Table of Contents

Fil	e	1974-0045B								
Da	te	1/14/00 Proj	ect	Nan	ne: Spring Valley Subdivision					
P	S	A few items are denoted with an asterisk (*), which mea								
r e	c a	ISYS retrieval system. In some instances, not all entries								
s	n	are also documents specific to certain files, not found on	the	st	andard list. For this reason, a checklist has been					
e	n	included.								
	e	Remaining items, (not selected for scanning), will be mar	kec	l pı	resent on the checklist. This index can serve as a					
t	d	quick guide for the contents of each file.								
		Files denoted with (**) are to be located using the ISYS Q								
		in full, as well as other entries such as Ordinances, Resolut	ion	ıs, l	Board of Appeals, and etc.					
A	X	*Summary Sheet – Table of Contents								
_		Application form								
	- 1	Receipts for fees paid for anything								
		*Submittal checklist								
		*General project report								
		Reduced copy of final plans or drawings								
		Reduction of assessor's map								
		Evidence of title, deeds								
		*Mailing list								
		Public notice cards								
\dashv		Record of certified mail								
		Legal description								
_	_	Appraisal of raw land								
_		Reduction of any maps – final copy								
\dashv		*Final reports for drainage and soils (geotechnical reports)							
4		Other bound or nonbound reports	_							
\dashv		Traffic studies								
	\dashv	Individual review comments from agencies *Consolidated review comments list	_							
\dashv	\dashv	*Petitioner's response to comments								
\rightarrow	-	*Staff Reports								
\dashv	\dashv	*Planning Commission staff report and exhibits								
\dashv	\neg	*City Council staff report and exhibits								
\neg		*Summary sheet of final conditions								
\dashv		*Letters and correspondence dated after the date of final	apj	oro	val (pertaining to change in conditions or					
		expiration date)								
		DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO TH	IS	DI	EVELOPMENT FILE:					
_										
X	X	ALTA Commitment								
\rightarrow	X	Status Report								
X		Review Sheets								
X		Copy of Right-of-Way Agreement								
X	X	Ordinance No. 1553 - **								
\neg										
\dashv			\dashv							
\dashv			-							
	\dashv		\dashv							
\dashv		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	-						
\dashv	\dashv			-						
\dashv			\dashv							
4	_		_	_						
\dashv										

