Table of Contents

1980-0003

Date_		1/12/01 Project Name: Sherman Dr. Mini Storage Units – Site Plan Review								
P	S	A few items are denoted with an asterisk (*), which mea	ne '	the	y are to be seanned for normanant record on the					
r	c									
e	a	ISYS retrieval system. In some instances, not all entries designated to be scanned are present in the file. There are also documents specific to certain files, not found on the standard list. For this reason, a checklist has been								
s e	n n	included.	·	. 312	intal a list. For this reason, a enecknist has been					
n	e	Remaining items, (not selected for scanning), will be man	·ked	l nr	resent on the checklist. This index can serve as a					
t	d	quick guide for the contents of each file.	1100	. Р.	the first the theory of the first th					
		Files denoted with (**) are to be located using the ISYS ()ue	rv S	System. Planning Clearance will need to be typed					
		in full, as well as other entries such as Ordinances, Resolu	tion	s, I	Board of Appeals, and etc.					
X	X	*Summary Sheet - Table of Contents								
		Application form								
		Receipts for fees paid for anything								
		*Submittal checklist								
		*General project report								
		Reduced copy of final plans or drawings								
		Reduction of assessor's map								
		Evidence of title, deeds								
X	X	*Mailing list								
		Public notice cards								
		Record of certified mail								
X		Legal description								
		Appraisal of raw land								
		Reduction of any maps – final copy								
		*Final reports for drainage and soils (geotechnical reports	s)							
		Other bound or nonbound reports								
		Traffic studies								
		Individual review comments from agencies								
		*Consolidated review comments list								
		*Petitioner's response to comments								
		*Staff Reports		`						
		*Planning Commission staff report and exhibits								
		*City Council staff report and exhibits								
		*Summary sheet of final conditions								
		*Letters and correspondence dated after the date of final	apj	pro	val (pertaining to change in conditions or					
		expiration date)								
		DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO TH	<u>IIS</u>	DI	EVELOPMENT FILE:					
X	X	Action Sheet	X		Floor Plan					
X		Review Sheets	X		Boundary Survey					
X	X	Review Sheet Summary	X	X	Letter from Karl Metzner to Bill Tingle re: application was incomplete – 11/20/79					
X		Development in H.O. Application (Preliminary and Final)								
X		Memo from Bonnie and Jim Karp, dba B-J Enterprises re: requirements and agreement of compliance – 2/5/80								
X		Deed								
X	X	Memo from Bill Tingle to Planning re: development schedule-12/3/79								
X	X	Memo from Bill Tingle to Planning re: Proposed Landscaping-12/3/79								
X	X	Memo from Bill Tingle to Planning re: evaluation of research -1/3/80								
X		Letter from Sue Drissel to Jim and Bonnie Karp re: scheduling of item- 2/1/80								
X	X	Site Plan								
X		East Elevation								

