Table of Contents

File	e	1980-0055								
Da	te	8/15/01 Proje	ect Nar	ne:Cyphers Subdivision - Replat Lot 1 Blk 1-Stanley Scott						
P	S	A few items are denoted with an asterisk (*), which mea	ans tl	ney are to be scanned for permanent record on the						
r	c	ISYS retrieval system. In some instances, not all entries								
e s	a n	are also documents specific to certain files, not found on	the	standard list. For this reason, a checklist has been						
e	n	included.		·						
n	e	Remaining items, (not selected for scanning), will be man	rked	present on the checklist. This index can serve as a						
t	đ	quick guide for the contents of each file.								
		Files denoted with (**) are to be located using the ISYS	Quer	y System. Planning Clearance will need to be typed						
	in full, as well as other entries such as Ordinances, Resolutions, Board of Appeals, and etc.									
X	X	*Summary Sheet - Table of Contents								
		Application form								
		Receipts for fees paid for anything								
*Submittal checklist										
*General project report										
Î		Reduced copy of final plans or drawings								
		Reduction of assessor's map								
		Evidence of title, deeds								
X	X	*Mailing list								
		Public notice cards								
		Record of certified mail								
X		Legal description								
Ī		Appraisal of raw land								
Reduction of any maps – final copy										
*Final reports for drainage and soils (geotechnical reports)										
Other bound or nonbound reports										
		Traffic studies								
		Individual review comments from agencies								
	*Consolidated review comments list									
	*Petitioner's response to comments									
	*Staff Reports									
	*Planning Commission staff report and exhibits									
*City Council staff report and exhibits										
		*Summary sheet of final conditions								
	*Letters and correspondence dated after the date of final approval (pertaining to change in conditions of									
		expiration date)		<u> </u>						
		DOCUMENTS SPECIFIC TO THE	HIS]	DEVELOPMENT FILE:						
X	X	Action Sheet	X	X Planning Commission Minutes - ** - 2/23/82						
X	X		X	Letter from Alex Candelaria to Stanley Scott re: agenda for						
	A	Review Sheet Summary Letter from Ronal Rish to Roger Foisy re: final inspection for waterline-	+	public hearing – 2/11/82						
X	X	12/2/82	X	Form letter re: public hearing to be held 9/17/80						
X	X	Letter from Roger Foisy to Ron Rish re: revised drawings – 5/24/82	$ \mathbf{x} $	Letter from Alex Candelaria to Stan Scott re: approval of petition with stipulation to resolve unresolved review comments – 9/4/80						
X	X	Letter from Ron Rish to Duane Scott re: Oak Court	X	Final Plat Application						
X	X	Letter from Ron Rish to Roger Foisy re: comments on street improvements-5/12/82	X	Commitment for Title Ins. From Chicago Title Ins. Co.						
X		Power of Attorney - **	X	Letter from Duane Scott to City of Grand Jct. re: building permit postponed until imp. Agreement is finalized – 3/26/82						
X		Deed	X	Letter from Cecil Caster, Co West Survey re: Gamma Radiation Survey will be available on 8/4/80 (do not see test in)						
X		Record of Final Plat Recording	X	Typical Road Cross Section						
X		Letter of request from Duane Scott to City re: extension of the planning approval of project – 2/8/82	X	Standard Drainage Details						

X	X	Letter from Stanley Scott to City of Grand Jct. re: guarantee of street improvements to be paid for and completed by the developers – 7/30/80			
X		Utilites Composite			
X		Replat of Cyphers Subdivision - ** - See Historical Maps			
	x	Improvement Agreement - **	-	\dashv	
X		Soil Compaction Test Graph		\dashv	
	$-\dagger$	Ton Compaction 1656 Graph		\dashv	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	\dashv	<u> </u>
	_		_	_	
			ļ	_	
		- Marie Carlotte - Car			
		The state of the s			
				$_{-}$	
	\Box	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	T		All delicals And a second a second and a second a second and a second
	\Box	, the second sec	1	\neg	And the same of th
			1		
 	H		 	\neg	
	$\vdash \uparrow$	WENTER TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE T	†		
			+-		
	\vdash		╁┈		
	H		┼		7000
			┿		
			 		
