

Sincerely

Clerence R. Anderson

7505 Dahha St

Henelerson Colo 82642

May 24, 1972

Mr. Clarence I. Anderson 7505 Dahlia Street Henderson, Colorado 80640

Dear Russ:

The Planning Commission reacted in a fairly favorable manner to your suggestion of building apartment units between 17th and 19th Streets from Rood to Main Streets.

If you would like to continue with this proposal, please send a check for \$100.00 made out to the City of Grand Junction, and we will set a hearing date.

Sincerely,

Don Warner Development Director

DW/sg cc: file



June 5, 1972

Mr. Clarence R. Anderson 7505 Cahlia Street Henderson, Colorado 80640

Dear Russ:

We have received your \$100 check for the rezoning hearing of Block 5 East Main Addition.

This is a rezoning from C-2 (heavy commerce) and P (parking) and we would suggest R-2 the Bulk Development as proper zone. We will set the hearing for the next planning commission meeting which will be June 2º, 1972 at the Grand Junction City Hall Civic Auditorium. You or a representive should appear at this hearing.

As I indicated in our telephone conversation, the Grand Junction Planning Commission was quite impressed with your proposed project and the members appear to be very much in favor of the continuation and development of said project.

Sincerely,

Don Warner Development Director

DW/sg





Zoning Change Recommended For Apartments

City planners learned this morning that the proposed 75-unit apartment complex from 17th to 19th between Main and Rood would provide housing for moderate income families although it is an FHA 236 financed proj-

After some additional questioning of developer Russell Anderson and his businesss associates from Denver, the planners recommended approval of the zoning change request from C-2 meavy commercial) to R-2 (residen-(191) for the site.

planners also read a letter from cted an allegation made at the ommission meeting. According to a's letter, Garden Village, and

FHA 236 project at 2601 Belford, hasn't received any police calls since its completion. The letter stated that a search of the police files revealed that only a rescue call to Grand Village has been recorded.

apartment complex in the east section of the city claimed that the police \between 20 to 40 per cent of the apart had received an abnormal number of calls from Garden Village and that he feared the same kind of vandalism near his business if the 75-unit project was built.

According to Joel Stein, vice presichief Karl Johnson which con-, dent of the L.M. Prinac Inc. of Denver, the proposed apartment complex would be aimed at moderate income tenents and he indicated an average

income figure of \$8,500.

We run the image of the old dorthitory type of public housing because If the FHA designation," Stein said. But this really isn't a low-income family project. It is possible there A business owner near the proposed // could be rent subsidies, if the need exlist, with the subsidized rents running ments."

> Stein explained that prospective tenents woud be screened by the apartment manager but that the FHA would make the final decision on eligibility

When asked about the possibility of utilizing the FHA plan to redevelop areas near the city core, Anderson

said he and city planner Don Warner examined potential sites in Grand Junction and found the lcoation in the east section best suited for the FHA criteria.

The planners agreed that there is a need for moderate income housing in the cuy and that the introduction of new apartment complex might create the necessary competition to bring down rents in other apartments and help clean up some of the less attractive rental units.

In other business the planners approved the condominium phase of the planned unit development subdivision on 12th south of the Unity Church.

June 27, 1973

Mr. Clarence R. Anderson RUSSELL CONSTRUCTION COMPANY 7505 Dahlia Street Henderson, CO 80640

Dear Mr. Anderson:

Enclosed in Ordinance No. 1450 showing that your request for the vacation of:

The East-West alley in Block 5, EAST MAIN STREET ADDITION

has been recorded.

Sincerely,

Rick Cisar Assistant Planning Director

RC:jb enc.

Date Received: 12-18-12	Item # <u>4-73</u>			
Request: Vacation of allay bocation: Bocation:				
Location: Location: between 19th If 4 19th St	and low of Main			
Petitioner: Ruse anduron				
Address: Lenner, Co.	Phone: <u>573-9014</u>			
Advertised: No Date:				
To Planning Comm: /-3/-13				
Action: approved with con	lition that bulk			
Denelopment in appr	red - Kulle Bitter Com			
aprinced for 28,1973				
Advertised: Date:	4-06-03			
To City Council: 5-2-73 -				
Action: \$5 reproved ordinance for Dublication with				
Action: \$5 Copproned ordinance for Dublication with additional 10' Row from Developer on 19th IS The approved fixed ordinance without street Comments: Row Based on memo from Traffice				
The appeared Sixal Ordinance without Street				
Comments: Row Based on mem	o from Traffic			
Engineer Dept-which	Stated no new			
for opening of struct	Clasy of mino un			
feli)				
The short off insured of the control	and was a financial and any second part of the company of the comp			

...