Acres 3/10 acres UnitsACTIO	IN SHEET Zone H.O.
Density	Tax Area Code
Devices Day 5, d/A Shannas Da	Mi-Stare () +
Activity Dev. in 4.0 Sheeman Dr	1
Phase	Date Neighbors Notified
Date Submitted / 2.80	Date CIC/MCC Legal Ad
Date Mailed Out /-11.80	PC Hearing Date 29 JAN 1980
Review Agencies 10 day	Review Period - Return By / >21.80
Send	Send
COUNTY ROAD DEPARIMENT	V FIRE Ciny
COUNTY HEALTH DEPARIMENT	IRRIGATION O.M.
COUNTY SURVEYOR	V DRAINAGE O.M. Don Caroceray
COMTRONICS	WATER (OTF), CLIFTON)
GRAND VALLEY RURAL POWER	SEWER
MOUNTAIN BEIL	CITY ENGINEER/UTILITIES
PUBLIC SERVICE 2 Sheet	
	MACK, LOMA, MESA, COLLBRAN
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE	FRUITA, PALISADE
SCHOOL DISTRICT 51	Jun tatterson
STATE HIGHWAY	V. cheigh office
STATE GEOLOGICAL	V tares 2 " tee-
STATE HEALTH - RADIOLOGICAL	~ mapping
TRANSAMERICA TITLE	' '
DPC 1.29.80 Rec approval	sulgest to Staff comments in
bPC 1.29.80 Rec approval	sulgest to Staff comments in e of such time as ing improvement are made
DPC 1.29.80 Rec approval Javing of sixe diancego/pac	sulgest to staff comments in e at such time as ing improvement are made
DPC 1.29.80 Rec approval Javing of sixe diancego/pac	sulgest to staff comments in e at such time as ing improvement are made
DPC 1.29.80 Rec approval Javing of sixe diancego/pac	sulgest to staff comments in e of such time as ing impiarement are made
DPC 1.29.80 Rec approval Javing of sixe diancego/pac	sulject to Half comments in e at such time as ing improvement are made
DPC 1.29.80 Rec. approval Javing of sixe diance of pace 2/20/80 Approved.	
DPC 1.29.80 Rec. approval Javing of sixe diance of pace 2/20/80 Approved.	subject to Staff comments in each time as included the master made. De. approx 100' & W. W. of
Dootly fire. approval Javing of sixe Javing of Sherman Javing	
2000 1.29.80 Rec. approval Javing of sixe Avantage / pace 20 Alerran Common Location 5 of Shorman Donothy fire	
Dec 1.29.80 Rec approval Javing of sixe Lic 2/20/80 approved. Common Location 5 of Shorman Donothy fire	
Dec 1.29.80 Rec approval Javing of sixe Lic 2/20/80 approved. Common Location 5 of Shorman Donothy fire	
Dec 1.29.80 Rec approval Javing of sixe Lic 2/20/80 approved. Common Location 5 of Shorman Donothy fire	
Dec 1.29.80 Rec approval Javing of sixe Lic 2/20/80 approved. Common Location 5 of Shorman Donothy fire	DR. approx 100' ELW. of
Dec 1.29.80 Rec approval Javing of sixe Lic 2/20/80 approved. Common Location 5 of Shorman Donothy fire	DR. approx 100' ELW. of
Dec 1.29.80 Rec approval Javing of sixe Lic 2/20/80 approved. Common Location 5 of Shorman Donothy fire	DR. approx 100' ELW. of
2000 1.29.80 Rec. approval Javing of sixe Avantage / pace 20 Alerran Common Location 5 of Shorman Donothy fire	DR. approx 100' ELW. of
common Location 5 of Shorman Jonothy Lie Staff Comments	DR. approx 100' ELW. of
common Location S. of Sherman Joseph Grant Staff Comments	DR. approx 100' ELW. of
Common Location S. of Shorman Common Location S. of Shorman Dono Hay here Staff Comments Imp. Agreement \$Appr	DR. approx 100' & (w). of
common Location S. of Sherman Taginal Documents Imp. Agreement \$Appr Imp. Guarantee Rece. approval	R. approx 100' & (w). of raisal x .05 = \$ Open Space;

PEVILW SHEET SUITARY

FILE # 3-80	Philippo court	DATE SENT TO REVIEW AGENCIES
ITE Dev. in H.	O. Sherman Dr. Min	i Storagame Due
PC MEETING DATE		
100/00 PEHILIC DA	VDE.	
S. of Sherman	Dr. approx. 100' W	of Dorthy Ave.
1-21-80	Mapping	none
1-14-80	City Utilities	none
1-15-80	City Engineer	Power of attorney for full-improvements to Sherman Dr. should be provided. Site should be graded to drain toward Sherman Dr. or other provisions made to control storm runoff.
1-16-80	G.J. Fire	Hydrant location is adequate. Present flow from hydrant is inadequate. This system needs to be tied into an eight inch line. Need additional information as to type of construction.
1-21-80	TRANSPORTATION	There are no dimensions for the width of the drive at the south end of the building. It appears narrow on the unscaled drawing. Driveway access from Sherman Drive is not shown. There may be a sight restriction problem with the building.
1-21-80		The submitted plan is lacking in the following detail, which should be revised before the item is heard before City Council: 1. As the proposed mini-storage is directly across from residential property, the front of the site should be landscaped to enhance it. A landscape plan—indicating species of trees, shrubs, as well as groundcover—should be developed and submitted to staff before the City Council hearing. 3. Road surface should be gravelled, to accommodate drainage in this area. 4. Dimensions of aisle widths, and driveway widths should be indicated on plan. 5. Indicate type of signage. According to the regulations, the square footage allowed is .75 of the front footage on Sherman — or about 50 sq. ft.
	ing di kawan ing di Kawan ing di kawan ing di kawan Kawan ing di kawan	It is suggested that the main sign be placed at the rear of the site to be viewed from Hwy. 6450

The proposed use appears to be compatible with adjacent business uses, and if landscaping and screening requirements are met, will be compatible with residential uses across the street.

units on the east act as a screen.

with a smaller identification sign on Sherman Dr. 6. A fence should be installed on the west and southern sides of the site to control accesss onto the site, contain vehicles, and limit the carrying out of mud and erosion of property. The storage

1-23-80	MOUNTAIN BELL	We have reviewed this plat plan and have found that we have no objection to this.
1-23-80	PUBLIC SERVICE	Gas and Electric-no objections
1-23-80	PARKS & RECREATION	No comment

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Recommend approval of the mini-storage units in the H.O. zone at Sherman Drive, as the use is compatible with the adjacent uses, if the following is addressed before the time the item is heard before City Council:

1. POA for full improvements to Sherman Dr.

2. Site should be graded to drain toward Sherman to control runoff as per City Engineers' comments.

3. Contact Transportation Engineer re: possible problems with sight restriction, and driveway access from Sherman.

Submit revised plan addressing the Design & Development comments re: landscaping, dimensions of aisles and drive, fencing, signage, and surfacing roads with gravel.