<u> </u>	H				
\vdash			1		
			T		
			1		
Г			T		
\vdash			T		
\vdash	-	4	+-	-	
\vdash	 -		+-	-	
	t^{-}		+		
\vdash	T				
Г	T	31 30 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		Γ	
	_		_	<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>		4_		
_	<u> </u>		\perp	<u> </u>	
\vdash	-				
	<u> </u>		1_	<u> </u>	
_	-			-	
-	 		-	\vdash	
-	-		+-		
<u></u>	<u> </u>			1	

REVIEW SHEET SUMMARY

FILE#_55-80 DATE SENT TO REVIEW DEPT. 8-06-80 ITEM CYPHERS SUB. ROPLAT LOT1, BLK 3-DATE DUE <u>8-18-80</u> PETITIONER Phipps & Scott LOCATION N.E. corner of B 3/4 and Pinon St. COMMENTS DATE REC. AGENCY 8-12-80 MT. BELL No objection. 8-12-80 FLOODPLAIN Does not appear to be in floodplain. 8-13-80 CITY UTL O.M. IRRG. 8-13-80 No exceptions 8-13-80 O.M. SAN. This is not O.M. San. It is City of Grand Junction. 8-13-80 O.M. DRAINAGE No exception 8-18-80 TRANS. ENG. None 8-18-80 CITY ENG. Utilities must be installed prior to street construction. Any sewer construction (or water if city system) must be prefaced by submission of detailed construction plans to me for approval. Public Service 8-18-80 Electic: No objection existing. Gas: 61 willity The street plan submitted has several problems which should be corrected prior to their engineer submitting them to me for construction approval: casement for R.P.W. on Pinion should be at 1. The pavement design must be backed by design calculations based on soils tests. The design changed to bl willity easement. calculations should be submitted with the plans.

2. They show 30 ft. mat. City standards (and the adjacent Oak Street) are 34 ft. of mat.

3. The size of the storm culvert must be approved by the ditch company. (Note: upstream culverts at East and West Parkview are 48 inches.) 4. The curb and gutter should stop north of B 3/4 Road to allow for future radii (as was

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
Zone is R-1-C. Proposed replat lots will meet R-1-C requirements.
Recommend approval.

CITY ENG.

CON'T d

done on Oak St.)

5. Storm drainage is not piped under Pinon at B 3/4 Road. A power-of-attorney should be granted for full-street improvements on B 3/4 Road.

20 ft. radii should be dedicated at the corners at B 3/4 Road and Pinon Street (as was done on Oak St.)

The petitioner should install full improvements from B 3/4 Rd. to the drain ditch (as indicated on their plans) and should grade and gravel the rest of Pinon to where it meets the paved portion north of the ditch.

I am still waiting for construction test results and as-built drawings for Oak Street.

8-18-80 CITY FIRE

One additional fire hydrant required aprox. 500 feet north of fire hydrant at B 3/4 and Pinon Street to be located on Pinon Street. Water flow and hydrant agreement required.

8-27-80 Park & Rec.