Date Received 1-16-15 Itom # 45-74 Petitioner Warie & Melven Roman North Too House DR. Address Final & 272 pd. Phone Number 243-7869 SKETCH PLAN Date SKETCH PLAN Planning Staff Planning Staff Planning Commission Date occord All with Appeared adject & Hop and worker napume manny consumers - Miscons Andrew Maries and Maries Income and the staff of the staff	SPRING 1/A/	YEX S.B. FILIN	1g#2	Date Received	1-16-75	 ,	Item # 45-74			
Address SKETCH PLAN Date Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Comments Planning Staff Planning Staff Planning Staff Planning Commission Planning Staff Planning Staff Planning Commission Planning Staff Planning Staff Planning Staff Planning Staff Planning Commission Planning Commission Planning Commission Planning Staff Planning Commission Planning Staff Planning Staff	SHOULVISION No	TINA!		Petitioner War	IE & ME DEN	PERCH NHPQ	160 HORIZON DR.			
SKETCH PLAN Date PRELIMINARY PLAN Planning Staff Planning Commission Date of the planning Staff Comments PRELIMINARY PLAN Planning Staff Planning Commission Date of the planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Commission City Council Commission City Council Commission Commission City Council Commission Commission Commission Commission City Council Commission Commission Commission Commission City Council Commission	NE Co Location OF	ORNER OF INTERSE	-CTION							
PRELIMINARY PLAN Date 10-30-74 All with Apprend subject to 1- Her Such Robert Approve workship Comments - LIFER FROM NYSING E: 1000-00 Schools - LIFER FROM NYSING E: 1000-00 Schools - LIFER FROM NYSING E: 1000-00 Schools FINAL PLAT Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Date - LIFER FROM WITH Schools Comments - LIFER FROM NITE Schools Comments - LIFER FROM NITE Schools - LIFER FROM NITE Schools Comments - LIFER FROM NITE Schools - LIFER FROM NITE Schools - LIFER FROM NITE Schools Comments - LIFER FROM NITE Schools - LIFER FROM NITE Schools Comments - LIFER FROM NITE Schools - LIFER FROM NITE Schools Comments - LIFER FROM NITE Schools - LIFER FROM NITE Schools Comments - LIFER FROM NITE Schools - LIFER FROM NITE Schools Comments - LIFER FROM NITE Schools - LIFER FR		·		Final \$ 370	pol.	Phone Number	243-7569			
PRELIMINARY PLAN PRELIMINARY PLAN Planning Staff Planning Commission Date 10-30-74 Appeared subject to 1-Hop such morker requiring property constrained and in 27th and in 27th Comments - Tree from Nysopi Re: Information and for information and inf	SKETCH PLAN		Plar	nning Staff						
PRELIMINARY PLAN Date 1030-74 AN 10-24 Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Commission Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Commission City Council Other Remarks	Date									
PRELIMINARY PLAN Date 1030-74 AN 10-24 Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Commission Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Commission City Council Other Remarks	Comments									
Date 10:30-74 add 10:24 Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Planning Staff Planning Commission Planning Staff Planning Commission Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Physicien - July 67 To-Solving Problem with Schools Comments C) John Chi									
Date 10:30-74 add 10:24 Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission Planning Staff Planning Commission Planning Staff Planning Commission Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Physicien - July 67 To-Solving Problem with Schools Comments C										
Date 10-30-74 adv 10-21/14 Comments - Define FA. INE ON Map - ETTER FROM Nysogy Re; Jephony & Nost of theres - Discussion with Sepond District To Residence - Discussion with Sepond District To Residence Date - Also waver granted to install on these Comments - Also waver granted to install on these Construct the houses small appearable	PRELIMINARY		Dlanr	ing Commission	Cita	· C · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Other Demanks			
Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Date Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Co	Date /0-30-74	Planning Staff	pored oution	A For - Han Showle	PARIET AND	LOUIS PROPERTY	Other Remarks			
Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Date Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Co		- DEFINE F.A.A. /INF ON MAR								
Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Date Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Co					FROM WYSON	e Per Ippeal	for WATERRETENTION ACTOR			
Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Date Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks Comments Co	-	- Dispulsion with Situal Dispuist 5/1								
Date Date Comments Planning Staff Planning Commission City Council Other Remarks			1	#2			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Comments - clso warrer granted to install unities & Construct the houses simultaneously		Planning Staff	Plann	ing Commission	City	Council	Other Remarks			
Comments - also warver granted to install writtes & Construct the houses simulariously	Date 15									
Construct the houses simulariously										
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:		(Comment and Transport and Transport								
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:										
			ADDI	TIONAL COMMENTS:						

DATE COMPLETED:

C OF GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADC **MEMORANDUM**

Reply Req	sted		
Yes		No	

Date

January 28, 1975

Harvey Rose, City Manager To: (From:) Gus Byrom, Public Worksrom: (To:) Rodger Young, City Engineer Director

Subject: Standards for Streets

In reviewing the proposed plans for the Spring Valley Subdivision, I have found that the specifications that the Engineering Department has do not conform with the specifications that are in the "Zoning Ordinance Development Regulations and Processing Procedures" used by the Planning Department.

The Engineering Department has proposed for the Spring Valley Subdivision a combination vertical curb, gutter and sidewalk poured monolithicaly with an over all dimension of six feet. A five foot sidewalk as shown in the Planning Department's publication is very impracticable for a residential area. the Engineering Department has recommended the elimination of the ramped down curb, gutter and sidewalks at all intersections.

In all the street improvement projects that the City built in 1974, four foot sidewalks were constructed. Since this is what the City is building, it is felt that the subdividor should not be forced to build a sidewalk wider than four feet.

Gus and I have discussed these differences between the Planning Department Regulations and our specifications for street improvements and agree with these changes.

I feel these differences should be ironed out as soon as possible. Don Warner is aware of these differences and is in concurrence with us about changing some of the design standards as in the zoning regulations.

Would it be possible for you to urge the Planning Commission to accept and approve of the changes that have been proposed for the Spring Valley Subdivision.