5. Contact G.J. Fire re: additional fire flow needed to satisfy requirements.

GJPC/1-29-80/SCHOENBECK/RIDER PASSED 6-0 A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL TO THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, SUBJECT TO STAFF COMMENTS, AND THAT AT SUCH TIME AS SHERMAN DRIVE IS PAVED, CURB AND GUTTER AND DRAINAGE PUT IN, THAT THIS PARTICULAR SITE THEN WOULD ALSO BE PAVED.

November 20, 1979 Mr. Bill Tingle Walker & Krill Builders and Contractors, Inc. 440 S. Townsend Montrose, Colorado 81401 Development in H.O. for Mini-Storage Units - Sherman Drive Dear Mr. Tingle: Review of your submittal for a mini-storage development in a highway oriented zone has indicated that your application was incomplete. In accordance with the City Planning Commission's instructions we are not to schedule items for hearing which have an incomplete submittal. The following required information must be submitted: 1.) Size of plot plan is inadequate. Regulations require a scale of 1" = 50' or 1" - 100'. 2.) Plot plan is not fully dimensioned. No drainage plan identifying increase in runoff due to the development and indicating if on-street drainage is adequate to contain that increased runoff. Fencing and/or landscaping is not addressed. 4.) Ground cover to be used on drives is not indicated. Treat-5.) ment of driveway intersections with Sherman Drive and treatment of road edge is not identified. 6.) Development schedule is inadequate. Spring, 1980 is identified. Is this beginning date or completion date? One building or both buildings? 7.) Certificate of title showing ownership of property. 8.) Names and addresses of adjacent property owners (includes those properties across streets, ditches, canals, etc.). Note that east and west property lines are not parallel, 9.) yet the plot plan treats them as such. As soon as the revised application is submitted, we will review and schedule for the first available Planning Commission hearing. Sincerely, Karl Metzner Smnior Planner KM/mcw

TO: City Development Department

City of Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

FROM: Bill Tingle and Bonnie Karp

SUBJECT: Development in H.O. on Sherman Drive--Mini-Storage Units.

DATE: December 3, 1979

Below are listed adjacent property owners for the proposed building site:

SUBJECT PROPERTY--See attached certificate of title--The subject property is to be removed from the North end of a parcel owned by Mr. Roscoe D. Brown, 2708 Highway 50, Grand Junction, Colorado. Mr. Brown will retain ownership of the lot South of the subject property. The subject property is currently under contract to sell to Jim and Bonnie Karp who propose to build the mini-storage units.

- LOT TO SOUTH OF SUBJECT PROPERTY--Owned by Mr. Roscoe D. Brown, 2708 Highway 50, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501.
- LOT TO THE EAST OF SUBJECT PROPERTY--Owned by Robert E. Fritz, 2900 Victoria Drive, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501.
- LOT TO _THE WEST OF SUBJECT PROPERTY--Owned by Rex Schoonover, 278 Gray Drive, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501
- LOT AND RESIDENCE DIRECTLY ACCROSS SHERMAN DRIVE (NORTH) OF SUBJECT PROPERTY--Owned by Clifford E. and I.R. Young, 144 Sherman Drive, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501
- LOT AND RESIDENCE DIRECTLY ACROSS SHERMAN DRIVE AND SLIGHTLY WEST FROM SUBJECT PROPERTY--Owned by Alta Fontanari, 3312 E 3/4 Road, Clifton, Colorado 81520
- LOT AND RESIDENCE DIRECTLY ACROSS SHERMAN DRIVE AND SLIGHTLY EAST FROM SUBJECT PROPERTY--Owned by William W. and M.T. Basham, 150 Sherman Drive, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

NOTE: The above names and addresses of adjacent property owners were obtained from the Mesa County Assessors Office.

TO: City Development Department

City of Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

FROM: Bill Tingle, representing Jim and Bonnie Karp

SUBJECT: Development in H.O. on Sherman Drive--Mini-Storage units. DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE.

DATE: December 3, 1979.

Deveopment of the proposed mini-Storage buildings is currently scheduled to be completed as follows:

December, 1979 and January 1980--Approval from Planning and Building departments.