PAGE 2

REVIEW SHEET SUMMARY

FILE#										
ITEM_	CYPHERS	SUB. REPLAT	LOT 1, BI	к 3	 DATE	SENT	TO	REVIEW	DEPT.	
	FINAL		······································		 DATE	DUE _				
PETIT	IONER	······································		·						
LOCAT	ION									
DATE	REC.	AGENCY		COMMENTS						

8-26-80/GJPC/RIDER/GRAHAM PASSED 6-0 A MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL TO THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CYPHERS SUBDIVISION- REPLAT LOT 1, BLOCK 3, FINAL PLAT, SUBJECT TO STAFF REVIEW COMMENTS AND WITH THE STIPULATION THAT BEFORE CITY COUNCIL HEARING THE PETITIONER SHOULD MEET WITH THE CITY ENGINEER AND RESOLVE THEIR DIFFERENCES; THAT THE GRAVELLING FROM THE PROPERTY LINE NORTH IS NOT TO BE INCLUDED AS A CONDITION FOR THIS APPROVAL, AND THAT THE PETITIONER MEET WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND FIND A REASONABLE PLACE FOR A FIRE HYDRANT ON THE PROPERTY, IF ONE IS NECESSARY.

3/5/82

GJPC Minutes of 2/23/82

55--80

MOTION: (COMMISSIONER DICK LITLE) "MADAM CHAIRMAN, I MOVE ON #55-80 THAT THE EXTENSION BE GRANTED UNTIL JUNE 1, 1982." COMMISSIONER SUSAN RINKER SECONDED THE MOTION. CHAIRWOMAN QUIMBY CALLED FOR A VOTE AND THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

<u> </u>	1110 # 0000					
Units						
Density	Zone <i>Q-/-C</i>					
	CYPHERS SUR)					
Activity <u>RE-PLAT OF LOT IBK</u>						
Phase FINAL	City Council					
Date Submitted 8/1/80	Date CIC Legal Ad					
Date Mailed Out 8/6/80	Hearing Date					
Date Posted 8/15/80	Planning Commission 8/86/80					
Legal Ad Date	Hearing Date					
Date Neighbors Notified	City Council					
Planning Commission 8-19-8	Review Period-Return By 18/8					
Review Agencies Send						
COUNTY ROAD DEPARTMENT	CITY UTILITIES					
MOUNTAIN BELL	CITY POLICE					
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	TRANSPORTATION ENGINEER					
FIRE	PARKS AND RECREATION					
IRRIGATION ORACHARD	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
C DRAINAGE ORACHARD /						
SEWER ORACHARD ME						
WATER (UTE, CLIFTON)						
FLOODPLAIN						
CITY ENGINEER						
Common Location NE COR OF B 3/4 RD & DIMON ST.						
	F B 44 RO E PINOU S J.					
7						
Board Date Comment						
GTPC 8/86/80 Uppus	real-subject to staff & review					
CIC 9/17/80 /1901	mented - Subject to DC					
GD 9/02/80 ()	war on the temperatel (0/82					
CIC 3/17/82 apor	wed on CA-rub to GTPC					
1000	want of the					
	my menaces con the contract of					
Staff Comments						
3126182 Sent seconding in	6 Ki-					
spirz kaorded imp. ag	TOO, KI					
Original Documents						
Improvement Agreement	Covenants					
Improvement Guarantee	Development Schedule					
-						

2945-252-03-002 -02-002--02-008--007--006--005-00-030--029

R. Strouse 286 Pine St. city 81503

S. Oswald 2721 Unawelp city - 03

R. williamson 28912 kins City -03

X. Naetmann 291 Pine St. aty - 03

J. Sallegos 293 Pins aby -03 6. Howell 3009 Nill CX. 7. Readle 2207 B3/4 Rd. city - 03

4. Steinbeck 250 Sherman Dr. City -03

Stanley Scott 624 Rood City -81501

R. Phippo

55-80

RECEIVED MESA COUNTY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
MAY 14 1982

17th of Grand

May 12, 1982

Mr. Roger Foisy Colorado West Engineering 835 Colorado Avenue Grand Junction, CO 81501

Dear Roger:

Re: Pinion Street - Cyphers Subdivision #55-80

As requested, I have reviewed the detailed construction plan for street improvements in the above as submitted on April 6, 1982, and have the following comments:

- 1. The south end should be modified to show the 20 ft. radii dedicated on the corners and starting the curb, gutter and sidewalk at the PC's.
- 2. Nothing is shown on the street plan as to how B 3/4 Road drainage will cross under Pinion Street.
- 3. Show plan, profile and typical section for the gravel street to be constructed by the developer from the north end of pavement to the existing gravel street to the north. It should be 22 ft. wide x 6" thick.
- 4. Show details for the proposed 36" culvert including (a) pipe profile, (b) any channel modifications, (c) details of how the street inlets will be connected to the culvert, (d) length of culvert sections and (e) station location of culvert.
- 5. The size and all other details of the culvert must be as approved by Orchard Mesa Irrigation District. I request a copy of their approval letter.
- 6. I assume Standard Drawings ST-1 and ST-2 and Standard City Specifications will be made available by you to the contractor.

7. You also submitted a print of the Utilities Composite. The utilities should be shown on the street plan so that contractor is aware of them and to make the street records more complete. Our records show the sanitary sewer in Pinion exists and I assume the street contractor will adjust the manhole near the north end to the new pavement grade.

We have no records of the 8" waterline shown in Pinion. This line must be installed to City Specifications prior to paving the street. Detailed construction plans for the waterline should be submitted to me for approval prior to construction.

8. I assume the irrigation company approval will be obtained for the proposed concrete-lined irrigation ditch shown on the utilities composite. The City has no responsibilities toward that ditch.

When the above comments have been addressed, submit revised street plans and waterline plans for approval \underline{prior} to construction.

Very truly yours,

Ronald P. Rish, P.E.

City Engineer

RPR/hm

cc - Duane Scott
Orchard Mesa Irrigation District
Bob Goldin
Dick Hollinger
John Kenney
Jim Patterson
Harley Seybold
Ralph Sterry
File

Bob Goldin

COLORADO WEST

CONSULTING CIVIL ENGINEERS

835 COLORADO AVE., GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO 81501 303 / 245-5112

ENGINEERING

May 24, 1982

Mr. Ron Rish, P.E. City Engineer City of Grand Junction Grand Junction, CO. 81501

> Re: Cypher's Subdivision (#227.9)

Dear Ron;

Esprish

nwiting

The original drawings for Cypher's Subdivision have been revised and your comments addressed as follows:

- The 20 ft. radii have been shown at corners with curb and gutter starting at the PC's.
- The existing 12" & culvert along B 3/4 Road is to be left in place and extended 20 feet at each end.
 - Mr. Scott has instructed us to do nothing concerning this item, inasmuch as approval from City Council deemed this unnecessary.
 - Culvert details have been shown. There will be no channel modification; the culvert will fit the existing flow line.
- or something 1/5. Approval from Orchard Mesa Irrigation District came by way of a handwritten note and signature on the bottom margin of our letter asking for approval. A copy is included herewith.
 - V6. We will include copies of City Standard Drawings ST-1 and ST-2 with the construction drawings that go out. Need W-1 also.
 - Utilities have been shown on the street plan. Need dimension on waterline
 - We understand that the City has no responsibility toward irrigation ditches.

Mr: Ron Rish Page 2

We hope these changes and additions will be sufficient for approval for construction of Pinion Street, improvements and utilities.

Sincerely, COLORADO WEST ENGINEERING

by Roger A. Foisy, P.E.

RAF/tm

CC: Bot Goldin Jim Patterson COLORADO

CONSULTING CIVIL ENGINEERS

WEST .

835 COLORADO AVE., GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO 81501 303/246-6112

ENGINEERING

March 24, 1981

Mr. Don Caraway % Orchard Mesa Irrigation District 196 Webster Grand Junction, Colorado 81 503

> Re: Culvert installation, Cyphers Subdivision (227.9)

Dear Mr. Caraway:

When we did the design work on the replatting of Cypher's Subdivision for Duane Scott, we made a determination of the size of the culvert needed at the north end of Pinion Street. It seemed impossible, because of the nature of the ditch, to determine the volume of water which may flow in the ditch at any given time. Thus, we went upstream to determine the size of other culverts and discovered that sizes varied.

