Table of Contents		
Fi	e	1978-0075
Da	te	9/5/00 Project Name: <u>Alley between White &amp; Rood from f<sup>4</sup> to 2nd</u>
P	S	A few items are denoted with an asterisk (*), which means they are to be scanned for permanent record on the
r e	с а	ISYS retrieval system. In some instances, not all entries designated to be scanned are present in the file. There
5	n	are also documents specific to certain files, not found on the standard list. For this reason, a checklist has been included
e n	n e	included. Remaining items, (not selected for scanning), will be marked present on the checklist. This index can serve as a
t	d	quick guide for the contents of each file.
		Files denoted with (**) are to be located using the ISYS Query System. Planning Clearance will need to be typed
		in full, as well as other entries such as Ordinances, Resolutions, Board of Appeals, and etc.
X	X	*Summary Sheet – Table of Contents
		Application form
		Receipts for fees paid for anything
		*Submittal checklist
		*General project report
x		Reduced copy of final plans or drawings
		Reduction of assessor's map Evidence of title, deeds
		*Mailing list
	-	Public notice cards
<u> </u>		Record of certified mail
		Legal description
		Appraisal of raw land
		Reduction of any maps – final copy
		*Final reports for drainage and soils (geotechnical reports)
		Other bound or nonbound reports
┣		Individual review comments from agencies
X	x	
-		*Petitioner's response to comments
		*Staff Reports
		*Planning Commission staff report and exhibits
ļ		*City Council staff report and exhibits
		*Summary sheet of final conditions
		*Letters and correspondence dated after the date of final approval (pertaining to change in conditions or expiration date)
DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO THIS DEVELOPMENT FILE:		
x	X	Follow-Up Form
x		Review Sheet
x	X	Letter from James Golden to Director of Development
$\vdash$	<u> </u>	
$\vdash$		
<b> </b>		
<u> </u>		
$\vdash$		
$\vdash$		
<u> </u>		
$\vdash$		

JAMES GOLDEN KEITH G. MUMBY K. K. SUMMERS J. RICHARD LIVINGSTON GOLDEN, MUMBY & SUMMERS ATTORNEYS AT LAW COURTHOUSE PLACE BUILDING - 200 N. 6TH STREET P. O. BOX 398 GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO 81501

AREA CODE 303 TELEPHONE 242-7322

July 27, 1978

Director of Development City of Grand Junction Mesa County Courthouse Grand Junction, CO 81501

> Re: Vacation of Alleyway, Block 99, City of Grand Junction -Alleyway and Block bounded by North First Street and North Second Street on the West and East, and White Avenue and Rood Avenue on the North and South

Gentlemen:

As attorneys for Sam J. Antonopoulos and Kay S. Antonopoulos, the owners of all of Block 99, the City of Grand Junction, County of Mesa, State of Colorado, application is hereby made for the vacation of the alleyway which bisects the block in an east-west direction.

In accordance with your filing and processing procedures, we forward herewith our firm check in the amount of \$235.00 in payment of your filing fee, together with an original and fourteen copies of this letter and the materials hereinafter refered to.

1. Reasons why vacation is requested:

The applicants have acquired title to all of the property situated in Block 99, City of Grand Junction, except for the right-of-way for alleyway which passes through the center of the block in an east-west direction, for the purposes of constructing thereon a motel facility. The applicants desire the aforementioned alleyway to be vacated in order that the applicants may own the entire City block and make plans for the construction of their improvements without the hinderance of allowing for the aforementioned alleyway.

July 27, 1978 Page 2

In furtherance of these plans, the applicants have contacted the Public Service Company of Colorado, Mountain Bell and Comtronics Cable TV, utility firms who have located upon said right-of-way facilities, and have obtained from each of these firms an expression of their willingness to support the vacation upon the conditions set forth in their respective letters. There is marked Exhibits "A", "B", and "C" respectively, the responses of these utilities and they have been attached hereto and made a part of this application.

2. The legal description of the vacation:

The property to be vacated may be described as,

"The east-west alleyway situated and lying within Block 99, City of Grand Junction, County of Mesa, State of Colorado."

3. The names and addresses of the petitioners are:

Sam J. Antonopoulos and Kay S. Antonopoulos, 145 Rood Avenue, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

4. The names and addresses of all surrounding property owners presently using the right-of-way for ingress or egress:

None

5. <u>Site plan</u>:

5.1. There are no buildings, or properties, situated within Block 99, City of Grand Junction, that have a need to use this alleyway for access.

5.2. This property is zoned as C-2 and B-3.

5.3. The utilities which are visible from an examination of the premises are shown on the site plan. It is believed that the City of Grand Junction may have a sewer line located within the alleyway.

5.4. The site plan reflects all perimeter streets bordering the area.

Director of Development City of Grand Junction

U.

July 27, 1978 Page 3

5.5. Plans for the facility to be constructed upon the premises have not been finalized.

5.6. All of Block 99 is level. No contours are reflected on this site plan.

Would you kindly process this application in accordance with your procedures. It is hoped that this application may be scheduled for consideration by the Planning Commission at its regular August meeting.

If you desire any additional or further information with regard to this matter, would you kindly contact the undersigned.

Sincerely yours,

GOLDEN, MUMBY & SUMMERS

Recen UM

JG/ck Enclosures cc: Mr. and Mrs. Sam J. Antonopoulos REVIEW COMMENTS FOR: #75-78 Alley Vacation - Block 99

AS OF AUGUST 22, 1978

Fire Department: OK if adequate access for Fire and emergency equipment must be provided.

<u>Police Department:</u> OK if petitioner takes care of security within that area, otherwise need alleyway for easy and quick access to building and area for police protection.

Parks and Recreation: No comment

<u>City Utilities Engineer</u>: South line in Alley would have to be rerouted around this block at petitioners expense. Procession for adequate trash collections in this block would have to be made.

City Public Works Engineer:

1. I take no exception to alley vacation assuming resolution of <u>all</u> utility relocations.

2. Alley entrances should be closed by petitioner with curb, gutter and sidewalk unless their site plan utilizes these as access points.

Recommend Approval based on block wide development. If blockwide development does not come about, Vacateda akea should revert to public as use for an alley.

alley Vacation , 99 Subdivision 78 31 Date 978 Item # Sam stonopoulas Petitioner ~ alley between white t Road from 1st to 200. Review Agencies Comments Review Agencies Comments Ciny Utili tips Sewer LINC at nelocta MUST sous ADDA enn enter SOA 255 100 -Нì a RPOA ta ACCESS N057 ADDR PL opmen ve MOPOSED 15 Action Taker Action Taken P.C. P.C.  $C \cdot C$ reve Comments Comments 11 ITEMS REQUIRED FROM DEVELOPER Utility Agreement Title Investigation Check . Landscaping Drainage Covenants Improvements Guarantee Annexation Other (Specify)