February, 1980--Footer and building engineering and blueprints of construction details.

March, 1980--Obtain building permit--Pour concrete floor and foundation as soon as frost has left ground and concrete does not need radical night protection from cold. Tentatively scheduled for around March 15 to 20, 1980.

April 1, 1980--building material scheduled to arrive. Erection of building to begin immediately.

April, 15, 1980--Scheduled completion date for project, including final grading of lot, landscaping, and certificate of occupancy.

Sincerely,

Bill Tingle, sales and job control for

Walker and Krill Builders and Contractors, Inc.

440 South Townsend AVe. Montrose, Colorado 81401

CONTRACTOR FOR PROJECT

TO; City Development Dapartment

City of Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

FROM: Bill Tingle, Contractor Representative for Jim and Bonnie Karp

SUBJECT: Proposed LANDSCAPING and FENCING for proposed mini-storage project on

Sherman DRive

DATE: December 3, 1979

LANDSCAPING:

We propose to landscape the buildings with 3 each, 6-8 foot evergreen trees in the front of the building. The trees will be located so as to take the "blank" appearance away as one views the buildings from Sherman Drive. The East, South, and West views are primarily obscured by buildings fronting on Hiway 50. The buildings will be low profile with colors blending into the area.

FENCING:

No fencing is proposed at this time. The obscuring of the buildings by existing buildings is deemed sufficient.

TO: City Development Department

City of Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

FROM: Bill Tingle, Contractor for Jim and Bonnie Karp

SUBJECT: Evaluation of research concerning drainage of proposed mini-storage project on

Sherman Drive.

DATE: January 3, 1980

After research consisting of shooting grades and drainage elevations in the area, consultations with city engineering staff, and consultation with a private engineering firm, we present the following drainage conclusion for the proposed mini-storage project on Sherman Drive:

The lot presently drains into Sherman Drive with a fall of 4" minimin, 6" maximum in 150'. The drainage of the lot is minimal since most of the moisture is absorbed into the ground. The water is then diverted into the borrow pit on Sherman Drive and is designed to flow to 27 Road where a culvert allows the water to move under 27 Road and continue toward the River. There is a drop of approximately 19" between the proposed property and 27 Road.

At the present time there is no maintenance of the drainage system by the City, thus indicating that there is no problem area.

We propose to build and cover the lot with gravel, so as not to increase lot drain off--since the moisture and snow fall will continue to absorb into the ground. The building will be elevated approximately 8" in the rear so as to increase the drainage slope to 12" to 14". This will give adequate future drainage if curb and gutter are ever installed on Sherman Drive.

We would not recommend paving of the lot at this time since it would require the city to start maintaining the present drainage system in the event of an unusally large snow melt/freeze condition aggravated by adjoining and area lots.

Unless the lot is paved, there will no significant change in runoff due to development of the lot.

We are in agreeement in that we will treat the road edge anyway the City desires, within reasonable limitations. There is an existing driveway charted for the lot.

Date: 2-5-1980

To: City of Grand Junction Planning Department

From: Bonnie and Jim Karp, dba BaJ Enterprises

Subject: Sherman DRive Mini-Storage Units--Acknowledgement of Planning Dept. requirements

and agreement of compliance.

In agreement with Planning Department requirements we recognize the following:

- 1. We agree to install a protective rail around the unprotected edges of the property, namely the West and South property lines. The East property line will be a building line and the North property line will be the front of the buildings with landscaping.
- 2. We agree to pave the lot when the City installs Curb and Gutter on Sherman Drive. The Paving will be so graded to insure drainage into Sherman Drive.
- 3. In addition to proposed trees (which will be of the type and variety recommended by the City Parks Department), the front of the buildings facing Sherman Drive will be moderately landscaped with rock borders and colored gravel. Landscaping will be done in co-operating with the Planning Dept., who will approve total landscaping package.

We understand that approval of the proposed project is contingent on our acceptance and/or performance of the above items.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Karp, dba B-J Enterprises

ARTESIA HEIGHTS Sub. SHERMAN DRIVE WAREHOUSE GAS STATION VACANT LOT VACANT LOT PROPOSED TOTIVO TEXHOMA RESTAURANT LIQUOR STORE 1. DRAINAGE OF LOT INTO SHERMAN OR,

CNATURAL DRAINAGE OF LOT)

2. LOADING AND UNLOADING AREA IS

LIMITED TO 20' DRIVES BETWEEN

BOTLDINGS Not to SCALE

WILEME POST 18AE-18E-18 X24

SHERMAN DAILE 100.03

HOXIOORUM

101.33

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

Plot PLAN	The state of the s
	SCALE: ("= 50"
B-J ENTERPRISES	Bx: W.D
	CK-AT