Coffered to give

We initially decided to install a culvert that was somewhat larger than the next one upstream. However, at the request of Ronald Rish, Grand Junction City Engineer, we specified an even larger 36" culvert.

After visual inspection of the ditch, it is our opinion that a 36" culvert pipe will be more than adequate.

hem a 48" CMP had we have in stock from bys.

Sincerely, COLORADO WEST ENGINEERING

Parkview Crossings, arkview Crossing RPR 6-8-82 upstream. RPR 6-8-82

Roger A. Foisy, P.E.

The shore seems to be more than adequate Navien notice should be taken on to the good of the Latton of the pipe making some that it is not of such Depth that it will silt or and there by reduce the plan capabilities.

cci Goldin Patterson Orohood now dirigation

June 10, 1982

Mr. Roger Foisy Colorado West Engineering 835 Colorado Avenue Grand Junction, CO 81501

Dear Roger:

Re: Pinion Street - Cyphers Subdivision

As requested, I have reviewed the revised detailed construction plans for street improvements in the above as submitted on May 24, 1982.

1. With the exceptions listed below, all other comments in my letter of May 12, 1982, have been addressed.

2. I am by copy of this letter and the May 24 and May 12 letters referring devided item 3 concerning the northerly extension of the street to Mr. Bob Goldin of City Development staff.

3. Since as indicated on these revised plans, the waterline will be installed prior to street construction, a detailed construction plan for the waterline should be submitted. The information shown on the plan for the waterline lacks any dimensioning and reference needs to be made to City Standard Drawing W-1 for Waterline Details and City Standard Specifications for Waterlines.

Upon submittal of a revised plan showing the waterline information, consider the plans for both the street and the waterline approved by this office for construction.

Upon completion of construction, please notify this office to arrange for a final inspection of the completed facilities prior to their being put into service. As is standard policy, City-acceptance of any facilities depends on:

a. Design in accordance with our requirements

b. Construction in accordance with the City-approved design.

c. Submission of documented construction test results.

d. Submission of mylar-type as-built drawings for the public records.

e. Final inspection of completed improvements. (You are expected to inspect during construction and to secure test results.)

Mr. Roger Foisy

Page 2

June 10, 1982

Mr. Ralph Sterry, City Utilities Superintendent, should be contacted to arrange for tapping of the waterline in B 3/4 Road.

Thanks for your continued cooperation.

Very truly yours,

Ronald P. Rish, P.E.

City Engineer

RPR/hm

cc - Duane Scott
Bob Goldin
Dick Hollinger
John Kenney
Jim Patterson
Harley Seybold
Ralph Sterry
File



two rivers realty

624 Rood 243-1151

July 30, 1980

City of Grand Junction 250 N. 5th Grand Junction, Colorado 81501

Gentlemen:

The street improvements to Pinon Street in Cyphers Subdivision will be paid for and completed by the developers by cash out of pocket.

Sincerely,

81 ancy



City of Grand Junction. Colorado 81501 250 North Fifth St., 303 243-2633 October 16, 1980

Mr. Duane Scott Two Rivers Realty 624 Rood Avenue Grand Junction, CO 81501

Dear Mr. Scott

Re: Oak Court in Cyphers Subdivision

The streets and storm sewers constructed in the above were final-inspected on May 27, 1980. We have received the required construction test results and the as-built drawings which acknowledge the facilities have been constructed in accordance with the approved plans and specifications. The last of the test results were delivered to us yesterday by Mr. Bradford.

In light of the above, the streets and storm drainage facilities for the above are accepted by the City, and we are now responsible for maintenance of those facilities.

Very truly yours,

Ronald P. Rish, P.E.

City Engineer

RPR/hm

cc - Ralph Phipps Construction
Bob Bright

John Kenney

Jim Patterson
File